# HIROTA BILINEAR FORMS WITH 2-TOROIDAL SYMMETRY

KENJI IOHARA<sup>†</sup>, YOSHIHISA SAITO AND MINORU WAKIMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this letter, we compute Hirota bilinear forms arising from both homogeneous and principal realization of vertex representations of 2-toroidal Lie algebras of type  $A_l, D_l, E_l$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Soliton equations are known as non-linear partial differential equations with infinite dimensional symmetry. For example, KP (Kadomtzev Petviashvili) hierarchy has  $GL_{\infty}$ -symmetry and KdV (Korteweg-de Vries) hierarchy has  $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -symmetry. Their solutions can be realized by means of representation theory. In particular, it was shown in [KW] that KdV and NLS (Non-linear Schrödinger) hierarchies can be obtained from the principal and the homogeneous realization of basic representations of  $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$  respectively. Here they derived the corresponding Hirota bilinear form and by construction they showed that it possesses 'soliton-type' solutions.

There is a 2-dimensional generalization of affine Lie algebras known as 2-toroidal Lie algebras. This Lie algebra is the universal central extension of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  denotes a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra. Few results for representation theory of these algebras are known. In physics, it arises as current algebra of the 4-dimensional Kähler WZW (Wess Zumino Witten) model [IKUX]. It seems that 2-toroidal Lie algebras are nice candidates to describe higher-dimensional integrable systems.

In this letter, we apply the method [KW] to obtain Hirota bilinear forms with 2-toroidal symmetry which include that with affine Lie algebra symmetry as subhierarchy. We calculate Hirota bilinear form for  $\mathfrak{g} = A_l, D_l, E_l$ . Its special class of solutions, which might be called 'soliton-type' solutions, are presented for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Some examples for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  are also given.

# 2. Preliminaries on Lie Algebra

Here we collect some facts concerning our Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$  and its vertex representation.

**<sup>†:</sup>JSPS** Research Fellow

**2.1. The definition of Lie algebras.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  of rank l with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\Delta$  be the set of all (non-zero) roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to its Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Set

$$A = \mathbb{C}[s^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}], \quad \Omega^1_A = Ads \oplus Adt$$

and let

$$\overline{\cdot}: \Omega^1_A \longrightarrow \Omega^1_A/dA$$

be the canonical projection. We define the Lie algebra structure on

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tor}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes A \oplus \Omega^1_A/dA$$

by

$$[X \otimes f, Y \otimes g] = [X, Y] \otimes fg + (X, Y)\overline{(df)g}, \quad [c, \text{everything}] = 0,$$

where  $X \otimes f, Y \otimes g \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$  and  $c \in \Omega^1_A/dA$ .

We remark that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{el}}$  which is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}_{ ext{el}} := \mathfrak{g}_{ ext{tor}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \partial_{\log s} \oplus \mathbb{C} \partial_{\log t}$$

with the standard commutation relation exactly coincide with the one considered by K. Saito and D. Yoshii [SY].

Next we define a much bigger Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tor}}^{\vee}$  as

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tor}}^{\vee} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tor}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial_{\log s} \oplus A\partial_{\log t}$$

with the commutation relations

$$\begin{split} &[\partial_{\log s}, X \otimes f] = X \otimes (\partial_{\log s} f), \quad [g\partial_{\log t}, X \otimes f] = X \otimes (g\partial_{\log t} f), \\ &[\partial_{\log s}, \overline{fd \log s}] = \overline{(\partial_{\log s} f)d \log s}, \quad [g\partial_{\log t}, \overline{fd \log s}] = \overline{(g\partial_{\log t} f)d \log s}, \\ &[\partial_{\log s}, \overline{fd \log t}] = \overline{(\partial_{\log s} f)d \log t}, \quad [g\partial_{\log t}, \overline{fd \log t}] = \overline{(g\partial_{\log t} f)d \log t} + \overline{f(dg)}, \\ &[\partial_{\log s}, g\partial_{\log t}] = (\partial_{\log s} g)\partial_{\log t}, \\ &[f\partial_{\log t}, g\partial_{\log t}] = \{f(\partial_{\log t} g) - g(\partial_{\log t} f)\}\partial_{\log t} - \overline{(\partial_{\log t} g)\{d(\partial_{\log t} f)\}}. \end{split}$$

