

# On the Solution of a Painlevé III Equation

Harold Widom<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics  
University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA  
e-mail address: widom@math.ucsc.edu*

## I.

In a 1977 paper of McCoy, Tracy and Wu [2] there appeared for the first time the solution of a Painlevé equation in terms of Fredholm determinants of integral operators. Specifically, it was shown that a one-parameter family of solutions of the equation

$$\psi''(t) + t^{-1}\psi'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2\psi + 2\alpha t^{-1} \sinh \psi, \quad (1)$$

a special case of the Painlevé III equation, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} \int_1^{\infty} \cdots \int_1^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \frac{e^{-tu_j}}{u_j + u_{j+1}} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \left( \frac{u_j - 1}{u_j + 1} \right)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \left. + \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \left( \frac{u_j - 1}{u_j + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} \right] dy_1 \cdots dy_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly expressible in terms of the Fredholm determinants of the kernels

$$\frac{e^{-tu}}{u+v} \left( \frac{u-1}{u+1} \right)^{\alpha \pm \frac{1}{2}}$$

acting on  $L^2(1, \infty)$ . The proof in [2] is complicated, and the purpose of this note is to give a more straightforward one.

First we give an equivalent formulation of the solution in terms of the kernel

$$K(x, y) = \frac{e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2}}{x+y} \left| \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right|^{2\alpha}$$

acting on  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . This is the representation

$$\psi = \log \det \left( I + \frac{\lambda}{2} K \right) - \log \det \left( I - \frac{\lambda}{2} K \right),$$

where  $K$  is the operator with kernel  $K(x, y)$ . (This is very likely known but seems not to have been written down in the literature before.) To derive this second representation of

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-9732687.

$\psi$  we make the changes of variable  $u_j = (x_j + x_j^{-1})/2$  in the multiple integral in the first representation. Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{u_j + 1}{u_j - 1}} = \frac{x_j + 1}{x_j - 1}, \quad du_j = \frac{1}{2} (x_j^2 - 1) \frac{dx_j}{x_j^2},$$

and the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \int_1^\infty \cdots \int_1^\infty \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \frac{e^{-t(x_j + x_j^{-1})/2}}{(x_j + x_{j+1})(x_j x_{j+1} + 1)} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (x_j + 1)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (x_j - 1)^2 \right] \left( \frac{x_j - 1}{x_j + 1} \right)^{2\alpha} dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be an eigenfunction for  $K$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2}}{x+y} \left| \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right|^{2\alpha} f(y) dy = \lambda f(x).$$

Then the substitutions  $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$ ,  $y \rightarrow y^{-1}$  show that  $x^{-1}f(x^{-1})$  is also an eigenfunction corresponding to the same eigenvalue. Hence any eigenfunction can be written uniquely as the sum of an “even” eigenfunction  $f_+$  satisfying  $x^{-1}f_+(x^{-1}) = f_+(x)$  and an “odd” eigenfunction  $f_-$  satisfying  $x^{-1}f_-(x^{-1}) = -f_-(x)$ . The change of variable  $y \rightarrow y^{-1}$  and the relations  $y^{-1}f_\pm(y^{-1}) = \pm f_\pm(y)$  show that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2}}{x+y} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{2\alpha} f_\pm(y) dy = \pm \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2}}{xy+1} \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^{2\alpha} f_\pm(y) dy.$$

We deduce that  $f_\pm$  are eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for the operators  $K_\pm$  on  $L^2(1, \infty)$  with kernels

$$K_\pm(x, y) = e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2} \left[ \frac{1}{x+y} \pm \frac{1}{xy+1} \right] \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^{2\alpha} = \frac{e^{-t(x+x^{-1})/2}}{(x+y)(xy+1)} (x \pm 1)(y \pm 1) \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^{2\alpha}.$$

Hence the last displayed multiple integral equals

$$\text{tr } K_+^{2n+1} + \text{tr } K_-^{2n+1},$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)^{2n+1}}{2n+1} (\text{tr } K_+^{2n+1} + \text{tr } K_-^{2n+1}) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \text{tr } K^{2n+1} \\ &= \log \det \left( I + \frac{\lambda}{2} K \right) - \log \det \left( I - \frac{\lambda}{2} K \right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

## II.

Direct proofs of the fact that this function  $\psi$  satisfies the Painlevé equation when  $\alpha = 0$  have already been given [1, 3]. We shall make use of some of the results of [3] here and therefore follow that paper's notation, more or less.

