

# Binary Nonlinearization of AKNS Spectral Problem under Higher-Order Symmetry Constraints

Yishen Li<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

*Department of Mathematics and Center of Nonlinear Science,*

*University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

Wen-Xiu Ma<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

## Abstract

Binary nonlinearization of AKNS spectral problem is extended to the cases of higher-order symmetry constraints. The Hamiltonian structures, Lax representations,  $r$ -matrices and integrals of motion in involution are explicitly proposed for the resulting constrained systems in the cases of the first four orders. The obtained integrals of motion are proved to be functionally independent and thus the constrained systems are completely integrable in the Liouville sense.

## 1 Introduction

Symmetry constraints have been applied to constructing finite-dimensional integrable systems from Lax pairs of soliton equations. It has aroused an increasing interest among scientific researchers in the field of soliton theory in the past several years. A large class of finite-dimensional integrable systems had been obtained indeed from soliton hierarchies by imposing symmetry constraints<sup>[1]–[6]</sup>. There is also a  $k$ -constraint KP theory which uses symmetry constraints to extend the standard KP theory<sup>[7]–[10]</sup>. Nonlinearization method<sup>[1]</sup> utilizes a kind of special symmetry constraints for  $1+1$  dimensional soliton equations. In refs.<sup>[11]–[14]</sup>, one of the authors suggested binary nonlinearization method to get finite-dimensional integrable system from Lax pairs of  $1+1$  dimensional integrable systems. This method has been successfully applied to a few of famous soliton hierarchies and it has to be adopted in making nonlinearization when Lax pairs have odd-order matrix representations<sup>[14]</sup>.

However, what has been usually considered in binary nonlinearization is the Bargmann symmetry constraints (explicit constraints). In this paper, we would like to extend binary nonlinearization method to the cases of higher-order symmetry constraints (implicit constraints). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the Hamiltonian structures are constructed for the resulting constrained systems. Main analysis is to describe how to introduce new dependent variables to put the constrained systems to be Hamiltonian. In Section 3, the same  $r$ -matrices as those in mono-nonlinearization are proved to be valid for the constrained systems in binary nonlinearization after presenting Lax pairs. In Section 4, the obtained integrals of motion are shown to be in involution in pairs and functionally independent. It follows that all those finite-dimensional dynamical systems are Liouville integrable. A conclusion and some remarks are given in the final section.

## 2 The Hamiltonian structures

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the potentials  $v, w$  and all their derivatives with respect to  $x$  tend to zero when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Email: ysli@nsc.ustc.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup>Email: mawx@math.cityu.edu.hk

Following the notation in the reference<sup>[11]</sup>, we consider the spectral problem

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1x} = -\lambda\phi_1 + v\phi_2, \\ \phi_{2x} = w\phi_1 + \lambda\phi_2, \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e. } \phi_x = U\phi, \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = U(u, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & v \\ w & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

and its adjoint spectral problem

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{1x} = \lambda\psi_1 - w\psi_2, \\ \psi_{2x} = -v\psi_1 - \lambda\psi_2, \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e. } \psi_x = -U^T\psi, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

for the AKNS soliton hierarchy. Assume that  $V_x = [U, V]$  has a formal solution

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & -a_i \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-i},$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i \geq 0$ , are determined uniquely by

$$a_{ix} = qc_i - rb_i, \quad b_{ix} = -2b_{i+1} - 2qa_i, \quad c_{ix} = 2c_{i+1} + 2ra_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

and

$$a_0 = -1, \quad b_0 = c_0 = 0; \quad a_i|_{u=0} = b_i|_{u=0} = c_i|_{u=0} = 0, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Upon making the Bargmann constraint, as in ref.<sup>[11]</sup>,

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_2(x, \lambda_i) \phi_1(x, \lambda_i) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_1(x, \lambda_i) \phi_2(x, \lambda_i) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where we use  $\phi_j, \psi_j, j = 1, 2$ , to denote the following vector valued functions

$$\phi_j = (\phi_j(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \phi_j(x, \lambda_N))^T, \quad \psi_j = (\psi_j(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \psi_j(x, \lambda_N))^T, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

we obtain from (2.1) and (2.2) the following Hamiltonian system

$$P_x = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}, \quad Q_x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \quad (2.4)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle, \quad A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N), \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad (i \neq j), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (\phi_1(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \phi_1(x, \lambda_N), \phi_2(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \phi_2(x, \lambda_N))^T, \\ P &= (\psi_1(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \psi_1(x, \lambda_N), \psi_2(x, \lambda_1), \dots, \psi_2(x, \lambda_N))^T. \end{aligned}$$

We will focus on the higher-order symmetry constraint

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} := \frac{\delta H_{n-1}}{\delta u} = \beta_0 \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{n-1} = \frac{2}{n} a_{n+1}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\beta_0$  is a constant to be determined and  $n$  is a positive integer. If  $n$  is odd, we can introduce the Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates to put the constrained system consisting of (2.1) and (2.2) with all  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  and (2.6) to be Hamiltonian. For example, in the case of  $n = 3$  we can introduce new dependent variables

$$\phi_{N+1} = v, \quad \phi_{N+2} = w, \quad \psi_{N+1} = -\frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+2,x}, \quad \psi_{N+2} = -\frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1,x}. \quad (2.7)$$

