

Higher Order Asymptotics of the Modified Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation

A. H. Vartanian*
 Steklov Mathematical Institute
 Fontanka 27
 St. Petersburg 191011
 Russia

11 April 1998

Abstract

Using the matrix Riemann-Hilbert factorisation approach for non-linear evolution systems which take the form of Lax-pair isospectral deformations, the higher order asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) of the solution to the Cauchy problem for the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation, $i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u + |u|^2 u + is\partial_x(|u|^2 u) = 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, which is a model for non-linear pulse propagation in optical fibres in the subpicosecond time scale, are obtained: also derived are analogous results for two gauge-equivalent non-linear evolution equations; in particular, the derivative non-linear Schrödinger equation, $i\partial_t q + \partial_x^2 q - i\partial_x(|q|^2 q) = 0$.

AMS subject classifications. 35Q15, 35Q55, 58F07, 78A60

PACS. 02.30.Jr, 42.81.Dp, 42.65.Tg, 02.30.Mv

Abbreviated title. Higher Order Asymptotics of the MNLSE

Key words. asymptotics, Riemann-Hilbert problem, solitons, optical fibres

*E-mail: arthur@pdmi.ras.ru

1 Introduction

A model for the space-time evolution of the slowly-varying amplitude of the complex field (pulse) envelope in optical fibres in the subpicosecond time scale is the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (MNLSE) [1],

$$i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u + |u|^2 u + is\partial_x(|u|^2 u) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. In order to study its asymptotics in the solitonless sector as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$), it was shown in [2] that, since its associated matrix Riemann-Hilbert (RH) factorisation problem does not possess the canonical normalisation, the MNLSE can be reduced to a gauge-equivalent non-linear evolution equation (NLEE) whose associated (solvable) matrix RH factorisation problem possesses the canonical normalisation: in fact, it was shown in [2] that, for $Q(x, t)$ satisfying the following NLEE,

$$i\partial_t Q + \partial_x^2 Q + iQ^2 \partial_x \bar{Q} + \frac{1}{2}Q|Q|^4 = 0, \quad (2)$$

with initial condition $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ (see the beginning of Sec. 2, Notational Conventions, for the definition of the class $\mathcal{S}(D; \mathbb{C})$ and $\overline{(\bullet)}$), the function $q(x, t)$, which is defined by the following gauge transformation (see Proposition 2.3)

$$q(x, t) := Q(x, t)((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2, \quad (3)$$

with initial condition $q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, satisfies the derivative non-linear Schrödinger equation (DNLSE),

$$i\partial_t q + \partial_x^2 q - i\partial_x(|q|^2 q) = 0, \quad (4)$$

and $u(x, t)$, under the following (scaling and Galilean) transformation,

$$u(x, t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} \exp\left\{\frac{i}{s}\left(x - \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} q\left(\frac{t}{s} - x, \frac{t}{2}\right), \quad (5)$$

with initial condition $u(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, satisfies the MNLSE. A systematic method for the leading order asymptotic analysis of soliton-bearing equations (integrable in the sense of the inverse scattering method (ISM) [3, 4, 5]) solvable through a canonical, oscillatory matrix RH factorisation problem was developed by Deift and Zhou [6] (see, also, [7, 8, 9]). Using the Deift-Zhou [6] procedure (with amendments [2, 10]), the following leading-order results were proven (in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below, one should keep the upper signs as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and the lower signs as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ everywhere):

Theorem 1.1 ([2, 10]) *For $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |r(\lambda)| < 1$, where $r(\lambda)$ is the reflection coefficient associated with the direct scattering problem for the operator $\partial_x - U(x, t; \lambda)$ (see Proposition 2.1), and $Q(x, 0)$ and $q(x, 0)$, respectively, $\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}} > M_1 (> 0)$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, t) &= \sqrt{\pm \frac{\nu(\lambda_0)}{2\lambda_0^2 t}} e^{i\{4\lambda_0^4 t \mp \nu(\lambda_0) \ln|t| + \phi^\pm(\lambda_0) + \widehat{\Phi}^\pm(\lambda_0) + \frac{\pi}{2}\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_1(\lambda_0) \ln|t|}{\lambda_0^2 t}\right), \\ q(x, t) &= \sqrt{\pm \frac{\nu(\lambda_0)}{2\lambda_0^2 t}} e^{i\{4\lambda_0^4 t \mp \nu(\lambda_0) \ln|t| + \phi^\pm(\lambda_0) + \widehat{\Phi}^\pm(\lambda_0) + \epsilon^\pm(\lambda_0) + \frac{\pi}{2}\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_2(\lambda_0) \ln|t|}{\lambda_0^2 t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where Ω_n , $\nu(\lambda_0)$, $\phi^\pm(\lambda_0)$, and $\widehat{\Phi}^\pm(\lambda_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.2, $\epsilon^\pm(\lambda_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.3, with $C_{1,2}(\lambda_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M_1}; \mathbb{C})$, and, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $\mu_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{t}} > M_2 (> 0)$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, t) &= \sqrt{\mp \frac{\nu(i\mu_0)}{2\mu_0^2 t}} e^{i\{4\mu_0^4 t \mp \nu(i\mu_0) \ln|t| + \phi^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \pi\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_3(\mu_0) \ln|t|}{\mu_0^2 t}\right), \\ q(x, t) &= \sqrt{\mp \frac{\nu(i\mu_0)}{2\mu_0^2 t}} e^{i\{4\mu_0^4 t \mp \nu(i\mu_0) \ln|t| + \phi^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \epsilon^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \pi\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_4(\mu_0) \ln|t|}{\mu_0^2 t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{U}_n , $\nu(i\mu_0)$, $\phi^{\pm'}(\mu_0)$, and $\widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(\mu_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.2, $\epsilon^{\pm'}(\mu_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.3, and $C_{3,4}(\mu_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M_2}; \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.2 ([2, 10]) For $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})} := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |r(\lambda)| < 1$ and $u(x,0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{t} - \frac{1}{s})} > M_3 (> 0)$, $\frac{x}{t} > \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_n$,

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\pm \frac{\nu(\hat{\lambda}_0)}{2\hat{\lambda}_0^2 st}} e^{i\{2(\hat{\lambda}_0^2 + \frac{1}{2s})^2 t \mp \nu(\hat{\lambda}_0) \ln|t| + \phi^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) + \tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) + \frac{\pi}{2}\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_5(\hat{\lambda}_0) \ln|t|}{\hat{\lambda}_0^2 t}\right),$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_n$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.4, with $C_5(\hat{\lambda}_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M_3};\mathbb{C})$, and, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ or $\pm\infty$ such that $\hat{\mu}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{x}{t})} > M_4 (> 0)$, $\frac{x}{t} < \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n$,

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\mp \frac{\nu(i\hat{\mu}_0)}{2\hat{\mu}_0^2 st}} e^{i\{2(\hat{\mu}_0^2 - \frac{1}{2s})^2 t \mp \nu(i\hat{\mu}_0) \ln|t| + \phi^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) + \tilde{\Phi}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) + \pi\}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C_6(\hat{\mu}_0) \ln|t|}{\hat{\mu}_0^2 t}\right),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0)$ are given in Theorem 2.4, and $C_6(\hat{\mu}_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M_4};\mathbb{C})$.

Recently, Deift and Zhou [11] introduced a higher-order generalisation of their previous procedure [6], and showed, using the defocusing non-linear Schrödinger (NLS) and modified Korteweg-de Vries (MKdV) equations, respectively, as their illustrative examples, how, in principle, to obtain the full asymptotic expansion to all orders as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) for integrable systems solvable through a canonical, oscillatory matrix RH factorisation problem: in order to decipher the structure of the higher-order interaction of modes for the solution of the MNLSE in the solitonless sector as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$), the higher order generalisation of the Deift-Zhou [11] procedure is applied (see, also, [12] for some recent results pertaining to non-soliton solutions of the NLS equation, and [13] for the higher order asymptotics of the KdV equation in the solitonless sector); moreover, even though specific design-related issues and/or feasibility studies for the MNLSE are not considered in this article, it is also instructive to consider its asymptotics in the solitonless sector as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) as it may find potential application(s) as a model for (propagation in) the optical fibre element used, say, in a non-linear fibre-loop mirror (whose transmission characteristics are intensity dependent), since such devices, when designed specifically to transmit the central part of a pulse and block its low-intensity wings, can compress any non-soliton pulse(s) [1].

Even though the application of the higher-order generalisation of the Deift-Zhou [11] procedure gives a systematic justification for the asymptotic form of the solutions to the Cauchy problems for Eq. (2), the DNLSE, and MNLSE, unlike the NLS and MKdV equations, whose associated recurrence relations for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansions are explicitly (algebraically) solvable in closed form, the following two novel and substantial complications, associated with the non-analyticity of the reflection coefficient, $r(\lambda)$ (for the direct scattering problem of the operator $\partial_x - U(x,t;\lambda)$: see Proposition 2.1), at the origin of the complex plane of the auxiliary spectral parameter (λ), are encountered: (1) $(D^m(r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}))|_{\lambda=0} \neq (D^m(r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}}))|_{\lambda=0}$, where $D := \frac{d}{d\lambda}$, and $m = 1, 3, 5, \dots$, in which case, there are two independent sets of constant coefficients associated with the Taylor series expansion of the reflection coefficient about $\lambda=0$; and (2) $(r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0} = (r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0} = 0$ [2]. Despite the fact that a set of recurrence relations for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansions of the solutions to Eq. (2), the DNLSE, and MNLSE as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) can be derived, their closed form solutions are not possible to obtain due to the fact that a subset of the coefficients appearing in these recurrence relations, associated with the two independent sets of (odd order) derivatives of the reflection coefficient at the origin, **must** be determined independently. It is shown, in principle, how to overcome this complication, and the next three non-trivial terms beyond the leading-order ones given in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are calculated for the solutions of Eq. (2), the DNLSE, and MNLSE.

This paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2: (1) the matrix RH factorisation problem for the solution of the gauge-equivalent NLEE, Eq. (2), is stated; (2) the Beals-Coifman [14] formulation for the solution of a (matrix) RH problem on an oriented contour is succinctly recapitulated; and (3) the results of this paper are summarised as Theorems 2.2–2.4. In Sec. 3, the higher-order generalisation of the Deift-Zhou [11] procedure is carried out in order

to determine the (form of the) asymptotic expansion to all orders as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) of the solution to the Cauchy (initial-value) problem for $Q(x, t)$, and recurrence relations for a few of its leading expansion coefficients are explicitly derived. In Sec. 4, a certain set of λ_0 -dependent numbers, which are expressed in terms of the two independent sets of the Taylor series expansion coefficients for the reflection coefficient about the origin of the complex plane of the auxiliary spectral parameter, are calculated, and are shown, in conjunction with the (first few) leading recurrence formulae derived in Sec. 3, to lead to linear integro-differential equations for the asymptotic series expansion coefficients for $Q(x, t)$, which can—in principle—be rewritten as integral equations of the first kind, with Laplace transform-type kernels, whose inversion, concomitant with a σ_3 -induced symmetry reduction, leads to their solution. In Sec. 5, the phase integral (Eq. (3)), $((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$, is evaluated asymptotically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$). In Sec. 6, the above asymptotic paradigm is briefly presented for the case when $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$); furthermore, the results obtained in this paper constitute a formal proof of Lemmata 5.2 and 6.3.1 which were stated, but not proved, in [10].

Remark 1.1 Strictly speaking, this paper is a hybrid continuation of [2] and [10], and is not completely self-contained: theorems, concepts, definitions, and formulae from these references are used with minimal explanations. Even though $\mathbb{CP}^1 := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the standard definition for the Riemann sphere (the complex plane with the point at infinity), throughout this paper, the simplified notation \mathbb{C} is used to denote the Riemann sphere.

2 The Riemann-Hilbert (RH) Problem, The Beals-Coifman (BC) Formulation, and Summary of Results

In this section, the (general) matrix RH factorisation problem is stated, the BC [14] formulation for the solution of a (matrix) RH problem on an oriented contour is briefly reviewed, and the results of this paper are summarised as Theorems 2.2–2.4. Before doing so, however, notations and definitions which are used throughout the paper are introduced:

Notational Conventions

- (1) $e_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$, denote 2×2 matrices with entry 1 in $(\alpha\beta)$, $(e_{\alpha\beta})_{ij} := \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta j}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta;
- (2) $\mathbf{I} := e_{11} + e_{22} = \text{diag}(1, 1)$ denotes the 2×2 identity matrix;
- (3) $\sigma_3 := e_{11} - e_{22} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$, $\sigma_- := e_{21}$, $\sigma_+ := e_{12}$, and $\sigma_1 := \sigma_- + \sigma_+$;
- (4) for a scalar ϖ and a 2×2 matrix Υ , $\varpi^{\text{ad}(\sigma_3)} \Upsilon := \varpi^{\sigma_3} \Upsilon \varpi^{-\sigma_3}$;
- (5) $\overline{(\bullet)}$ denotes complex conjugation of (\bullet) ;
- (6) $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the 2×2 complex matrix algebra with the following inner product $((\cdot, \cdot): M_2(\mathbb{C}) \times M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$, $\forall a, b \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $(a, b) := \text{tr}(\overline{ba})$, and (for $a \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$) the norm on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is defined as $|a| := \sqrt{(a, a)}$;
- (7) $\mathcal{L}^p(D; M_2(\mathbb{C})) := \{f; f: D \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}), \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} := (\int_D |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi|)^{1/p} < \infty, p \in \{1, 2\}\}$;
- (8) $\mathcal{L}^\infty(D; M_2(\mathbb{C})) := \{g; g: D \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}), \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \sup_{\xi \in D} |g_{ij}(\xi)| < \infty\}$;
- (9) for D an unbounded domain of $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{S}(D; \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$) denote the Schwartz class on D , i.e., the class of smooth \mathbb{C} -valued (resp. $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ -valued) functions $f(x): D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $F(x): D \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$) which together with all derivatives tend to zero faster than any positive power of $|x|^{-1}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

As is clear from the discussion at the beginning of the Introduction, the strategy here is as follows: (1) solve Eq. (2) for $Q(x, t)$; (2) use the gauge transformation (Eq. (3)) to solve the DNLSE; and (3) via Eq. (5), solve the MNLSE. To recall the relations between the solutions of these NLEEs, the following propositions are formulated:

Proposition 2.1 ([15]) *The necessary and sufficient condition for the compatibility of the following system of linear ODEs (the Lax pair) for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = U(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \quad \partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = V(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \quad (6)$$

where

$$U(x, t; \lambda) = -i\lambda^2 \sigma_3 + \lambda(\bar{Q}\sigma_- + Q\sigma_+) - \frac{i}{2}|Q|^2 \sigma_3, \\ V(x, t; \lambda) = 2\lambda^2 U(x, t; \lambda) - i\lambda((\partial_x \bar{Q})\sigma_- - (\partial_x Q)\sigma_+) + (\frac{i}{4}|Q|^4 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{Q}\partial_x Q - Q\partial_x \bar{Q}))\sigma_3,$$

is that $Q(x, t)$ satisfies Eq. (2).

Proposition 2.2 ([2, 10]) *Let $Q(x, t)$ be a solution of Eq. (2). Then there exists a corresponding solution of system (6) such that $\Psi(x, t; 0)$ is a diagonal matrix.*

Proposition 2.3 ([2, 10]) *Let $Q(x, t)$ be a solution of Eq. (2) and $\Psi(x, t; \lambda)$ the corresponding solution of system (6) given in Proposition 2.2. Set $\Psi_q(x, t; \lambda) := \Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0)\Psi(x, t; \lambda)$. Then*

$$\partial_x \Psi_q(x, t; \lambda) = \mathcal{U}_q(x, t; \lambda) \Psi_q(x, t; \lambda), \quad \partial_t \Psi_q(x, t; \lambda) = \mathcal{V}_q(x, t; \lambda) \Psi_q(x, t; \lambda),$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}_q(x, t; \lambda) = -i\lambda^2 \sigma_3 + \lambda(\bar{q}\sigma_- + q\sigma_+), \\ \mathcal{V}_q(x, t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -2i\lambda^4 - i\lambda^2|q|^2 & 2\lambda^3 q + i\lambda\partial_x q + \lambda|q|^2 q \\ 2\lambda^3 \bar{q} - i\lambda\partial_x \bar{q} + \lambda|q|^2 \bar{q} & 2i\lambda^4 + i\lambda^2|q|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $q(x, t)$ defined by Eq. (3), is the ‘‘Kaup-Newell’’ [16] Lax pair for the DNLSE.

Proposition 2.4 ([2, 10]) *If $q(x, t)$ is a solution of the DNLSE such that $q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, then $u(x, t)$, defined by Eq. (5), satisfies the MNLSE with initial condition $u(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$.*

Remark 2.1 A convention is now adopted which is adhered to *sensus strictu* throughout the paper: for each segment of an oriented contour, according to the given orientation, the ‘‘+’’ side is to the left and the ‘‘-’’ side is to the right as one traverses the contour in the direction of the orientation; hence, $(\bullet)_+$ and $(\bullet)_-$ denote, respectively, the non-tangential limits (boundary values) of (\bullet) on an oriented contour from the ‘‘+’’ (left) and ‘‘-’’ (right) sides.

Before stating the (general) matrix RH problem, it is convenient to introduce the following preamble: let $\mathcal{Z}_d := \cup_{i=1}^N (\{\pm\lambda_i\} \cup \{\pm\bar{\lambda}_i\})$ and $\hat{\Gamma} := \{\lambda; \Im(\lambda^2) = 0\}$ (oriented as in Fig. 1) denote, respectively, the discrete and continuous spectra of the operator $\partial_x - U(x, t; \lambda)$, and $\sigma_\ell := \text{Spec}(\partial_x - U) = \mathcal{Z}_d \cup \hat{\Gamma}$ ($\mathcal{Z}_d \cap \hat{\Gamma} = \emptyset$).