First, we introduce parameters  $r$  and  $s$ , define

$$E(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} e^{(rx+sx^{-1})/2} \left| \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right|^\alpha, \quad K(x, y) = \frac{E(x) E(y)}{x+y},$$

and let  $K$  be the operator with this kernel  $K(x, y)$ . (In the notation of [3],  $r = t_1$ ,  $s = t_{-1}$ .) The formulas we quote from there will be in terms of our parameters  $r$  and  $s$ .) Define

$$\varphi(r, s) := \log \det (I + K) - \log \det (I - K).$$

Then  $\psi(t) = \varphi(-t/2, -t/2)$ . We know from [3] that  $\varphi$  satisfies the sinh-Gordon equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial r \partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \sinh 2\varphi. \quad (2)$$

In order to deduce (1) from this we must first find a connection between the  $r$  and  $s$  derivatives of  $\varphi$ . (When  $\alpha = 0$  the determinants, and so also  $\varphi$ , depend only on the product  $rs$  and (1) in this case is almost immediate.) To this end we observe that the determinants are unchanged if  $K(x, y)$  is replaced by  $\tilde{K}(x, y) := sK(sx, sy)$ . This is the same as replacing  $E(x)$  by

$$\tilde{E}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} e^{(rsx+x^{-1})} \left| \frac{sx-1}{sx+1} \right|^\alpha.$$

Now

$$\partial_s \tilde{E}(x) = \left( rx + 2\alpha \frac{x}{s^2 x^2 - 1} \right) \tilde{E}(x),$$

which gives

$$\partial_s \tilde{K}(x, y) = r \tilde{E}(x) \tilde{E}(y) + 2\alpha \frac{s^2 xy - 1}{(s^2 x^2 - 1)(s^2 y^2 - 1)} \tilde{E}(x) \tilde{E}(y).$$

(The denominator  $x+y$  in  $\tilde{K}(x, y)$  was cancelled by its occurrence also as a factor in both summands.) Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \log \det (I + K) &= \partial_s \log \det (I + \tilde{K}) \\ &= \text{tr} (I + \tilde{K})^{-1} \left[ r \tilde{E}(x) \tilde{E}(y) + 2\alpha \frac{s^2 xy - 1}{(s^2 x^2 - 1)(s^2 y^2 - 1)} \tilde{E}(x) \tilde{E}(y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(We abused notation here by writing in the bracket the kernel of the operator that is meant.) Now we undo the variable change we made, which means we replace  $x$  by  $x/s$  and  $y$  by  $y/s$  and divide by  $s$  in the expressions for the kernels, and we obtain

$$\partial_s \log \det (I + K) = \text{tr} (I + K)^{-1} \left[ \frac{r}{s} E(x) E(y) + \frac{2\alpha}{s} \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} E(x) E(y) \right].$$

If we had differentiated with respect to  $r$  without making a preliminary variable change we would have obtained

$$\partial_r \log \det (I + K) = \text{tr} (I + K)^{-1} E(x) E(y).$$

Hence we have shown that

$$s \partial_s \log \det (I + K) - r \partial_r \log \det (I + K) = 2\alpha \text{tr} (I + K)^{-1} \left[ \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} E(x) E(y) \right].$$

Replacing  $K$  by  $-K$  and subtracting gives the relation (we use subscript notation for derivatives)

$$r \varphi_r - s \varphi_s = 4\alpha \text{tr} (I - K^2)^{-1} \left[ \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} E(x) E(y) \right]. \quad (3)$$

This is the desired connection between the  $r$  and  $s$  derivatives of  $\varphi$ .

### III.

We want to show that  $\psi(t) = \varphi(-t/2, -t/2)$  satisfies (1), but because of those awkward factors  $-1/2$  we prefer to derive the equivalent equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varphi(t, t) + t^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t, t) = 2 \sinh 2\varphi(t, t) - 4\alpha t^{-1} \sinh \varphi(t, t). \quad (4)$$

We are going to use

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varphi(t, t) = 2\varphi_{rs}(t, t) + \varphi_{rr}(t, t) + \varphi_{ss}(t, t), \quad \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t, t) = \varphi_r(t, t) + \varphi_s(t, t). \quad (5)$$

Now we know that  $\varphi(r, s)$  satisfies the sinh-Gordon equation (2) so let us see what identity we have to derive. Set

$$T = \text{tr} (I - K^2)^{-1} \left[ \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} E(x) E(y) \right].$$

