A nonlinear indentity for the scattering phase of integrable models

N. A. Slavnov

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Gubkina 8, Moscow 117966, Russia. nslavnov@mi.ras.ru

Abstract

A nonlinear identity for the scattering phase of quantum integrable models is proved.

Inthe paper [[1\]](#page-4-0) a new nonlinear identity for the scattering phase of quantum integrable models was found. In the present paper we give a generalization of this identity and show that the last one is a corollary of some simple properties of integral transformations of rather general type. The identity, which will be discussed, is valid for a wide class of integrable models, however in order to specify our formulæ we consider a well known example of an one-dimensional Bose gas with delta-function interactions.

The main equation, describing the thermodynamics of the one-dimensional Bose gas, is the Yang–Yang equation for the energy of an one-particle excitation[[2\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\varepsilon(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - h - \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\lambda - \mu) \ln\left(1 + e^{-\varepsilon(\mu)/T}\right) d\mu.
$$
 (1)

Here λ is a spectral parameter, $c > 0$ is a coupling constant, $h > 0$ is a chemical potential, and $T \geq 0$ is a temperature. The kernel $K(\lambda - \mu)$ is equal to

$$
K(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{2c}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + c^2}.
$$
\n(2)

The equation (1) is uniquely solvable [\[2](#page-4-0)]. Below we shall use the following properties of the function $\varepsilon(\lambda)$: this is an even function $\varepsilon(\lambda) = \varepsilon(-\lambda)$; it has two real roots $\varepsilon(\pm q_T) = 0$, where $q_T > 0$; $\varepsilon(\lambda) > 0$, if $|\lambda| > q_T$ and $\varepsilon(\lambda) < 0$, if $|\lambda| < q_T$.

The S-matrix of the model, defining the scattering of the particle with the spectral parameter λ on the particle with the spectral parameter μ , is

$$
S(\lambda, \mu) = \exp\{2\pi i F(\lambda, \mu)\},\tag{3}
$$

where the scattering phase $F(\lambda, \mu)$ can be found from the integral equation $|3|$ $|3|$ $|3|$

$$
F(\lambda, \mu) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\lambda - \nu) \vartheta(\nu) F(\nu, \mu) d\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi(\lambda - \mu).
$$
 (4)

Here $\vartheta(\lambda)$ is the Fermi weight

$$
\vartheta(\lambda) = \left(1 + e^{\varepsilon(\lambda)/T}\right)^{-1},\tag{5}
$$

and $\Phi(\lambda)$ is the bare phase

$$
\Phi(\lambda) = i \ln \left(\frac{ic + \lambda}{ic - \lambda} \right). \tag{6}
$$

Observe, that the kernel of the equations [\(1](#page-0-0)) and (4) is the derivative of the bare phase

$$
K(\lambda - \mu) = \Phi'(\lambda - \mu). \tag{7}
$$

The equation (4) also is uniquely solvable.

In the limit $T \to 0$ (ground state [\[4](#page-4-0)], [\[5](#page-4-0)]) the equation (4) simplifies. Indeed, in this limit the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda)$ goes to the Heaviside step function $\vartheta(\lambda) \to \theta(q^2 - \lambda^2)$, where $q = \lim_{T \to 0} q_T$ is the value of the spectral parameter on the boundary of the Fermi sphere. The equation (4) turns into

$$
F_0(\lambda, \mu) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-q}^{q} K(\lambda - \nu) F_0(\nu, \mu) d\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi(\lambda - \mu),
$$
 (8)

where $F_0(\lambda, \mu) = \lim_{T \to 0} F(\lambda, \mu)$. The identity, found in [[1](#page-4-0)], relates the values of the scattering phase on the boundaries of the Fermi sphere

$$
(1 - F_0(q, q))(1 + F_0(-q, -q)) + F_0(q, -q)F_0(-q, q) = 1.
$$
 (9)

Such the nonlinear identity for the solution of the linear integral equation (8) looks rather unsuspected. However, this is on particular case of more general identity, which is valid for the scattering phase at finite temperature

$$
F(\lambda, \mu) - F(-\mu, -\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda, \nu) F(-\mu, -\nu) \partial_{\nu} \vartheta(\nu) d\nu.
$$
 (10)

It is easy to see that in the limit $T \to 0$ the derivative of the Fermi weight results into the linear combination of delta-functions $\partial_{\lambda}\vartheta(\lambda) = \delta(\lambda + q)$ $\delta(\lambda - q)$ $\delta(\lambda - q)$ $\delta(\lambda - q)$, hence the identity ([10\)](#page-1-0) implies

$$
F_0(\lambda, \mu) - F_0(-\mu, -\lambda) = F_0(\lambda, -q)F_0(-\mu, q) - F_0(\lambda, q)F_0(-\mu, -q). \tag{11}
$$

Finally, putting $\lambda = \mu = q$, we arrive at [\(9](#page-1-0)).

As for the proof of the main identity([10](#page-1-0)), it is based on rather simple observation. Consider a two-variable function $\phi(\lambda, \mu)$ possessing the property

$$
\phi(\lambda,\mu) = \phi(-\mu,-\lambda). \tag{12}
$$

In particular one can choose an arbitrary function, depending on the difference of the arguments. Define $f(\lambda, \mu)$ as the image of $\phi(\lambda, \mu)$ under the integral transformation of the following form:

$$
f(\lambda, \mu) = \phi(\lambda, \mu) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\lambda, \xi) \phi(\xi, \mu) d\xi.
$$
 (13)

We do not set any restrictions on the kernel $r(\lambda, \mu)$ and the function $\phi(\lambda, \mu)$, except the existence of the transformation (13). In other words the integral in the r.h.s. of (13) must be convergent.

