Q-deformed KP hierarchy: Its additional symmetries and infinitesimal Bäcklund transformations

Ming-Hsien Tu¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, National Chung Cheng University, Minhsiung, Chiayi 621, Taiwan (November 9, 2018)

Abstract

We study the additional symmetries associated with the q-deformed Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (q-KP) hierarchy. After identifying the resolvent operator as the generator of the additional symmetries, the q-KP hierarchy can be consistently reduced to the so-called q-deformed constrained KP (q-cKP) hierarchy. We then show that the additional symmetries acting on the wave function can be viewed as infinitesimal Bäcklund transformations by acting the vertex operator on the tau-function of the q-KP hierarchy. This establishes the Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke formula for the q-KP hierarchy.

Mathematics Subject Classifications(1991): 58F07, 35Q53 Key words: KP hierarchy, q-deformations, additional symmetries, Bäcklund transformations

Typeset using REVT_{EX}

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the so-called q-deformed Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (q-KP) hierarchy has being a subject of intensive study in the literature [1–10]. The deformation is performed to the Lax formulation by introducing a parameter q such that the deformed system recovers the ordinary KP hierarchy as q goes to 1. Furthermore several integrable structures associated with the ordinary KP hierarchy [11,12] are also maintained in the q-KP hierarchy, such as infinite conservation laws [2], bi-Hamiltonian structure [3], Virasoro and W-algebras [3,5], etc. More recently, the formulation in the spirit of Sato and his school has been carried out for the q-KP hierarchy and the concepts of vertex operators and tau-functions can be established [7,9,10] as well.

In this letter, we would like to study another interesting property of the q-KP hierarchy, called additional symmetries which have been connected to the ordinary KP hierarchy from different points of view such as conformal algebras [13] and string equation of matrix models in the 2d quantum gravity (see, e.g. Refs. [14–16]), etc.. For the ordinary KP hierarchy, Adler, Shiota and van Moerbeke have shown [15] that the additional symmetries acting on the wave function is equivalent to infinitesimal Bäcklund transformations (BTs) acting on the corresponding tau-function of the KP hierarchy. The proof was then simplified by Dickey using the resolvent operator [17]. Therefore it is quite interesting to see whether we can carry out this correspondence in the q-deformed framework.

Our main results contain two part. The first part (Sec. III.) is to construct the generator of the additional symmetries using the resolvent operator. As a by-product of this discussion, we obtain a reduction of the q-KP hierarchy, called q-deformed constrained KP (q-cKP) hierarchy. The second part (Sec. IV.) is devoted to the proof of the Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke formula for the q-KP hierarchy.

Since our approach relies on the use of q-deformed pseudodifferential operators (q-PDOs), let us recall some basic facts about q-PDOs in the following.

The q-deformed derivative ∂_q is defined by

$$(\partial_q f(x)) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{x(q-1)}$$
(1.1)

which recovers the ordinary differentiation $(\partial_x f(x))$ as q goes to 1. Let us define the q-shift operator θ such that $\theta(f(x)) = f(qx)$ and then we have $(\partial_q \theta^k(f)) = q^k \theta^k(\partial_q f), (k \in \mathbb{Z})$. Further, from the definition (1.1), we have $(\partial_q fg) = (\partial_q f)g + \theta(f)(\partial_q g)$ which implies that $\partial_q f = (\partial_q f) + \theta(f)\partial_q$. Let ∂_q^{-1} denote the formal inverse of ∂_q then $\partial_q^{-1} f =$ $\sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k q^{-k(k+1)/2} \theta^{-k-1} (\partial_q^k f) \partial_q^{-k-1}$.