Differentiating (3) with respect to  $r$  and  $s$  gives

$$r \varphi_{rr} + \varphi_r - s \varphi_{rs} = 4\alpha T_r, \quad r \varphi_{rs} - s \varphi_{ss} - \varphi_s = 4\alpha T_s.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -(r + s) \varphi_{rs} + r \varphi_{rr} + s \varphi_{ss} + \varphi_r + \varphi_s &= 4\alpha (T_r - T_s), \\ (r + s) \varphi_{rs} + r \varphi_{rr} + s \varphi_{ss} + \varphi_r + \varphi_s &= 2(r + s) \varphi_{rs} + 4\alpha (T_r - T_s). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $r = s = t$  and using (5) we get

$$t \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varphi(t, t) + \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t, t) = 4t \varphi_{rs}(t, t) + 4\alpha (T_r - T_s)(t, t).$$

Hence, by (2),

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varphi(t, t) + t^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t, t) = 2 \sinh 2\varphi(t, t) + 4\alpha t^{-1} (T_r - T_s)(t, t).$$

It follows that (4) is equivalent to

$$(T_r - T_s)(t, t) = -\sinh \varphi(t, t).$$

#### IV.

The functions

$$E_i(x) = x^i E(x), \quad F_i(x) = \frac{E_i(x)}{x^2 - 1}, \quad Q_i = (I - K^2)^{-1} E_i, \quad P_i = (I - K^2)^{-1} K E_i$$

will arise in the computations leading to this identity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_r - T_s &= \text{tr} (I - K^2)^{-1} \left[ \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} (\partial_r - \partial_s) E(x) E(y) \right] \\ &\quad + \text{tr} (\partial_r - \partial_s) (I - K^2)^{-1} \left[ \frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} E(x) E(y) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now

$$(\partial_r - \partial_s) E(x) E(y) = \frac{1}{2} (x - x^{-1} + y - y^{-1}) E(x) E(y),$$

which gives

$$\frac{xy - 1}{(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)} (\partial_r - \partial_s) E(x) E(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y - x^{-1}}{y^2 - 1} + \frac{x - y^{-1}}{x^2 - 1} \right) E(x) E(y).$$

Hence the first summand in (6) equals

$$(Q_0, F_1) - (Q_{-1}, F_0). \quad (7)$$

Next, using the notation  $a \otimes b$  for the operator with kernel  $a(x) b(y)$ , we have ([3], p. 4)

$$(\partial_r - \partial_s) (I - K^2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (P_0 \otimes Q_0 + Q_0 \otimes P_0 - P_{-1} \otimes Q_{-1} + Q_{-1} \otimes P_{-1}),$$

and it follows that the second summand in (6) equals

$$(Q_0, F_1) (P_0, F_1) - (Q_0, F_0) (P_0, F_0) - (Q_{-1}, F_1) (P_{-1}, F_1) + (Q_{-1}, F_0) (P_{-1}, F_0). \quad (8)$$

We introduce notations for the various inner products:

$$U_{i,j} = (Q_i, F_j), \quad V_{i,j} = (P_i, F_j).$$

These are analogous to the inner products

$$u_{i,j} = (Q_i, E_j), \quad v_{i,j} = (P_i, E_j)$$

which play a crucial role in [3] and will here, also. We have shown that

$$T_r - T_s = U_{0,1} - U_{-1,0} + U_{0,1} U_{0,1} - U_{0,0} V_{0,0} - U_{-1,1} V_{-1,1} + U_{-1,0} V_{-1,0}. \quad (9)$$

There are relations among the various quantities appearing here. If we set

$$u_i = u_{0,i}, \quad v_i = v_{0,i}, \quad U_i = U_{0,i}, \quad V_i = V_{0,i}$$

then we have the recursion formulas

$$\begin{aligned} x Q_i(x) - Q_{i+1}(x) &= v_i Q_0(x) - u_i P_0(x), \\ x P_i(x) + P_{i+1}(x) &= u_i Q_0(x) - v_i P_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

(The first is formula (9) of [3], the second is obtained similarly.) Taking inner products with  $E_j$  gives the formulas

$$u_{i,j+1} - u_{i+1,j} = v_i u_j - u_i v_j, \quad v_{i,j+1} + v_{i+1,j} = u_i u_j - v_i v_j \quad (10)$$

of [3] and taking inner products with  $F_j$  gives the analogous formulas

$$U_{i,j+1} - U_{i+1,j} = v_i U_j - u_i V_j, \quad V_{i,j+1} + V_{i+1,j} = u_i U_j - v_i V_j. \quad (11)$$