Note that the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is embedded into  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$  via the variable s, whose image is denoted by  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$ . We also remark that the space of vector fields  $\mathcal{D} := A\partial_{\log s} \oplus A\partial_{\log t}$  naturally acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$  via

(1) 
$$[f\partial_{\log \natural}, X \otimes g] = X \otimes (f\partial_{\log \natural}g), \quad \natural = s, t.$$

But one can not introduce Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}' \oplus \mathcal{D}$  which has vertex representations and contains  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$  as a Lie subalgebra.

**2.2. Vertex Representations.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra of type  $A_l, D_l$  or  $E_l$ . Here we construct so-called vertex representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$  from more general point of view.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Lie algebra generated by  $\varphi_k, \varphi_k^{\dagger}$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  and the central element c with the following relations:

$$[\varphi_k^{\dagger}, \varphi_l] = k \delta_{k+l,0} c, \quad [\varphi_k, \varphi_l] = 0, \quad [\varphi_k^{\dagger}, \varphi_l^{\dagger}] = 0 \qquad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_+$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $\varphi_k, \varphi_k^{\dagger}$  (k > 0) and c. We define the one dimensional  $\mathcal{H}_+$ -module  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{vac}} := \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$  by

$$\varphi_k . |0\rangle = 0, \quad \varphi_k^{\dagger} . |0\rangle = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \qquad c . |0\rangle = |0\rangle.$$

and set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi} := \left( \mathrm{Ind}_{U(\mathcal{H}_{+})}^{U(\mathcal{H})} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{vac}} \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\delta_{t}],$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\delta_t] = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}e^{m\delta_t}$  is the group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}\delta_t$ . We introduce a  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$  in an obvious way.

For each  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we set

$$X_{l}(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} X \otimes s^{p} t^{l} z^{-p-1}, \quad K_{l}^{*}(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{s^{p} t^{l} d \log * z^{-p-1}}, \quad D_{l}^{*}(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} s^{p} t^{l} \partial_{\log *} z^{-p-1},$$

for \* = s, t. These are the generating series of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor} \oplus \mathcal{D}$ . We shall express the action of these generating series in terms of that of the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and the Virasoro algebra, which is denoted by

$$X(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} X \otimes s^p z^{-p-1}, \quad T(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} L_p z^{-p-2}.$$

Next we introduce the elements  $d_t, e^{\delta_t} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi})$  by

$$d_t (v \otimes e^{m\delta_t}) := m(v \otimes e^{m\delta_t}), \quad e^{\delta_t} (v \otimes e^{m\delta_t}) := v \otimes e^{(m+1)\delta_t} \quad \text{for } v \otimes e^{m\delta_t} \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$$

and some of the generating series in  $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi})$  by

$$\varphi(z) := \sum_{k \neq 0} \varphi_k z^{-k-1}, \quad \varphi^{\dagger}(z) := (d_t + \sum_{k \neq 0} \varphi_k^{\dagger} z^{-k}) z^{-1},$$
$$\Delta(z) := \exp\left(\sum_{k>0} \frac{\varphi_{-k}}{k} z^k\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{k>0} \frac{\varphi_k}{k} z^{-k}\right) e^{\delta_t}.$$

Lemma 2.1.

(i) There exists a functor  $\mathcal{F}$  from the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$ -module to the category  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$ -mod.;

$$(V,\pi) \longmapsto (V \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}, \tilde{\pi})$$
 such that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\pi}(X_l(z)) &= \pi(X(z)) \otimes : \Delta(z)^l :, \\ \tilde{\pi}(K_l^t(z)) &= 1 \otimes : \varphi(z) \Delta(z)^l :, \\ \tilde{\pi}(K_l^s(z)) &= 1 \otimes : \Delta(z)^l : z^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\pi}(D_l^t(z)) &= 1 \otimes : \varphi^{\dagger}(z) \Delta(z)^l :, \\ \tilde{\pi}(\partial_{\log s}) &= -\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left\{ z(\pi(T(z)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes : \varphi(z) \varphi^{\dagger}(z) :) \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$ch\mathcal{F}(V) = (chV)\prod_{n>0} (1 - e^{-n\delta_s})^{-2}\delta(e^{\delta_t}),$$

where  $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$  is the delta-function.