Lemma 2.1 ([10]) *Let $Q(x, t)$, as a function of $x, \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Set $m(x, t; \lambda) := \Psi(x, t; \lambda) \exp\{i(\lambda^2 x + 2\lambda^4 t)\sigma_3\}$. Then: (1) the bounded discrete set \mathcal{Z}_d is finite ($\text{card}(\mathcal{Z}_d) < \infty$); (2) the poles of $m(x, t; \lambda)$ are simple; (3) the first (resp. second) column of $m(x, t; \lambda)$ has poles at $\{\pm\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ (resp. $\{\pm\bar{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^N$); and (4) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $m(x, t; \lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_d \cup \hat{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ solves the following RH problem:*

a. $m(x, t; \lambda)$ is meromorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}$;




Figure 1: Continuous spectrum $\hat{\Gamma}$.

b. $m(x, t; \lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$m_+(x, t; \lambda) = m_-(x, t; \lambda) \exp\{-i(\lambda^2 x + 2\lambda^4 t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\} G(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \hat{\Gamma},$$

where

$$G(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r(\lambda)\overline{r(\bar{\lambda})} & r(\lambda) \\ -\overline{r(\bar{\lambda})} & 1 \end{pmatrix} := (\mathbf{I} - w^-(\lambda))^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + w^+(\lambda)),$$

$r(\lambda)$, the reflection coefficient associated with the direct scattering problem for the operator $\partial_x - U(x, t; \lambda)$, satisfies $r(-\lambda) = -r(\lambda)$, and $r(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C})$;

c. for the simple poles of $m(x, t; \lambda)$ at $\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and $\{\pm\bar{\lambda}_j\}_{j=1}^N$, there exist nilpotent matrices $\{v_j(x, t)\sigma_-\}_{j=1}^N$ and $\{v_j(x, t)\sigma_+\}_{j=1}^N$, respectively, such that the residues, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, satisfy the (BC [14]) polar conditions,

$$\text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); \lambda_j) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j} m(x, t; \lambda) v_j(x, t) \sigma_-,$$

$$\text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); -\lambda_j) = -\sigma_3 \text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); \lambda_j) \sigma_3,$$

$$\text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); \bar{\lambda}_j) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_j} m(x, t; \lambda) v_j(x, t) \sigma_+,$$

$$\text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); -\bar{\lambda}_j) = -\sigma_3 \text{res}(m(x, t; \lambda); \bar{\lambda}_j) \sigma_3,$$

where $\lambda_j := \Delta_j \exp\{\frac{i}{2}(\pi - \gamma_j)\}$, $\Delta_j > 0$, $\gamma_j \in (0, \pi)$, $v_j(x, t) := C_j \exp\{2i(\lambda_j^2 x + 2\lambda_j^4 t)\}$, and C_j are complex constants associated with the direct scattering problem for the operator $\partial_x - U(x, t; \lambda)$;

d. as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_d \cup \hat{\Gamma})$,

$$m(x, t; \lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |r(\lambda)| < 1$. Then: (1) the RH problem formulated in Lemma 2.1 is uniquely solvable; (2) $\Psi(x, t; \lambda) = m(x, t; \lambda) \exp\{-i(\lambda^2 x + 2\lambda^4 t)\sigma_3\}$ is the solution of system (6) with

$$Q(x, t) := 2i \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda m(x, t; \lambda))_{12}; \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_d \cup \hat{\Gamma})$$

(3) the function $Q(x, t)$ defined by Eq. (7) satisfies Eq. (2), and

$$q(x, t) := Q(x, t) ((m^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2 \quad (8)$$

satisfies the DNLSE; and (4) $m(x, t; \lambda)$ possesses the following symmetry reductions, $m(x, t; \lambda) = \sigma_3 m(x, t; -\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m(x, t; \lambda) = \sigma_1 m(x, t; \bar{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

If $r(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C})$, then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $Q(x, t)$ (resp. $q(x, t)$), as a function of x , $\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The solvability of the RH problem (formulated in Lemma 2.1) is a consequence of Theorem 9.3 in [17] (Zhou’s skew Schwarz reflection invariant symmetry arguments: see, also, [18]) and the vanishing winding number of $1-r(\lambda)\overline{r(\bar{\lambda})}$, $\int_{\widehat{\Gamma}} d(\arg(1-r(\lambda)\overline{r(\bar{\lambda})})) = \sum_{l \in \{\gtrless\}} s(l)n(l) = 0$, where $s(>) = -s(<) = 1$, and $n(\gtrless) := \text{card}(\{\lambda_j; \Im(\lambda_j^2) \gtrless 0\})$, items (2) and (4) can be verified via straightforward calculations, and the fact that $q(x, t)$ (Eq. (8)) satisfies the DNLS follows from Eq. (3) and the definition of $m(x, t; \lambda)$. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2 The solvability of the RH problem for $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\Gamma})} < 1$ (resp. $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$) and $\mathcal{Z}_d \equiv \emptyset$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_d \neq \emptyset$) for sufficiently large $|t|$ was proved in [2] (resp. [10]). **Note:** the condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\Gamma})} < 1$ which appears in [2] is restrictive, and can be replaced by the weaker condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$.

Remark 2.3 The RH problem formulated in Lemma 2.1 subsumes the “full” spectrum, $\sigma_\mathcal{L}$, of the operator $\partial_x - U(x, t; \lambda)$, namely, discrete, \mathcal{Z}_d , and continuous, $\widehat{\Gamma}$, with $\sigma_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{Z}_d \cup \widehat{\Gamma}$ ($\mathcal{Z}_d \cap \widehat{\Gamma} = \emptyset$): the leading-order asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) for the solutions of Eq. (2), the DNLS, and MNLS corresponding to the “full” spectrum, $\sigma_\mathcal{L}$, are given in [10]; in this paper, however, a somewhat different set of asymptotics is considered, namely, the higher order asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) of the “continuum component” (corresponding to $\widehat{\Gamma}$) of the solutions to these NLEEs (the so-called “non-soliton” asymptotics), and the “effect” of the discrete spectrum, \mathcal{Z}_d , is “felt” (or manifests itself) as Blaschke-Potapov-type factors [3, 5] which appear in the form of finite products multiplying the reflection coefficient, $r(\lambda)$, in the respective jump (conjugation) matrices of the “asymptotic” RH problems (see Sec. 4, Lemma 4.1 and Sec. 6, Lemma 6.1.1): in essence, oscillatory matrix RH problems will be considered [19]. Loosely speaking, and abusing nomenclature, the higher order asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) in the real plane, \mathbb{R}^2 , but “off the soliton trajectories” [10] are considered, namely, for arbitrarily chosen but fixed n such that $1 \leq n \leq N$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ (resp. $\gamma_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$), the following asymptotics are investigated: (1) for $Q(x, t)$ and $q(x, t)$, with $Q(x, 0)$ and $q(x, 0)$, respectively, $\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, $(x, t) \rightarrow (\mp\infty, \pm\infty)$ (resp. $(x, t) \rightarrow (\pm\infty, \pm\infty)$) such that $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}} > M_1 (> 0)$ (resp. $\mu_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{t}} > M_2 (> 0)$) and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$ (resp. $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_n$) (see Theorem 2.2 for the definitions of Ω_n and \mathcal{U}_n); and (2) for $u(x, t)$, with $u(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, $(x, t) \rightarrow (\pm\infty, \pm\infty)$ (resp. $(x, t) \rightarrow (\mp\infty, \pm\infty)$ or $(\pm\infty, \pm\infty)$) such that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{t} - \frac{1}{s})} > M_3 (> 0)$, $\frac{x}{t} > \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mu}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{x}{t})} > M_4 (> 0)$, $\frac{x}{t} < \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$), and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_n$ (resp. $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_n$) (see Theorem 2.4 for the definitions of $\widetilde{\Omega}_n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_n$).

Before proceeding any further, a self-contained synopsis of the BC [14] formulation (see, also, [17, 18, 20]) for the solution of an oscillatory matrix RH problem on an oriented contour is presented. The matrix RH problem on an oriented contour L consists of finding a $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ -valued function $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$ such that: (1) $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L$; (2) $\mathcal{X}_+(\lambda) = \mathcal{X}_-(\lambda)\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in L$, for jump matrix $\mathcal{G}(\lambda): L \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$; and (3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L$, $\mathcal{X}(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$. Writing the jump matrix in the following factorised form, $\mathcal{G}(\lambda) := (\mathbb{I} - w_{x,t}^-(\lambda))^{-1} (\mathbb{I} + w_{x,t}^+(\lambda))$, $\lambda \in L$, where $w_{x,t}^\pm(\lambda) \in \cap_{p \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ (with $\|w_{x,t}^\pm(\cdot)\|_{\cap_{k \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^k(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} := \sum_{k \in \{2, \infty\}} \|w_{x,t}^\pm(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$), respectively, are nilpotent off-diagonal upper/lower triangular 2×2 matrices, define $w_{x,t}(\lambda) := w_{x,t}^-(\lambda) + w_{x,t}^+(\lambda)$, and introduce the operator $C_{w_{x,t}}$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ as $C_{w_{x,t}} f := C_+(f w_{x,t}^-) + C_-(f w_{x,t}^+)$, where $C_\pm: \mathcal{L}^2(L; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ denote the Cauchy operators, $(C_\pm f)(\lambda) := \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \int_L \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - \lambda')} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([14]) *If $\mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{I} \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ solves the following linear singular integral equation,*

$$(\mathbf{Id} - C_{w_{x,t}})\mu = \mathbf{I},$$

where \mathbf{Id} is the identity operator on $\mathbb{I} \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(L; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, then the solution of the RH problem for $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$ is

$$\mathcal{X}(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \int_L \frac{\mu(\xi) w_{x,t}(\xi) d\xi}{(\xi - \lambda) 2\pi i}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L,$$

where $\mu(\lambda) = \mathcal{X}_+(\lambda)(\mathbb{I} + w_{x,t}^+(\lambda))^{-1} = \mathcal{X}_-(\lambda)(\mathbb{I} - w_{x,t}^-(\lambda))^{-1}$.

From Lemma 2.2, Remark 2.3, and Theorem 2.1, the solution of the Cauchy problem for Eq. (2) is

$$Q(x, t) = -i \left([\sigma_3, \int_{\hat{\Gamma}} ((\mathbf{Id} - C_{w_{x,t}})^{-1} \mathbb{I})(\xi) w_{x,t}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i}] \right)_{12}, \quad (9)$$

where $w_{x,t}(\lambda) := \sum_{l \in \{\pm\}} w_{x,t}^l(\lambda)$, and $w_{x,t}^\pm(\lambda) := \exp\{-i(\lambda^2 x + 2\lambda^4 t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\} w^\pm(\lambda)$.

Remark 2.4 To facilitate the reading of the results presented in Theorems 2.2–2.4 (see below), as well as those appearing throughout the paper, the following preamble is necessary: (1) $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a fixed constant; (2) the “symbols” $\underline{c}(z)$ and $c^S(z)$, respectively, which appear in the various error estimations are to be understood as follows, $\underline{c}(z) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>M}; \mathbb{C})$ and $c^S(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M}; \mathbb{C})$; and (3) even though the symbols $\underline{c}(z)$ and $c^S(z)$ are **not** equal and should properly be denoted as $c_1(z)$, $c_2(z)$, etc., the simplified “notations” $\underline{c}(z)$ and $c^S(z)$ are retained throughout since the principal concern here is not their explicit functional z -dependence, but rather, the functional class(es) to which they belong.

Remark 2.5 In Theorems 2.2–2.4 (see below), one should keep the upper signs as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and the lower signs as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ everywhere, and, concerning the constants \mathcal{K}_1^\pm which appear in these theorems, the reader should refer to Sec. 4, Remark 4.2.

In this paper, the following results are proven:

Theorem 2.2 Let $m(x, t; \lambda)$ be the solution of the RH problem formulated in Lemma 2.1 with the condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$ and $Q(x, t)$, the solution of Eq. (2), be defined by Eq. (7).

Then, for $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}} > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, $\Omega_n := \{(x, t); x - 4t\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,

$$Q(x, t) = Q_\pm(x, t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\ln|t|)^{\varepsilon(\pm)}}{(\pm\lambda_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$Q_\pm(x, t) := \frac{e^{i\tau_\pm(\lambda_0; t)} u_{1,1,0}^\pm(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\pm t}} + \frac{u_{1,2,0}^\pm(\lambda_0)}{(\pm t)} + \frac{e^{i\tau_\pm(\lambda_0; t)} \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{1,3,q}^\pm(\lambda_0) (\ln|t|)^q}{(\pm t)^{3/2}} + \frac{u_{1,3,0}^\pm(\lambda_0)}{(\pm t)^{3/2}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\tau_\pm(z_1; z_2) := 4z_1^4 z_2 \mp \nu(z_1) \ln|z_2|, \quad (12)$$

$$\nu(z) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1 - |r(z)|^2), \quad (13)$$

$$u_{1,1,0}^\pm(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\nu(z)}{2z^2}} \exp\{i(\phi^\pm(z) + \hat{\Phi}^\pm(z) + \frac{\pi}{2})\}, \quad (14)$$

$$\phi^+(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^z \ln|\xi^2 - z^2| d \ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \ln|\xi^2 + z^2| d \ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2), \quad (15)$$

$$\phi^-(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_z^\infty \ln|\xi^2 - z^2| d \ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2), \quad (16)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}^\pm(z) = \pm \arg \Gamma(i\nu(z)) + \arg r(z) \mp 3\nu(z) \ln 2 + \frac{(2\pm 1)\pi}{4} + 2 \sum_{l \in L_\pm} \arg \left(\frac{(z - \bar{\lambda}_l)(z + \bar{\lambda}_l)}{(z - \lambda_l)(z + \lambda_l)} \right), \quad (17)$$

$$u_{1,2,0}^\pm(z) = \mp \frac{i(r'(0) - r'(i0)) \exp\{2i(\vartheta_\pm(z) + 2 \sum_{l \in L_\pm} \gamma_l)\}}{\pi z^2 2^3}, \quad (18)$$

$$r'(0) := \left(\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad r'(i0) := \left(\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad (19)$$

$$\vartheta_+(z) = - \int_0^z \frac{\ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \quad \vartheta_-(z) = - \int_z^\infty \frac{\ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \quad (20)$$

$$u_{-1,3,0}^{\pm}(z) = \mp \frac{i(r'(0)-r'(i0)) \exp\{2i(\vartheta_{\pm}(z)+2\sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l)\} \mathcal{K}_1^{\pm}}{\pi z^3 2^3}, \quad (21)$$

$$u_{1,3,2}^{\pm}(z) = \frac{\pm i \left(\frac{\partial \nu(z)}{\partial z}\right)^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z) + \nu(z) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(z)}{\partial z}\right)^2 \overline{\{u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)\} \exp\{2i\varphi_{\pm}(z)\}} - u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{64z^2}, \quad (22)$$

$$u_{1,3,1}^{\pm}(z) = \pm(i \mp \nu(z)) \mathcal{R}_o^{\pm}(z) + \nu(z) \exp\{2i\varphi_{\pm}(z)\} \overline{\mathcal{R}_o^{\pm}(z)}, \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_o^{\pm}(z) := & \mp 2i u_{1,3,2}^{\pm}(z) \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{\partial z}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(z)}{\partial z}\right)}{32z^2} \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(z)}{\partial z^2}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{64z^2} \mp \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial \nu(z)}{\partial z}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{64z^3} \\ & - \frac{\nu(z) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(z)}{\partial z}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{16z^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$u_{1,3,0}^{\pm}(z) = \pm(i \mp \nu(z)) \mathcal{S}_o^{\pm}(z) + \nu(z) \exp\{2i\varphi_{\pm}(z)\} \overline{\mathcal{S}_o^{\pm}(z)}, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_o^{\pm}(z) := & \mp i u_{1,3,1}^{\pm}(z) - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{\partial z^2}\right)}{64z^2} + \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{\partial z}\right)}{64z^3} \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{\partial z}\right) \nu(z) \exp\{2i\varphi_{\pm}(z)\}}{16z^3} \\ & - \frac{(\nu(z))^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm}(z)}{8z^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\varphi_{\pm}(z) := \phi^{\pm}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm}(z) + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (27)$$

$\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function [21], $\sum_{l \in L_+} := \sum_{l=n+1}^N$, $\sum_{l \in L_-} := \sum_{l=1}^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{K}_1^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\varepsilon(\pm) := \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm 1)$, and, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $\mu_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{t}} > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \cup_n \mathcal{U}_n := \{(x, t); x - 4t\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$Q(x, t) = Q'_{\pm}(x, t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\mu_0)(\ln|t|)^{\varepsilon(\pm)}}{(\pm\mu_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (28)$$

where

$$Q'_{\pm}(x, t) := \frac{e^{i\tau'_{\pm}(\mu_0; t)} u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(\mu_0)}{\sqrt{\pm t}} + \frac{u_{-1,2,0}^{\pm'}(\mu_0)}{(\pm t)} + \frac{e^{i\tau'_{\pm}(\mu_0; t)} \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{1,3,q}^{\pm'}(\mu_0) (\ln|t|)^q}{(\pm t)^{3/2}} + \frac{u_{-1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\mu_0)}{(\pm t)^{3/2}}, \quad (29)$$

$$\tau'_{\pm}(z_1; z_2) := 4z_1^4 z_2 \mp \nu(i z_1) \ln|z_2|, \quad (30)$$

$$\nu(iz) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1 + |r(iz)|^2), \quad (31)$$

$$u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z) = \sqrt{-\frac{\nu(iz)}{2z^2}} \exp\{i(\phi^{\pm'}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(z) + \pi)\}, \quad (32)$$

$$\phi^{\pm'}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^z \ln|\xi^2 - z^2| d \ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \ln|\xi^2 + z^2| d \ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2), \quad (33)$$

$$\phi^{-'}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_z^{\infty} \ln|\xi^2 - z^2| d \ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2), \quad (34)$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(z) = \pm \arg \Gamma(i\nu(iz)) + \arg r(iz) \mp 3\nu(iz) \ln 2 - \frac{(2\mp 1)\pi}{4} - 2 \sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \arg \left(\frac{(z - \overline{\lambda}_l)(z + \overline{\lambda}_l)}{(z - \lambda_l)(z + \lambda_l)} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$u_{-1,2,0}^{\pm'}(z) = \mp \frac{(r'(0) - r'(i0)) \exp\{2i(\vartheta'_{\pm}(z) - 2\sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l)\}}{\pi z^2 2^3}, \quad (36)$$

$$\vartheta'_+(z) = -\int_0^z \frac{\ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \quad \vartheta'_-(z) = -\int_z^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \quad (37)$$

$$u_{-1,3,0}^{\pm'}(z) = \mp \frac{i(r'(0) - r'(i0)) \exp\{2i(\vartheta'_{\pm}(z) - 2\sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l)\} \mathcal{K}_1^{\pm}}{\pi z^3 2^3}, \quad (38)$$

$$u_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(z) = \frac{\pm i \left(\frac{\partial \nu(iz)}{\partial z}\right)^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z) - \nu(iz) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(iz)}{\partial z}\right)^2 \overline{\{u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)\} \exp\{2i\varphi'_{\pm}(z)\}} + u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{64z^2}, \quad (39)$$

$$u_{1,3,1}^{\pm'}(z) = \pm(i \mp \nu(iz)) \mathcal{R}_o^{\pm'}(z) - \nu(iz) \exp\{2i\varphi'_{\pm}(z)\} \overline{\mathcal{R}_o^{\pm'}(z)}, \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_o^{\pm'}(z) := & \mp 2i u_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(z) \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{\partial z}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(iz)}{\partial z}\right)}{32z^2} \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(iz)}{\partial z^2}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{64z^2} \mp \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial \nu(iz)}{\partial z}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{64z^3} \\ & - \frac{\nu(iz) \left(\frac{\partial \nu(iz)}{\partial z}\right) u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{16z^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$u_{1,3,0}^{\pm'}(z) = \pm(i \mp \nu(iz)) \mathcal{S}_o^{\pm'}(z) - \nu(iz) \exp\{2i\varphi'_{\pm}(z)\} \overline{\mathcal{S}_o^{\pm'}(z)}, \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_o^{\pm'}(z) := & \mp i u_{1,3,1}^{\pm'}(z) - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{\partial z^2}\right)}{64z^2} + \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{\partial z}\right)}{64z^3} \pm \frac{i \left(\frac{\partial u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{\partial z}\right) \nu(iz) \exp\{2i\varphi'_{\pm}(z)\}}{16z^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{(\nu(iz))^2 u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(z)}{8z^4}, \quad (43)$$

$$\varphi'_{\pm}(z) := \phi^{\pm'}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(z) + \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (44)$$