The constraint (2.6) with  $\beta_0 = 1$  becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_3 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} w_{xx} - 2vw^2 \\ v_{xx} - 2v^2w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\phi_{N+2,x} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+2}^2 \\ -\phi_{N+2,x} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}^2\phi_{N+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

We take the Hamiltonian  $H$  for the constrained system as follows

$$H = \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+2} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2\phi_{N+2}^2 - 4\psi_{N+1}\psi_{N+2},$$

and thus the constrained system can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1i,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{1i}} = -\lambda_i \psi_{1i} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{2i}, & \phi_{2i,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{2i}} = A\phi_{2i} + \phi_{N+2} \phi_{1i}, \\ \phi_{N+1,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} = -4\psi_{N+2}, & \phi_{N+2,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{N+2}} = -4\psi_{N+1}, \\ \psi_{1i,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{1i}} = \lambda_i \psi_{1i} - \phi_{N+2} \psi_{2i}, & \psi_{2i,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{2i}} = -\lambda_i \psi_{2i} - \phi_{N+1} \psi_{1i}, \\ \psi_{N+1,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{N+1}} = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}^2\phi_{N+2}, & \psi_{N+2,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{N+2}} = -\langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}^2\phi_{N+2}, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\phi_{ji} = \phi_j(x, \lambda_i)$ ,  $\psi_{ji} = \psi_j(x, \lambda_i)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

In general, when  $n = 2k + 1$ , the symmetry constraint (2.6) with  $\beta_0 = 1$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{2k+1} \\ b_{2k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta H_{2k}}{\delta u} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Introduce the following Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates<sup>[3]</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{N-1+2i} &= \frac{d^{i-1}v}{dx^{i-1}}, & \phi_{N+2i} &= \frac{d^{i-1}w}{dx^{i-1}}, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ \psi_{N-1+2i} &= \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta v^{(i)}}, & \psi_{N+2i} &= \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta w^{(i)}}, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v^{(i)} = \frac{d^i v}{dx^i}, \quad w^{(i)} = \frac{d^i w}{dx^i}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta v^{(i)}} = \sum_{l \geq 0} (-D)^l \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(i+l)}}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta w^{(i)}} = \sum_{l \geq 0} (-D)^l \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(i+l)}}, \quad D = \frac{d}{dx}, \quad i \geq 0,$$

and denote the dependent variables by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{1N}, \phi_{21}, \dots, \phi_{2N}, \phi_{N+1}, \dots, \phi_{N+2k})^T, \\ P &= (\psi_{11}, \dots, \psi_{1N}, \psi_{21}, \dots, \psi_{2N}, \psi_{N+1}, \dots, \psi_{N+2k})^T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The constrained system consisting of (2.1) and (2.2) with all  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  and (2.10) becomes

$$P_x = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}_{2k+1}}{\partial Q}, \quad Q_x = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_{2k+1}}{\partial P} \quad (2.12)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_{2k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \phi_{N+i,x} \psi_{N+i} - H_{2k} + \hat{H}_{2k+1},$$

where the third term is given by

$$\hat{H}_{2k+1} = \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+2} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

When  $\phi_{1i}, \psi_{1i}, \phi_{2i}, \psi_{2i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) satisfy (2.1) and (2.2) with  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ , we have (see (3.18) in ref.<sup>[11]</sup>)

$$b_l = \langle A^{l-2k-1}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, c_l = \langle A^{l-2k-1}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle, a_l = \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^{l-2k-1}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{l-2k-1}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \quad (2.14)$$

which are used in generating integrals of motion. Therefore all the constrained systems possess the Hamiltonian structures.

When  $n$  is even, the regular condition for introducing the Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates can not be satisfied. Nevertheless we still can introduce new dependent variables but not the Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates, to put the constrained system to be Hamiltonian. For example, in the case of  $n = 2$ , since  $b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}v_x, c_2 = \frac{1}{2}w_x$ , the constraint (2.6) with  $\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$  reads as

$$v_x = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \quad w_x = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

Now we do the trick by introducing new dependent variables

$$\phi_{N+1} = v, \quad \psi_{N+1} = w.$$

Two vectors of all dependent variables are given by

$$Q = (\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{1N}, \phi_{21}, \dots, \phi_{2N}, \phi_{N+1})^T, \quad P = (\psi_{11}, \dots, \psi_{1N}, \psi_{21}, \dots, \psi_{2N}, \psi_{N+1})^T.$$

It is easy to verify that the constrained system can be transformed into the following Hamiltonian form

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1i,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{1i}} = -\lambda_i \psi_{1i} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{2i}, & \phi_{2i,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{2i}} = \lambda_i \phi_{2i} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{1i}, & \phi_{N+1,x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \\ \psi_{1i,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{1i}} = \lambda_i \psi_{1i} - \phi_{N+1} \psi_{2i}, & \psi_{2i,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{2i}} = -\lambda_i \psi_{2i} - \phi_{N+1} \psi_{1i}, & \psi_{N+1,x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{N+1}} = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \psi_{N+1} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle.$$

