Supplemental Material for "A Unified Picture of Lattice Instabilities in Metallic Transition Metal Dichalcogenides"

Diego Pasquier^{*} and Oleg V. Yazyev[†] Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

I. COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY

DFT calculations are performed using the QUANTUM ESPRESSO package [1]. We use norm-conserving pseudopotentials from the SG15 library [2–4], that include the 5s and 5p semicore states of Ta, W and Re explicitly. A plane-wave cutoff of 60 Ry is used. We employ a 24 × 24 k-point mesh (12 × 24 and 12 × 12 for the 2 × 1 and 2 × 2 supercells, respectively) and a Marzari-Vanderbilt smearing [5] of 10 mRy. We use the cell parameters and atomic positions obtained by relaxing the undoped materials until all the Hellmann-Feynman forces are smaller than 10^{-4} Ry/Bohr and the pressure is smaller than 0.1 Kbar. We include about 13 Å of vacuum between periodic replicas. We employ the Wannier90 code [6] to obtain Maximally Localized Wannier Functions (MLWFs). Phonon frequencies are calculated within density functional perturbation theory [7]. To plot the phonon dispersion curves, we have calculated the phonons on a 24 × 24 grid of q-points and used Fourier interpolation. The susceptibility is evaluated on a 100 × 100 k-points grid with Wannier-interpolated bands. Doping is simulated by changing the total charge of the electron system, with a compensating jellium backround added to ensure the overall charge neutrality of the unit cell.

II. WANNIER FUNCTIONS FOR 1T'-WS₂

In the main text, we have discussed the splitting of the t_{2g} states in the 1T' phase of single-layer WS₂, and presented a plot of one of the two equivalent bonding t_{2g} Wannier functions (WFs). Here, we explain how the MLWFs are constructed and present a few additional plots.

Firstly, we derive MLWFs by including 14 occupied bands and the 2 lowest unoccupied bands. The low-energy sulfur s-bands and semicore state bands are excluded. We use the Bloch phases as initial projector and perform the minimization of the spread functional [8]. We obtain 12 p-like WFs centered on the sulfur atoms, two t_{2g} -like WFs pointing along the chain direction, and two molecular WFs. The molecular WFs are centered on the W–W bonds and clearly resemble bonding combinations of t_{2g} WFs.

Secondly, we wannierize the 6 higher-energy unoccupied bands, obtaining 4 e_g -like WFs and 2 antibonding WFs centered on the W–W bonds. Since the bonding and nonbonding t_{2g} WFs in the 1T' phase are constructed together with the *p* WFs, they do not contain explicitly the *pd* antibonding energy. For proper comparison with the antibonding t_{2g} and e_g WFs, we have therefore added the t_{2g} -*p* hybridization energy of 1.49 eV, calculated according to the methodology proposed in Ref. [9], that we have previously applied to the TMDs in Ref. [10].

Note that in order to obtain the molecular bonding and antibonding WFs centered on the W–W bonds, it is necessary to consider two sets of bands separately, otherwise the maximal localization procedure would yield atomic-like WFs centered on the ions.

Fig. 1(a) shows an isovalue plot of one of the antibonding WFs. Contrary to the bonding WF, the antibonding WF contains some weight on the ligands, which reflects the hybridization with the 3p states of sulfur atoms. This difference stems from the fact that the *p*-like bands are excluded when constructing the antibonding t_{2g} and e_g WFs. Fig. 1(b) shows one of the two equivalent nonbonding t_{2g} WF, centered on one of the two W atoms of the unit cell. The nonbonding t_{2g} WFs point along the direction where the W–W distance is unaffected by the distortion compared to the 1*T* phase. The on-site energy of the nonbonding t_{2g} WFs is very close to that of the t_{2g} WFs of the undistorted 1*T* phase. In Fig. 1(c), we present an isovalue plots of one of the four e_g -like WFs (two per tungsten atom), with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ character.



FIG. 1. Selected isovalue plots of Wannier functions (WFs) for monolayer 1T'-WS₂. (a) Antibonding t_{2g} WF. (b) Nonbonding t_{2g} WF. (c) e_g WF with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ character.

III. WANNIER FUNCTIONS FOR 1T"-RES₂

In the main text, we have discussed the splitting of the t_{2g} subshell of monolayer ReS₂ in the 1T'' phase into six bonding and six antibonding t_{2g} states, and presented a plot of one of the six bonding t_{2g} WFs.

We first consider 30 occupied bands, and obtain 24 *p*-like WFs centered on the 8 sulfur atoms, and 6 bonding t_{2g} WFs, each bond drawn in Fig. 2(a) accomodating one of them. The lower-energy bands, coming from the sulfur 3s states and from the transition metal 5s and 5p semicore states, are excluded.

We then consider 14 unoccupied conduction bands, and obtain the corresponding 6 antibonding t_{2g} WFs, as well as 8 e_g WFs centered on the 4 Re atoms.

In Fig. 2(a), we show an isovalue plot of the corresponding antibonding t_{2g} WF, centered on the same bond. As for 1T'-WS₂, and for the same reasons, the antibonding t_{2g} WFs contain some weight on the sulfur atoms resembling p orbitals. Contrary to the 1T' phase of WS₂ for which all the W–W bonds are equivalent, the Re–Re bonds in the 1T'' phase are not all equal. Fig. 2(b) shows another bonding t_{2g} WF, centered on another nonequivalent Re–Re bond. In Fig. 2(c), we show one of the eight e_q WFs, with d_{z^2} orbital character.



FIG. 2. Selected isovalue plots of Wannier functions (WFs) for monolayer 1T''-ReS₂. (a) Antibonding t_{2g} WF. (b) Bonding t_{2g} WF. (c) e_g WF with d_{z^2} character.

IV. FERMI SURFACE OF 1T-WS₂

In the main text, we have mentioned the proposed nesting mechanism for the 1T-to-1T' transition of certain molybdenum dichalcogenides, based on the inspection of the Fermi surface. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), we show the Fermi surface of monolayer 1T-WS₂ in the undoped and electron-doped cases (with 0.1 extra electron per formula unit). One can see that in the electron-doped case, some extra small electron pockets are present. These pockets are approximately nested by the vector ΓM . However, the absence of these pockets in the undoped case shows that the instability at the M point is not related to them. The mechanism for the 1T-to-1T' instability in the d^2 TMDs must be universal, so the nesting of these pockets cannot account for the distortion in the Mo dichalcogenides either.



FIG. 3. Fermi surface for monolayer 1T-WS₂ for the (a) undoped, and (b) electron-doped (+0.1 electron per formula unit) cases. The shaded areas indicate the Brillouin zone.

- * diego.pasquier@epfl.ch
- [†] oleg.yazyev@epfl.ch
- [1] Giannozzi et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).
- [2] D. R. Hamann, Phys. Rev. B 88, 085117 (2013).
- [3] P. Scherpelz, M. Govoni, I. Hamada, and G. Galli, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 12, 3523 (2016).
- [4] http://www.quantum-simulation.org/potentials/sg15_oncv/.
- [5] N. Marzari, D. Vanderbilt, A. De Vita, and M. C. Payne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3296 (1999).
- [6] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, G. Pizzi, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Marzari, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 2309 (2014).
- [7] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).
- [8] N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 56, 12847 (1997).
- [9] A. Scaramucci, J. Ammann, N. Spaldin, and C. Ederer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 175503 (2015).
- [10] D. Pasquier and O. V. Yazyev, arXiv:1810.01302 (2018).