Theorem 2.3 Let $m(x, t; \lambda)$ be the solution of the RH problem formulated in Lemma 2.1 with the condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$ and $q(x, t)$, the solution of the DNLS (Eq. (4)), be defined by Eq. (8). Then, for $q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}} > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, $\Omega_n := \{(x, t); x - 4t\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,

$$q(x, t) = Q_{\pm}(x, t) \exp\{i \arg q_{\pm}(x, t)\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{\mathcal{S}(\lambda_0)(\ln|t|)^2}}{(\pm\lambda_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (45)$$

where $Q_{\pm}(x, t)$ are given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (11)–(27), and

$$\begin{aligned} \arg q_{\pm}(x, t) &= \epsilon^{\pm}(\lambda_0) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pm t}} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\nu(\xi)}}{\xi^2} (R_r \sin(\kappa_{\pm}(\xi; t)) - R_i \cos(\kappa_{\pm}(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\ &\quad \pm \frac{|R(0)|^2}{\pi^2 2^4 \lambda_0^2 (\pm t)} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\pm t)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\nu(\xi)}}{\xi^3} (a^{\pm} \sin(\kappa_{\pm}(\xi; t)) - b^{\pm} \cos(\kappa_{\pm}(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\ &\quad \pm \frac{1}{2^3 (\pm t)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4(\nu(\xi))^2}{\xi^5} + \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} - \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} - \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2}{\xi^3} \pm \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \mp \frac{3\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} \right) d\xi \mp \frac{\ln|t|}{2^3 (\pm t)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2}{\xi^3} - \frac{3\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\epsilon^{\pm}(z) := -2\vartheta_{\pm}(z), \quad (47)$$

$$\kappa_{\pm}(z; t) := \tau_{\pm}(z; t) + \phi^{\pm}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm}(z) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon^{\pm}(z) - 4 \sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l, \quad (48)$$

$$R(0) := r'(0) - r'(i0), \quad R_r := \Re\{R(0)\}, \quad R_i := \Im\{R(0)\}, \quad (49)$$

$$a^{\pm} := R_r \mathcal{K}_{1,r}^{\pm} - R_i \mathcal{K}_{1,i}^{\pm}, \quad b^{\pm} := R_r \mathcal{K}_{1,i}^{\pm} + R_i \mathcal{K}_{1,r}^{\pm}, \quad (50)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,r}^{\pm} := \Re\{\mathcal{K}_1^{\pm}\}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{1,i}^{\pm} := \Im\{\mathcal{K}_1^{\pm}\}, \quad (51)$$

and, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $\mu_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{t}} > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_n$, $\mathcal{U}_n := \{(x, t); x - 4t\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$q(x, t) = Q'_{\pm}(x, t) \exp\{i \arg q'_{\pm}(x, t)\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{\mathcal{S}(\mu_0)(\ln|t|)^2}}{(\pm\mu_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (52)$$

where $Q'_{\pm}(x, t)$ are given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (29)–(44), and

$$\begin{aligned} \arg q'_{\pm}(x, t) &= \epsilon^{\pm'}(\mu_0) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pm t}} \int_{\mu_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{-\nu(i\xi)}}{\xi^2} (R_r \cos(\kappa'_{\pm}(\xi; t)) + R_i \sin(\kappa'_{\pm}(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\ &\quad \mp \frac{|R(0)|^2}{\pi^2 2^4 \mu_0^2 (\pm t)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\pm t)} \int_{\mu_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{-\nu(i\xi)}}{\xi^3} (a^{\pm} \sin(\kappa'_{\pm}(\xi; t)) - b^{\pm} \cos(\kappa'_{\pm}(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\ &\quad \pm \frac{1}{2^3 (\pm t)} \int_{\mu_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4(\nu(i\xi))^2}{\xi^5} + \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} - \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} - \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2}{\xi^3} \pm \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})(\frac{\partial \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \mp \frac{3\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} \right) d\xi \mp \frac{\ln|t|}{2^3 (\pm t)} \int_{\mu_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2}{\xi^3} - \frac{3\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\epsilon^{\pm'}(z) := -2\vartheta'_{\pm}(z), \quad (54)$$

$$\kappa'_{\pm}(z; t) := \tau'_{\pm}(z; t) + \phi^{\pm'}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(z) + \pi + \epsilon^{\pm'}(z) + 4 \sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l. \quad (55)$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $m(x, t; \lambda)$ be the solution of the RH problem formulated in Lemma 2.1 with the condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$ and $u(x, t)$, the solution of the MNLSE (Eq. (1)), be defined by Eq. (5) in terms of the function $q(x, t)$ given in Theorem 2.3. Then, for $u(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{t} - \frac{1}{s})} > M$, $\frac{x}{t} > \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and

$(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_n$, $\tilde{\Omega}_n := \{(x, t); -x + t(\frac{1}{s} - 2\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n) = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,

$$u(x, t) = \hat{v}_\pm(x, t) \hat{w}_\pm(x, t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^S(\hat{\lambda}_0)(\ln|t|)^2}{(\pm\hat{\lambda}_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (56)$$

where

$$\hat{v}_\pm(x, t) = \frac{e^{i\hat{\tau}_\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0; t)} v_{1,1,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0)}{\sqrt{\pm t}} + \frac{v_{-1,2,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0)}{(\pm t)} + \frac{e^{i\hat{\tau}_\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0; t)} \sum_{q=0}^2 v_{1,3,q}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0)(\ln|t|)^q}{(\pm t)^{3/2}} + \frac{v_{-1,3,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0)}{(\pm t)^{3/2}}, \quad (57)$$

$$\hat{\tau}_\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0; t) := \tau_\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0; t/2), \quad (58)$$

$$v_{1,1,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} u_{1,1,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0), \quad (59)$$

$$v_{-1,2,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{s}} u_{-1,2,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0), \quad (60)$$

$$v_{1,3,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} (u_{1,3,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) - u_{1,3,1}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) \ln 2 + u_{1,3,2}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) (\ln 2)^2), \quad (61)$$

$$v_{1,3,1}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} (u_{1,3,1}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) - 2u_{1,3,2}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) \ln 2), \quad (62)$$

$$v_{1,3,2}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} u_{1,3,2}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0), \quad (63)$$

$$v_{-1,3,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} u_{-1,3,0}^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0), \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}_\pm(x, t) = & \exp\left\{i\left(\epsilon^\pm(\hat{\lambda}_0) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pm t}} \int_{\hat{\lambda}_0}^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\nu(\xi)}}{\xi^2} (R_r \sin(\hat{\kappa}_\pm(\xi; t)) - R_i \cos(\hat{\kappa}_\pm(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi}\right.\right. \\ & \pm \frac{|R(0)|^2}{\pi 2^{23} \hat{\lambda}_0^2 (\pm t)} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{(\pm t)} \int_{\hat{\lambda}_0}^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\nu(\xi)}}{\xi^3} (a^\pm \sin(\hat{\kappa}_\pm(\xi; t)) - b^\pm \cos(\hat{\kappa}_\pm(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\ & \pm \frac{1}{2^2 (\pm t)} \int_{\hat{\lambda}_0}^\infty \left(\frac{4(\nu(\xi))^2}{\xi^5} + \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi}) \ln(e/8)}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2}) \ln(2/e)}{\xi^3} + \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2 \ln(2/e)}{\xi^3}\right. \\ & \pm \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})(\frac{\partial \varphi_\pm(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^3} \pm \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_\pm(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \mp \frac{3\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \varphi_\pm(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} \Big) d\xi \mp \frac{\ln|t|}{2^2 (\pm t)} \int_{\hat{\lambda}_0}^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\xi^3}\right)^2 \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{3\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3}\right) d\xi + \frac{t}{2s^2} (4\hat{\lambda}_0^2 s + 1)\right\}, \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\kappa}_\pm(z; t) := \hat{\tau}_\pm(z; t) + \phi^\pm(z) + \hat{\Phi}^\pm(z) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon^\pm(z) - 4 \sum_{l \in L_\pm} \gamma_l, \quad (66)$$

and, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ or $\pm\infty$ such that $\hat{\mu}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{x}{t})} > M$, $\frac{x}{t} < \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n := \{(x, t); -x + t(\frac{1}{s} - 2\Delta_n^2 \cos \gamma_n) = \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, for those $\gamma_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$u(x, t) = \tilde{v}_\pm(x, t) \tilde{w}_\pm(x, t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^S(\hat{\mu}_0)(\ln|t|)^2}{(\pm\hat{\mu}_0^2 t)^2}\right), \quad (67)$$

where

$$\tilde{v}_\pm(x, t) = \frac{e^{i\tilde{\tau}'_\pm(\hat{\mu}_0; t)} v_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0)}{\sqrt{\pm t}} + \frac{v_{-1,2,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0)}{(\pm t)} + \frac{e^{i\tilde{\tau}'_\pm(\hat{\mu}_0; t)} \sum_{q=0}^2 v_{1,3,q}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0)(\ln|t|)^q}{(\pm t)^{3/2}} + \frac{v_{-1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0)}{(\pm t)^{3/2}}, \quad (68)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}'_\pm(\hat{\mu}_0; t) := \tau'_\pm(\hat{\mu}_0; t/2), \quad (69)$$

$$v_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} u_{1,1,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0), \quad (70)$$

$$v_{-1,2,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{s}} u_{-1,2,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0), \quad (71)$$

$$v_{1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} (u_{1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) - u_{1,3,1}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) \ln 2 + u_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) (\ln 2)^2), \quad (72)$$

$$v_{1,3,1}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} (u_{1,3,1}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) - 2u_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) \ln 2), \quad (73)$$

$$v_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} u_{1,3,2}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0), \quad (74)$$

$$v_{-1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} u_{-1,3,0}^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0), \quad (75)$$

$$\tilde{w}_\pm(x, t) = \exp\left\{i\left(\epsilon^{\pm'}(\hat{\mu}_0) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pm t}} \int_{\hat{\mu}_0}^\infty \frac{\sqrt{-\nu(i\xi)}}{\xi^2} (R_r \cos(\tilde{\kappa}'_\pm(\xi; t)) + R_i \sin(\tilde{\kappa}'_\pm(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi}\right.\right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mp \frac{|R(0)|^2}{\pi^2 2^3 \widehat{\mu}_0^2(\pm t)} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{(\pm t)} \int_{\widehat{\mu}_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{-\nu(i\xi)}}{\xi^3} (a^{\pm} \sin(\widetilde{\kappa}'_{\pm}(\xi; t)) - b^{\pm} \cos(\widetilde{\kappa}'_{\pm}(\xi; t))) \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \\
& \pm \frac{1}{2^2(\pm t)} \int_{\widehat{\mu}_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4(\nu(i\xi))^2}{\xi^5} + \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi}) \ln(e/8)}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi^2}) \ln(2/e)}{\xi^3} + \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2 \ln(2/e)}{\xi^3} \right. \\
& \left. \pm \frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})(\frac{\partial \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^3} \pm \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \mp \frac{3\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \varphi'_{\pm}(\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} \right) d\xi \mp \frac{\ln|t|}{2^2(\pm t)} \int_{\widehat{\mu}_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})^2}{\xi^3} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{3\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi})}{\xi^4} + \frac{\nu(i\xi)(\frac{\partial^2 \nu(i\xi)}{\partial \xi^2})}{\xi^3} \right) d\xi - \frac{t}{2s^2} (4\widehat{\mu}_0^2 s - 1) \Bigg\}, \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{\kappa}'_{\pm}(z; t) := \widetilde{\tau}'_{\pm}(z; t) + \phi^{\pm'}(z) + \widehat{\Phi}^{\pm'}(z) + \pi + \epsilon^{\pm'}(z) + 4 \sum_{l \in L_{\pm}} \gamma_l. \tag{77}$$

Remark 2.6 The term-by-term differentiation of the asymptotic expansions given in Theorems 2.2–2.4 will not be proven here since the proof is identical to that given in Sec. 4 of [11]. In this paper, the proof of the asymptotic expansions for $Q(x, t)$ and $q(x, t)$ (resp. $u(x, t)$) is presented for the cases $(x, t) \rightarrow (\mp\infty, \pm\infty)$ (resp. $(x, t) \rightarrow (\pm\infty, \pm\infty)$) such that $\lambda_0 > M$ (resp. $\widehat{\lambda}_0 > M$) and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$ (resp. $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \widehat{\Omega}_n$) for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$: the results for the remaining domains of the (x, t) -plane are obtained analogously. For a recent account of the asymptotic behaviour of non-soliton solutions of the defocusing NLS equation (resp. DNLS) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ (resp. $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ such that $x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) in weighted Sobolev spaces, see [22] (resp. [23]); furthermore, for some recent results pertaining to the solvability of RH problems in weighted Sobolev spaces, see [24].

3 Higher Order Deift-Zhou Theory

In this section, employing the higher-order generalisation of the Deift-Zhou [11] procedure, the asymptotic expansion to all orders as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 3.4 (see below) is systematically derived: hereafter, all explicit x, t dependences are suppressed, except where absolutely necessary.




Figure 2:

Lemma 3.1 ([2]) *Set $\varsigma := \cup_{l \in \{B, A, C\}} \varsigma_l$ (Fig. 2). As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, there exists a unique function $m^{\varsigma}(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which solves the following RH problem:*

- (1) $m^{\varsigma}(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma$;
- (2) $m^{\varsigma}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$m_{+}^{\varsigma}(\lambda) = m_{-}^{\varsigma}(\lambda) v^{\varsigma}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \varsigma,$$

where

$$v^{\varsigma}(\lambda)|_{\varsigma_j^{(1)} \cup \varsigma_j^{(2)}} = \text{I} + \mathcal{R}_j^{(1,2)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\delta^{+}(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} \exp\{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)\} \sigma_{-},$$

$$v^\varsigma(\lambda)|_{\varsigma_j^{(3)} \cup \varsigma_j^{(4)}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{R}_j^{(3,4)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 \exp\{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)\} \sigma_+,$$

$$v^\varsigma(\lambda)|_{\varsigma_C^{(1)} \cup \varsigma_C^{(2)}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{R}_C^{(1,2)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 \exp\{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)\} \sigma_+,$$

$$v^\varsigma(\lambda)|_{\varsigma_C^{(3)} \cup \varsigma_C^{(4)}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{R}_C^{(3,4)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} \exp\{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)\} \sigma_-,$$

$j \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0) = 2\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0^2)$, $\{0, \pm\lambda_0\} = \{\lambda'; \partial_\lambda \rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)|_{\lambda=\lambda'} = 0\}$ are the first-order stationary phase points,

$$\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \exp\left\{ \sum_{l \in \{\pm\}} \left(\int_0^{l\lambda_0} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi-\lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} + \int_{i\infty}^0 \frac{\ln(1-r(\xi)\overline{r(\bar{\xi})})}{(\xi-\lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \right) \right\},$$

and $\{\mathcal{R}_B^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda; \lambda_0), \mathcal{R}_A^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda; \lambda_0), \mathcal{R}_C^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda; \lambda_0)\}_{l \in \{1,3\}}$ are some rational functions which decay to zero as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \varsigma \setminus \cup_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} \{\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0\}$, where $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, and have, respectively, Taylor series expansions in $\{\lambda'; |\lambda' - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0| < \varepsilon\} \cap \varsigma_k$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, where ε is an arbitrarily fixed, sufficiently small positive real number;

(3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma$,

$$m^\varsigma(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$Q(x, t) = 2i \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma}} (\lambda m^\varsigma(x, t; \lambda))_{12} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0)}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{l'}}$$

with $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, satisfies Eq. (2), and $m^\varsigma(\lambda)$ satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $m^\varsigma(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m^\varsigma(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $\overline{m^\varsigma(\lambda)} = \sigma_1 m^\varsigma(\overline{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

Analysing the signature graph of $\Re(it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0))$, it was shown in [2] that, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, $\exists \varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $-\mathbf{I} + v^\varsigma(\lambda)|_{\varsigma_k^{(j)} \cup \varsigma_k^{(j+1)}} \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_o t})$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, $j \in \{1, 3\}$.

Proposition 3.1 Set $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, and $z_B(\lambda_0) = z_A(\lambda_0) = z_C(\lambda_0)/\sqrt{2} := z(16\lambda_0^2)^{-1/2}$. For $j \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\lambda \in \varsigma_j \setminus \{\text{sgn}(j)\lambda_0\}$, change variables according to the rule $\lambda - \text{sgn}(j)\lambda_0 \rightarrow z_j(\lambda_0)/\sqrt{t}$ ($\neq 0$). Then as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$v^\varsigma(\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0 + \frac{z_k(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{t}}) = \exp\{i(2\lambda_0^4 t - \frac{\nu(\lambda_0)}{2} \ln t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\} V^k(z; \lambda_0),$$

$$V^k(z; \lambda_0) := \mathbf{I} + e^{i\{-8\lambda_0^2 z^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln z\} \text{ad}(\sigma_3)} \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{V_{p,q}^k(z; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} + \mathcal{E}^k(z; \lambda_0),$$

$$v^\varsigma(\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C})\lambda_0 + \frac{z_C(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{t}}) := V^{\mathcal{C}}(z; \lambda_0) = \mathbf{I} + e^{i\{4\lambda_0^2 z^2 \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(z; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p+1/2}} + \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}(z; \lambda_0),$$

$k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, where, for $0 \leq p \leq N_o$ and $0 \leq q \leq p$,

$$\|e^{i\{-8\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln(\cdot)\} \text{ad}(\sigma_3)} V_{p,q}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\varsigma'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|e^{i\{4\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2 \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\varsigma'_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|\mathcal{E}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\varsigma'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

$$\|\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\varsigma'_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+3/2}}\right),$$

with ς'_k denoting the shifted and scaled version of ς_k (centred at the origin), and ς'_C denoting the scaled version of ς_C .

Proof. The case $k = \mathcal{B} (\leftrightarrow \lambda_0)$ is considered in detail: the cases $k = \mathcal{A} (\leftrightarrow -\lambda_0)$ and $\mathcal{C} (\leftrightarrow 0)$ are treated analogously. Using the fact that $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})} < 1$ and $r(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{\Gamma};\mathbb{C})$, and recalling that $\nu(\lambda_0) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1 - |r(\lambda_0)|^2) (> 0)$, one expands, via an integration by parts argument, the integral $I(\lambda_0) := \int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\ln(1 - |r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi - \lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i}$, which appears in the expression for $\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ given in Lemma 3.1, and shows that, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $I(\lambda_0) = i\nu(\lambda_0) \ln|\lambda - \lambda_0| + \sum_{m=1}^{N_o} (I_m^{(1)}(\lambda_0) \ln|\lambda - \lambda_0| + I_m^{(2)}(\lambda_0)) (\lambda - \lambda_0)^m + \mathcal{O}(c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0) \ln|\lambda - \lambda_0| (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{N_o+1})$, where $I_m^{(j)}(\lambda_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>M}; \mathbb{C})$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $1 \leq m \leq N_o$; furthermore, one expands $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ given in Lemma 3.1 in a Taylor series about λ_0 and shows that $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0) = -2\lambda_0^4 + 8\lambda_0^2(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + 8\lambda_0(\lambda - \lambda_0)^3 + 2(\lambda - \lambda_0)^4$. Now, using the expressions for $v^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda)|_{\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)} \cup \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j+1)}}$, $j \in \{1, 3\}$, given in Lemma 3.1, expanding $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j,j+1)}(\lambda; \lambda_0)$, $j \in \{1, 3\}$, in Taylor series' about λ_0 , and recalling the above expansions for $I(\lambda_0)$ and $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)$, one makes the transformation (shift and scaling) given in the Proposition, $\lambda - \lambda_0 = z(16\lambda_0^2 t)^{-1/2} (\neq 0)$, and obtains the result for $v^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0 + z(16\lambda_0^2 t)^{-1/2})$ stated in the Proposition by expanding in reciprocal powers of $(\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}$: the estimates for $\|e^{i\{(-8\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln(\cdot)) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ and $\|\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ are a straightforward, but onerous, generalisation of those given in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [10]. Recalling the expressions for $v^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda)|_{\zeta_l^{(j)} \cup \zeta_l^{(j+1)}}$, $j \in \{1, 3\}$, $l \in \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, given in Lemma 3.1, and noting that, in the case of the first-order stationary phase point at the origin [2], $(r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0} = (r(\lambda)|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0} = 0$, proceeding analogously as indicated above, one obtains the results stated in the Proposition. \blacksquare

Definition 3.1 Let $\mathcal{N}(\bullet; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ denote the linear vector space of bounded linear operators acting from $\cup_{p \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(\bullet; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ into $\mathcal{L}^2(\bullet; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proposition 3.2 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$(C_{w^k} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) = \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{(\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} (\widehat{C}_{p,q}^k f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) + (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^k f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0),$$

$$(C_{w^c} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) = \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{(\ln t)^q}{t^{p+1/2}} (\widehat{C}_{p,q}^c f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) + (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^c f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0),$$

$k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, where, for $0 \leq p \leq N_o$ and $0 \leq q \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{C}_{p,q}^k\|_{\mathcal{N}(\zeta_k' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &< \infty, & \|\widehat{C}_{p,q}^c\|_{\mathcal{N}(\zeta_c' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &< \infty, \\ \|\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^k\|_{\mathcal{N}(\zeta_k' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right), & \|\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^c\|_{\mathcal{N}(\zeta_c' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+3/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The case $k = \mathcal{B} (\leftrightarrow \lambda_0)$ is considered in detail: the cases $k = \mathcal{A} (\leftrightarrow -\lambda_0)$ and $\mathcal{C} (\leftrightarrow 0)$ are treated analogously. Recalling the BC [14] formulation (Theorem 2.1 and the paragraph superseding it), and setting $w_{x,t}^+(z) := w_{x,t}^{\mathcal{B},+}(z) = V^{\mathcal{B}}(z; \lambda_0) - \text{I}$ and $w_{x,t}^-(z) := w_{x,t}^{\mathcal{B},-}(z) = 0$ (for the justification of this last step, see [20], pp. 293–294, *Proof of Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 1.9*, and [24]), where the superscript \mathcal{B} refers to the first-order stationary phase point at λ_0 , the operator $C_{w_{x,t}} := C_{w^{\mathcal{B}}}$ on $z \in \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}$, for arbitrary $f(z) \in \cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, is represented as

$$(C_{w^{\mathcal{B}}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) = C_-(f(V^{\mathcal{B}}(z; \lambda_0) - \text{I})) = \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow w \\ w' \in \text{-side of } \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi)(V^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi; \lambda_0) - \text{I})}{(\xi - w')} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i},$$

hence, using the expression for $V^{\mathcal{B}}(z; \lambda_0)$ given in Proposition 3.1, defining, for $0 \leq p \leq N_o$ and $0 \leq q \leq p$, with $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and arbitrary,

$$(\widehat{C}_{p,q}^{\mathcal{B}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow w \\ w' \in \text{-side of } \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}' \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) e^{i\{(-8\lambda_0^2 \xi^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln \xi) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi; \lambda_0)}{(\xi - w')} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i},$$

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow \tilde{w} \\ w' \in -\text{side of } \zeta'_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta'_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi; \lambda_0) d\xi}{(\xi - w')^{2\pi i}},$$

and using the estimates for $\|e^{i\{(-8\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln(\cdot)) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ and $\|\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cap_{l \in \{1,2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ given in Proposition 3.1, one obtains the results stated in the Proposition. For the cases $k = \mathcal{A} (\leftrightarrow -\lambda_0)$ and $\mathcal{C} (\leftrightarrow 0)$, one proceeds analogously as described above, except with the following definitions:

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{p,q}^{\mathcal{A}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow \tilde{w} \\ w' \in -\text{side of } \zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) e^{i\{(-8\lambda_0^2 \xi^2 + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln \xi) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{A}}(\xi; \lambda_0) d\xi}{(\xi - w')^{2\pi i}},$$

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{p,q}^{\mathcal{C}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow \tilde{w} \\ w' \in -\text{side of } \zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) e^{i\{4\lambda_0^2 \xi^2 \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} V_{p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\xi; \lambda_0) d\xi}{(\xi - w')^{2\pi i}},$$

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{A}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow \tilde{w} \\ w' \in -\text{side of } \zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}}(\xi; \lambda_0) d\xi}{(\xi - w')^{2\pi i}},$$

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{C}} f)(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := \lim_{\substack{w' \rightarrow \tilde{w} \\ w' \in -\text{side of } \zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}}} \int_{\zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\xi) \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}(\xi; \lambda_0) d\xi}{(\xi - w')^{2\pi i}},$$

where, for $z \in \zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}$, $f(z) \in \cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, and, for $z \in \zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, $f(z) \in \cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.2 ([2]) *As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, $\exists \epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, with $\epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0) < \infty$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{Id}^k - \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{0,0}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{N}(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} \leq \epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0),$$

where \mathbf{Id}^k denotes the identity operator on $\cup_{p \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

Remark 3.1 More explicitly, it was shown in [2] that, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, $\epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0) = \underline{c}(\lambda_0) (1 - \|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})})^{-1}$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$.