In general the following q-deformed Leibniz rule holds.

$$\partial_q^n f = \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{n}{k}_q \theta^{n-k} (\partial_q^k f) \partial_q^{n-k} \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$
(1.2)

where the q-number and the q-binomial are defined by

$$(n)_{q} = \frac{q^{n} - 1}{q - 1}$$

$$\binom{n}{k}_{q} = \frac{(n)_{q}(n - 1)_{q} \cdots (n - k + 1)_{q}}{(1)_{q}(2)_{q} \cdots (k)_{q}}, \qquad \binom{n}{0}_{q} = 1.$$
(1.3)

For a q-PDO of the form $P = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} p_i \partial_q^i$ it is convenient to separate P into the differential part $P_+ = \sum_{i \ge 0} p_i \partial_q^i$ and the integral part $P_- = \sum_{i \le -1} p_i \partial_q^i$, respectively. The residue of P is defined by $\operatorname{res}_{\partial_q}(P) = p_{-1}$ and the conjugate operation "*" for P is defined by $P^* = \sum_i (\partial_q^*)^i p_i$ with $\partial_q^* = -\partial_q \theta^{-1}$. Then a straightforward calculation shows that $(PQ)^* = Q^*P^*$ where P and Q are any two q-PDOs.

II. Q-DEFORMED KP HIERARCHY

The q-KP hierarchy is defined by the Lax equation

$$\partial_n L = [L^n_+, L], \qquad \partial_n \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}$$
(2.1)

with Lax operator of the form

$$L = \partial_q + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i \partial_q^{-i}.$$
 (2.2)

According to the Sato theory, we can express the Lax operator as a dressed operator

$$L = S\partial_q S^{-1} \tag{2.3}$$

where $S = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i \partial_q^{-i}$ is called the Sato operator and S^{-1} is its formal inverse. In terms of S the Lax equation (2.1) is equivalent to the Sato equation

$$\partial_n S = -(L^n)_- S. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us introduce the q-deformed exponential function

$$e_q^x = \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)} x^k\right]$$
 (2.5)

which implies that $(e_q^x)^{-1} = e_{1/q}^{-x}$, $\partial_q e_q^{xz} = z e_q^{xz}$ and $q \partial_q^* (e_q^{xz})^{-1} = z (e_q^{xz})^{-1}$. Using S we can define the wave function ω_q and adjoint function ω_q^* , respectively as

follows

$$\omega_q = Se_q^{xz} e^{\xi(t,z)} = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i z^{-i}) e_q^{xz} e^{\xi(t,z)} = \hat{\omega}_q e_q^{xz} e^{\xi(t,z)},$$

$$\omega_q^* = (S^*)^{-1}|_{x/q} (e_q^{xz})^{-1} e^{-\xi(t,z)} = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i^* z^{-i}) (e_q^{xz})^{-1} e^{-\xi(t,z)} = \hat{\omega}_q (e_q^{xz})^{-1} e^{-\xi(t,z)}.$$
(2.6)

where $\xi(t,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i$ and $P|_{x/q} = \sum_i p_i(x/q) q^i \partial_q^i$. It is easy to show that ω_q and ω_q^* satisfy the following linear systems

$$L\omega_q = z\omega, \qquad \partial_n\omega = (L^n)_+\omega$$
$$(L^*|_{x/q})\omega_q^* = z\omega_q^*, \qquad \partial_n\omega_q^* = -(L^*|_{x/q})_+^n\omega_q^*.$$
(2.7)

Lemma 2.1. [9] Let P and Q be two q-PDOs. Then

$$\operatorname{res}_{z}(Pe_{q}^{xz}Q^{*}|_{x/q}(e_{q}^{xz})^{-1}) = \operatorname{res}_{\partial_{q}}(PQ)$$

$$(2.8)$$

where we denote two types of residues as $\operatorname{res}_{z}(\sum_{i} a_{i} z^{i}) = a_{-1}$ and $\operatorname{res}_{\partial_{q}}(\sum_{i} a_{i} \partial_{q}^{i}) = a_{-1}$, respectively.

Lemma 2.2. (see, e.g. Sec. 7.7 of Ref. [12]) If $f(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_i z^{-i}$ then

$$\operatorname{res}_{z}[\zeta^{-1}(1-z/\zeta) + z^{-1}(1-\zeta/z)]f(z) = f(\zeta)$$
(2.9)

where $(1 - z/\zeta)$ and $(1 - \zeta/z)$ should be understood as series in ζ^{-1} and z^{-1} , respectively.

The q-KP hierarchy admits a reduction defined by $(L^n)_- = 0$. This reduction is compatible with the hierarchy flows because

$$\partial_k(L^n)_- = [(L^k)_+, L^n]_- = [(L^k)_+, (L^n)_-]_-.$$
(2.10)

Hence $(L^n)_-$ is identical to zero if its initial value is. The hierarchy associated with this reduction is called *q*-deformed *n*-th KdV hierarchy whose Lax operator is thus defined by the *n*-th order *q*-deformed differential operator $(L^n)_+$.