In the case of  $n = 4$ , since  $b_4 = \frac{1}{8}(-v_{xxx} + 6vv_x w), c_4 = \frac{1}{8}(w_{xxx} - 6vww_x)$ , the constraint (2.6) with  $\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$  reads as

$$\frac{1}{4}(v_{xxx} - 6vww_x) = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad \frac{1}{4}(w_{xxx} - 6vww_x) = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle. \quad (2.17)$$

Introducing new dependent variables

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{N+1} = v, & \phi_{N+2} = -\frac{1}{2}v_x, & \phi_{N+3} = \frac{1}{4}v_{xx} - \frac{3}{8}v^2 w, \\ \psi_{N+1} = \frac{1}{4}w_{xx} - \frac{3}{8}vw^2, & \psi_{N+2} = \frac{1}{2}w_x, & \psi_{N+3} = w, \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

and two vectors of all dependent variables

$$Q = (\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{1N}, \phi_{21}, \dots, \phi_{2N}, \phi_{N+1}, \phi_{N+2}, \phi_{N+3})^T, \quad P = (\psi_{11}, \dots, \psi_{1N}, \psi_{21}, \dots, \psi_{2N}, \psi_{N+1}, \psi_{N+2}, \psi_{N+3})^T,$$

the corresponding constrained system becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_{1i,x} = -\lambda_i \psi_{1i} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{2i}, \\ \phi_{2i,x} = \lambda_i \phi_{2i} + \phi_{N+1} \phi_{1i}, \\ \phi_{N+1,x} = -2\phi_{N+2}, \\ \phi_{N+2,x} = -2\psi_{N+3} - \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2 \psi_{N+3}, \\ \phi_{N+3,x} = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2 \psi_{N+2} - \frac{3}{2}\phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+2} \psi_{N+3}, \\ \psi_{1i,x} = \lambda_i \psi_{1i} - \phi_{N+3} \psi_{2i}, \\ \psi_{2i,x} = -\lambda_i \psi_{2i} - \phi_{N+1} \psi_{1i}, \\ \psi_{N+1,x} = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \frac{3}{2}\phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+2} \phi_{N+3} + \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+2} \psi_{N+3}^2, \\ \psi_{N+2,x} = \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+1} \psi_{N+3}^2 + \psi_{N+1}, \\ \psi_{N+3,x} = 2\psi_{N+2}, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.19)$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . We can find that the Hamiltonian for this system (2.19), which can be selected as follows

$$H = \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \psi_{N+1} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - 2\phi_{N+2} \psi_{N+1} - 2\phi_{N+3} \psi_{N+2} - \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2 \psi_{N+2} \psi_{N+3} - \frac{3}{4}\phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+2} \psi_{N+3}^2. \quad (2.20)$$

We note that when  $n = 2$  or  $4$ , the new dependent variables are some kind of combinations of  $b_1, c_1$  or  $b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1, c_2, c_3$ . In general, for the case of  $n = 2k$ , new dependent variables may similarly be taken as combinations of  $b_1, \dots, b_{2k-1}; c_1, \dots, c_{2k-1}$ . However we do not have any concrete expression for such new dependent variables. How to introduce them still needs further investigation.

### 3 Lax representations and $r$ -matrices

For the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_{1jx} = -\lambda_j \phi_{1j} + v \phi_{2j}, \\ \phi_{2jx} = w \phi_{1j} + \lambda_j \phi_{2j}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi_{1jx} = \lambda_j \psi_{1j} - w \psi_{2j}, \\ \psi_{2jx} = -v \psi_{1j} - \lambda_j \psi_{2j}, \end{array} \right. \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (3.1)$$

we take the symmetry constraint

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_n \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \beta_0 \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (3.2)$$

where the  $\beta_0$  is a special constant for each case of  $n$ . Assume that

$$N^{(n)} = V^{(n)} + N_0 := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^{(n)} = (\lambda^{n-1}V)_+, \quad N_0 = \beta_0 \sum_{j=1}^N \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & -\tilde{a}_j \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_j = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{1j} \phi_{1j} - \psi_{2j} \phi_{2j}), \quad \tilde{b}_j = \psi_{2j} \phi_{1j}, \quad \tilde{c}_j = \psi_{1j} \phi_{2j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

At this moment, we have

$$N_x^{(n)} = [U, N^{(n)}], \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & v \\ w & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

The reasons are the following. We first have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_x^{(n)} &= V_x^{(n)} + N_{0x} = V_x^{(n)} + \beta_0 \sum_{j=1}^N \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{jx} & \tilde{b}_{jx} \\ \tilde{c}_{jx} & -\tilde{a}_{jx} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j}, \\
[U, N^{(n)}] &= [U, V^{(n)}] + \beta_0 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} w \tilde{c}_j - v \tilde{b}_j & -2 \tilde{b}_j \lambda - 2w \tilde{a}_j \\ 2v \tilde{a}_j + 2\lambda \tilde{c}_j & -w \tilde{c}_j + v \tilde{b}_j \end{pmatrix} \\
&= [U, V^{(n)}] + \beta_0 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} w \tilde{c}_j - v \tilde{b}_j & -2 \tilde{b}_j \lambda_j - 2w \tilde{a}_j \\ 2v \tilde{a}_j + 2\lambda_j \tilde{c}_j & -w \tilde{c}_j + v \tilde{b}_j \end{pmatrix} + \beta_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\ 2 \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then taking the residue of (3.4) at  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ , we get the equations

