

ON LUMP INSTABILITY OF DAVEY–STEWARTSON II EQUATION

RUSTEM R. GADYL'SHIN AND OLEG M. KISELEV

Institute of Mathematics, Ufa Science Center of Russian
Academy of Sciences, 112, Chernyshevskii, 450000 Ufa, Russia

ABSTRACT. We show that lumps (solitons) of the Davey–Stewartson II equation fail under small perturbations of initial data.

§1. INTRODUCTION

The Davey–Stewartson II (DS-II) equation [1,2] is the well known example of the 2+1 dimensional completely integrable equation ([3,4]). We study the lump (soliton) stability for this equation. The DS-II equation is considered in the following form [4]:

$$\begin{aligned}i\partial_t q + 2(\partial_z^2 + \partial_{\bar{z}}^2)q + (g + \bar{g})q &= 0, \\ \partial_z g &= \partial_z |q|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the overbar represents complex conjugation.

It is known ([4]) that there exists the correspondence one-to-one between the lumps and poles (with respect to k) of the matrix solution of the equation

$$(I - G[q^0, k])\phi = E(kz), \quad (1.1)$$

Work partially supported by RFFI grant 97-01-00459

where $E(kz) = \text{diag}(\exp(kz), \exp(\overline{kz}))$, I is the unit matrix, $q^0(z)$ is the initial data $q(z, 0)$ for the DS-II equation and

$$G[f, k]\phi \equiv \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{f(\zeta) \exp(k(z-\zeta))}{z-\zeta} \\ \frac{-\bar{f}(\zeta) \exp(\overline{k(z-\zeta)})}{z-\zeta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(k, \zeta).$$

Hereafter, the dependence of functions on the complex conjugate variables is omitted.

If the solution of (1.1) has a pole then the solution of DS-II has the soliton structure. We show that the pole disappears under small perturbation of the initial data. One and the same the soliton structure is instable with respect to perturbations. Namely in this sense we mean instability of the lump.

§2. REDUCTION OF THE PROBLEM

The existence of the nontrivial solution for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} (I - G[q^0, k_0])\Phi &= 0, \\ E(-k_0 z)\Phi(z) &\rightarrow 0, \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

is the necessary condition for existence of the pole at $k = k_0$ for the solution of (1.1) (see, for instance, [4]). In turn, one can see, that the existence of the nontrivial solutions of (2.1) is equivalent to the existence of the nontrivial solution (vanishing at infinity) of the equation

$$(I - \mathcal{G}[q^0, k_0])\chi = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}[f, k]\chi = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{f(\zeta) \exp(k\bar{\zeta} - k\zeta)}{z-\zeta} \\ \frac{-\bar{f}(\zeta) \exp(k\zeta - k\bar{\zeta})}{z-\zeta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(k, \zeta).$$

One can show, that if q^0 is a continuous function and $|q^0| \sim |z|^{-2}$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mathcal{G}[q^0, k]$ is the compact operator in the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ of continuous vector-functions with the norm

$$\|u\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} (|u_1| + |u_2|),$$

where u_j are the components of u (see also, [5]). So, the existence of the lumps is reduced to the existence of zeros for eigenvalues of the equation

$$(I - \mathcal{G}[q^0, k])A = \lambda(k)A \quad (2.3)$$

We show that zero of the eigenvalue is instable with respect to a small perturbation of the potential q^0 . As above mentioned this result implies the instability of the lumps.

§3. UNIFORM ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EIGENVALUE

Assume that the solution of (1.1) has the first order pole at $k = k_0$ in the unperturbed case $q^0 = q_0$ and denote

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known [4], that if $A^{(1)}$ is the nontrivial solution of (2.2) (for $q^0 = q_0(z)$), then $A^{(2)} = \sigma A^{(1)} \sigma^{-1}$ is the second nontrivial solution of (2.2). Obviously, $A^{(i)}$ are the eigenfunction of (2.3) for $k = k_0$, $q^0 = q_0$ and $\lambda(k_0) = 0$. To be more concrete, we assume that the multiplicity of the null eigenvalue, for $k_0 = 0$, is equal to two.

Now, we consider the perturbed case

$$q^0(z) = q_0(z) + \varepsilon q_1(z), \quad (3.1)$$

where q_1 is a smooth function with the finite support and ε is a small real valued parameter. The leading terms of asymptotics for the eigenvalue

and eigenfunction of (2.3) in the perturbed case are constructed in the following form:

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) = \varepsilon \lambda^1(\varkappa), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\tilde{A}(z, k, \varepsilon) = A^0(z, \varkappa) + \varepsilon A^1(z, \varkappa), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\varkappa = (k - k_0)\varepsilon^{-1}$. Substituting (3.1)-(3.3) into (2.3), we obtain the following equations:

$$(I - \mathcal{G}[q_0, k_0])^0 A = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$(I - \mathcal{G}[q_0, k_0])^1 A = F, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$F = \mathcal{G}[q_1, k_0]^0 A + \varkappa \partial_k \mathcal{G}[q_0, k_0]^0 A + \bar{\varkappa} \partial_{\bar{k}} \mathcal{G}[q_0, k_0]^0 A + \lambda^1(\varkappa)^0 A. \quad (3.6)$$

Obviously, that

$$A^0(z, \varkappa) = \alpha(\varkappa) A^{(1)}(z) + \beta(\varkappa) A^{(2)}(z) \quad (3.7)$$

satisfies (3.4) for any α and β .