III. ADDITIONAL SYMMETRIES

Let us turn to the additional symmetries of the q-KP hierarchy. Our approach follows closely that of Dickey [17] by considering the Lax equation (2.1) as a dressing of the commutation relation $[\partial_k - \partial_q^k, \partial_q] = 0$. On the other hand, we find another operator

$$\Gamma_q = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[it_i + \frac{(1-q)^i}{(1-q^i)} x^i \right] \partial_q^{i-1}$$
(3.1)

which also commutes with $\partial_k - \partial_q^k$, i.e., $[\partial_k - \partial_q^k, \Gamma_q] = 0$. Dressing it gives

$$\partial_k M = [(L^k)_+, M], \qquad M \equiv S \Gamma_q S^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

which are hierarchy flows for the operator M. Eq.(2.1) together with (3.2) implies that

$$\partial_k (M^m L^l) = [(L^k)_+, M^m L^l].$$
(3.3)

In contrast to the Sato equation (2.4), we define the additional flow for each pair m, l as follows

$$\partial_{ml}^* S = -(M^m L^l)_- S, \qquad \partial_{ml}^* \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ml}^*}$$
(3.4)

Then

$$\partial_{ml}^* L = -[(M^m L^l)_{-}, L], \qquad \partial_{ml}^* M = -[(M^m L^l)_{-}, M].$$
(3.5)

Hence $(M^m L^l)_{-}$ serves as the generator of the additional symmetries along the trajectory parametrized by t_{ml}^* . Moreover it can be easily shown that the additional flows $\{\partial_{ml}^*\}$

commute with the hierarchy flows, i.e., $[\partial_{ml}^*, \partial_k]L = 0$ but do not commute with each other due to the fact that the operator M depends on t_k explicitly.

Let us linearly combine the vector fields ∂_{ml}^* to form a new vector field $\partial_{\mu\lambda}^* = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mu - \lambda)^m \lambda^{-m-l-1} / m! \partial_{m,m+l}^*$ which also belongs to the additional flows. Then the generator associated with this new vector field is given by

$$Y_q(\mu,\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-l-1} (M^m L^{m+l})_{-}.$$
 (3.6)

Theorem 3.1.(Dickey) The operator $Y_q(\mu, \lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$Y_q(\mu,\lambda) = \omega_q(t,\mu)\partial_q^{-1}\theta(\omega_q^*(t,\lambda)).$$
(3.7)

Before proving the theorem, let us prepare the following identities.

Lemma 3.2. Let P be a q-PDO. Then we have

$$(P)_{-} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_q^{-i} \theta(\operatorname{res}_{\partial_q}(\partial_q^{i-1}P)).$$
(3.8)

In particular,

$$f\partial_q^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_q^{-i} \theta(\partial_q^{i-1} f).$$
(3.9)

Proof of theorem 3.1. Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(M^{m}L^{m+l})_{-} = (S\Gamma_{q}^{m}\partial_{q}^{m+l}S^{-1})_{-}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_{q}^{-i}\theta [\operatorname{res}_{\partial_{q}}(\partial_{q}^{i-1}S\Gamma_{q}^{m}\partial_{q}^{m+l}S^{-1})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_{q}^{-i}\theta [\operatorname{res}_{z}(\partial_{q}^{i-1}S\Gamma_{q}^{m}\partial_{q}^{m+l}e_{q}^{xz}e^{\xi(t,z)}(S^{-1})^{*}|_{x/q}(e_{q}^{xz})^{-1}e^{-\xi(t,z)})]$$

$$= \operatorname{res}_{z} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_{q}^{-i}\theta(\partial_{q}^{i-1}z^{m+l}(\partial_{z}^{m}\omega_{q})\omega_{q}^{*}) \right] = \operatorname{res}_{z}[z^{m+l}(\partial_{z}^{m}\omega_{q})\partial_{q}^{-1}\theta(\omega_{q}^{*})]$$