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1j}(\psi_{2jx} + \lambda_j \psi_{2j} + v \psi_{1j}) + \psi_{2j}(\phi_{1jx} + \lambda_j \phi_{1j} - v \psi_{2j}) = 0, \\ \phi_{2j}(\psi_{1jx} - \lambda_j \psi_{1j} + w \psi_{2j}) + \psi_{1j}(\phi_{2jx} - \lambda_j \phi_{2j} - w \psi_{1j}) = 0, \\ -\phi_{2j}(\psi_{2jx} + \lambda_j \psi_{2j} + v \psi_{2j}) + \psi_{1j}(\phi_{1jx} + \lambda_j \phi_{1j} - v \psi_{2j}) = 0, \\ \phi_{1j}(\psi_{1jx} - \lambda_j \psi_{1j} + w \psi_{2j}) - \psi_{2j}(\phi_{2jx} - \lambda_j \phi_{2j} - w \psi_{1j}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which are satisfied if (3.1) holds. The remaining relation

$$V_x^{(n)} = [U, V^{(n)}] + \beta_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\ 2 \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is nothing but the constraint (3.2). Therefore the equation (3.4) holds if the system (3.1) holds together with the symmetry constraint (3.2). This means that  $N^{(n)}$  and  $U$  constitutes a Lax pair for the constrained system consisting of (3.1) and (3.2).

Lax operators  $N^{(n)}$  can be represented explicitly. For example, in the case of  $n = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} A(\lambda) = -1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{1j} \phi_{1j} - \psi_{2j} \phi_{2j}) := -1 + A_0, \\ B(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \psi_{2j} \phi_{1j} := B_0, \\ C(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \psi_{1j} \phi_{2j} := C_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The Poisson bracket in this case is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_{ij}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \phi_{ij}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_{ij}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_{ij}} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

as defined in ref.<sup>[11]</sup>. For each case of  $n$ , we use the standard Poisson bracket like the above.

Now turn to constructing  $r$ -matrices<sup>[15]</sup>. A direct calculation, similar to refs.<sup>[16],[17]</sup>, gives rise to

$$\begin{cases} \{A(\lambda), A(\mu)\} = \{B(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = \{C(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = 0, \\ \{A(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \mu} (B(\mu) - B(\lambda)), \\ \{A(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \mu} (C(\lambda) - C(\mu)), \\ \{B(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = \frac{2\beta_0}{\lambda - \mu} (A(\mu) - A(\lambda)), \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

for  $A(\lambda), B(\lambda), C(\lambda)$  defined by (3.5). Setting

$$M(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & -A(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = M \otimes I, \quad M_2 = I \otimes M, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

we have

$$\{M_1(\lambda), M_2(\mu)\} = \begin{pmatrix} \{A(\lambda), A(\mu)\} & \{A(\lambda), B(\mu)\} & \{B(\lambda), A(\mu)\} & \{B(\lambda), B(\mu)\} \\ \{A(\lambda), C(\mu)\} & \{A(\lambda), -A(\mu)\} & \{B(\lambda), C(\mu)\} & \{B(\lambda), -A(\mu)\} \\ \{C(\lambda), A(\mu)\} & \{C(\lambda), B(\mu)\} & \{-A(\lambda), A(\mu)\} & \{-A(\lambda), B(\mu)\} \\ \{C(\lambda), C(\mu)\} & \{C(\lambda), -A(\mu)\} & \{-A(\lambda), -A(\mu)\} & \{-A(\lambda), -A(\mu)\} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$M_1(\lambda) + M_2(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) + A(\mu) & B(\mu) & B(\lambda) & 0 \\ C(\mu) & A(\lambda) - A(\mu) & 0 & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & 0 & -A(\lambda) + A(\mu) & B(\mu) \\ 0 & C(\lambda) & C(\mu) & -A(\lambda) - A(\mu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$[P, M_1(\lambda) + M_2(\mu)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(\mu) - B(\lambda) & B(\lambda) - B(\mu) & 0 \\ C(\lambda) - C(\mu) & 0 & 2(-A(\lambda) + A(\mu)) & B(\mu) - B(\lambda) \\ C(\mu) - C(\lambda) & 2(A(\lambda) - A(\mu)) & 0 & B(\lambda) - B(\mu) \\ 0 & C(\lambda) - C(\mu) & C(\mu) - C(\lambda) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Comparing (3.9) with (3.11) and using (3.7), we obtain the classical Poisson structure<sup>[15]</sup>

$$\{M_1(\lambda), M_2(\mu)\} = [R(\lambda, \mu), M_1(\lambda) + M_2(\mu)] \quad (3.12)$$

with the following  $r$ -matrix

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda - \mu} P. \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from (3.12) that<sup>[16]–[18]</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \{M_1^2(\lambda), M_2^2(\mu)\} &= [R_1(\lambda, \mu), M_1(\lambda) + M_2(\mu)], \\ R_1(\lambda, \mu) &= \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 M_1(\lambda)^{1-i} M_2(\mu)^{1-j} R(\lambda, \mu) M_1^i(\lambda) M_2^j(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

This equality implies the relation

$$\{\text{Tr} M^2(\lambda), \text{Tr} M^2(\mu)\} = 0.$$

Therefore the integrals of motion generated from  $\text{Tr} M^2$  are in involution in pairs.