Definition 3.2 For some unbounded domain (open simply-connected set) $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ -valued function $F(\cdot)$, the “notation” $\|F(\cdot)\|_{\cup_{p \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(D; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ is to be understood as follows: (1) $F: \cup_{p \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(D; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$; and (2) the norm is taken as $(\|F(\infty)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}^2 + \|F(\cdot) - F(\infty)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(D; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}^2)^{1/2}$.

Proposition 3.3 *As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$\mu^k(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{\mu_{p,q}^k(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\mu^k(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0),$$

$$\mu^{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{p'=1}^2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{\mu_{p',p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p+p'/2}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\mu^{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0),$$

$k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, where, for $1 \leq p' \leq 2$, $0 \leq p \leq N_o$, and $0 \leq q \leq p$,

$$\|\mu_{p,q}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|\mu_{p',p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\mu^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\mu^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\zeta'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\underline{c}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+3/2}}\right).$$

Proof. For $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, define $\mu^k(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0) := (\mathbf{Id}^k - C_{w^k}(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0))^{-1} \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{Id}^k denotes the identity operator on $\cup_{p \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{S}'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Since, from Lemma 3.2, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, $\exists \epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0) < \infty$ such that

$$\|(\mathbf{Id}^k - \widehat{C}_{0,0}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0))^{-1} \mathbf{I}\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\mathcal{S}'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}'_k \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} \leq \epsilon_{0,0}^k(\lambda_0),$$

the results stated in the Proposition follow from those given in Proposition 3.2, via the method of successive approximations, by expanding $\{\mu^k(\tilde{w}; \lambda_0)\}_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}}$ in von Neumann-type series' as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) (see, also, Part II of [5]). \blacksquare

Definition 3.3 Let $\{m_k^\zeta(\lambda)\}_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}}$ denote the solutions of the corresponding RH problems on $\{v^\zeta(\lambda)|_{\mathcal{S}'_k \cup \mathcal{S}'_k^{(p+1)}, \mathcal{S}_k}\}_{\substack{p \in \{1, 3\} \\ k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}}}$, and $\{m_k^{\zeta'}(\tilde{w})\}_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}}$ denote the solutions of the associated RH problems on $\{v^{\zeta'}(\tilde{w})|_{\mathcal{S}'_k \cup \mathcal{S}'_k^{(p+1)}, \mathcal{S}'_k}\}_{\substack{p \in \{1, 3\} \\ k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}}}$.

Proposition 3.4 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$m_k^\zeta(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + e^{\frac{i}{2}\{(4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu(\lambda_0) \ln t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{m_{p,q}^k(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} + E_{m^\zeta}^k(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

$$m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{p'=0}^1 \sum_{p=1}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{m_{p',p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p+p'/2}} + E_{m^\zeta}^{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

$k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, where, for $0 \leq p' \leq 1$, $1 \leq p \leq N_o$, and $0 \leq q \leq p-1$,

$$\|m_{p,q}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_k; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty, \quad \|m_{p',p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|E_{m^\zeta}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_k; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

$$\|E_{m^\zeta}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+1}}\right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.1, the change-of-variable rule in Proposition 3.1, and the proof of Proposition 3.2, it follows that,

$$m_k^\zeta(\lambda) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\{(4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu(\lambda_0) \ln t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} m_k^{\zeta'}((16\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0))$$

$$= \mathbf{I} + e^{\frac{i}{2}\{(4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu(\lambda_0) \ln t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)\}} \int_{(16\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}_k \setminus \{\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0\})} \frac{\mu^k(\xi; \lambda_0) (V^k(\xi; \lambda_0) - \mathbf{I})}{(\xi - (16\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0))} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i},$$

$$m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda) = m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta'}((8\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \int_{(8\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\})} \frac{\mu^{\mathcal{C}}(\xi; \lambda_0) (V^{\mathcal{C}}(\xi; \lambda_0) - \mathbf{I})}{(\xi - (8\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}\lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i},$$

$k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, with $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$. The results stated in the Proposition now follow from the asymptotic expansions given in Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, and the following geometric progressions, $(\xi - (16\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0))^{-1} = -\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \xi^l ((16\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0))^{-(l+1)}$ and $(\xi - (8\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}\lambda)^{-1} = -\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \xi^l ((8\lambda_0^2 t)^{1/2}\lambda)^{-(l+1)}$. \blacksquare

Let Ξ_k be sufficiently small, counter-clockwise-oriented, mutually disjoint oblongs centred at $\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, where $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (see Fig. 3).

Corollary 3.1 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\|m_{p,q}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Xi_k; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty, \quad \|m_{p',p,q}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Xi_{\mathcal{C}}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|E_{m^\zeta}^k(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Xi_k; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

$$\|E_{m^\zeta}^{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Xi_{\mathcal{C}}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+1}}\right),$$

where $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, $0 \leq p' \leq 1$, $1 \leq p \leq N_o$, and $0 \leq q \leq p-1$.




Figure 3:

Proof. Since, from Lemma 3.1, the solution of the RH problem $(m^\varsigma(\lambda), v^\varsigma(\lambda), \varsigma)$ is bounded, i.e., $\|m^\varsigma(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty$, the results stated in the Corollary are an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.4. \blacksquare

Following Eqs. (2.38) and *Remark 2.49* in [11], make the following definition (see Fig. 4):

Definition 3.4 Set

$$m^\Xi(\lambda) := \begin{cases} m^\varsigma(\lambda), & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} (\text{int } \Xi_k \cup \Xi_k), \\ m^\varsigma(\lambda)(m_k^\varsigma(\lambda))^{-1}, & \lambda \in \text{int } \Xi_k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.3 Set $\Xi := \bigcup_{l \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} \Xi_l$. As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, there exists a unique function $m^\Xi(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Xi \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which solves the following RH problem:

(1) $m^\Xi(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Xi$;

(2) $m^\Xi(\lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$m_+^\Xi(\lambda) = m_-^\Xi(\lambda)v^\Xi(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Xi,$$

where, for $l \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$,

$$v^\Xi(\lambda)|_{\Xi_l} := (v_-^\Xi(\lambda))^{-1}v_+^\Xi(\lambda)|_{\Xi_l} = (m_l^\varsigma(\lambda))^{-1};$$

(3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Xi$,

$$m^\Xi(\lambda) = \text{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$Q(x, t) = 2i \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Xi}} (\lambda m^\Xi(x, t; \lambda))_{12} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e(\lambda_0)}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{l'}}\right),$$

with $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, satisfies Eq. (2), and $m^\Xi(\lambda)$ satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $m^\Xi(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m^\Xi(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m^\Xi(\lambda) = \sigma_1 m^\Xi(\bar{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.1, the fact that $m_+^\varsigma(\lambda) = m_-^\varsigma(\lambda) = m^\varsigma(\lambda) \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \varsigma$, and the definition of $m^\Xi(\lambda)$. \blacksquare

Definition 3.5 Let $i, j, k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$. For $i \neq j \neq k$, set

$$m_i^\sharp(\lambda) := \begin{cases} m_i^\varsigma(\lambda), & \lambda \in \Xi_i, \\ \text{I}, & \lambda \in \Xi_j \cup \Xi_k. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.5 The operator C_{w^Ξ} for the inverse problem on Ξ is given by

$$C_{w^\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \sum_{X \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} X^\Xi(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$




Figure 4:

where, for any $f \in \cup_{p \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $X \neq Y \neq Z \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$,

$$(X^{\Xi} f)(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \begin{cases} C_{-}(f((m_X^{\sharp})^{-1} - \mathbf{I})), & \lambda \in \Xi_X, \\ 0, & \lambda \in \Xi_Y \cup \Xi_Z, \end{cases}$$

and, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\mu^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_o} \left(\sum_{X \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} X^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) \right)^j + E^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

with

$$\|E^{\Xi}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 (and the paragraph preceding it: the analogue of the BC [14] operator $C_{w_{x,t}}$ on Ξ , denoted here as $C_{w^{\Xi}}$, Lemma 3.3 (the expression for $v^{\Xi}(\lambda)|_{\Xi_l}$, $l \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$), Definition 3.5, and setting $\{w_{\Xi_l}^{\pm}(\lambda)\}_{l \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} = 0$ and $w_{\Xi_k}^{\pm}(\lambda) = v^{\Xi}(\lambda)|_{\Xi_k} - \mathbf{I} = (m_k^{\zeta}(\lambda))^{-1} - \mathbf{I}$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, one obtains, from the asymptotic expansions given in Corollary 3.1, the expressions for $C_{w^{\Xi}}(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ and $(X^{\Xi} f)(\lambda; \lambda_0)$, $X \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, given in the Proposition: now, using the method of successive approximations, one expands, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, the function $\mu^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) := (\mathbf{Id}^{\Xi} - C_{w^{\Xi}}(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-1} \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{Id}^{Ξ} denotes the identity operator on $\cup_{p \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^p(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, in a von Neumann-type series (see, also, Part II of [5]), and obtains, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the result for $\mu^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ and the estimation for $\|E^{\Xi}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi; M_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ stated in the Proposition. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.6 *As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$X^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \sum_{p=1}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\ln t)^q \tilde{X}_{p,q}(\lambda; \lambda_0)}{t^{p/2}} + E_X(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

$$C^{\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \sum_{p'=0}^1 \sum_{p=1}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\ln t)^q C_{p',p,q}(\lambda; \lambda_0)}{t^{p+p'/2}} + E_C(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

where $X \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, $\tilde{X}_{p,q}(\lambda; \lambda_0) := \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\varpi_2(\lambda_0)\} X_{p,q}(\lambda; \lambda_0) \exp\{-\frac{i}{2}\varpi_2(\lambda_0)\}$, with $\varpi_2(\lambda_0) := (4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu(\lambda_0) \ln t) \text{ad}(\sigma_3)$, and, for $0 \leq p' \leq 1$, $1 \leq p \leq N_o$, and $0 \leq q \leq p-1$,

$$\|X_{p,q}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi_X; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi_X; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|C_{p',p,q}(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi_C; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi_C; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|E_X(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi_X; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi_X; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^S(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

$$\|E_C(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\Xi_C; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Xi_C; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+1}}\right).$$

Proof. The results stated in the Proposition are a consequence of those given in Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, the definition of $m_i^{\sharp}(\lambda)$, and the analogues of Eqs. (2.46) in [11]. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.4 *Let $m^\Xi(\lambda)$ be the solution of the RH problem formulated in Lemma 3.3. Then as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$Q(x, t) = \sum_{\substack{k=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{\substack{p=|k| \\ N_o}}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-|k|} \frac{\exp\{\frac{i}{2}(k+1)(4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu(\lambda_0) \ln t)\} u_{k,p,q}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\underline{c}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{N_o}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

where, for $k = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $|k| \leq p \leq N_o$, and $q > p - |k|$, $u_{k,p,q}^+(\lambda_0) \equiv 0$.

Proof. From Eq. (9) and Lemma 3.3, one shows that, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $Q(x, t) = -i([\sigma_3, \int_\Xi((\mathbf{Id}^\Xi - C_{w^\Xi}(\xi; \lambda_0))^{-1} \mathbf{I})(w_\Xi^-(\xi) + w_\Xi^+(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i})]_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0^2 t)^{-l}))$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and arbitrary. Since (from the proof of Proposition 3.5) $w_\Xi^-(\lambda) = 0$, it follows from (the analogue of) Theorem 2.1 that $\mu^\Xi(\lambda; \lambda_0) := (\mathbf{Id}^\Xi - C_{w^\Xi}(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-1} \mathbf{I} = m_\Xi^\Xi(\lambda)$; hence, from (the analogue of) Theorem 2.1, namely, $\mu^\Xi(\lambda; \lambda_0) := \widehat{\mu}^\Xi(\lambda) = m_\Xi^\Xi(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} + w_\Xi^+(\lambda))^{-1} = m_\Xi^\Xi(\lambda)(v_\Xi^+(\lambda))^{-1}$, and Cauchy's theorem, one shows that $Q(x, t) = -2i(\int_\Xi \widehat{\mu}^\Xi(\xi) w_\Xi^+(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0^2 t)^{-l}) = -2i(\int_\Xi \widehat{\mu}^\Xi(\xi)(v_\Xi^+(\xi) - \mathbf{I}) \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0^2 t)^{-l}) = -2i(\int_\Xi (m_\Xi^\Xi(\xi) - \widehat{\mu}^\Xi(\xi)) \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0^2 t)^{-l}) = (\int_\Xi \mu^\Xi(\xi; \lambda_0) \frac{d\xi}{\pi})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0^2 t)^{-l})$. Now, using the expression for $\mu^\Xi(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ given in Proposition 3.5, and the corresponding error estimation for $E^\Xi(\lambda; \lambda_0)$, one shows that, for $l \geq (N_o + 1)/2$, $Q(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_o} (\int_\Xi (\sum_{X \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} X^\Xi(\xi; \lambda_0))^j \frac{d\xi}{\pi})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o} (\lambda_0^2 t)^{-(N_o+1)/2})$: the result now follows from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, and the definition of $m_i^\sharp(\lambda)$. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.7 *For $\alpha = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $\beta \geq |\alpha|$, and $0 \leq \gamma' \leq \beta - |\alpha|$, set $u_{\alpha, \beta}^+(\lambda_0; t) := u_{\alpha, \beta}^+ := \sum_{\gamma'=0}^{\beta-|\alpha|} u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{\gamma'}$, and, for $\gamma' > \beta - |\alpha|$, $u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^+(\lambda_0) \equiv 0$. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 3.4 are determined by the following linear system,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}} (k_1+1)}{t^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ 2\lambda_0^4 t u_{k_1, p_1}^+ + \frac{\nu u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{2} - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \ln t}{4} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}}}{t^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ i t u_{k_1, p_1}^+ - \frac{i p_1 u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{2} - \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{2} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}} i (k_1+1)}{t^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0 u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{4} + \frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{4} - \frac{\nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \ln t}{(8\lambda_0)^2 t} - \frac{\nu'' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \ln t}{2(8\lambda_0)^2 t} + \frac{\nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \ln t}{2(8)^2 \lambda_0^3 t} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}} (k_1+1)^2}{t^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ -\lambda_0^4 t u_{k_1, p_1}^+ + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \ln t}{8} - \frac{(\nu')^2 u_{k_1, p_1}^+ (\ln t)^2}{(16\lambda_0)^2 t} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}}}{t^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ \frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{(8\lambda_0)^2 t} - \frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^+}{(8)^2 \lambda_0^3 t} \right\} \\ & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{k_2+k_3-k_1+1}}}_{\substack{k_2+k_3-k_1+1}} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_2+k_3-k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}} (k_1+1) \lambda_0^2 u_{k_1, p_1}^+ u_{k_2, p_2}^+ u_{k_3, p_3}^+}{t^{(p_1+p_2+p_3)/2}} \\ & + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{k_2+k_3-k_1+1}}}_{\substack{k_2+k_3-k_1+1}} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_2+k_3-k_1+1)\tau^+}{2}\}} (k_1+1) \nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^+ u_{k_2, p_2}^+ u_{k_3, p_3}^+ \ln t}{16\lambda_0 t^{(p_1+p_2+p_3+2)/2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}}}_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}}}_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}} \frac{e^{i\left\{\frac{(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 + k_5 + 1)\tau^+}{2}\right\}} u_{k_1, p_1}^+ \overline{u_{k_2, p_2}^+} u_{k_3, p_3}^+ \overline{u_{k_4, p_4}^+} u_{k_5, p_5}^+}{2t^{(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 + p_5)/2}} \\
& - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \frac{e^{i\left\{\frac{(k_2 + k_3 - k_1 + 1)\tau^+}{2}\right\}} i \overline{(u_{k_1, p_1}^+)' u_{k_2, p_2}^+} u_{k_3, p_3}^+}{8\lambda_0 t^{(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + 2)/2}} = 0, \tag{78}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau^+ := 4\lambda_0^4 t - \nu \ln t$, $\nu := \nu(\lambda_0)$, $f' := \partial_{\lambda_0} f(\lambda_0; t)|_{t = \text{fixed}}$, and $\dot{f} := \partial_t f(\lambda_0; t)|_{\lambda_0 = \text{fixed}}$.

Proof. Substituting the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 3.4 into Eq. (2), one obtains, after differentiation, the result stated in the Proposition. ■

Corollary 3.2 *To $\mathcal{O}(t^{-7/2})$, the explicit recurrence formulae for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 3.4 are given in the Appendix.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.7, system (78), upon equating coefficients of powers of like terms on both left- and right-hand sides. ■

Now, recalling from Proposition 3.7 that, for $\alpha = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $\beta \geq |\alpha|$, and $0 \leq \gamma' \leq \beta - |\alpha|$, $u_{\alpha, \beta}^+ := \sum_{\gamma'=0}^{\beta - |\alpha|} u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^{\gamma'}$, and, for $\gamma' > \beta - |\alpha|$, $u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^+(\lambda_0) \equiv 0$, by substituting these expressions into Eqs. (A.1)–(A.30) given in the Appendix, one deduces, after an onerous—but otherwise straightforward—analysis that, in order to solve the resulting recurrence relations for $u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^+(\lambda_0)$, explicit, *a priori* knowledge of $u_{1, 1, 0}^+(\lambda_0)$ and $u_{-1, p, q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq q \leq p - 1$, is necessary: the expression for $u_{1, 1, 0}^+(\lambda_0)$ is given in Theorem 2.2, Eq. (14). Hence, there remains the problem of determining $u_{-1, p, q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq q \leq p - 1$: up to $p = 6$, this is the programme of study of the following section.