Observe the special case  $i = j = -1$  of the second part of (10):

$$u_{-1}^2 + 1 = (1 + v_{-1})^2. \quad (12)$$

(The  $u_{i,j}$  are symmetric in  $i$  and  $j$ .) In fact ([3], p. 8),

$$u_{-1} = \sinh \varphi, \quad 1 + v_{-1} = \cosh \varphi.$$

We see from the above formulas that all the  $U_{i,j}$  and  $V_{i,j}$  may be expressed in terms of the  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  (with coefficients involving the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ ). But notice that  $F_{i+2} - F_i = E_i$  (here we use the form of  $F_i$  for the first time). This gives  $U_{i+2} - U_i = u_i$ ,  $V_{i+2} - V_i = v_i$  and using this also it is clear that everything can be expressed in terms of the four unknown quantities  $U_0$ ,  $V_0$ ,  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  (and the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ ). Using (12) also we compute that (9) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & -v_{-1} U_1 + u_{-1} V_1 + u_{-1} \\ & + U_1 V_1 - U_0 V_0 - ((1 + v_{-1}) U_0 - u_{-1} V_0) (u_{-1} U_0 - (1 + v_{-1}) V_0) \\ & + ((1 + v_{-1}) U_1 - u_{-1} V_1 - u_{-1}) (u_{-1} U_1 - (1 + v_{-1}) V_1 - v_{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now we are going to use, as we did before, the fact that conjugation by the unitary operator  $f(x) \rightarrow x^{-1} f(x^{-1})$  has the effect on  $K$  of interchanging  $r$  and  $s$ . Thus  $K$  is invariant under this conjugation when  $r = s$ . Since  $E_i$  is sent to  $E_{-i-1}$  and  $F_i$  to  $-F_{-i+1}$  we find that when  $r = s$

$$U_0 = -(Q_{-1}, F_{-1}) = -(1 + v_{-1}) U_0 + u_{-1} V_0,$$

$$U_1 = -(Q_{-1}, F_0) = -(1 + v_{-1}) U_{-1} + u_{-1} V_{-1} = -(1 + v_{-1}) (U_1 - u_{-1}) + u_{-1} (V_1 - v_{-1}).$$

From these we deduce that

$$V_0 = \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} U_0, \quad V_1 = \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} U_1 - 1. \quad (14)$$

Using these we find that when  $r = s$  (13) simplifies to

$$2 \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} (U_0^2 - U_1^2).$$

Since  $u_{-1} = \sinh \varphi$  we have reduced the problem to showing that

$$U_1(t, t)^2 - U_0(t, t)^2 = \frac{1}{2} v_{-1}(t, t). \quad (15)$$

## V.

Let us compute  $d/dt$  of both sides of the desired identity. Of course,  $d/dt U_0(t, t) = (\partial_r + \partial_s) U_0(t, t)$ , etc., so we begin by writing down these derivatives. We have

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) E_i = E_{i+1} + E_{i-1}, \quad 2(\partial_r + \partial_s) F_i = F_{i+1} + F_{i-1},$$

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) (I - K^2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(P_0 \otimes Q_0 + Q_0 \otimes P_0 + P_{-1} \otimes Q_{-1} + Q_{-1} \otimes P_{-1}).$$

(For the last, see [3], p. 4.) Using these we compute

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) U_i = 2U_{i+1} + 2u_0 V_i + (1 + u_{-1}^2 + (1 + v_{-1})^2) U_{i-1} - 2u_{-1} (1 + v_{-1})^2 V_{i-1}.$$

Taking  $i = 0$  and  $1$  and using (14) and (12) we find that when  $r = s$

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) U_0 = 2u_0 \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} U_0 - 2v_{-1} U_1,$$

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) U_1 = 2u_0 \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} U_1 - 2v_{-1} U_0.$$

Hence

$$(\partial_r + \partial_s) (U_1^2 - U_0^2) = 2u_0 \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} (U_1^2 - U_0^2). \quad (16)$$

Now we compute in a similar way (cf., [3], p. 5)

$$2(\partial_r + \partial_s) v_i = u_{-1} u_0 + v_{-1} v_0 + v_0 + v_{-1,1} + u_{-1,-1} u_{-1} + v_{-1,-1} v_{-1} + v_{-2} + v_{-1,-1}.$$