Let us now show more examples. For  $n = 2$ , we have

$$A(\lambda) = -\lambda + A_0, \quad B(\lambda) = \phi_{N+1} + B_0, \quad C(\lambda) = \psi_{N+1} + C_0. \quad (3.15)$$

For  $n = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} A(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+2} + A_0, \\ B(\lambda) = \phi_{N+1}\lambda + 2\psi_{N+2} + B_0, \\ C(\lambda) = \phi_{N+2}\lambda - 2\psi_{N+1} + C_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

For  $n = 4$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} A(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+2} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{N+3}\phi_{N+2} + A_0, \\ B(\lambda) = \phi_{N+1}\lambda^2 + \phi_{N+2}\lambda + \phi_{N+3} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+3} + B_0, \\ C(\lambda) = \phi_{N+3}\lambda^2 + \psi_{N+2}\lambda + \psi_{N+1} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}^2 + C_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

In the case of  $n = 3$ , the commutator relation (3.7) is established only for  $\beta_0 = 1$ . In the cases of  $n = 2$  and  $n = 4$ , the commutator relation (3.7) is established only for  $\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ . All above result for  $n = 1$  can be extended to the cases of  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . Therefore all corresponding constrained systems have the  $r$ -matrices determined by (3.13).

## 4 Integrals of motion and Liouville integrability

First of all, we point out that if  $\phi_{ij}, \psi_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N$ ), are solutions of (3.1) with  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ , then a direct calculation yields<sup>[11],[14]</sup> that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{F}_i = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_j = \psi_{1j}\phi_{1j} + \psi_{2j}\phi_{2j}. \quad (4.1)$$

From the relation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m+(n-1)} \lambda^{-m+2(n-1)} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \text{Tr}(N^{(n)})^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & -A(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}^2 = 0,$$

which may be resulted directly from the Lax representation  $N_x^{(n)} = [U, N^{(n)}]$ , we can get another set of integrals of motion  $\{F_m\}_n^\infty$  for each case of  $n$ . Now we list them as follows.

i)  $n = 1$ . The corresponding constraint is  $v = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle$ ,  $w = \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$ , and the integrals of motion  $F_n$  has been found in ref.<sup>[10]</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle, \\ F_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i a_{n-i} + b_i c_{n-i}) - 2a_n, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_l = \frac{1}{2} (\langle A^{l-1} \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{l-1} \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \quad b_l = \langle A^{l-1} \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad c_l = \langle A^{l-1} \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle.$$

By using the idea in Refs.[13] [14], the required  $2N$  integrals of motion for Liouville integrability of the constrained system in the case of  $n = 1$  can be chosen as

$$\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots, \tilde{F}_N, F_1, \dots, F_N. \quad (4.2)$$

ii)  $n = 2$ . The corresponding constraint is  $v_x = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle$ ,  $w_x = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$ , and similarly the required  $2N + 1$  integrals of motion can be chosen as

$$\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots, \tilde{F}_N, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_{N+2}, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) + \phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+1}, \\
F_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\psi_{N+1}}{2} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad H = -\frac{1}{2}F_3, \\
F_n &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^{n-2}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{n-2}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2} \langle A^{n-3}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\psi_{N+1}}{2} \langle A^{n-3}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{l+k=n, k, l \geq 2} (a_l a_k + b_l c_k), \quad n \geq 4, \\
a_l &= -\frac{1}{4}(\langle A^{l-2}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{l-2}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \quad b_l = -\frac{1}{2} \langle A^{l-2}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad c_l = -\frac{1}{2} \langle A^{l-2}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

iii)  $n = 3$ . The corresponding constraint is  $\frac{1}{4}(v_{xx} - 2v^2w) = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}(w_{xx} - 2vw^2) = \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$ . The required  $2N + 2$  integrals of motion can be chosen as

$$\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots, \tilde{F}_N, F_3, F_4, \dots, F_{N+4}, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3 &= -\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle + 2\psi_{N+2}\phi_{N+2} - 2\psi_{N+1}\phi_{N+1}, \\
F_4 &= -\langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+2} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2\phi_{N+2}^2 - 4\psi_{N+1}\psi_{N+2} = H, \\
F_5 &= -\langle A^2\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \langle A^2\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle A\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+2} \langle A\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\psi_{N+2} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - 2\psi_{N+1} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+2}(\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \\
F_n &= -\langle A^{n-3}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle + \langle A^{n-3}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+1} \langle A^{n-4}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle + \phi_{N+2} \langle A^{n-4}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\psi_{N+2} \langle A^{n-5}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - 2\psi_{N+1} \langle A^{n-5}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+2}(\langle A^{n-5}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle A^{n-5}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) + \sum_{l+k=n, k, l \geq 3} (a_l a_k + b_l c_k), \quad n \geq 6, \\
a_l &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^{l-3}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{l-3}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \quad b_l = \langle A^{l-3}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad c_l = \langle A^{l-3}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