4 Explicit Representation of $u_{-1, p, q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 6$, $0 \leq q \leq p - 1$

In this section, the RH factorisation problem for $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(x, t; \lambda) := m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)$, which is associated with the first-order stationary phase point at the origin, is solved asymptotically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, up to $\mathcal{O}(\underline{c}(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^2 t^{-7/2})$: from this asymptotic expansion for $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)$ and the resulting expression for $2i \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}} (\lambda m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda))_{12}$, explicit (integral) representations for $u_{-1, p, q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 6$, $0 \leq q \leq p - 1$, are deduced.




Figure 5:

Lemma 4.1 ([2, 10]) *Set $\mathcal{C} := \cup_{k=1}^4 \mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ (Fig. 5). As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, there exists a unique function $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which solves the following RH problem:*

(1) $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}$;

(2) $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$m_{\mathcal{C}^+}^{\zeta}(\lambda) = m_{\mathcal{C}^-}^{\zeta}(\lambda) v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)|_{\cup_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} &= \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_+(\lambda) (\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 e^{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_+, \\ v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)|_{\cup_{k=3}^4 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} &= \mathbf{I} + (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))^* (\mathcal{P}_+(\lambda))^{-1} (\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} e^{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_-, \\ \delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0) &= \exp\left\{ \int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\xi \ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi^2-\lambda^2)} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\xi \ln(1+|r(i\xi)|^2)}{(\xi^2+\lambda^2)} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i} \right\} := e^{(\mathbf{I}_1+\mathbf{I}_2)(\lambda; \lambda_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{P}_+(\lambda) := \prod_{i=n+1}^N \left(\frac{(\lambda-\bar{\lambda}_i)(\lambda+\bar{\lambda}_i)}{(\lambda-\lambda_i)(\lambda+\lambda_i)} \right)^2$, $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0) = 2\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0^2)$, $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))^*$ denotes the same function as $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$ except with the complex conjugated coefficients, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(-\lambda) = -\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$ is a piecewise-rational function which decays like $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-(k+7)})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, and has, for $\lambda \in (\cup_{l=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}) \cap \{\lambda'; |\lambda'| < \varepsilon\}$, where ε is an arbitrarily fixed, sufficiently small positive real number, the following Taylor series expansion about $\lambda=0$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_+(\lambda) := R'_+(0)\lambda + \frac{1}{3!} R'''_+(0)\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{5!} R^V_+(0)\lambda^5 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R'_+(0) &= r'_+(0) - b_1^+, \\ \frac{1}{3!} R'''_+(0) &= (r'_+(0)a_1^+ + \frac{1}{3!} r'''_+(0)) - (\frac{1}{3!} b_2^+ - b_1^+ c_1^+), \\ \frac{1}{5!} R^V_+(0) &= r'_+(0)(a_2^+ + (a_1^+)^2) - b_1^+((c_1^+)^2 - c_2^+) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!} r'''_+(0)a_1^+ + \frac{1}{3!} b_2^+ c_1^+ + \frac{1}{5!} r^V_+(0) - \frac{1}{5!} b_3^+, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r'_+(0) &:= r'(0)s_+, \quad b_1^+ := r'(i0)s_+, \quad a_1^+ := |r'(0)|^2, \\ \frac{1}{3!} r'''_+(0) &:= (\frac{1}{2!} r'(0)(-8i) \sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2} + \frac{1}{3!} r'''(0))s_+, \\ c_1^+ &:= |r'(i0)|^2, \quad \frac{1}{3!} b_2^+ := (\frac{1}{2!} r'(i0)(8i) \sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2} + \frac{1}{3!} r'''(i0))s_+, \\ a_2^+ &:= \frac{1}{3!} (r'(0)\overline{r'''(0)} + \overline{r'(0)}r'''(0)), \\ c_2^+ &:= \frac{1}{3!} (r'(i0)\overline{r'''(i0)} + \overline{r'(i0)}r'''(i0)), \\ \frac{1}{5!} r^V_+(0) &:= \frac{1}{4!} r'(0)(-192(\sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2})^2 + 48i \sum_+ \frac{\sin 2\gamma_l}{\Delta_l^4})s_+ \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{2!3!} r'''(0)(-8i) \sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2} + \frac{1}{5!} r^V(0))s_+, \\ \frac{1}{5!} b_3^+ &:= \frac{1}{4!} r'(i0)(-192(\sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2})^2 + 48i \sum_+ \frac{\sin 2\gamma_l}{\Delta_l^4})s_+ \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{2!3!} r'''(i0)(8i) \sum_+ \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2} + \frac{1}{5!} r^V(i0))s_+, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r'(0) &:= (\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \quad r'(i0) := (\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \\ r'''(0) &:= (\frac{d^3 r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^3}|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \quad r'''(i0) := (\frac{d^3 r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^3}|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \\ r^V(0) &:= (\frac{d^5 r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^5}|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \quad r^V(i0) := (\frac{d^5 r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^5}|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}})|_{\lambda=0}, \end{aligned}$$

with $s_+ := \exp\{4i \sum_+ \gamma_l\}$, and $\sum_+ := \sum_{l=n+1}^N$;

(3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Moreover, $\overline{m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda)}$ satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 m_{\mathcal{C}}^{\zeta}(\bar{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

Proposition 4.1 For $\lambda \in (\cup_{l=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}) \cap \{\lambda'; |\lambda'| < \varepsilon\}$, where ε is an arbitrarily fixed, suffi-

ciently small positive real number,

$$(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\lambda; \lambda_0) = i\phi_+(\lambda_0) + \widehat{E}_1 \lambda^2 \ln|\lambda| + \widetilde{E}_1 \lambda^2 + \widehat{E}_2 \lambda^4 \ln|\lambda| + \widetilde{E}_2 \lambda^4 + E(\lambda; \lambda_0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+(\lambda_0) &:= -\int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1+|r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \\ \widehat{E}_1 &:= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} (\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]), \\ \widetilde{E}_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i(2)} (\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]) - \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi^3} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i}, \\ \widehat{E}_2 &:= -\frac{1}{2\pi i(2)^2} (\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] - \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]), \\ \widetilde{E}_2 &:= \frac{(3/2)}{2\pi i(2)^3} (\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] - \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]) - \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi^5} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i}, \\ D_\lambda^l &:= (\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d}{d\lambda})^l, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] = -2a_1^+, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)] = 2c_1^+, \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] &= -8(\frac{1}{2}(a_1^+)^2 + a_2^+), \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^2 \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)] = -8(\frac{1}{2}(c_1^+)^2 - c_2^+), \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$E(\lambda; \lambda_0) := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}} (\widehat{E}_l \ln|\lambda| + \widetilde{E}_l) \lambda^{2l},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}_l &= \frac{2^{-2l+2}}{2\pi i} (\widehat{K}_l^0 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^l \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] + \widehat{K}_l^1 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^l \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]), \\ \widetilde{E}_l &= \frac{2^{-2l+1}}{2\pi i} (\widetilde{K}_l^0 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^l \ln(1-|r(\lambda)|^2)] + \widetilde{K}_l^1 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [D_\lambda^l \ln(1+|r(i\lambda)|^2)]) - \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi^{2l+1}} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$, \widehat{K}_l^0 , \widehat{K}_l^1 , \widetilde{K}_l^0 , and $\widetilde{K}_l^1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Using the fact that $r(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C})$ and $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$, the results stated in the Proposition follow from the definition of $(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\lambda; \lambda_0)$ (and the expression for $\delta^+(\lambda; \lambda_0)$) given in Lemma 4.1 via an integration by parts argument and the expansion $\ln(1-x) = -\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} x^l/l$, $|x| < 1$. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.2 Set $\lambda = \frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}})|_{\sqrt{t} \cup_{l=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}} &= \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}) \mathcal{P}_+(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}) e^{2(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} e^{-2it\rho(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} \sigma_+, \\ v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}})|_{\sqrt{t} \cup_{l=3}^4 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}} &= \mathbf{I} + (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}))^* (\mathcal{P}_+(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}))^{-1} e^{-2(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} e^{2it\rho(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} \sigma_-, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}) \mathcal{P}_+(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}) e^{2(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} e^{-2it\rho(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} &:= \sum_{p=0}^2 \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{\mathcal{J}_{p,q}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p+1/2}} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) \exp\{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2\} (\ln t)^3}{t^{7/2}}\right), \\ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}))^* (\mathcal{P}_+(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}))^{-1} e^{-2(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} e^{2it\rho(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}; \lambda_0)} &:= \sum_{p=0}^2 \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{\mathcal{J}_{p,q}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) (\ln t)^q}{t^{p+1/2}} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) \exp\{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2\} (\ln t)^3}{t^{7/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{0,0}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= w R'_+(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{1,0}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{V}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} - 4iw^5 R'_+(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\ &+ w^3 \frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{1,1}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{2,0}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{V}_{2,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} - 4iw^5 \mathcal{V}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -8w^9 R'_+(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + \mathcal{V}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w^3 \frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& -4iw^7 \frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + w^5 \frac{1}{5!} R_+^V(0) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2,1}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{V}_{2,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} - 4iw^5 \mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& + \mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w^3 \frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2,2}^\alpha(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{V}_{2,2}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w R'_+(0) e^{8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= 2(\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= -\widehat{E}_1 w^2 e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{2,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= 2((\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2)^2 + \widehat{E}_2 w^4 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_2 w^4) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{2,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= (-2\widehat{E}_1 w^2 (\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2) - \widehat{E}_2 w^4) e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{2,2}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{E}_1)^2 w^4 e^{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{0,0}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{1,0}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{W}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + 4iw^5 \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& + w^3 \frac{1}{3!} \overline{R_+'''(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{1,1}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2,0}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{W}_{2,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + 4iw^5 \mathcal{W}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& - 8w^9 \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + \mathcal{W}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w^3 \frac{1}{3!} \overline{R_+'''(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& + 4iw^7 \frac{1}{3!} \overline{R_+'''(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + w^5 \frac{1}{5!} \overline{R_+^V(0)} e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2,1}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{W}_{2,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} + 4iw^5 \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2} \\
& + \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w^3 \frac{1}{3!} \overline{R_+'''(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2,2}^\beta(w; \lambda_0) &= \mathcal{W}_{2,2}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) w \overline{R'_+(0)} e^{-8i\lambda_0^2 w^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{1,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= -2(\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2) e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= \widehat{E}_1 w^2 e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{2,0}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= 2((\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2)^2 - \widehat{E}_2 w^4 \ln|w| - \widetilde{E}_2 w^4) e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{2,1}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= (-2\widehat{E}_1 w^2 (\widehat{E}_1 w^2 \ln|w| + \widetilde{E}_1 w^2) + \widehat{E}_2 w^4) e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{2,2}^{\mathcal{C}}(w; \lambda_0) &:= \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{E}_1)^2 w^4 e^{-2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)},
\end{aligned}$$

where, for $k \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}^\alpha(\cdot; \lambda_0) \exp\{8i\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2\}\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(\sqrt{t} \cup_{l=1}^2 \zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}; \mathbb{C})} = \underline{c}(\lambda_0), \\
& \|\mathcal{F}^\beta(\cdot; \lambda_0) \exp\{-8i\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2\}\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(\sqrt{t} \cup_{l=3}^4 \zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}; \mathbb{C})} = \underline{c}(\lambda_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from the expressions for the jumps, $v_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)|_{\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)} \cup \zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l+1)}}$, $l \in \{1, 3\}$, given in Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.1, and the change-of-variable rule given in the Proposition upon expanding in reciprocal powers of \sqrt{t} . \blacksquare

Proposition 4.3 *The solution of the RH problem for $m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \zeta_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ formulated in Lemma 4.1 has the following integral representation,*

$$m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda) = \text{I} + \sum_{k=1}^4 \int_{\sqrt{t} \zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} \frac{\mu^{\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}) (\sum_{l \in \{\pm 1\}} \widetilde{w}_l^{\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}))}{(w - \lambda \sqrt{t})} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \zeta_{\mathcal{C}},$$

where, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_-^{\zeta_C(j)}(\cdot) &= \tilde{w}_+^{\zeta_C(j+2)}(\cdot) = 0, \\ \tilde{w}_+^{\zeta_C(j)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) &= \mathcal{R}_C(\lambda)\mathcal{P}_+(\lambda)e^{2(I_1+I_2)(\lambda;\lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\lambda;\lambda_0)}\sigma_+ \Big|_{\lambda=\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}}, \\ \tilde{w}_-^{\zeta_C(j+2)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) &= (\mathcal{R}_C(\lambda))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\lambda))^{-1}e^{-2(I_1+I_2)(\lambda;\lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\lambda;\lambda_0)}\sigma_- \Big|_{\lambda=\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}},\end{aligned}$$

and, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{p'=1}^2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^p \frac{\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(w;\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q}{t^{p+p'/2}} + \mathcal{E}^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}};\lambda_0\right),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(\cdot;\lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\sqrt{t}\zeta_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\sqrt{t}\zeta_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &< \infty, \\ \|\mathcal{E}^{\zeta_C}(\cdot;\lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2,\infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\sqrt{t}\zeta_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\sqrt{t}\zeta_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\zeta(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^{N_o+1}}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+3/2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the BC [14] formulation (the paragraph superseding Theorem 2.1), writing the expressions for the jump matrices as $v_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C(k)}(\lambda)|_{\zeta_C(k)} := (\mathbf{I} - \tilde{w}_-^{\zeta_C(k)}(\lambda))^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \tilde{w}_+^{\zeta_C(k)}(\lambda))$, one obtains the results for $\tilde{w}_l^{\zeta_C(k)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$, $l \in \{\pm\}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, stated in the Proposition upon comparison with the expressions for the jump matrices given in Lemma 4.1 and the change-of-variable rule $\lambda \rightarrow w/\sqrt{t}$ ($\neq 0$): the integral representation is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1. The asymptotic expansion for $\mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ (resp. estimation for $\mathcal{E}^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}};\lambda_0\right)$) is a consequence of (the analogue of) the asymptotic expansion (resp. estimation) for $\mu^{\zeta_C}(w;\lambda_0)$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu}^{\zeta_C}(w;\lambda_0)$) given in Proposition 3.3 after a trivial change of variable. ■

Remark 4.1 Near the first-order stationary phase points $\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, the analogues of the asymptotic expansions for $\mu^{\text{sgn}(k)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{\text{sgn}(k)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) &= \mathcal{D}_{\text{pc}}(w; \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0) \exp\left\{i\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_{j,l}^{(k)}(\lambda_0) w^j (\ln w)^l\right) \sigma_3\right\} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \sum_{0 \leq q \leq p-1} \frac{\mu_{p,q}^{(k)}(w; \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

for suitably chosen $a_{j,l}^{(k)}(\lambda_0)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\text{pc}}(w; \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0)$ have explicit representations in terms of parabolic-cylinder functions (see, for example, the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [10]).

Proposition 4.4 Let $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then for $1 \leq p' \leq 2$, $0 \leq p \leq N_o$, and $0 \leq q \leq p$, $\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(w;\lambda_0)$ satisfy the following involutions, $\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(w;\lambda_0) = \sigma_3 \mu_{p,q}^{p'}(-w;\lambda_0) \sigma_3$ and $\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(w;\lambda_0) = \sigma_1 \mu_{p,q}^{p'}(\bar{w};\lambda_0) \sigma_1$.

Proof. From the integral representation for $m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\lambda)$ given in Proposition 4.3, the following symmetry reductions for $m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\lambda)$, $m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \overline{m_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\bar{\lambda})} \sigma_1$, the expressions for the jumps, $v_{\zeta_C}^{\zeta_C}(\lambda)|_{\zeta_C^{(l)} \cup \zeta_C^{(l+1)}}$, $l \in \{1, 3\}$, given in Lemma 4.1, the fact that $\delta^+(\pm\lambda; \lambda_0) = \overline{(\delta^+(\bar{\lambda}; \lambda_0))}^{-1}$ (to deduce this, one takes the principal branch of the logarithmic function, $\ln(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0) := \ln|\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0| + i \arg(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0)$, $\arg(\lambda - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0) \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$), and the properties of $\mathcal{R}_C(\lambda)$ given in Lemma 4.1, one shows that $\mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \sigma_3 \mu^{\zeta_C}\left(-\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \sigma_3$ and $\mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \sigma_1 \mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{\bar{w}}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \sigma_1$: the results stated in the Proposition now follow from the above-derived involutions for $\mu^{\zeta_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ and its corresponding asymptotic expansion given in Proposition 4.3. ■

Corollary 4.1 As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \zeta_C$, $m_C^\zeta(\lambda)$ has the following asymptotic expansion,

$$m_C^\zeta(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \frac{(\mathcal{X}_{2k}^+ e_{11} + \overline{\mathcal{X}_{2k}^+} e_{22})}{\lambda^{2k}} + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{(\mathcal{X}_{2l+1}^+ \sigma_+ + \overline{\mathcal{X}_{2l+1}^+} \sigma_-)}{\lambda^{2l+1}},$$

where $\mathcal{X}_p^+ := \mathcal{X}_p^+(x, t; \lambda_0)$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Consequence of the involutions $m_C^\zeta(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m_C^\zeta(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m_C^\zeta(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \overline{m_C^\zeta(\bar{\lambda})} \sigma_1$. ■

Lemma 4.2 For $1 \leq p \leq 6$ and $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, $u_{-1,p,q}^+(\lambda_0)$ are given by the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= 0, & u_{-1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3}, & u_{-1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0) &= 0, \\ u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, & u_{-1,3,1}^+(\lambda_0) &= u_{-1,3,2}^+(\lambda_0) = 0, \\ u_{-1,4,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= \frac{\widehat{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{2} - \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)\right) + \frac{\widetilde{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} + \frac{\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \\ &\quad + \frac{R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^6} - \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\ u_{-1,4,1}^+(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{\widehat{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6}, & u_{-1,4,2}^+(\lambda_0) &= u_{-1,4,3}^+(\lambda_0) = 0, \\ u_{-1,5,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= \left(-\frac{\widehat{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+ \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} + \frac{\widetilde{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} + \frac{\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6}\right) \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{\widehat{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} \ln \xi d\xi + \frac{R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^c\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\ u_{-1,5,1}^+(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{\widehat{E}_1 R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi - \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^d\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\ u_{-1,5,2}^+(\lambda_0) &= u_{-1,5,3}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,5,4}^+(\lambda_0) = 0, \\ u_{-1,6,0}^+(\lambda_0) &= \frac{iR_+(0)(\widehat{E}_1)^2 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \left(\frac{(3/2-\gamma)^2 + \zeta(2,3)}{2^2} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \gamma\right) \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0) + (\ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0))^2\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+(2\widehat{E}_1\widetilde{E}_1 + \widehat{E}_2)}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \left(\frac{3/2-\gamma}{2} - \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)\right) + \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+(\widetilde{E}_1^2 + \widetilde{E}_2)}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \\ &\quad + \frac{3iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^{10} 2^9} + \frac{3i\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^9} + \frac{3iR_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+(11/6-\gamma)}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^9} \\ &\quad + \frac{3iR_+(0)\widetilde{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^8} - \frac{3iR_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+ \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^8} + \frac{i\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)s_0^+ \widehat{E}_1}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \left(\frac{3/2-\gamma}{2} - \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{i\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)\widetilde{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} + \frac{i\frac{1}{3!} R_+^V(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^8} - \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^e\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{R_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} \ln \xi d\xi - \frac{R_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+ \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{R_+(0)\widetilde{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{R_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\ u_{-1,6,1}^+(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{3iR_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^9} - \frac{iR_+(0)(\widehat{E}_1)^2 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \left(\frac{3/2-\gamma}{2} - \ln(2^{3/2}\lambda_0)\right) - \frac{iR_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 \widetilde{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \\ &\quad - \frac{iR_+(0)\widehat{E}_2 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^8} - \frac{i\frac{1}{3!} R_+'''(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^8} - \frac{iR_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^f\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{R_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{-1,6,2}^+(\lambda_0) = \frac{iR'_+(0)(\widehat{E}_1)^2 s_0^+}{\pi \lambda_0^{629}}, \quad u_{-1,6,3}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,4}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,5}^+(\lambda_0) = 0,$$

where $s_0^+ := \exp\{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)\}$, $R'_+(0)$, $\frac{1}{3!}R_+'''(0)$, and $\frac{1}{5!}R_+^V(0)$ are given in Lemma 4.1, $\phi_+(\lambda_0)$, \widehat{E}_1 , \widetilde{E}_1 , \widehat{E}_2 , and \widetilde{E}_2 are given in Proposition 4.1, $\gamma = 0.57721566490$ is Euler's constant, $\zeta(2, 3) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (3+k)^{-2} = \pi^2/6 - 5/4$, $\mu_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{0,0}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\mu_{11}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{0,0}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\mu_{11}^c(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{1,0}^1(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\mu_{11}^d(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{1,1}^1(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\mu_{11}^e(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{1,0}^2(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, and $\mu_{11}^f(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{1,1}^2(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, where $(\mu_{\beta, \gamma'}^\alpha(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{ij}$ denotes the (i, j) th element of $\mu_{\beta, \gamma'}^\alpha(\cdot; \lambda_0)$.