where we have used $\Gamma_q \omega_q = \partial_z \omega_q$ to reach the last second equality. Next, using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$Y_{q}(\mu,\lambda) = \operatorname{res}_{z} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu-\lambda)^{m}}{m!} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-l-1} z^{m+l} (\partial_{z}^{m} \omega_{q}) \partial_{q}^{-1} \theta(\omega_{q}^{*}) \right]$$
$$= \operatorname{res}_{z} \left[(\frac{1}{z(1-\lambda/z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(1-z/\lambda)}) e^{(\mu-\lambda)\partial_{q}} \omega_{q}(t,z) \partial_{q}^{-1} \theta(\omega_{q}^{*}(t,z)) \right]$$
$$= ((e^{(\mu-\lambda)\partial_{\lambda}} \omega_{q}(t,\lambda)) \partial_{q}^{-1} \theta(\omega_{q}^{*}(t,\lambda)) = \omega_{q}(t,\mu) \partial_{q}^{-1} \theta(\omega_{q}^{*}(t,\lambda)). \quad \Box$$

We further introduce a generator Y(t) which is constructed from $Y_q(\mu, \lambda)$ by integrating the spectral parameters μ and λ with some weightings. Let $\phi(t) = \int \rho(\mu)\omega_q(t,\mu)d\mu$ and $\psi(t) = \int \chi(\lambda)\theta(\omega_q^*(t,\lambda))d\lambda$. Then we have $Y(t) = \phi(t)\partial_q^{-1}\psi(t)$ with

$$\partial_k \phi = (L^k)_+ \phi, \qquad \partial_k \psi = -(L^k)^*_+ \psi. \tag{3.10}$$

Introducing new symmetries to a system always induces constraints to the system. In our present case, the additional symmetries generated by the operator $(M^m L^l)_-$ provides another reduction $(L^n)_- = (M^m L^l)_-$ to the q-KP hierarchy. From (2.1) and (3.3) it is easy to show that $\partial_k((L^n)_- - (M^m L^l)_-) = [(L^k)_+, ((L^n)_- - (M^m L^l)_-)]_-$ and hence this reduction is compatible with the hierarchy flows as well. The situation still holds for the generator Y(t) since it is a special linear combinations of the operators $(M^m L^l)_-$. Therefore we obtain a reduction of the q-KP hierarchy defined by the Lax operator

$$K = L^{n} = (L^{n})_{+} + \phi(t)\partial_{q}^{-1}\psi(t)$$
(3.11)

which satisfies the Lax equation

$$\partial_k K = [(K^{k/n})_+, K].$$
 (3.12)

It is easy to show that (3.12) is compatible with Eq.(3.10). We call this hierarchy the q-deformed constrained KP (q-cKP) hierarchy which has rich integrable structures as well as q-KP hierarchy [18].

IV. INFINITESIMAL BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATIONS

Through the bilinear identity it has been shown [9,10] that the (adjoint) wave functions of the q-KP hierarchy can be expressed in terms of a single function $\tau_q(x;t)$ called tau-function such that

$$\hat{\omega}_q = \frac{\tau_q(x; t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau_q(x; t)}, \qquad \hat{\omega}_q^* = \frac{\tau_q(x; t + [z^{-1}])}{\tau_q(x; t)}$$
(4.1)

where $[z^{-1}] = (1/z, 1/2z^2, 1/z^3, \cdots)$. A peculiar property of $\tau_q(x; t)$ is that it can be obtained from the tau-function $\tau(t)$ of the ordinary KP hierarchy by shifting the time variables t to $t+[x]_q$, i.e., $\tau_q(x; t) = \tau(t+[x]_q)$ where $[x]_q = (x, (1-q)^2x^2/2(1-q^2), (1-q)^3x^3/3(1-q^3), \cdots)$. For convenience, from now on, the tau-function $\tau_q(x; t)$ will be simply written by $\tau_q(t)$ without any confusion.