It is easy to see that the image of $\phi(\lambda, \mu)$ has the property

$$
f(\lambda,\mu) - f(-\mu,-\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [r(\lambda,\nu)f(-\mu,-\nu) - r(-\mu,-\nu)f(\lambda,\nu)] d\nu.
$$
 (14)

In order to check the last equation one should simply substitute $f(\lambda, \mu)$ from (13) into (14) and use the property (12).

In fact, the Eq. (14) can be considered as the generated relation for identities for the image of the transformation (13). Indeed, if the kernel $r(\lambda, \mu)$ depends on $f(\lambda, \mu)$, then the transformation (13) turns into an equation for f. In turn the Eq. (14) becomes an identity for f.

Consider the example related to the equation([4](#page-1-0)). The formal solution of this equation can be given in terms of the resolvent

$$
F(\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi(\lambda - \mu) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(\lambda,\nu) \Phi(\nu - \mu) d\nu,
$$
 (15)

where

$$
R(\lambda, \mu) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\lambda - \nu) \vartheta(\nu) R(\nu, \mu) d\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} K(\lambda - \mu) \vartheta(\mu).
$$
 (16)

The function $\Phi(\lambda-\mu)$ depends on the difference of the arguments and, hence, it satisfies the property([12](#page-2-0)). Therefore due to [\(14](#page-2-0))

$$
F(\lambda, \mu) - F(-\mu, -\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [R(\lambda, \nu)F(-\mu, -\nu) - R(-\mu, -\nu)F(\lambda, \nu)] d\nu.
$$
\n(17)

On the other hand the resolvent evidently depends on $F(\lambda, \mu)$, since, differ-entiating([4\)](#page-1-0) with respect to μ , we have

$$
\partial_{\mu} F(\lambda, \mu) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\lambda - \nu) \vartheta(\nu) \partial_{\mu} F(\nu, \mu) d\nu = -\frac{1}{2\pi} K(\lambda - \mu). \tag{18}
$$

Comparing with [\(16\)](#page-2-0), we obtain

$$
R(\lambda, \mu) = -\partial_{\mu} F(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu).
$$
 (19)

Substituting this expression into (17), we arrive at

$$
F(\lambda, \mu) - F(-\mu, -\lambda) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F(\lambda, \nu)\vartheta(-\nu)\partial_{\nu}F(-\mu, -\nu) + F(-\mu, -\nu)\vartheta(\nu)\partial_{\nu}F(\lambda, \nu)] d\nu,
$$
(20)

or, integrating the first term by parts

$$
F(\lambda, \mu) - F(-\mu, -\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda, \nu) F(-\mu, -\nu) \partial_{\nu} \vartheta(\nu) d\nu.
$$
 (21)

Here we have used $\vartheta(\lambda) = \vartheta(-\lambda)$. Thus, the nonlinear identity [\(10\)](#page-1-0) for the scattering phase at finite temperature is proved.

Observe that we did not use the explicit form of the kernel $K(\lambda - \mu)$, the bare phase $\Phi(\lambda - \mu)$ and the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda)$. Our proof was based on more general properties of the equation([4\)](#page-1-0). First, we have used the solvability of this equation. This provides us with the existence of the resolvent. Second, we have used the fact, that the bare phase depends on the difference of the arguments. As we have seen, this property is not necessary and it can be replaced by more weak one: $\Phi(\lambda, \mu) = \Phi(-\mu, -\lambda)$. Third, the most important property is the connection between the kernel $K(\lambda, \mu)$ and the function $\Phi(\lambda,\mu): K(\lambda,\mu) = -\partial_\mu \Phi(\lambda,\mu)$. This allows us to express the resolvent of the equation [\(4](#page-1-0)) in terms of the solution of this equation

 $R(\lambda, \mu) = -\partial_{\mu} F(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu)$ $R(\lambda, \mu) = -\partial_{\mu} F(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu)$ $R(\lambda, \mu) = -\partial_{\mu} F(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu)$. Finally, in order to reduce [\(20](#page-3-0)) to ([21\)](#page-3-0) we have used the symmetry of the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda) = \vartheta(-\lambda)$.

The listed properties are valid for equations, describing the scattering phase, for a wide class of quantum integrable models (see, for example, [6] and references therein). These integral equations arise in the special limit of the Bethe equations, and one can say, that the nonlinear identity for the scattering phase is the corollary of the Bethe equations.

I would like to thank S. A. Frolov and Yu. M. Zinoviev for useful discussions. This work was supported in parts by RFBR-96-01-166 and INTAS-93- 1038.

References

- [1] V. E. Korepin and N. A. Slavnov, The New Identity for the Scattering Matrix of Exactly Solvable Models, accepted to European Journal of Physics, [solv-int/9712005](http://arxiv.org/abs/solv-int/9712005)
- [2] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1115 (1969)
- [3] V. E. Korepin, Theor. Math. Phys. 41, No. 2, 953, (1979)
- [4] E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605, (1963)
- [5] E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. 130, 1616, (1963)
- [6] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions (Cambridge University Press, 1993)