Proof. From the integral representation for $m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)$ and the expressions for $\widetilde{w}_l^{\zeta(k)}(\cdot)$, $l \in \{\pm\}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, given in Proposition 4.3, one derives the following relations for the elements of $m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda))_{11} &= 1 + \int_{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}}^{\sqrt{t}0^-} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{12}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\xi))^{-1}e^{-2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})} \\ &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}^{\sqrt{t}0^+} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{12}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\xi))^{-1}e^{-2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})}, \\ (m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda))_{12} &= \int_{\sqrt{t}0^+}^{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{11}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi)\mathcal{P}_+(\xi)e^{2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})} \\ &\quad + \int_{\sqrt{t}0^-}^{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{11}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi)\mathcal{P}_+(\xi)e^{2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})}, \\ (m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda))_{21} &= \int_{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}}^{\sqrt{t}0^-} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{22}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\xi))^{-1}e^{-2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})} \\ &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}^{\sqrt{t}0^+} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{22}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\xi))^{-1}e^{-2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})}, \\ (m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda))_{22} &= 1 + \int_{\sqrt{t}0^+}^{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{21}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi)\mathcal{P}_+(\xi)e^{2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})} \\ &\quad + \int_{\sqrt{t}0^-}^{\varepsilon\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}} \frac{[(\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\xi))_{21}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi)\mathcal{P}_+(\xi)e^{2(I_1+I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)}]_{\xi=w/\sqrt{t}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}}{(w-\lambda\sqrt{t})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the linear contribution to the asymptotic expansion of $Q(x, t)$ (as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$) from the first-order stationary phase point at the origin is given by the limit $2i \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)_{12}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\setminus \zeta\mathcal{C}}$

the (1, 2)-element of $m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)$ is analysed explicitly hereafter. Substituting the asymptotic expansion for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi)\mathcal{P}_+(\xi) \exp\{2(I_1 + I_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)\} \exp\{-2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)\}|_{\xi=\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}}$ given in Proposition 4.2 and the asymptotic expansion for $\mu^{\zeta\mathcal{C}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}})$ given in Proposition 4.3 (with the definitions of $(\mu_{j,k}^{i'}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$ given in the Lemma) into the above integral representation for $(m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda))_{12}$, expanding $(w - \lambda\sqrt{t})^{-1}$ into a geometric progression in reciprocal powers of $\lambda\sqrt{t}$, changing variables of integration twice, letting the upper (resp. lower) limit of integration of the resulting integrals tend to $+\infty$ (resp. 0), using the following integrals [21], $\int_0^\infty x^{\nu_1-1} \exp\{-\mu_1 x\} \ln x \, dx = \mu_1^{-\nu_1} \Gamma(\nu_1)(\psi(\nu_1) - \ln(\mu_1))$ ($\Re(\mu_1) > 0$, $\Re(\nu_1) > 0$) and $\int_0^\infty x^{\nu_2-1} \exp\{-\mu_2 x\} (\ln x)^2 \, dx = \mu_2^{-\nu_2} \Gamma(\nu_2)((\psi(\nu_2) - \ln(\mu_2))^2 + \zeta(2, \nu_2))$ ($\Re(\mu_2) > 0$, $\Re(\nu_2) > 0$), where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function [21], $\psi(\cdot)$ is the psi function ($\psi(z) := \frac{d \ln \Gamma(z)}{dz}$) [21], and $\zeta(z, q) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (q+k)^{-z}$ ($\Re(z) > 1$, $q \notin \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$) is Riemann's zeta function [21], the following relation for the psi function [21], $\psi(z+1) = \psi(z) + \frac{1}{z}$, the fact that $\gamma = -\psi(1)$ [21], where γ is Euler's constant given in the Lemma, the well-known series result $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} p^{-2} = \pi^2/6$, and the involutive properties of $\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(\cdot; \lambda_0)$ given in Proposition 4.4, after integration and simplification, and using the fact that $2i \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda m_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda)_{12} = \sum_{p=1}^6 \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{u_{-1,p,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q}{t^{p/2}} + \mathcal{O}(K_{\mathcal{C}}^\zeta(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^2 t^{-7/2})$, one obtains the results for $u_{-1,p,q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 6$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, stated in

the Lemma upon equating coefficients of like powers of $(\ln t)^q t^{-p/2}$ on both sides of the above relation, where $K_C^\zeta(\lambda_0) := \frac{iR'_+(0)(\widehat{E}_1)^2 s_0^+}{\pi \lambda_0^6 2^{10}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2} \lambda_0}; \lambda_0) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi - \frac{R'_+(0)\widehat{E}_1 s_0^+}{\pi \lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^d(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2} \lambda_0}; \lambda_0) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi - \frac{iR'_+(0)s_0^+}{\pi \lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^i(\frac{\xi^{1/2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2} \lambda_0}; \lambda_0) e^{-\xi} d\xi$, with $\mu_{11}^i(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\mu_{2,2}^1(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$. Now, continuing the above-mentioned procedure to higher orders in λ^{-1} , one verifies that, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \zeta_C$, $(m_C^\zeta(\lambda))_{12}$ satisfies—precisely—the asymptotic expansion condition stated in Corollary 4.1; furthermore, using the asymptotic expansion for $(\mathcal{R}_C(\xi))^*(\mathcal{P}_+(\xi))^{-1} \exp\{-2(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2)(\xi; \lambda_0)\} \exp\{2it\rho(\xi; \lambda_0)\}|_{\xi=\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}}$ given in Proposition 4.2 and proceeding analogously as above, one shows, using the involutive properties of $\mu_{p,q}^{p'}(\cdot; \lambda_0)$ given in Proposition 4.4, that the remaining elements of $m_C^\zeta(\lambda)$, namely, $(m_C^\zeta(\lambda))_{11}$, $(m_C^\zeta(\lambda))_{21}$ and $(m_C^\zeta(\lambda))_{22}$, satisfy, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \zeta_C$, the asymptotic expansion conditions stated in Corollary 4.1: one also verifies that $m_C^\zeta(\lambda)$ as constructed above satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $m_C^\zeta(\lambda) = \sigma_3 m_C^\zeta(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $m_C^\zeta(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \overline{m_C^\zeta(\bar{\lambda})} \sigma_1$. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.3 *As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $Q(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (10)–(27).*

Proof. Recalling that, for $k = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $p \geq |k|$, and $0 \leq q \leq p - |k|$, $u_{k,p}^+ := \sum_{q=0}^{p-|k|} u_{k,p,q}^+(\lambda_0) \cdot (\ln t)^q$, and, for $q > p - |k|$, $u_{k,p}^+ \equiv 0$, in accordance with the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 3.4, one must determine $u_{\pm 1,1}^+$, $u_{\pm 1,2}^+$, $u_{\pm 1,3}^+$, $u_{\pm 1,4}^+$, $u_{\pm 3,3}^+$, and $u_{\pm 3,4}^+$: for this purpose, the recurrence relations for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion given in the Appendix are necessary. The explicit representation for $u_{-1,p}^+$, $1 \leq p \leq 4$, are already given in Lemma 4.2; therefore, it remains to determine the remaining coefficients listed above (throughout the proof, all explicit λ_0 dependences are suppressed, except where absolutely necessary). From Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2), one obtains trivial identities. From Eq. (A.3), one shows that $\nu u_{1,1}^+ + it \dot{u}_{1,1}^+ - 2\lambda_0^2 |u_{1,1}^+|^2 u_{1,1}^+ = 0$: using the fact that $u_{1,1}^+ = u_{1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0)$, and recalling from Theorem 2.2, Eq. (14) that $u_{1,1,0}^+ = \sqrt{\nu/(2\lambda_0^2)} \exp\{i(\phi^+(\lambda_0) + \widehat{\Phi}^+(\lambda_0) + \pi/2)\} := \sqrt{\nu/(2\lambda_0^2)} \exp\{i\varphi_+\}$, one shows that $\dot{u}_{1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$ and that the above equation is satisfied. Using the fact that $u_{-1,1}^+ = u_{-1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$ (Lemma 4.2), one notes that Eq. (A.4) is satisfied identically. Noting that $u_{\pm 3,3}^+ = u_{\pm 3,3,0}^+(\lambda_0)$, Eqs. (A.5) and (A.6) show that $u_{\pm 3,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$. From Eq. (A.7), one shows that $-\nu u_{1,2}^+ + it \dot{u}_{1,2}^+ - \frac{i}{2} u_{1,2}^+ - \overline{u_{1,2}^+} \nu \exp\{2i\varphi_+\} = 0$: substituting $u_{1,2}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^1 \overline{u_{1,2,q}^+(\lambda_0)} (\ln t)^q$ into this equation, one deduces that $-\nu u_{1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0) + i u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0) - \frac{i}{2} u_{1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0) - \overline{u_{1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0)} \nu \exp\{2i\varphi_+\} = 0$ and $-\nu u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0) - \frac{i}{2} u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0) - \overline{u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0)} \nu \exp\{2i\varphi_+\} = 0$. Defining, now, $u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0) := u_{1,2,1}^{+,r}(\lambda_0) + i u_{1,2,1}^{+,i}(\lambda_0)$, one shows from the above equation for $u_{1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0)$ that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_0) (u_{1,2,1}^{+,r}(\lambda_0), u_{1,2,1}^{+,i}(\lambda_0))^T = (0, 0)^T$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_0) := \begin{pmatrix} -\nu(1 + \cos 2\varphi_+) & (\frac{1}{2} - \nu \sin 2\varphi_+) \\ -(\frac{1}{2} + \nu \sin 2\varphi_+) & -\nu(1 - \cos 2\varphi_+) \end{pmatrix}$, and T denotes transposition on the fiber bundle \mathbb{C}^2 ; however, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_0)$ is non-degenerate ($\det(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_0)) = \frac{1}{4}$), from a well-known result in linear algebra, one gets the trivial solution, namely, $u_{1,2,1}^{+,r}(\lambda_0) = u_{1,2,1}^{+,i}(\lambda_0) = 0$. Defining $u_{1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0) := u_{1,2,0}^{+,r}(\lambda_0) + i u_{1,2,0}^{+,i}(\lambda_0)$, and reasoning similarly as above, one shows that $u_{1,2,0}^{+,r}(\lambda_0) = u_{1,2,0}^{+,i}(\lambda_0) = 0$; hence, $u_{1,2}^+ = 0$. Using the expressions for $u_{-1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0)$ and $u_{-1,2,1}^+(\lambda_0)$ given in Lemma 4.2 and recalling that $u_{-1,2}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^1 u_{-1,2,q}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^q$, one shows that Eq. (A.8) is satisfied identically. Using the fact that $u_{\pm 3,4}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^1 u_{\pm 3,4,q}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^q$, one shows, using Eqs. (A.9) and (A.10), that $u_{\pm 3,4}^+ = 0$. Noting from the above discussion that $u_{-1,1}^+ = u_{1,2}^+ = u_{\pm 3,3}^+ = 0$, and substituting $u_{1,3}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{1,3,q}^+(\lambda_0) (\ln t)^q$ into Eq. (A.11), one obtains three linear inhomogeneous algebraic equations for the determination of $u_{1,3,q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $0 \leq q \leq 2$: proceeding as above for the determination of $u_{1,2}^+$, one solves,

via Cramer's rule, these linear inhomogeneous algebraic equations for $u_{1,3,q}^+(\lambda_0)$ and derives the results stated in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (22)–(27). Recalling that $u_{-1,1}^+ = u_{1,2}^+ = u_{3,3}^+ = 0$, one simplifies Eq. (A.12) to $it\dot{u}_{-1,3}^+ - \frac{3i}{2}u_{-1,3}^+ - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2}(u_{-1,3}^+)' - 2\nu u_{-1,3}^+ = 0$. Using the representation $u_{-1,3}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{-1,3,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$, and the expressions for $u_{-1,3,q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $0 \leq q \leq 2$, given in Lemma 4.2, namely, $u_{-1,3,2}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,3,1}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$ and $u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) = -\frac{iR'_+(0)s_0^+ \mathcal{I}(\lambda_0)}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3}$, with $R'_+(0)$ given in Lemma 4.1, $s_0^+ := \exp\{2i\phi_+(\lambda_0)\}$, $\phi_+(\lambda_0) := -\int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1+|r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}$, and $\mathcal{I}(\lambda_0) := \int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0) e^{-\xi} d\xi$, one reduces this (characteristic-like) equation to $-\frac{3i}{2}u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2} \frac{\partial u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0)}{\partial \lambda_0} - 2\nu u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$, which, upon recalling the above expression for $u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0)$, is an integro-differential equation for $\mu_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0)$: substituting the expression for $u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0)$ into the latter equation and recalling the definition of ν , namely, $\nu := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1-|r(\lambda_0)|^2)$, one shows that $\mathcal{I}(\lambda_0)$ satisfies the following ODE, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}(\lambda_0)}{\partial \lambda_0} + \frac{\mathcal{I}(\lambda_0)}{\lambda_0} = 0$; hence, $\mathcal{I}(\lambda_0) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_1^+}{\lambda_0}$, for some bounded, λ_0 -independent constant $\mathcal{K}_1^+ \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, modulo the determination of \mathcal{K}_1^+ , $u_{-1,3,0}^+(\lambda_0) = -\frac{iR'_+(0)s_0^+ \mathcal{K}_1^+}{\pi\lambda_0^3 2^3}$. From Eq. (A.13), the representation $u_{3,5}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{3,5,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$, and the results obtained above for the preceding coefficients, one shows that $u_{3,5,0}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{3,5,1}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{3,5,2}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$; hence, $u_{3,5}^+ = 0$. From Eq. (A.14), the representation $u_{-3,5}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^2 u_{-3,5,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$, and the results obtained above for the preceding coefficients, one shows that $u_{-3,5,2}^+(\lambda_0) = u_{-3,5,1}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$, and $u_{-3,5,0}^+(\lambda_0) = -\frac{u_{1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0)(u_{-1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0))^2}{4\lambda_0^2}$, with $u_{1,1,0}^+(\lambda_0)$ given earlier in the proof, and $u_{-1,2,0}^+(\lambda_0)$ given in Lemma 4.2. From Eqs. (A.15) and (A.16), and the representations $u_{\pm 5,5}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^0 u_{\pm 5,5,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$, one shows that $u_{\pm 5,5,0}^+ = 0$; hence, $u_{\pm 5,5}^+ = 0$. From Eq. (A.17) and the representation $u_{1,4}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^3 u_{1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$, one obtains four linear homogeneous algebraic equations for the determination of $u_{1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $0 \leq q \leq 3$: decomposing $u_{1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0)$ into real and imaginary parts, $u_{1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0) := u_{1,4,q}^{+,r}(\lambda_0) + iu_{1,4,q}^{+,i}(\lambda_0)$, and noting that the coefficient matrices for the resulting linear homogeneous systems are non-singular, from a well-known result in linear algebra, one deduces that all these systems have the trivial solution, i.e., $u_{1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0) = 0$, $0 \leq q \leq 3$; hence, $u_{1,4}^+ = 0$. Substituting $u_{-1,4}^+ = \sum_{q=0}^3 u_{-1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^q$ into Eq. (A.18), using the expressions for $u_{-1,4,q}^+(\lambda_0)$, $0 \leq q \leq 3$, given in Lemma 4.2, and equating coefficients of powers of like terms of $(\ln t)^l$, $0 \leq l \leq 2$, on both sides of the resulting equations, one shows that the equations resulting from the $(\ln t)^2$ and $\ln t$ parts are satisfied identically, and that the constant ($\mathcal{O}(1)$) part gives rise to an integro-differential equation for $\mu_{11}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0)$, which can be simplified to an integral equation of the first kind for $\mu_{11}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0)$ of the following form, $\int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^b(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0) e^{-\xi} d\xi = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda_0)$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda_0)$ is not written down here since it will not actually be needed (the details of the analysis of this integral equation for $\mu_{11}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0)$ will be presented elsewhere: see, also, Remark 4.2 below): this completes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 4.2 In the proof of Lemma 4.3 above, there appears a bounded, λ_0 -independent complex-valued constant denoted as \mathcal{K}_1^+ . The determination of \mathcal{K}_1^+ requires the solution of the following integral equation of the first kind for $\mu_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0)$, $\int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0) e^{-\xi} d\xi = \mathcal{K}_1^+/\lambda_0$, under the following conditions imposed on $\mu_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0)$: (1) $\mu_{11}^a(z; \lambda_0)$ is an even function with respect to z , i.e., $\mu_{11}^a(-z; \lambda_0) = \mu_{11}^a(z; \lambda_0)$; and (2) $\mu_{11}^a(z; \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{C})$, i.e., $\|\mu_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{C})} < \infty$. In fact, one notes that, for $\lambda_0 > M$ and \mathcal{K}_1^+ bounded, $\|\mu_{11}^a(\frac{\cdot}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{C})} < \infty$, $k \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$. Changing the variable of integration according to the rule $\xi^{1/2}/\lambda_0 \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau}$, setting $s = \lambda_0^2$ (with $\Re(s) = \Re(\lambda_0^2) > 0$), and defining

$(\mathcal{K}_1^+)^{-1}\mu_{11}^a(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}};\sqrt{s}) := g(\tau;\sqrt{s})$, one also shows that $g(\tau;\sqrt{s})$ satisfies the following integral equation, $\int_0^\infty g(\tau;\sqrt{s})e^{-s\tau}d\tau = s^{-3/2}$, with the standard Laplace transform-type kernel, $e^{-s\tau}$. Actually, one can show that, for any $f(z)$ for which $\int_0^\infty |f(\xi)|^2d\xi := \|f(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\mathbb{C})}^2 < \infty$ and $\int_0^\infty f(\xi)e^{-\xi}d\xi := A(f) < \infty$, $\mu_{11}^a(z;\lambda_0) := \frac{\mathcal{K}_1^+ f(8i\lambda_0^2 z^2)}{\lambda_0 A(f)}$ solves the integral equation $\int_0^\infty \mu_{11}^a(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0};\lambda_0)e^{-\xi}d\xi = \mathcal{K}_1^+/\lambda_0$, and satisfies conditions (1) and (2) stated above. The explicit determination of \mathcal{K}_1^+ is rather involved and somewhat circuitous, and the details of this calculation will be presented elsewhere.

5 Asymptotic Evaluation of $((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

In this section, the phase integral, $((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$, which appears in the gauge transformation (Eq. (3) and Proposition 2.3), and is also equal to $((m^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$ (Lemma 2.1), is evaluated as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$.

Proposition 5.1 ([2]) For $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$,

$$\Psi(x, t; 0) = m(x, t; 0) = \exp\left\{-\frac{i\sigma_3}{2} \int_\infty^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi\right\}.$$

Proposition 5.2 ([2]) For $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$,

$$\|Q(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})}^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1+|r(i\xi)|^2)}{\xi} d\xi - \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} d\xi \right).$$

Lemma 5.1 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,

$$((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2 = \exp\{i \arg q_+(x, t)\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^S(\lambda_0)(\ln t)^2}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{3/2}}\right),$$

where $\arg q_+(x, t)$ is given in Theorem 2.3, Eqs. (46)–(51).