Again applying the operator  $f(x) \rightarrow x^{-1} f(x^{-1})$  we find that when  $r = s$  we have

$$u_{-1,-1} = u_0, \quad v_{-1,-1} = v_0, \quad v_{-2} = v_{-1,1},$$

so the above is

$$2(u_{-1} u_0 + v_{-1} v_0 + v_0 + v_{-1,1}).$$

Applying the second part of (10) with  $i = -1$ ,  $j = 0$  gives  $v_0 + v_{-1,1} = u_{-1} u_0 - v_{-1} v_0$ , and so we have shown that when  $r = s$

$$(\partial_r + \partial_s) v_{-1} = 2u_{-1} u_0 = 2u_0 \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} v_{-1}.$$

This relation and (16) show that  $U_1(t, t)^2 - U_0(t, t)^2$  and  $v_{-1}(t, t)$  are equal up to a constant factor, and to deduce (15) it remains only to compute this factor. We do this by determining the asymptotics of both quantities as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . For convenience we evaluate everything at  $r = s = -t$  and let  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We have

$$v_{-1} = \left( (I - K^2)^{-1} K E, E_{-1} \right).$$

If we were to replace  $(I - K^2)^{-1}$  by  $I$  we would be left with

$$(KE, E_{-1}) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{E(x)^2 E(y)^2}{x+y} y^{-1} dy dx \sim \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty E(x)^2 dx \right)^2$$

since the main contributions to the integrals come from neighborhoods of  $x = y = 1$ . It is an easy exercise to show that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t(x+x^{-1})} \left| \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right|^{2\alpha} dx \sim \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{-2\alpha} t^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2t}, \quad (17)$$

and so

$$(KE, E_{-1}) \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 2^{-4\alpha-1} t^{-2\alpha-1} e^{-4t}.$$

The error caused by our replacement of  $(I - K^2)^{-1}$  by  $I$  is of smaller order of magnitude. This follows from the fact that the square of the  $L^2$  norm of  $E$  is  $O(t^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2t})$ , as shown above, and hence so is the operator norm of  $K$ . Thus the error, which equals  $((I - K^2)^{-1} K^3 E, E_{-1})$ , is  $O(t^{-4\alpha-2} e^{-8t})$ . Therefore we have shown

$$v_{-1} \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 2^{-4\alpha-1} t^{-2\alpha-1} e^{-4t}.$$

Next,

$$U_1 - U_0 = \left( (I - K^2)^{-1} E, (x+1)^{-1} E \right), \quad U_1 + U_0 = \left( (I - K^2)^{-1} E, (x-1)^{-1} E \right)$$

and  $U_1^2 - U_0^2$  is the product of these. As before, replacing  $(I - K^2)^{-1}$  by  $I$  in each factor will not affect the first-order asymptotics of the product. After this replacement the first inner product becomes  $\lambda/2$  times the integral in (17) but with an extra factor  $x+1$  in the denominator. Thus

$$U_1 - U_0 \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{-2\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2t}.$$

After the replacement the second inner product becomes  $\lambda/2$  times

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t(x+x^{-1})} \left| \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right|^{2\alpha} \frac{dx}{x-1}.$$

This is a little trickier since when we make the variable change  $x = 1 + y$  to compute the asymptotics we must use the second-order approximations  $x + x^{-1} \rightarrow 2 + y^2 - y^3$  and  $(x+1)^{-2\alpha} \rightarrow 2^{-2\alpha}(1 - \alpha y)$ . But it is still straightforward and we find that

$$U_1 + U_0 \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{-2\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2t}.$$

Thus

$$U_1^2 - U_0^2 \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 2^{-4\alpha-2} t^{-2\alpha-1} e^{-4t} \sim \frac{1}{2} v_{-1}.$$

We knew that  $(U_1^2 - U_0^2)/v_{-1}$  is a constant and now we see that the constant equals  $1/2$ . This establishes (15) and concludes the proof.



## References

- [1] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *Differential equations for sine-Gordon correlation functions at the free fermion point*, Nucl. Phys. **B426** [FS] (1994), 534–558.
- [2] B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy and T. T. Wu, *Painlevé functions of the third kind*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 1058–1092.
- [3] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Fredholm determinants and the mKdV/sinh-Gordon hierarchies*, Commun. Math. Phys., **179** (1996) 1–10.