(iii) Let  $L(\lambda)$  be the irreducible highest weight  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(L(\lambda))$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}_{el}$ -module.

We remark that one can define the action of  $D_l^s(z)$  on  $V \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ , which is compatible with (1), by

$$\tilde{\pi}(D_l^s(z)) = -z\{\pi(T(z))\otimes : \Delta(z)^l : +1\otimes : \varphi(z)\varphi^{\dagger}(z)\Delta(z)^l : \}.$$

Thus in particular, if we choose the homogeneous or the principal realization of the basic representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$  (see e.g. [Kac]), we recover the one which is obtained by [EM],[Bil1] respectively. We also note that  $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$  has the following realization:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi} = \mathbb{C}[u_n, v_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[e^{\pm w}]_{\mathbb{F}}$$

via

$$\varphi_k \longmapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} & k > 0 \\ -ku_{-k} & k < 0 \end{cases}, \quad \varphi_k^{\dagger} \longmapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} & k > 0 \\ -kv_{-k} & k < 0 \end{cases}, \quad e^{\pm \delta_t} \longmapsto e^{\pm w}.$$

In the next section, we use this realization to obtain Hirota bilinear differential equations.

**2.3. Generalized Casimir elements.** Let us regard  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor} \oplus \mathcal{D}$  as  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ -module. We introduce the symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{tor}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor} \oplus \mathcal{D}$  as follows:

$$i) \quad (X \otimes t^{p} s^{k} | Y \otimes t^{q} s^{l})_{\text{tor}} := (X, Y) \delta_{p+q,0} \delta_{k+l,0},$$

$$ii) \quad (\overline{t^{p} s^{k} d \log \natural} | t^{q} s^{l} \partial_{\log \natural})_{\text{tor}} := \delta_{p+q,0} \delta_{k+l,0} \quad \natural = s, t,$$

$$iii) \quad (\overline{d \log \natural} | \partial_{\log \flat})_{\text{tor}} := \delta_{\sharp,\flat} \quad \sharp, \flat = s, t,$$

iv) other pairs give zero.

We remark that this bilinear form is  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ -invariant i.e,

(2) 
$$([x,g]|y)_{\text{tor}} = (x|[g,y])_{\text{tor}} \text{ for } g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}}, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}} \oplus \mathcal{D}.$$

Moreover this form is degenerate. But if we restrict  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{tor}$  on  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A) \times (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$ , this form becomes non-degenerate.

Next we define 'canonical' elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor} \oplus \mathcal{D}$  with respect to  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{tor}$ , what we call generalized Casimir elements and denoted by  $\Omega(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_k z^{-k-2}$ , as follows (see also [Bil2]). Let  $\{I^a\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Set

$$\Omega(z) := \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k^a(z) \otimes I_{-k}^a(z) + \sum_{*=s,t} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ K_k^*(z) \otimes D_{-k}^*(z) + D_k^*(z) \otimes K_{-k}^*(z) \right\}.$$

Because of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ -invariance of  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{tor}$  (i.e, (2)), we have

(3) 
$$[\Omega(z), \mathfrak{g}_{tor}] = 0.$$

### 3. HIROTA BILINEAR FORMS

In this section, we present Hirota bilinear form associated to Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = A_l, D_l$  and  $E_l$ .

**3.1. Hirota Bilinear Forms I.** Here we construct bilinear form associated with the homogeneous realization of vertex representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$ .