Proof. Since, from Eq. (3), Proposition 2.3, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 (Eq. (8)), and Proposition 5.1, $q(x, t) = Q(x, t)((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2 = Q(x, t)((m^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2 = Q(x, t) \exp\{i \int_\infty^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi\}$, and $Q(x, t)$ is already given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (10)–(27), it remains to evaluate the integral $\int_\infty^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi$. Writing $\int_\infty^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi = \int_\infty^{-\infty} |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{-\infty}^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi = -\|Q(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})}^2 + \int_{-\infty}^x |Q(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi$, using the result for $\|Q(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})}^2$ given in Proposition 5.2, the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (10)–(27), the following inequalities, $|\exp\{\cdot\} - 1| \leq |\cdot| \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} |\exp\{s \cdot\}|$ and $0 < \nu(\lambda_0) \leq \nu_{\max} := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1 - \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |r(\lambda)|^2) < \infty$, and the fact that $r(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{\Gamma}; \mathbb{C})$, one obtains, after onerous algebraic manipulations, the result stated in the Lemma. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.2 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $q(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.3, Eqs. (45)–(51).

Proof. Consequence of Proposition 2.3, Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 5.1. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.3 As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{t} - \frac{1}{s})} > M$, $\frac{x}{t} > \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$, and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $u(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.4, Eqs. (56)–(66).

Proof. Consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 5.2. \blacksquare

6 Asymptotics as $t \rightarrow -\infty$

In this section, the asymptotic paradigm presented in Secs. 3–5 is succinctly presented for the case when $t \rightarrow -\infty$: since the proofs of all obtained results are analogous (to the $t \rightarrow +\infty$ case), they will be omitted. This short section is divided into three parts: (1) in Subsection 6.1, the model RH problem is formulated for the case when $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}} > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$ (for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$), the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ is given, and the necessity associated with the *a priori* determination of a certain subset of the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ is stated; (2) in Subsection 6.2, the model RH problem associated with the determination of the subset of the asymptotic series expansion coefficients for $Q(x, t)$ mentioned in (1) above is formulated, and solved asymptotically to $\mathcal{O}((-t)^{-7/2})$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$; and (3) in Subsection 6.3, the phase integral, $((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$, is evaluated asymptotically as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Once again, all explicit x, t dependences are suppressed, except where absolutely necessary.

6.1 Model RH Problem and Asymptotic Expansion of $Q(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$




Figure 6:

Lemma 6.1.1 ([2, 10]) *Set $\widehat{\varsigma} := \cup_{l \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} \widehat{\varsigma}_l$ (Fig. 6). As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, there exists a unique function $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\varsigma} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which solves the following RH problem:*

- (1) $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\varsigma}$;
- (2) $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$\widehat{m}_+^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda) = \widehat{m}_-^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda) \widehat{v}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \widehat{\varsigma},$$

where

$$\widehat{v}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)|_{\widehat{\varsigma}_j^{(1)} \cup \widehat{\varsigma}_j^{(2)}} = \text{I} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_j^{(1,2)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) \mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 e^{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_+,$$

$$\widehat{v}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)|_{\widehat{\varsigma}_j^{(3)} \cup \widehat{\varsigma}_j^{(4)}} = \text{I} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_j^{(3,4)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda))^{-1} (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} e^{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_-,$$

$$\widehat{v}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)|_{\widehat{\varsigma}_c^{(1)} \cup \widehat{\varsigma}_c^{(2)}} = \text{I} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_c^{(1,2)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) (\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda))^{-1} (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} e^{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_-,$$

$$\widehat{v}^{\widehat{\varsigma}}(\lambda)|_{\widehat{\varsigma}_c^{(3)} \cup \widehat{\varsigma}_c^{(4)}} = \text{I} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_c^{(3,4)}(\lambda; \lambda_0) \mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 e^{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_+,$$

$j \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\}$, $\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) := \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(\lambda - \overline{\lambda}_l)(\lambda + \overline{\lambda}_l)}{(\lambda - \lambda_l)(\lambda + \lambda_l)} \right)^2$, $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0) = 2\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0^2)$, $\{0, \pm\lambda_0\} = \{\lambda'; \partial_{\lambda}\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)|_{\lambda=\lambda'} = 0\}$ are the first-order stationary phase points,

$$\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi-\lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} + \int_{-\lambda_0}^{-\infty} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi-\lambda)} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \right\},$$

and $\{\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda;\lambda_0), \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda;\lambda_0), \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l,l+1)}(\lambda;\lambda_0)\}_{l \in \{1,3\}}$ are some rational functions which decay to zero as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \cup_{k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}} \{\text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0\}$, where $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{B}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, and have, respectively, Taylor series expansions in $\{\lambda'; |\lambda' - \text{sgn}(k)\lambda_0| < \varepsilon\} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_k$, $k \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\}$, where ε is an arbitrarily fixed, sufficiently small positive real number;

(3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$Q(x, t) = 2i \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{C}}}} (\lambda \widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(x, t; \lambda))_{12} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0)}{(\lambda_0^2 t)^{l'}}\right),$$

with $Q(x, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, satisfies Eq. (2), and $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda) = \sigma_3 \widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\bar{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

Analysing the signature graph of $\Re(it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0))$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ($x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) and proceeding analogously as in Sec. 3 (Higher Order Deift-Zhou Theory), one deduces the following.

Lemma 6.1.2 *Let $\widehat{m}^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$ be the solution of the RH problem formulated in Lemma 6.1.1 with the condition $\|r\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})} < 1$. Then, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,*

$$Q(x, t) = \sum_{\substack{k=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p=|k|}^{N_o} \sum_{q=0}^{p-|k|} \frac{\exp\{\frac{i}{2}(k+1)(4\lambda_0^4 t + \nu(\lambda_0) \ln |t|)\} u_{k,p,q}^-(\lambda_0) (\ln |t|)^q}{(-t)^{p/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c(\lambda_0) (\ln |t|)^{N_o}}{(-\lambda_0^2 t)^{(N_o+1)/2}}\right),$$

where, for $k = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $|k| \leq p \leq N_o$, and $q > p - |k|$, $u_{k,p,q}^-(\lambda_0) \equiv 0$.

Proposition 6.1.1 *For $\alpha = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$, $\beta \geq |\alpha|$, and $0 \leq \gamma' \leq \beta - |\alpha|$, set $u_{\alpha, \beta}^-(\lambda_0; t) := u_{\alpha, \beta}^- := \sum_{\gamma'=0}^{\beta-|\alpha|} u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^-(\lambda_0) (\ln |t|)^{\gamma'}$, and, for $\gamma' > \beta - |\alpha|$, $u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^-(\lambda_0) \equiv 0$. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 6.1.2 are determined by the following linear system,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}} (k_1+1)}{(-t)^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ -2\lambda_0^4 t u_{k_1, p_1}^- + \frac{\nu u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{2} - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^- \ln |t|}{4} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}}}{(-t)^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ -it \dot{u}_{k_1, p_1}^- + \frac{ip_1 u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{2} + \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{2} \right\} \\ & - \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}} i(k_1+1)}{(-t)^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0 u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{4} + \frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{4} + \frac{\nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^- \ln |t|}{(8\lambda_0)^2 t} + \frac{\nu'' u_{k_1, p_1}^- \ln |t|}{2(8\lambda_0)^2 t} - \frac{\nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^- \ln |t|}{2(8)^2 \lambda_0^3 t} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}} (k_1+1)^2}{(-t)^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ \lambda_0^4 t u_{k_1, p_1}^- + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{k_1, p_1}^- \ln |t|}{8} + \frac{(\nu')^2 u_{k_1, p_1}^- (\ln |t|)^2}{(16\lambda_0)^2 t} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k_1=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots}} \sum_{p_1 \geq |k_1|} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}}}{(-t)^{(p_1+2)/2}} \left\{ -\frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{(8\lambda_0)^2 t} + \frac{u_{k_1, p_1}^-}{(8)^2 \lambda_0^3 t} \right\} \\ & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}}_{\substack{k_i=\text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \frac{e^{i\{\frac{(k_2+k_3-k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\}} (k_1+1) \lambda_0^2 u_{k_1, p_1}^- u_{k_2, p_2}^- u_{k_3, p_3}^-}{(-t)^{(p_1+p_2+p_3)/2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \frac{e^{i\left\{\frac{(k_2+k_3-k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\right\}} (k_1+1) \nu' \overline{u_{k_1,p_1}^-} \overline{u_{k_2,p_2}^-} \overline{u_{k_3,p_3}^-} \ln |t|}{16\lambda_0(-t)^{(p_1+p_2+p_3+2)/2}} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}}}_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}}}_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 5}} \frac{e^{i\left\{\frac{(k_1-k_2+k_3-k_4+k_5+1)\tau^-}{2}\right\}} \overline{u_{k_1,p_1}^-} \overline{u_{k_2,p_2}^-} \overline{u_{k_3,p_3}^-} \overline{u_{k_4,p_4}^-} \overline{u_{k_5,p_5}^-}}{2(-t)^{(p_1+p_2+p_3+p_4+p_5)/2}} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{k_i = \text{odd} \\ \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}}}_{\substack{p_i \geq |k_i| \\ 1 \leq i \leq 3}} \frac{e^{i\left\{\frac{(k_2+k_3-k_1+1)\tau^-}{2}\right\}} i \overline{(u_{k_1,p_1}^-)'} \overline{u_{k_2,p_2}^-} \overline{u_{k_3,p_3}^-}}{8\lambda_0(-t)^{(p_1+p_2+p_3+2)/2}} = 0, \tag{79}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau^- := 4\lambda_0^4 t + \nu \ln |t|$, $\nu := \nu(\lambda_0)$, $f' := \partial_{\lambda_0} f(\lambda_0; t)|_{t=\text{fixed}}$, and $\dot{f} := \partial_t f(\lambda_0; t)|_{\lambda_0=\text{fixed}}$.

Corollary 6.1.1 *To $\mathcal{O}((-t)^{-7/2})$, the explicit recurrence formulae for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemma 6.1.2 are given in the Appendix.*

Now, analogously as at the end of Sec. 3, one notes that, in order to solve the recurrence relations for $u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^-(\lambda_0)$, explicit, *a priori* knowledge of $u_{-1,1,0}^-(\lambda_0)$ and $u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0)$, $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, is required: the expression for $u_{-1,1,0}^-(\lambda_0)$ is given in Theorem 2.2, Eq. (14). Hence, it remains to determine $u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0)$, $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$: up to $p=6$, this is the programme of the following subsection.

6.2 Explicit Representation of $u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 6$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$

The RH factorisation problem associated with the first-order stationary phase point at the origin, denoted as $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(x, t; \lambda) := \widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$, is solved asymptotically as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ up to $\mathcal{O}((-t)^{-7/2})$: from this asymptotic expansion for $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$ and the resulting expression for $2i \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)_{12}$, explicit (integral) representations for $u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 6$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, are deduced.




Figure 7:

Lemma 6.2.1 ([2, 10]) *Set $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} := \cup_{k=1}^4 \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}$ (Fig. 7). As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, there exists a unique function $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which solves the following RH problem:*

- (1) $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$ is piecewise holomorphic $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$;
- (2) $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following jump conditions,

$$\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_+}(\lambda) = \widehat{m}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_-}(\lambda) \widehat{v}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{v}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda)|_{\cup_{k=1}^2 \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} &= \mathbf{I} - (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))^* (\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda))^{-1} (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^{-2} e^{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_-, \\ \widehat{v}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda)|_{\cup_{k=3}^4 \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} &= \mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) (\delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0))^2 e^{-2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_+, \\ \delta^-(\lambda; \lambda_0) &= \exp\left\{ \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi \ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{(\xi^2 - \lambda^2)} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i} \right\} := \exp\{(I_{\alpha} + I_{\beta})(\lambda; \lambda_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) := \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_l)(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}_l)}{(\lambda - \lambda_l)(\lambda + \lambda_l)} \right)^2$, $\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0) = 2\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0^2)$, $(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))^*$ denotes the same function as $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$ except with the complex conjugated coefficients, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(-\lambda) = -\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$ is a piecewise-rational function which decays like $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-(k+7)})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, and has, for $\lambda \in (\cup_{l=3}^4 \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(l)}) \cap \{\lambda'; |\lambda'| < \varepsilon\}$, where ε is an arbitrarily fixed, sufficiently small positive real number, the following Taylor series expansion about $\lambda = 0$,

$$-\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_-(\lambda) := R'_-(0)\lambda + \frac{1}{3!} R'''_-(0)\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{5!} R^V_-(0)\lambda^5 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}R'_-(0) &:= r'(0)g(0) - r'(i0)\widehat{g}(i0), \\ \frac{1}{3!} R'''_-(0) &:= \frac{1}{2!} r'(0)g''(0) + \frac{1}{3!} r'''(0)g(0) - \frac{1}{2!} r'(i0)\widehat{g}''(i0) - \frac{1}{3!} r'''(i0)\widehat{g}(i0), \\ \frac{1}{5!} R^V_-(0) &:= \frac{1}{4!} r'(0)g^{IV}(0) + \frac{1}{2!3!} r'''(0)g''(0) + \frac{1}{5!} r^V(0)g(0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4!} r'(i0)\widehat{g}^{IV}(i0) - \frac{1}{2!3!} r'''(i0)\widehat{g}''(i0) - \frac{1}{5!} r^V(i0)\widehat{g}(i0),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}r'(0) &:= \left(\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, & r'(i0) &:= \left(\frac{dr(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \\ r'''(0) &:= \left(\frac{d^3r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^3} \Big|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, & r'''(i0) &:= \left(\frac{d^3r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^3} \Big|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \\ r^V(0) &:= \left(\frac{d^5r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^5} \Big|_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, & r^V(i0) &:= \left(\frac{d^5r(\lambda)}{d\lambda^5} \Big|_{\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}g(0) = \widehat{g}(i0) &:= s_-, & g''(0) = -\widehat{g}''(i0) &:= -8is_- \sum_- \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2}, \\ g^{IV}(0) = \widehat{g}^{IV}(i0) &:= -192s_- (\sum_- \frac{\sin \gamma_l}{\Delta_l^2})^2 + 48is_- \sum_- \frac{\sin 2\gamma_l}{\Delta_l^4},\end{aligned}$$

with $s_- := \exp\{4i \sum_- \gamma_l\}$, and $\sum_- := \sum_{l=1}^{n-1}$;

(3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Moreover, $\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following symmetry reductions, $\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda) = \sigma_3 \widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(-\lambda) \sigma_3$ and $\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\bar{\lambda}) \sigma_1$.

Proposition 6.2.1 *The solution of the RH problem for $\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda): \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ formulated in Lemma 6.2.1 has the following integral representation,*

$$\widehat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^4 \int_{\sqrt{-t} \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}} \frac{\widehat{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}) (\sum_{l \in \{\pm\}} \widehat{w}_l^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}))}{(w - \lambda \sqrt{-t})} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathcal{C}},$$

where, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{w}_+^{\widehat{\zeta}^{(j)}}(\cdot) &= \widehat{w}_-^{\widehat{\zeta}^{(j+2)}}(\cdot) = 0, \\ \widehat{w}_-^{\widehat{\zeta}^{(j)}}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}) &= -(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))^* (\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda))^{-1} e^{-2(I_{\alpha} + I_{\beta})(\lambda; \lambda_0)} e^{2it\rho(\lambda; \lambda_0)} \sigma_- \Big|_{\lambda = \frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}},\end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{w}_+^{\widehat{\zeta}_C^{(j+2)}}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}\right) = -\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_C(\lambda)\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda)e^{2(I_\alpha+I_\beta)(\lambda;\lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\lambda;\lambda_0)}\sigma_+\Big|_{\lambda=\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} -(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_C(\lambda))^*(\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda))^{-1}e^{-2(I_\alpha+I_\beta)(\lambda;\lambda_0)}e^{2it\rho(\lambda;\lambda_0)}\Big|_{\lambda=\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}} &:= \sum_{p=0}^2 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_p^\beta(w;\lambda_0)}{(-t)^{p+1/2}} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\beta(w;\lambda_0)\exp\{8i\lambda_0^2w^2\}}{(-t)^{7/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_0^\beta(w;\lambda_0) := w\overline{R'_-(0)}e^{-2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{8i\lambda_0^2w^2},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1^\beta(w;\lambda_0) := (-2w^3\overline{R'_-(0)}a_0^- - 4iw^5\overline{R'_-(0)} + \frac{1}{3!}\overline{R'''_-(0)}w^3)e^{-2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{8i\lambda_0^2w^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2^\beta(w;\lambda_0) &:= (-2w^5\overline{R'_-(0)}b_0^- + 2w^5\overline{R'_-(0)}(a_0^-)^2 + 8iw^7\overline{R'_-(0)}a_0^- - 8w^9\overline{R'_-(0)} \\ &- 2a_0^-w^5\frac{1}{3!}\overline{R'''_-(0)} - 4iw^7\frac{1}{3!}\overline{R'''_-(0)} + \frac{1}{5!}\overline{R^V_-(0)}w^5)e^{-2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{8i\lambda_0^2w^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\beta(\cdot;\lambda_0)\exp\{8i\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2\}\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(\sqrt{-t}\cup_{l=1}^2\widehat{\zeta}_C^{(l)};\mathbb{C})} = \underline{c}(\lambda_0), \quad k \in \{1, 2, \infty\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_C(\lambda)\mathcal{P}_-(\lambda)e^{2(I_\alpha+I_\beta)(\lambda;\lambda_0)}e^{-2it\rho(\lambda;\lambda_0)}\Big|_{\lambda=\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}} &:= \sum_{p=0}^2 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_p^\alpha(w;\lambda_0)}{(-t)^{p+1/2}} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(w;\lambda_0)\exp\{-8i\lambda_0^2w^2\}}{(-t)^{7/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_0^\alpha(w;\lambda_0) := wR'_-(0)e^{2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{-8i\lambda_0^2w^2},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1^\alpha(w;\lambda_0) := (2w^3R'_-(0)a_0^- + 4iw^5R'_-(0) + \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0)w^3)e^{2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{-8i\lambda_0^2w^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2^\alpha(w;\lambda_0) &:= (2w^5R'_-(0)b_0^- + 2w^5R'_-(0)(a_0^-)^2 + 8iw^7R'_-(0)a_0^- - 8w^9R'_-(0) \\ &+ 2a_0^-w^5\frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0) + 4iw^7\frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0) + \frac{1}{5!}R^V_-(0)w^5)e^{2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)}e^{-8i\lambda_0^2w^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(\cdot;\lambda_0)\exp\{-8i\lambda_0^2(\cdot)^2\}\|_{\mathcal{L}^k(\sqrt{-t}\cup_{l=3}^4\widehat{\zeta}_C^{(l)};\mathbb{C})} = \underline{c}(\lambda_0), \quad k \in \{1, 2, \infty\},$$

$$\phi_-(\lambda_0) := -\int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\pi}, \quad a_0^- := \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi^3} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i}, \quad b_0^- := \int_{\lambda_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-|r(\xi)|^2)}{\xi^5} \frac{d\xi}{\pi i},$$

and, for arbitrary $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\widehat{\mu}^{\widehat{\zeta}_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}}\right) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{p'=1}^2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_o} \frac{\widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(w;\lambda_0)}{(-t)^{p+p'/2}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\widehat{\zeta}_C}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{-t}};\lambda_0\right),$$

with

$$\|\widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(\cdot;\lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\sqrt{-t}\widehat{\zeta}_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\sqrt{-t}\widehat{\zeta}_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} < \infty,$$

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\widehat{\zeta}_C}(\cdot;\lambda_0)\|_{\cup_{l \in \{2, \infty\}} \mathcal{L}^l(\sqrt{-t}\widehat{\zeta}_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\sqrt{-t}\widehat{\zeta}_C \setminus \{0\}; M_2(\mathbb{C}))} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\underline{c}(\lambda_0)}{(-\lambda_0^2 t)^{N_o+3/2}}\right).$$

Proposition 6.2.2 *Let $N_o \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then for $1 \leq p' \leq 2$ and $0 \leq p \leq N_o$, $\widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(w;\lambda_0)$ satisfy the following involutions, $\widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(w;\lambda_0) = \sigma_3 \widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(-w;\lambda_0) \sigma_3$ and $\widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(w;\lambda_0) = \sigma_1 \widehat{\mu}_{p',p}(\overline{w};\lambda_0) \sigma_1$.*

Using the fact that the coefficients $u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0) := u_{-1,p'}^-(\lambda_0)$, $1 \leq p' \leq 6$, are determined by the relation $2i \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_{\widehat{\zeta}_C}}} (\lambda \widehat{m}_{\widehat{\zeta}_C}(\lambda))_{12} := \sum_{p'=1}^6 \frac{u_{-1,p'}^-(\lambda_0)}{(-t)^{p'/2}}$, and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, one obtains the following.