iii)  $n = 4$ . The corresponding constraint is  $\frac{1}{4}(v_{xxx} - 6vww_x) = \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}(w_{xxx} - 6vww_x) = -\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$ . The required  $2N + 3$  integrals of motion may be chosen as

$$\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots, \tilde{F}_N, F_4, F_5, F_6, \dots, F_{N+6}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) + \frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+3}^2 + \phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+1} + \phi_{N+3}\psi_{N+3} + \phi_{N+2}\psi_{N+2}, \\
F_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2}\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\phi_{N+3}}{2}\langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \phi_{N+2}\psi_{N+1} \\
&\quad + \phi_{N+3}\psi_{N+2} + \frac{3}{8}\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+2}\psi_{N+3}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+2}\psi_{N+3}, \quad H = -\frac{1}{2}F_5, \\
F_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^2\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^2\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}}{4}(\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2}\langle A\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{\psi_{N+3}}{2}\langle A\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - \frac{\phi_{N+2}}{2}\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\psi_{N+2}}{2}\langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}(\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+2}^2 + \phi_{N+2}^2\psi_{N+3}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+2}\psi_{N+3}\phi_{N+2}) + \phi_{N+3}\psi_{N+1} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+3}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}^2 - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3} + \frac{1}{64}\phi_{N+1}^3\psi_{N+3}^3, \\
F_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^3\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^3\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}(\langle A\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}(\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+1} + \psi_{N+3}\psi_{N+2})(\langle \psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2}\langle A^2\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{\psi_{N+3}}{2}\langle A^2\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - \frac{\phi_{N+2}}{2}\langle A\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\psi_{N+2}}{2}\langle A\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{N+3} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+3})\langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{N+1} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}^2)\langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \\
F_n &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^{n-4}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{n-4}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{1}{4}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}(\langle A^{n-6}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{n-6}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}(\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+1} + \psi_{N+3}\psi_{N+2})(\langle A^{n-7}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{n-7}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) - \frac{\phi_{N+1}}{2}\langle A^{n-5}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{\psi_{N+3}}{2}\langle A^{n-5}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle - \frac{\phi_{N+2}}{2}\langle A^{n-6}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{\psi_{N+2}}{2}\langle A^{n-6}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{N+3} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}^2\psi_{N+3})\langle A^{n-7}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{N+1} - \frac{1}{8}\phi_{N+1}\psi_{N+3}^2)\langle A^{n-7}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{l+k=n, k, l \geq 4} (a_l a_k + b_l c_k), \quad n \geq 8, \\
a_l &= -\frac{1}{4}(\langle A^{l-4}\psi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{l-4}\psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle), \quad b_l = -\frac{1}{2}\langle A^{l-4}\psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle, \quad c_l = -\frac{1}{2}\langle A^{l-4}\psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The involution property  $\{\tilde{F}_i, \tilde{F}_j\} = \{\tilde{F}_i, F_j\} = 0$  can be easily checked and the involution property  $\{F_i, F_j\} = 0$  is a direct conclusion from the  $r$ -matrices in §3. Now the remaining problem for Liouville integrability is to prove that all the required integrals of motion are functionally independent. This can be achieved, indeed. The following analysis aims to providing a mathematical proof for it.

i) The case of  $n = 1$ . Assume that the result on the functional independence is not true. Then there exist  $2N$  integrals  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$ , satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j^2 \neq 0$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \tilde{F}_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j F_j = 0.$$

Since  $\frac{\partial \tilde{F}_i}{\partial \phi_{il}} = \psi_{ij} \delta_{jl}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \phi_{1l}}|_{\phi_1=\phi_2=0} = -\lambda_l^{n-1} \psi_{1l}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \phi_{2l}} = \lambda_l^{n-1} \psi_{2l}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= \det \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \phi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \phi_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \phi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial \phi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \phi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \phi_2} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \phi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial \phi_2} \end{array} \right)_{\phi_1=\phi_2=0} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11} & 0 & -\psi_{11} & \cdots & -\lambda_1^{N-1} \psi_{11} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & -\psi_{1N} & \cdots & -\lambda_N^{N-1} \psi_{1N} \\ \psi_{21} & 0 & \psi_{21} & \cdots & \lambda_1^{N-1} \psi_{21} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & \psi_{2N} & \cdots & \lambda_N^{N-1} \psi_{2N} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2^N \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{ij} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_N & \cdots & \lambda_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that all  $\alpha_i, \beta_j$  must be zero. Therefore the functions  $\tilde{F}_k, F_k (1 \leq k \leq N)$  can be functionally independent at least on certain region of  $R^{2N}$ .