Let  $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$  be the root lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\varepsilon : Q \times Q \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be the function that satisfies bimultiplicativity

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(\alpha + \alpha', \beta) = & \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha', \beta) \\ \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \beta') = & \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta') \end{cases}, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in Q, \end{cases}$$

and the conditions

$$\varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} & \text{if } i < j, \\ (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then, as is well-known, the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$  acts on

$$V := \mathbb{C}[x_k^{(j)}| 1 \le j \le l, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}\{Q\},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}\{Q\}$  is the twisted group algebra of Q twisted by the cocycle  $\varepsilon$ . Namely,  $\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}\{Q\}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra spanned by  $\{e^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in Q}$  and it satisfies

$$e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1,  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}(V) = V \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ . Let  $G_{tor}$  be the group of linear transformations on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  generated by the exponential action of locally finite elements in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ . Choose any orthonormal base  $\{u^{(i)}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and set

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} S_n(x) z^n := \exp\left\{\sum_{j>0} x_j z^j\right\}, \quad \sum_{n \ge 0} P_n^{(\alpha)}(x) z^n := \exp\left\{\sum_{j>0} \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha, u^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} z^j\right\}.$$

Applying the method developed in [KW], [Bil2], we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $\tau = \sum_{\beta \in Q} \tau_{\beta} e^{\beta} \in \overline{G_{tor}} \{ (1 \otimes e^0) \otimes 1 \}$ , the completion of  $G_{tor} \{ (1 \otimes e^0) \otimes 1 \}$  with respect to the gradation defined by  $\deg x_j^{(i)} = j$ , then it satisfies the following hierarchy of Hirota bilinear differential equations:

where  $D_{x_n}^{(i)}, D_{u_n}, D_w$  and  $\tilde{D}_{x_n}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{n} D_{x_n}^{(i)}$  stand for Hirota bilinear derivative and  $\varepsilon, y = \left\{y_n^{(i)}\right\}, \tilde{u} = \left\{\tilde{u}_n\right\}, \tilde{v} = \left\{\tilde{v}_n\right\}$  are regarded as independent variables.

We remark that this theorem can be obtained by rewriting

$$\Omega_0 (\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

because of (3). Furthermore we have set

$$v_n = 0, \quad D_{v_n} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},$$

since, by definition,  $\tau$  is constant with respect to  $v_n$  (n > 0).

**3.2. Hirota Bilinear Forms II.** Here we construct bilinear forms associated with the principal realization of vertex representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}^{\vee}$ .

Let us set

$$E := \{(i, r) | 1 \le i \le l, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad E_+ := \{(i, r) \in E | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}$$

Then, as is well-known, the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$  acts on

$$V^{pr} := \mathbb{C}[x_{i;r}|(i,r) \in E_+]$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}}^{\vee}$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}(V^{pr}) = V^{pr} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ . Let *h* be the Coxeter number of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Introduce a  $\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha & \text{if } j \neq 0, \\ \mathfrak{h} & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z},$$

where we set  $\Delta_j := \{ \alpha \in \Delta | \text{ht} \alpha \equiv j \mod h \}$ . The element  $e := \sum_{i=1}^{l} e_{\alpha_i} + e_{-\theta}$ , where  $e_{\beta}$  stands for a root vector of the root  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  is the highest root, is a regular semismiple element so its centralizer  $\mathfrak{s}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Cartan subalgebra. Let  $\Delta^{pr}$  denote the set of all roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{s}$ . The linear transformation  $w := \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{h}\rho^{\vee})$ , where  $\rho^{\vee}$  is the element in  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $(\rho^{\vee}, \alpha_i) = 1$  for any simple root  $\alpha_i$ , satisfies  $w|_{\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}} = \exp(\frac{2\pi j\sqrt{-1}}{h}) \operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}}$ .  $\Delta^{pr}$  is known to be the union of *l*-numbers of  $\langle w \rangle$ -orbits. Let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_l\}$  be a set of its representatives. Since  $e \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$  is a homogeneous element, one has  $\mathfrak{s} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$ . Choose its homogeneous basis  $S^{[i]} \in \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(m_i)}$   $(1 \leq i \leq l)$  such that  $(S^{[i]}, S^{[j]}) = h\delta_{i+j,l}$ , where

$$1 = m_1 < m_2 \le \dots \le m_{l-1} < m_l = h - 1$$

is the set of exponents of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Delta^{pr}$ , let  $e_{\alpha}^{pr}$  be a non-zero root vector of the root  $\alpha$ . We decompose this element as

$$e^{pr}_{\alpha} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}} e^{pr,(j)}_{\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad e^{pr,(j)}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}.$$

Let  $G_{\text{tor}}^{pr}$  be the group of linear transformations on  $\mathcal{F}(V^{pr})$  generated by the exponential actions of locally finite elements in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ . Set

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} P_n^E(x) z^n := \exp\left\{\sum_{(j,r)\in E_+} x_{j;r} z^{m_j+rh}\right\}.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of that in [Bil2].