Lemma 6.2.2 *As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,*

$$2i \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_{\widehat{\zeta}_C}}} (\lambda \widehat{m}_{\widehat{\zeta}_C}(\lambda))_{12} := \sum_{p=1}^6 \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{u_{-1,p,q}^-(\lambda_0)(\ln|t|)^q}{(-t)^{p/2}} + \mathcal{O}(\widehat{K}_{\widehat{\zeta}_C}(\lambda_0)(-t)^{-7/2}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{-1,1,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= 0, & u_{-1,2,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3}, & u_{-1,2,1}^-(\lambda_0) &= 0, \\
u_{-1,3,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, & u_{-1,3,1}^-(\lambda_0) &= u_{-1,3,2}^-(\lambda_0) = 0, \\
u_{-1,4,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= \frac{R'_-(0)a_0^- s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} + \frac{\frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} + \frac{R'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^6} + \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\
& u_{-1,4,1}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,4,2}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,4,3}^-(\lambda_0) = 0, \\
u_{-1,5,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= \frac{R'_-(0)a_0^- s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^5} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{\frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi \\
& + \frac{R'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^c\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\
& u_{-1,5,1}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,5,2}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,5,3}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,5,4}^-(\lambda_0) = 0, \\
u_{-1,6,0}^-(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{i(2R'_-(0)b_0^- + 2R'_-(0)(a_0^-)^2 + 2a_0^- \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0) + \frac{1}{5!}R^V_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^8} - \frac{3iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^{10} 2^9} \\
& - \frac{3i(2R'_-(0)a_0^- + \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^9} + \frac{(2R'_-(0)a_0^- + \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi \\
& + \frac{R'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^b\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^d\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi, \\
& u_{-1,6,1}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,2}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,3}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,4}^-(\lambda_0) = u_{-1,6,5}^-(\lambda_0) = 0, \\
\widehat{K}_C^{\widehat{c}}(\lambda_0) &:= -\frac{i(2R'_-(0)b_0^- + 2R'_-(0)(a_0^-)^2 + 2a_0^- \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0) + \frac{1}{5!}R^V_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^8} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi \\
& - \frac{i(2R'_-(0)a_0^- + \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^8 2^9} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^3 e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^2 2^3} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^e\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) e^{-\xi} d\xi \\
& - \frac{iR'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^{10} 2^{12}} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^a\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^4 e^{-\xi} d\xi + \frac{R'_-(0)s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^6 2^7} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^c\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi^2 e^{-\xi} d\xi \\
& + \frac{(2R'_-(0)a_0^- + \frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0))s_0^-}{\pi\lambda_0^4 2^6} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mu}_{11}^c\left(\frac{\xi^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2^{3/2}\lambda_0}; \lambda_0\right) \xi e^{-\xi} d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

$s_0^- := \exp\{2i\phi_-(\lambda_0)\}$, $R'_-(0)$, $\frac{1}{3!}R'''_-(0)$, and $\frac{1}{5!}R^V_-(0)$ are given in Lemma 6.2.1, $\phi_-(\lambda_0)$, a_0^- , and b_0^- are given in Proposition 6.2.1, $\widehat{\mu}_{11}^a(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\widehat{\mu}_{1,0}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\widehat{\mu}_{11}^b(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\widehat{\mu}_{2,0}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\widehat{\mu}_{11}^c(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\widehat{\mu}_{1,1}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, $\widehat{\mu}_{11}^d(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\widehat{\mu}_{2,1}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, and $\widehat{\mu}_{11}^e(\cdot; \lambda_0) := (\widehat{\mu}_{1,2}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{11}$, where $(\widehat{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot; \lambda_0))_{ij}$ denotes the (i,j) th element of $\widehat{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot; \lambda_0)$.

Finally, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, one obtains the following.

Lemma 6.2.3 *As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $Q(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.2, Eqs. (10)–(27).*

6.3 Asymptotics of $((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$

Using Proposition 5.1, and proceeding as in (the proof of) Lemma 5.1, one obtains the following.

Lemma 6.3.1 *As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$,*

$$((\Psi^{-1}(x, t; 0))_{11})^2 = \exp\{i \arg q_-(x, t)\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^S(\lambda_0)(\ln|t|)^2}{(-\lambda_0^2 t)^{3/2}}\right),$$

where $\arg q_-(x, t)$ is given in Theorem 2.3, Eqs. (46)–(51).

Lemma 6.3.2 *As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lambda_0 > M$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $q(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.3, Eqs. (45)–(51).*

Lemma 6.3.3 *As $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{t} - \frac{1}{s})} > M$, $\frac{x}{t} > \frac{1}{s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_n$, for those $\gamma_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, $u(x, t)$ has the asymptotic expansion given in Theorem 2.4, Eqs. (56)–(66).*

Acknowledgements

The author would like to extend a profound debt of gratitude to A. V. Kitaev for extremely helpful and productive discussions pertaining to the results of this paper. The author is extremely grateful to L. D. Faddeev for the opportunity to work at POMI. The author is also very grateful to P. A. Deift for a copy of [22] prior to publication, K. T.-R. McLaughlin for a copy of [12], S. Venakides for a copy of [9], X. Zhou for copies of [24], and A. R. Its and V. B. Matveev for encouragement and support.

Appendix

To $\mathcal{O}(t^{-7/2})$, the explicit recurrence formulae for the coefficients of the asymptotic expansions for $Q(x, t)$ given in Lemmae 3.4 and 6.1.2 are listed here (they are derived from systems (78) and (79) upon equating coefficients of powers of like terms on both left- and right-hand sides). With respect to the recurrence formulae given here, the following must be borne in mind: (1) $\nu := \nu(\lambda_0)$; (2) $\tau^\pm := 4\lambda_0^4 t \mp \nu \ln |t|$; (3) $u_{\alpha, \beta}^\pm := u_{\alpha, \beta}^\pm(\lambda_0; t) := \sum_{\gamma' = 0}^{\beta - |\alpha|} u_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma'}^\pm(\lambda_0)(\ln |t|)^{\gamma'}$; (4) $f' := \partial_{\lambda_0} f(\lambda_0; t)|_{t = \text{fixed}}$; (5) $\dot{f} := \partial_t f(\lambda_0; t)|_{\lambda_0 = \text{fixed}}$; and (6) the upper/lower signs are taken as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

(1) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-1/2} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,1}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.1})$$

(2) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-1} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,2}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,2}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.2})$$

(3) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3/2} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu u_{1,1}^\pm - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,1}^\pm \ln |t|}{2} + 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,3}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{1,1}^\pm + \frac{i u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,1}^{\pm'}}{2} - \frac{i u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\ - \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,1}^{\pm'}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,1}^\pm \ln |t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

(4) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3/2})$,

$$\pm it \dot{u}_{-1,1}^\pm \mp \frac{i u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{-1,1}^{\pm'}}{2} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.4})$$

(5) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3/2} \exp\{2i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$8\lambda_0^4 u_{3,3}^\pm - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{3,3}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.5})$$

(6) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3/2} \exp\{-i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$-4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,3}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.6})$$

(7) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-2} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu u_{1,2}^\pm - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,2}^\pm \ln |t|}{2} + 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,4}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{1,2}^\pm \mp i u_{1,2}^\pm \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,2}^{\pm'}}{2} \pm \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,2}^{\pm'}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,2}^\pm \ln |t|}{2} \\ \pm \frac{i u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,4}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

(8) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pm it \dot{u}_{-1,2}^\pm \mp i u_{-1,2}^\pm \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{-1,2}^{\pm'}}{2} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \\ - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

(9) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-2} \exp\{2i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$8\lambda_0^4 u_{3,4}^\pm - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{3,4}^\pm = 0; \quad (\text{A.9})$$

(10) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-2} \exp\{-i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,4}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,4}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

(11) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu u_{1,3}^\pm - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,3}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} + 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,5}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{1,3}^\pm \mp \frac{3iu_{1,3}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{1,3}^{\prime\pm}}{2} \mp \frac{i\nu' u_{1,1}^{\pm\prime} \ln|t|}{32\lambda_0^2} \mp \frac{i\nu'' u_{1,1}^{\pm\prime} \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^2} \\
& \pm \frac{i\nu' u_{1,1}^{\pm\prime} \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^3} \pm \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{1,3}^{\prime\pm}}{2} \pm \frac{iu_{1,3}^\pm}{2} - \frac{(\nu')^2 u_{1,1}^\pm (\ln|t|)^2}{64\lambda_0^2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,3}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,5}^\pm \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\prime\prime\pm}}{64\lambda_0^3} - \frac{u_{1,1}^{\prime\prime\pm}}{64\lambda_0^3} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(u_{1,1}^\pm)' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\
& \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

(12) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm it \dot{u}_{-1,3}^\pm \mp \frac{3iu_{-1,3}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{-1,3}^{\prime\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm}{64\lambda_0^2} - \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm}{64\lambda_0^3} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,3}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \\
& - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \\
& + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(u_{1,1}^\pm)' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

(13) $\mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2} \exp\{2i\tau^\pm\})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nu u_{3,3}^\pm - \lambda_0 \nu' u_{3,3}^\pm \ln|t| + 8\lambda_0^4 u_{3,5}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{3,3}^\pm \mp \frac{3iu_{3,3}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{3,3}^{\prime\pm}}{2} \\
& \pm i\lambda_0 u_{3,3}^{\prime\pm} \pm iu_{3,3}^\pm + 2\lambda_0 \nu' u_{3,3}^\pm \ln|t| - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{3,5}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

$$(14) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2} \exp\{-i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\nu u_{-3,3}^\pm + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{-3,3}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,5}^\pm \pm i t \dot{u}_{-3,3}^\pm \mp \frac{3i u_{-3,3}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{-3,3}^{\pm'}}{2} \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{-3,3}^{\pm'}}{2} \mp \frac{i u_{-3,3}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{-3,3}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,5}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm \\ & - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\ & - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\ & + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.14}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(15) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2} \exp\{3i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$12\lambda_0^4 u_{5,5}^\pm - 36\lambda_0^4 u_{5,5}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$(16) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-5/2} \exp\{-2i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -8\lambda_0^4 u_{-5,5}^\pm - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{-5,5}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\ & - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.16}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(17) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3} \exp\{i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu u_{1,4}^\pm - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,4}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} + 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,6}^\pm \pm i t \dot{u}_{1,4}^\pm \mp 2i u_{1,4}^\pm \mp \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,4}^{\pm'}}{2} \mp \frac{i \nu' u_{1,2}^{\pm'} \ln|t|}{32\lambda_0^2} \mp \frac{i \nu'' u_{1,2}^\pm \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^2} \\ & \pm \frac{i \nu' u_{1,2}^\pm \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^3} \pm \frac{i \lambda_0 u_{1,4}^{\pm'}}{2} \pm \frac{i u_{1,4}^\pm}{2} - \frac{(\nu')^2 u_{1,2}^\pm (\ln|t|)^2}{64\lambda_0^2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,4}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,6}^\pm \\ & + \frac{u_{1,2}^{\pm'}}{64\lambda_0^2} - \frac{u_{1,2}^{\pm'}}{64\lambda_0^3} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,4}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{3,4}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,3}^\pm \\ & - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,4}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \\ & - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,4}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \\ & - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm \\ & - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,4}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\ & + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\ & \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\ & \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,2}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\ & + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \tag{A.18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3} \exp\{2i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nu u_{3,4}^\pm - \lambda_0 \nu' u_{3,4}^\pm \ln|t| + 8\lambda_0^4 u_{3,6}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{3,4}^\pm \mp 2iu_{3,4}^\pm \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{3,4}^{\pm'}}{2} \\
& \pm i\lambda_0 u_{3,4}^{\pm'} \pm iu_{3,4}^\pm + 2\lambda_0 \nu' u_{3,4}^\pm \ln|t| - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{3,6}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{3,4}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,4}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \\
& + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \mp \frac{i(u_{-1,1}^\pm)'}{8\lambda_0} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \\
& \mp \frac{i(u_{-1,1}^\pm)'}{8\lambda_0} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \mp \frac{i(u_{-1,2}^\pm)'}{8\lambda_0} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \tag{A.19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3} \exp\{-i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$-\nu u_{-3,4}^\pm + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{-3,4}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,6}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{-3,4}^\pm \mp 2iu_{-3,4}^\pm \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{-3,4}^{\pm'}}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{-3,4}^{\pm'}}{2} \mp \frac{i u_{-3,4}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{-3,4}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{-3,6}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-3,4}^{\pm} \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,4}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,3}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} u_{1,2}^{\pm} \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,3}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-3,4}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,4}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,3}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,3}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \\
& - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{1,2}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \\
& - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,4}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,4}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,4}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{\nu' u_{1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \\
& \mp \frac{i(u_{1,1}^{\pm})' u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(u_{1,1}^{\pm})' u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(u_{1,2}^{\pm})' u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.20})
\end{aligned}$$

$$(21) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3} \exp\{3i\tau^{\pm}\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 12\lambda_0^4 u_{5,6}^{\pm} - 36\lambda_0^4 u_{5,6}^{\pm} + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{1,2}^{\pm} + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,2}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,2}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{-1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm}}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.21})
\end{aligned}$$

$$(22) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-3} \exp\{-2i\tau^{\pm}\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -8\lambda_0^4 u_{-5,6}^{\pm} - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{-5,6}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-3,4}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-3,4}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-3,3}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \\
& - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,4}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,2}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,2}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm}}{2} = 0; \quad (\text{A.22})
\end{aligned}$$

$$(23) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-7/2} \exp\{i\tau^{\pm}\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu u_{1,5}^{\pm} - \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,5}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{2} + 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,7}^{\pm} \pm i t u_{1,5}^{\pm} \mp \frac{5i u_{1,5}^{\pm}}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{1,5}^{\pm'}}{2} \mp \frac{i\nu' u_{1,3}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{32\lambda_0^2} \mp \frac{i\nu'' u_{1,3}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^2} \\
& \pm \frac{i\nu' u_{1,3}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{64\lambda_0^3} \pm \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{1,5}^{\pm'}}{2} \pm \frac{i u_{1,5}^{\pm}}{2} - \frac{(\nu')^2 u_{1,3}^{\pm} (\ln|t|)^2}{64\lambda_0^2} + \frac{\lambda_0 \nu' u_{1,5}^{\pm} \ln|t|}{2} - 4\lambda_0^4 u_{1,7}^{\pm} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,3}^{\pm''}}{64\lambda_0^2} - \frac{u_{1,3}^{\pm'}}{64\lambda_0^3} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,1}^{\pm} u_{1,5}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{-1,1}^{\pm} u_{3,5}^{\pm} - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^{\pm}} u_{1,2}^{\pm} u_{1,4}^{\pm}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(27) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-7/2} \exp\{3i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3\nu u_{5,5}^\pm - \frac{3\lambda_0 \nu' u_{5,5}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} + 12\lambda_0^4 u_{5,7}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{5,5}^\pm \mp \frac{5i u_{5,5}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{5,5}^{\pm'}}{2} \\
& \pm \frac{3i\lambda_0 u_{5,5}^{\pm'}}{2} \pm \frac{3i u_{5,5}^\pm}{2} + \frac{9\lambda_0 \nu' u_{5,5}^\pm \ln|t|}{2} - 36\lambda_0^4 u_{5,7}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{5,5}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{5,5}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,3}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm \\
& + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm \\
& + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,4}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-3,5}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-5,5}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm + 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{-5,5}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\
& - \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{3,3}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm})' u_{3,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm})' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,2}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{1,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} \\
& + \frac{u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{3,3}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{-1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2} = 0; \tag{A.27}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(28) \mathcal{O}((\pm t)^{-7/2} \exp\{-2i\tau^\pm\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\nu u_{5,5}^\pm + \lambda_0 \nu' u_{5,5}^\pm \ln|t| - 8\lambda_0^4 u_{5,7}^\pm \pm it \dot{u}_{5,5}^\pm \mp \frac{5i u_{5,5}^\pm}{2} \mp \frac{i\lambda_0 u_{5,5}^{\pm'}}{2} \\
& \mp i\lambda_0 u_{5,5}^{\pm'} \mp i u_{5,5}^\pm + 2\lambda_0 \nu' u_{5,5}^\pm \ln|t| - 16\lambda_0^4 u_{5,7}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{5,5}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,5}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-3,4}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,3}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,3}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,4}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-5,5}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,5}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,4}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-3,4}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,3}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm \\
& - 2\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{1,3}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,2}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,4}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \\
& - 6\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{5,5}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{1,1}^\pm - 6\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{5,5}^\pm} u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm - 4\lambda_0^2 \overline{u_{3,5}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm + \frac{\nu' u_{1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} \\
& + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{\nu' \overline{u_{3,3}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm \ln|t|}{4\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,1}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-3,3}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{1,1}^\pm})' u_{-3,3}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} \\
& \mp \frac{i(\overline{u_{3,3}^\pm})' u_{-1,1}^\pm u_{-1,1}^\pm}{8\lambda_0} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm}{2} + \frac{u_{-1,1}^\pm \overline{u_{1,1}^\pm} u_{-1,2}^\pm \overline{u_{1,2}^\pm} u_{-1,1}^\pm}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J. R. Taylor, ed., *Optical Solitons - Theory and Experiment*, Cambridge Studies in Modern Optics, Vol. 10, CUP, Cambridge, 1992. G. P. Agrawal, 2nd edn., *Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, Academic, San Diego, 1995. A. Hasegawa and Y. Kodama, *Solitons in Optical Communications*, Oxford Series in Optical and Imaging Sciences, No. 7, OUP, Oxford, 1995.
- [2] A. V. Kitaev and A. H. Vartanian, *Inverse Problems* **13**, 1311–1339 (1997). solv-int/9701001.
- [3] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [4] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981. S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, and V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of Solitons: The Inverse Scattering Method*, Plenum, New York, 1984. A. C. Newell, *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics*, CBMS, No. 48, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985. M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, LMS, No. 149, CUP, Cambridge, 1991. I. Cherednik, *Basic Methods of Soliton Theory*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 25, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [5] R. Beals, P. Deift, and C. Tomei, *Direct and Inverse Scattering on the Line*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, No. 28, AMS, Providence, 1988.
- [6] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *Ann. Math.* **137**, 295–368 (1993).
- [7] S. Kamvissis, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **153**, 479–519 (1993).
- [8] P. A. Deift and X. Zhou, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**, 277–338 (1995).
- [9] P.-J. Cheng, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, “Long-time Asymptotics for the Pure Radiation Solution of the Sine-Gordon Equation”, Preprint (1997).
- [10] A. V. Kitaev and A. H. Vartanian, “Asymptotics of Solutions to the Modified Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation: Solitons on a Non-Vanishing Continuous Background”, submitted to *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*. solv-int/9801001.
- [11] P. A. Deift and X. Zhou, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **165**, 175–191 (1994).
- [12] M. G. Forest and K. T.-R. McLaughlin, “Onset of oscillations in nonsoliton pulses in nonlinear dispersive fibers”, Preprint (1996).
- [13] V. S. Buslaev and V. V. Sukhanov, *J. Sov. Math.* **34**, 1905–1920 (1986).
- [14] R. Beals and R. R. Coifman, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37**, 39–90 (1984).
- [15] A. V. Kitaev, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **64**, 878–894 (1985).
- [16] D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 798–801 (1978).
- [17] X. Zhou, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20**, 966–986 (1989).
- [18] X. Zhou, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42**, 895–938 (1989).
- [19] A. R. Its, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **24**, 452–456 (1981). A. R. Its, *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **26**, 497–529 (1986).
- [20] X. Zhou, *J. Diff. Eqn.* **115**, 277–303 (1995).
- [21] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, 5th edn., *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, A. Jeffrey, ed., Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.

- [22] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *Math. Res. Lett.* **4**, 761–772 (1997).
- [23] N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, *Math. Anal.* **298**, 557–576 (1994).
- [24] X. Zhou, *Comm. in PDE* **22**, 503–526 (1997). X. Zhou, “ L^2 -Sobolev Space Bijectivity of the Scattering and Inverse Scattering Transforms”, Preprint (1997).