ii) The case of  $n = 2$ . We need to show that the required  $2N + 1$  integrals of motion are functionally independent. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_{1l}} &= \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1l}, \quad \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_{2l}} = -\frac{1}{2} \psi_{2l}, \\ \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \phi_{1l}}|_{\phi_1=\phi_2=\phi_{N+1}=0} &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_l^{n-2} \psi_{1l} - \lambda_l^{n-3} \psi_{N+1} \psi_{2l}) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_l^{n-3} \psi_{1l,x}, \quad n \geq 3, \\ \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \phi_{2l}}|_{\phi_1=\phi_2=\phi_{N+1}=0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_l^{n-2} \psi_{2l}, \quad n \geq 3, \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_{N+1}}|_{\phi_1=\phi_2=\phi_{N+1}=0} &= \psi_{N+1}, \quad \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \phi_{N+1}}|_{\phi_1=\phi_2=\phi_{N+1}=0} = 0, \quad n \geq 3, \end{aligned}$$

we can make the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &:= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+2}}{\partial \phi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+2}}{\partial \phi_2} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{N+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{N+1}} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \phi_{N+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+2}}{\partial \phi_{N+1}} \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_1=\phi_2=\cdots=\phi_{N+1}=0} \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{11,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N-1}\psi_{11,x} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1N,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^{N-1}\psi_{1N,x} \\ \psi_{21} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\psi_{21} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\psi_{21} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^N\psi_{21} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N\psi_{2N} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^N\psi_{2N} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \psi_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^N \psi_{N+1} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{11} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{11,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N-1}\psi_{11,x} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1N,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N-1}\psi_{1N,x} \\ \psi_{21} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\psi_{21} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^N\psi_{21} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N\psi_{2N} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^N\psi_{2N} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \psi_{N+1} \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{2j} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\psi_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{11,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^N\psi_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N-1}\psi_{11,x} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N\psi_{1N} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1N,x} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^N\psi_{1N} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^{N-1}\psi_{1N,x} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \psi_{N+1} \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{2j} (\lambda_j \psi_{1j} + \psi_{1j,x}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_N & \cdots & \lambda_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the required integrals of motion are functionally independent at least on one region.

iii) The case of  $n = 3$ . The required  $2N + 2$  integrals of motion are functionally independent, because we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &:= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \phi_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{N+4}}{\partial \phi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \phi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{N+4}}{\partial \phi_2} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{N+4}}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \phi_{N+2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \phi_{N+2}} & \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \phi_{N+2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{N+4}}{\partial \phi_{N+2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0 \\ \phi_{N+1} = \phi_{N+2} = \psi_{N+1} = 0 \end{matrix} \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11} & 0 & -\psi_{11} & -\lambda_1 \psi_{11} & -\lambda_1^2 \psi_{11} & \dots & -\lambda_1^{N+1} \psi_{11} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & -\psi_{1N} & -\lambda_N \psi_{1N} & -\lambda_N^2 \psi_{1N} & \dots & -\lambda_N^{N+1} \psi_{1N} \\ \psi_{21} & 0 & \psi_{21} & \lambda_1 \psi_{21} & \lambda_1^2 \psi_{21} + 2\psi_{N+2} \psi_{11} & \dots & \lambda_1^{N+1} \psi_{21} + 2\lambda_1^{N-1} \psi_{N+2} \psi_{11} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & \psi_{2N} & \lambda_N \psi_{2N} & \lambda_N^2 \psi_{2N} + 2\psi_{N+2} \psi_{1N} & \dots & \lambda_N^{N+1} \psi_{2N} + 2\lambda_N^{N-1} \psi_{N+2} \psi_{1N} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -4\psi_{N+2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 2\psi_{N+2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 8\psi_{N+2}^2 \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{11} & \dots & 0 & -\lambda_1^2 \psi_{11} & \dots & -\lambda_1^{N+1} \psi_{11} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \dots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & -\lambda_N^2 \psi_{1N} & \dots & -\lambda_N^{N+1} \psi_{1N} & \\ \psi_{21} & \dots & 0 & \lambda_1^2 \psi_{21} + 2\psi_{N+2} \psi_{11} & \dots & \lambda_1^{N+1} \psi_{21} + 2\lambda_1^{N-1} \psi_{N+2} \psi_{11} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \dots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & \lambda_N^2 \psi_{2N} + 2\psi_{N+2} \psi_{1N} & \dots & \lambda_N^{N+1} \psi_{2N} + 2\lambda_N^{N-1} \psi_{N+2} \psi_{1N} & \end{vmatrix} \\
&= 2^{N+3} \psi_{N+2}^2 \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{1j} (\lambda_j^2 \psi_{2j} + \psi_{N+2} \psi_{1j}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_N & \dots & \lambda_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

iv) The case of  $n = 4$ . The required  $2N + 3$  integrals of motion are functionally independent, because we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &:= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_1} & \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial \phi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+6}}{\partial \phi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_2} & \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial \phi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+6}}{\partial \phi_2} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+6}}{\partial \psi_{N+1}} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \psi_{N+2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \psi_{N+2}} & \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial \psi_{N+2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+6}}{\partial \psi_{N+2}} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_1}{\partial \psi_{N+3}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_N}{\partial \psi_{N+3}} & \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial \psi_{N+3}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+6}}{\partial \psi_{N+3}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad \begin{matrix} \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0 \\ \phi_{N+1} = \phi_{N+2} = 0 \\ \psi_{N+1} = \psi_{N+2} = \psi_{N+3} = 0 \end{matrix} \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\psi_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^2\psi_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N\psi_{1N} & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^2\psi_{1N} \\ \psi_{21} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\psi_{21} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\psi_{21} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^2\psi_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N\psi_{2N} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^2\psi_{2N} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \phi_{N+3} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_{N+3} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_{N+3} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^3\psi_{11} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N+2}\psi_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^3\psi_{1N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^{N+2}\psi_{1N} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^3\psi_{21} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+3}\psi_{11} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N+2}\psi_{21} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{N-1}\phi_{N+3}\psi_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^3\psi_{2N} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+3}\psi_{1N} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^{N+2}\psi_{2N} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_N^{N-1}\phi_{N+3}\psi_{1N} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^{N+1}\phi_{N+3}^3 \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{1j} \left( -\lambda_j^3\psi_{2j} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{N+3}\psi_{1j} \right) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_N & \cdots & \lambda_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The above analysis allows us to conclude that the Hamiltonian systems (2.4), (2.9), (2.16) and (2.19) are completely integrable in the Liouville sense<sup>[19]</sup>.