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $\tau \in \overline{G_{tor}^{pr}(1 \otimes 1)}$ , the completion of  $G_{tor}^{pr}(1 \otimes 1)$  with respect to the gradation defined by deg  $x_{j;r} = m_j + rh$ , then it satisfies the following hierarchy of

Hirota bilinear differential equations:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\rho^{\vee}, e_{\gamma_{i}}^{pr,(0)})(\rho^{\vee}, e_{-\gamma_{i}}^{pr,(0)}) &\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{mh+k=n, \\ m,k \geq 0}} \\ S_{m}((\varepsilon - D_{w})\tilde{u}) \left\{ P_{k}^{E}(2\gamma_{i}(S^{[j]})y_{j;r})P_{n}^{E}(-\frac{\gamma_{i}(S^{[l+1-j]})}{m_{j} + rh}D_{x_{j;r}}) - \delta_{m,0} \right\} \\ &\times \exp(\sum_{(i;r) \in E_{+}} y_{i;r}D_{x_{i;r}}) \exp(\sum_{n > 0} \tilde{u}_{n}D_{u_{n}})\tau \circ \tau \\ - h\sum_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j+k=n-1, \\ j,k \geq 0}} D_{x_{i;j}}D_{x_{l+1-i;k}} + 2\sum_{k \geq 0} (kh + m_{i})y_{i;k}D_{x_{i;n+k}} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 2h\sum_{k \geq 0} (k-n)\tilde{u}_{k-n}D_{u_{k}} \right\} S_{n}((\varepsilon - D_{w})\tilde{u}) \\ &\times \exp(\sum_{(i;r) \in E_{+}} y_{i;r}D_{x_{i;r}}) \exp(\sum_{n > 0} \tilde{u}_{n}D_{u_{n}})\tau \circ \tau = 0, \end{split}$$

where  $D_{x_{i;j}}, D_{u_n}, D_w$  stand for Hirota bilinear derivative and  $\varepsilon, y = \{y_n^{(i)}\}, \tilde{u} = \{\tilde{u}_n\}, \tilde{v} = \{\tilde{v}_n\}$  are regarded as independent variables.

**3.3. Special solutions (\mathfrak{sl}\_2-case).** Here we describe a special classes of solutions, which might be called 'soliton type' solutions, for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  case arising from the homogeneous realization. (See [Bil2] for the principal realization.)

For simplicity, let us set

$$x_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x_j^{(1)}, \qquad \tau := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_s e^{s\alpha}.$$

Under this setting, bilinear equations in Theorem 3.1 can be written only in terms

of Schur polynomials as follows:

$$(4) \qquad \left[ (m-n)^2 + \sum_{r\geq 0} \left\{ 2(m-n)D_{x_r} + \sum_{\substack{j+k=r,\\j,k>0}} D_{x_j}D_{x_k} + 2\sum_{k>0} ky_k D_{x_{r+k}} \right. \\ \left. + 2\sum_{k>0} (k-r)\tilde{u}_{k-r}D_{u_k} \right\} S_r((\varepsilon - D_w)\tilde{u}) \right] \\ \left. \times \exp(\sum_{s>0} y_s D_{x_s}) \exp(\sum_{s>0} \tilde{u}_s D_{u_s})\tau_m \circ \tau_n \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^{m-n}\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\j+k=r,\\j,k\geq 0}} S_j((\varepsilon - D_w)\tilde{u})S_k(2y)S_{r-2+2m-2n}(-2\tilde{D}_x) \right. \\ \left. \times \exp(\sum_{s>0} y_s D_{x_s}) \exp(\sum_{s>0} \tilde{u}_s D_{u_s})\tau_{m-1} \circ \tau_{n+1} \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^{m-n}\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\j+k=r,\\j,k\geq 0}} S_j((\varepsilon - D_w)\tilde{u})S_k(-2y)S_{r-2-2m+2n}(2\tilde{D}_x) \right. \\ \left. \times \exp(\sum_{s>0} y_s D_{x_s}) \exp(\sum_{s>0} \tilde{u}_s D_{u_s})\tau_{m+1} \circ \tau_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \right.$$