Now let us define the vertex operators

$$X_q(t,z) = e_q^{xz} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \partial_i\right)$$
$$X_q^*(t,z) = (e_q^{xz})^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i\right) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \partial_i\right)$$
(4.2)

then

$$\omega_q = \frac{X_q(t,z)\tau_q(t)}{\tau_q(t)}, \qquad \omega_q^* = \frac{X_q^*(t,z)\tau_q(t)}{\tau_q(t)}$$
(4.3)

We further define a new vertex operator $X_q(\mu, \lambda)$ which is constructed as follows:

$$X_q(\mu,\lambda) = e_q^{x\mu} (e_q^{x\lambda})^{-1} \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i (\mu^i - \lambda^i)\right] \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{(\mu^{-i} - \lambda^{-i})}{i} \partial_i\right].$$
(4.4)

According to the approach of Sato, it can be proved [10] that the action of $X(\mu, \lambda)$ on τ_q corresponds to an infinitesimal BTs on the space of tau-functions. In other words, if τ_q is a solution of the q-KP hierarchy then $\tau_q + \epsilon X_q(\mu, \lambda)\tau_q$ a solution too. To connect such infinitesimal BTs to the additional symmetries described above, we prepare the following two lemmas concerning the q-analogue of the (differential) Fay identity.

Lemma 4.1. The tau-function τ_q satisfies the following q-deformed Fay identity

$$\sum_{(s_1, s_2, s_3)} (s_0 - s_1)(s_2 - s_3)\tau_q(t + [s_0] + [s_1])\tau_q(t + [s_2] + [s_3]) = 0$$
(4.5)

where (s_1, s_2, s_3) denotes cyclic permutations.

The above identity is obtained from the Fay identity for tau-functions of the ordinary KP hierarchy by shifting the variables t to $t + [x]_q$.

Lemma 4.2. The tau-function τ_q satisfies the following q-deformed differential Fay identity

$$\partial_{q}\tau_{q}(t - [s_{3}] + [s_{1}])\theta(\tau_{q}(t)) - \theta(\tau_{q}(t - [s_{3}] + [s_{1}]))(\partial_{q}\tau_{q}(t))$$

$$= (s_{3}^{-1} - s_{1}^{-1})\theta(\tau_{q}(t + [s_{1}]))\tau_{q}(t - [s_{3}]) - s_{3}^{-1}\theta(\tau_{q}(t - [s_{3}] + [s_{1}]))\tau_{q}(t)$$

$$+ s_{1}^{-1}\tau_{q}(t - [s_{3}] + [s_{1}])\theta(\tau_{q}(t))$$

$$(4.6)$$

Proof of lemma 4.2. From the Fay identity (4.5), setting $s_0 = 0$ and divided by $s_1s_2s_3$ we have

$$\sum_{(s_1, s_2, s_3)} (s_3^{-1} - s_2^{-1}) \tau_q(t + [s_1]) \tau_q(t + [s_2] + [s_3]) = 0.$$
(4.7)

Letting $s_2 = (1-q)x$, shifted $t \to t - [s_2] - [s_3]$ and using the relation $[qx]_q = [x]_q + [(1-q)x]$ then (4.6) follows. \Box

Theorem 4.3. (Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke and Dickey) The following formula

$$X_q(\mu,\lambda)\omega_q(t,z) = (\lambda-\mu)Y_q(\mu,\lambda)\omega_q(t,z)$$
(4.8)

holds, where it should be understood that the vertex operator $X_q(\mu, \lambda)$ acting on ω_q is generated by its action on τ_q through the expression (4.1).

Proof of theorem 4.3. From (4.1) we have

$$\begin{split} X_q(\mu,\lambda) \frac{\tau_q(t-[z^{-1}])}{\tau_q(t)} &= \frac{\tau_q(t)e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}z^{-i/i\partial_i}}X_q(\mu,\lambda)\tau_q(t) - \tau_q(t-[z^{-1}])X_q(\mu,\lambda)\tau_q(t)}{\tau_q^2(t)} \\ &= e_q^{x\mu}(e_q^{x\lambda})^{-1}e^{\xi(t,\mu-\lambda)} \left[\tau_q(t)\tau_q(t-[z^{-1}]-[\mu^{-1}]+[\lambda^{-1}])(z-\mu)(z-\lambda)^{-1} \right. \\ &-\tau_q(t-[z^{-1}])\tau_q(t-[\mu^{-1}]+[\lambda^{-1}])\right]/\tau_q^2(t) \\ &= e_q^{x\mu}(e_q^{x\lambda})^{-1}e^{\xi(t,\mu-\lambda)}(z-\lambda)^{-1} \left[(z-\mu)\tau_q(t'+[z^{-1}]+[\mu^{-1}])\tau_q(t'+[\lambda^{-1}]) \right. \\ &-(z-\lambda)\tau_q(t'+[\mu^{-1}])\tau_q(t'+[z^{-1}]+[\lambda^{-1}])\right]/\tau_q^2(t) \end{split}$$