## 5 Conclusion and remarks

We have successfully extended binary nonlinearization of AKNS spectral problem to higher-order symmetry constraints. For the first four orders  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  of the symmetry constraints, we explicitly presented the Hamiltonian structures, the Lax representations,  $r$ -matrices and independent integrals of motion in involution. Binary nonlinearization under the symmetry constraint in the case of  $n = 3$  has also been considered by Xu<sup>[20]</sup> but no Lax representations and  $r$ -matrix has been presented till now.

Indeed, for the case of  $n = 2k + 1$ , binary nonlinearization of AKNS spectral problem can always be successfully handled. However when  $n$  is an even integer greater than 4, we do not yet know any concrete expression for new dependent variables which put the constrained systems to be Hamiltonian. We hope that we can find a rule to guide us to introduce new dependent variables such that the constrained systems can be transformed into Hamiltonian forms.

Throughout the paper, we suppose that the potentials  $v, w$  and their derivatives with respect to  $x$  tend to zero when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . In the case without this zero boundary condition, the same problem is very interesting to be considered. Binary nonlinearization of  $3 \times 3$  matrix spectral problem<sup>[14]</sup> or even  $n \times n$  matrix spectral problem<sup>[21]</sup> for AKNS hierarchy under higher-order symmetry constraints is another problem which needs to be investigated. We will deliver some analysis to the problems in a separate paper.

## Acknowledgments

One of the authors (Y. S. Li) is grateful to City University of Hong Kong for kind invitation and warm hospitality. This work is supported by the City University of Hong Kong, the National Basic Research Project of Nonlinear Science, and the Ministry of Education of China.

## References

- [1] C.W.Cao: Chin. Q. J. Math. 3(1988) 90; Sci. China A (1990) 528
- [2] C.W.Cao, X.G.Geng: J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 23(1990) 4117; J. Math. Phys. 32(1991) 2323
- [3] Y.B.Zeng, Y.S.Li: J. Math. Phys 30(1989) 1671; Y.B.Zeng: Phys. Lett. A. 160(1991) 541; Y.B.Zeng, Y.S.Li: Chinese Adv. in Math. 24(1995) 111
- [4] W.X.Ma: Nonlinear Physics, eds. C.H.Gu, Y.S.Li, G.Z.Tu (Springer Berlin 1990) p79; Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 9(1993) 92
- [5] M.Antonowicz, S.Wojciechowski: Phys. Lett. A 147(1990) 455; J. Math. Phys. 33(1992) 211; Inverse Problem 9(1993) 201
- [6] X.G.Geng, W.X.Ma: IL Nuovo Cimento A 108(1995) 477
- [7] B.Konopelchenko, J.Sidorenko, W.Strampp: Phys. Lett. A 157(1991) 17; Y.Cheng, Y.S.Li: Phys. Lett. A 157(1991) 22
- [8] B.Konopelchenko, W.Strampp: Inverse Problem 7(1991) L17; J. Math. Phys. 33(1992) 3676; J.Sidorenko, W.Strampp: Inverse Problem 7(1991) L37
- [9] B.Xu, Y.S.Li: J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 25(1992) 2957
- [10] W.Oevel, W.Strampp: Commun. Math. Phys. 157(1993) 51
- [11] W.X.Ma, W.Strampp: Phys. Lett. A 185(1994) 277
- [12] W.X.Ma: J. Phys. Soc. Japan 64(1995) 1085; Physica A 219(1995) 467; Chin. Ann. of Math. 18B(1997) 79 (solv-int/9512002)
- [13] W.X.Ma, Q.Ding, W.G.Zhang, B.Q.Lu: Il Nuovo Cimento B 111(1996) 1135
- [14] W.X.Ma, B.Fuchsteiner, W.Oevel: Physica A 233(1996) 331

- [15] L.D.Faddeev, L.A.Takhtajan: Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons (Springer Berlin 1987)
- [16] Y.B.Zeng, J.Hietarinta: J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 29(1996) 5241
- [17] Y.B.Zeng: Inverse Problems 12(1996) 797; J. Math. Phys. 38(1997) 321
- [18] O.Babelon, C.M.Viallet: Phys. Lett. B 237(1990) 411
- [19] V.I.Arnold: Mathematical Methods in Classical Mechanics (Springer New York 1980)
- [20] X.X.Xu: J of Shandong Mining Institute 16(1997) 445
- [21] X.X.Xu: An  $n \times n$  matrix spectral problem for AKNS hierarchy and its binary nonlinearization, preprint, 1997