Let V be the homogeneous realization of the basic representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ . We define the operators  $z^{\pm \partial_{\alpha}}$  on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  as follows:

$$z^{\pm\partial_{\alpha}}.(f\otimes e^{m\alpha}):=z^{\pm 2m}(f\otimes e^{m\alpha}), \quad \text{for} \quad f\in\mathbb{C}[x_j,u_j,v_j|j\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}], \ e^{m\alpha}\in\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}\{Q\}.$$

This system of equations have the following 'soliton type' solutions in common: For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm\}, a_i \in \mathbb{C}, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$   $(1 \le i \le N)$ ,

$$\tau^{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_N}_{(a_1,k_1),\dots,(a_N,k_N);z_1,\dots,z_N}(x,u) := (1+a_N\Gamma_{\varepsilon_N;k_N}(z_N))\cdots(1+a_1\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1;k_1}(z_1)).1\otimes 1,$$

$$\Gamma_{\pm;k}(z) = \exp\left(\pm\sum_{n>0} x_n z^n\right) \exp\left(\mp 2\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} z^{-n}\right) \exp\left(k(w + \sum_{n>0} u_n z^n)\right) e^{\pm\alpha} z^{\pm\partial\alpha}.$$

In particular, for  $\varepsilon_1 = \cdots = \varepsilon_N = \pm (=: \sigma)$ , we have

$$\tau_{(a_{1},k_{1}),\cdots,(a_{N},k_{N});z_{1},\cdots,z_{N}}^{\sigma,\cdots,\sigma}(x,u) = \sum_{\substack{0 \le r \le N \\ 1 \le j_{1} < j_{2} < \cdots < j_{r} \le N}} (-1)^{[r/2]} e^{(\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} k_{j_{\nu}})w} \prod_{\nu=1}^{r} a_{j_{\nu}} \prod_{1 \le \nu < \mu \le r} (z_{j_{\mu}} - z_{j_{\nu}})^{2} \times \exp\left[\sum_{l>0} \left\{\sigma\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} z_{j_{\nu}}^{l}\right) + \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} k_{j_{\nu}} z_{j_{\nu}}^{l}\right) u_{l}\right\}\right] \otimes e^{\sigma r \alpha}.$$

**3.4. Examples (\mathfrak{sl}\_2-case).** Here we show an example for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . The coefficient of  $\tilde{u}_1 y_1$  in equations (4) for m = n look as follows:

$$(2D_{x_1}D_{u_1} - D_{x_2}D_w)\tau_n \circ \tau_n - 2D_w\tau_{n-1} \circ \tau_{n+1} = 0.$$

Let us introduce new variables  $p_{\pm}, q_{\pm}$  by

$$p_+ := \sqrt{2}u_1, \quad p_- := \sqrt{2}x_1, \quad q_+ := w, \quad q_- := x_2$$

In this new variables, the above equations are expressed as follows:

(5)  $\left\{ \left(\partial_{p_+}\partial_{p_-} - \partial_{q_+}\partial_{q_-}\right) \cdot \log \tau_n \right\} \tau_n^2 = \left\{\partial_{q_+} \cdot \left(\log \tau_{n-1} - \log \tau_{n+1}\right)\right\} \tau_{n-1}\tau_{n+1}.$ 

Set  $\Phi_n := \log \tau_n$  and put

$$\begin{cases} x_1 := p_+ + p_- \\ x_2 := q_+ - q_- \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} t_1 := p_+ - p_- \\ t_2 := q_+ + q_- \end{cases}, \quad \Box := \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 - \partial_{t_1}^2 - \partial_{t_2}^2. \end{cases}$$

Equations (5) have the following form in this coordinate.