here $t' \equiv t - [z^{-1}] - [\mu^{-1}]$. Using the Fay identity (4.5) with $s_0 = 0, s_1 = \lambda^{-1}, s_2 = \mu^{-1}, s_3 = z^{-1}$ then the terms in the bracket can be simplified to $(\lambda - \mu)\tau_q(t' + [z^{-1}])\tau_q(t' + [\mu^{-1}] + [\lambda^{-1}])$.

Hence the following connection

$$X_{q}(\mu,\lambda)\omega_{q}(t,z) = e_{q}^{xz}e_{q}^{x\mu}(e_{q}^{x\lambda})^{-1}e^{\xi(t,z+\mu-\lambda)}\frac{(\lambda-\mu)}{(z-\lambda)}\frac{\tau_{q}(t-[\mu^{-1}])\tau_{q}(t-[z^{-1}]+[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}^{2}(t)}$$

= $(\lambda-\mu)\omega_{q}(t,\mu)\partial_{q}^{-1}\theta(\omega_{q}^{*}(t,\lambda))\omega_{q}(t,z)$

should be established for the proof. Multiplying both sides by $(\lambda - \mu)^{-1} \partial_q (\omega_q(t, \mu))^{-1}$ and using the expressions (4.1) we obtain

$$\partial_{q} \frac{\tau_{q}(t - [z^{-1}] + [\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}(t)} = (z - \lambda)\theta \left(\frac{\tau_{q}(t + [\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}(t)}\right) \frac{\tau_{q}(t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}(t)} - z\theta \left(\frac{\tau_{q}(t - [z^{-1}] + [\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}(t)}\right) + \lambda \frac{\tau_{q}(t - [z^{-1}] + [\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau_{q}(t)}$$

$$(4.9)$$

which is nothing but the q-deformed differential Fay identity (4.6) with $s_1 = \lambda^{-1}$ and $s_3 = z^{-1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Acknowledgment This work is supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under Grant Numbers NSC 88-2811-M-194-0003

REFERENCES

¹ e-mail address: mhtu@math.ccu.edu.tw

- [1] Zhang, D.H.: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), 2389.
- [2] Wu, Z.Y., Zhang, D.H., and Zheng, Q.R.: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994), 5307.
- [3] Mas, J. and Seco, M.: J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 6510.
- [4] Frenkel, E.: Int. Math. Res. Notice 2 (1996), 55.
- [5] Frenkel, E. and Reshetikhin, N.: Commun. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), 237.
- [6] Khesin, B., Lyubashenko, V. and Roger, C.: J. Func. Anal. 143 (1997), 55.
- [7] Haine, L. and Iliev, P.: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997), 7217.
- [8] Iliev, P.: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998), L241.
- [9] Iliev, P.: Lett. Math. Phys. 44 (1998), 187.
- [10] Adler, M., Horozov, E., and van Moerbeke, P.: Phys. Lett. A 242 (1998), 139.
- [11] Date, E., Jimbo, M., Kashiwara, M., and Miwa, T., in : Jimbo and Miwa (ed.) Nonlinear integrable system - classical theory and quantum theory, World Scientific, Singapore, 1983.
- [12] Dickey, L.A.: Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
- [13] Orlov, A.Yu and Shulman, E.I.: Lett. Math. Phys. 12 (1986), 171.
- [14] Adler, M. and van Moerbeke, P.: Commun. Math. Phys. 147 (1992), 22.
- [15] Adler, M., Shiota, T., and van Moerbeke, P.: Phys. Lett. A 194 (1994), 33.
- [16] Dickey, L.A.: Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993), 1259; 8 (1993), 1357.
- [17] Dickey, L.A.: Commun. Math. Phys. 167 (1995), 227.
- [18] Tu, M.H., in preparation.