(6) 
$$\Box \Phi_n = \{ (\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{t_2}) \cdot (\Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_{n+1}) \} e^{\Phi_{n-1} - 2\Phi_n + \Phi_{n+1}}.$$

## 4. DISCUSSION

Higher dimensional integrable systems, such as SDYM (self-dual Yang Mills), are known to posses soliton solutions. Its Lie theoretic interpretation is still far from understood. In this letter, we obtain Hirota bilinear forms which posses 2-toroidal Lie algebraic symmetry for type  $\mathfrak{g} = A_l, D_l, E_l$ . (See Theorem 3.1, 3.2.) In particular, for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , we gave a special class of solutions and some examples. But since we do not know how to construct Lax pairs for our bilinear forms, we can not call the above mentioned special solutions as soliton solutions. Nevertheless, for KdV hierarchy, which can be obtained from the principal realizations of basic representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , it was shown in [F] that one can construct Lax pair by purely representation theoretical method. We hope that such treatment can be also generalized to our situation, at least for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

Let us make a comment on the above equation (5). Let  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and suppose the above equations (5) have the solutions of the form

$$\tau_n := \begin{cases} e^{\lambda_n q_+} \tau'_0 & n : \text{ even,} \\ e^{\lambda_n q_+} \tau'_1 & n : \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

for some  $\tau'_0$  and  $\tau'_1$ . Then equations (6) degenerate into the following form:

(7) 
$$\Box \Phi_n = (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_{n+1}) e^{\Phi_{n-1} - 2\Phi_n + \Phi_{n+1}}.$$

Note that the above equations have an SO(2, 2)-symmetry. Moreover equations (7) are similar to the SDYM equation on a Lorentzian space with signature (2, 2), and can

be regarded as a deformation of the affine Toda equation of type  $A_1^{(1)}$ . In particular, if we have either  $\tau'_0 \equiv 1$  or  $\tau'_1 \equiv 1$ , the equations (7) essentially give the Liouville equation.

Finally, let us remark on our Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}}^{\vee}$ . As a first step, it is desirable to have a character of irreducible modules as  $ch(V) \times \eta^{-2}$ , where  $\eta$  stands for the Dedekind eta-function, from view point of the flat invariants [S]. The Lemma 2.1 says that this is exactly the case up to delta-function.

Thus, we believe that this approach will be useful in the future.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank T. Inami, H. Kanno, M. Kashiwara, N. Suzuki and Hiroshi Yamada for their interest and discussion.

#### References

- [Bil1] Y. Billig, Principal vertex operator representations for toroidal Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998), 3844-3864.
- [Bil2] Y. Billig, An Extension of the KdV Hierarchy Arising from a Representations of a Toroidal Lie Algebra, preprint solv-int/9706008,
- [EM] S. Eswara Rao and R. Moody, Vertex representations for N-toroidal Lie algebras and a generalization of the Virasoro algebra, Comm. Math. Phys. 159 (1994), 239-264.
- [F] I. B. Frenkel, Representations of Affine Lie Algebras, Hecke Modular Forms and Kortewegde Vries type Equations, in Lie algebras and related topics, Lect. Notes Math. 933 (1982), 71-110.
- [IKUX] T. Inami, H. Kanno, T. Ueno and C.-S. Xiong, Two-toroidal Lie algebra as current algebra of the four-dimensional Kähler WZW model, Phys. Lett. B 399 (1997), 97-104.
- [Kac] V. G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3<sup>rd.</sup> ed., Cambr. Univ. Press, 1991.
- [KW] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Exceptional Hierarchies of Soliton Equations, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 49, Part I (1989), 191-237,
- [MEY] R. V. Moody, S. Eswara Rao and T. Yokonuma, Toroidal Lie Algebras and Vertex Representations, Geom. Dedic. 35 (1990), 283-307,
- [SY] K. Saito and D. Yoshii, *Extended Affine Root Systems IV (Simply Laced Elliptic Lie Algebras)*, in preparation.
- [S] I. Satake, Flat structure and the prepotential for the elliptic root system of type  $D_4^{(1,1)}$ , in Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics, Progr. Math. 160, Birkhäuser, to appear.

(K. Iohara) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN.

(Y. Saito) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIGASHIHIROSHIMA 739-8526, JAPAN.

(M. Wakimoto) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 812-8581, JAPAN.