Denote

$$(u, v)_f = \int \int_{\mathbb{C}} dz \wedge d\bar{z} (\bar{f} \exp(kz - \bar{k}z) u_1 \bar{v}_1 + f \exp(\bar{k}z - kz) u_2 \bar{v}_2),$$

where u_i, v_i are the components of the vectors u, v respectively. Let $B^{(1)}$ be the eigenfunction of the formal adjoint equation for $(I + \mathcal{G}[q_0, k_0])$ with respect to $(\bullet, \bullet)_{q_0}$. One can see, that the function

$$B^{(2)} = \sigma \overline{B^{(1)}} \sigma^{-1}$$

is the second eigenfunction of the adjoint equation. The Fredholm alternative for the solvability of (3.5) reads as following:

$$(\bar{F}, B^{(j)})_{q_0} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.8)$$

We normalize $A^{(1)}$ and $B^{(1)}$ by conditions

$$(A^{(1)}, e_1)_{q_0} = 0, \quad (A^{(1)}, e_2)_{q_0} = 4i\pi,$$

$$(e_1, B^{(1)})_{q_0} = 0, \quad (e_2, B^{(1)})_{q_0} = 4i\pi,$$

where e_i , $i = 1, 2$ is the corresponding column of the unit matrix.

The construction of the asymptotics is standard. Putting (3.6) in (3.8), we get the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^1 \alpha \Omega_1 + \lambda^1 \beta \Omega_2 + \alpha Q_1 + \beta Q_2 + 4i\pi \beta \bar{\varkappa} &= 0, \\ \lambda^1 \alpha \bar{\Omega}_2 - \lambda^1 \beta \bar{\Omega}_1 + \alpha \bar{Q}_2 - \beta \bar{Q}_1 - 4i\pi \alpha \varkappa &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\Omega_j = -4i\pi (A^{(j)}, B^{(1)})_{q_0}, \quad Q_j = (A^{(1)}, B^{(j)})_{q_1}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The system (3.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (|\Omega_1|^2 + |\Omega_2|^2) \lambda^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\Omega}_1 Q_1 + \Omega_2 (\bar{Q}_2 - 4i\pi \bar{\varkappa})) \lambda^1 + \\ + |4i\pi \bar{\varkappa} + Q_2|^2 + |Q_1|^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The equation (3.10) has two solutions λ^1_i , $i = 1, 2$, (taking in account their multiplicity) such that

$$\lambda^1_1(\varkappa) \lambda^1_2(\varkappa) = \frac{|4i\pi \bar{\varkappa} + Q_2|^2 + |Q_1|^2}{|\Omega_1|^2 + |\Omega_2|^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\lambda_i^1(\varkappa) = O(1 + |\varkappa|). \quad (3.12)$$

Hereafter, the estimates containing \varkappa are understood as uniform with respect to $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.1. The following constructions are independent of the index i in the formulae λ_i^1 . Therefore we omit it in the construction of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions up to remark 3.2 below.

Let us normalize (3.7) by condition:

$$\alpha + |\beta| = 1, \quad \alpha \geq 0 \quad (3.13)$$

Due to (3.13) and any equation from (3.9), we get α , β and obtain the solution of (3.5) such that

$$\|\tilde{A}^1(z, \varkappa)\| = O(1 + |\varkappa|). \quad (3.14)$$

Formulae (3.4)-(3.7) and (3.12)-(3.14) imply that there exist $\tilde{\lambda}$ and \tilde{A} having form (3.2) and (3.3), respectively, such that

$$T(\varepsilon, k)\tilde{A} = \tilde{F}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $T(\varepsilon, k) = I - \mathcal{G}[q, k] - \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k)$,

$$0 < C_1 < \|\tilde{A}\| < C_2, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|\tilde{F}\| = o(|\varepsilon| + |k - k_0|). \quad (3.17)$$

Hereafter C_i are constants. Obviously, for the eigenvalues $\mu(\varepsilon, k)$ of $T(\varepsilon, k)$ and $\lambda(\varepsilon, k)$ of $I - \mathcal{G}[q, k]$ there is the equality

$$\lambda(\varepsilon, k) = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) + \mu(\varepsilon, k). \quad (3.18)$$

Let γ be the circle around the origin of enough small fixed radius. Denote

$$P(\varepsilon, k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (T(\varepsilon, k) - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta,$$

$$U(\varepsilon, k) = \left(1 - (P(\varepsilon, k) - P(0, k_0))^2\right)^{-1/2} (1 - P(\varepsilon, k) - P(0, k_0)).$$

It is known [6], that the projector $P(\varepsilon, k)$ and transform function $U(\varepsilon, k)$ reduce the eigenvalue problem (local) for $T(\varepsilon, k)$ to the the eigenvalue problem for the two dimensional operator

$$\hat{T}(\varepsilon, k) = U^{-1}(\varepsilon, k)T(\varepsilon, k)U(\varepsilon, k)P(0, k_0)$$

defined in $P(0, k_0)\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$. Namely, both eigenvalues of \hat{T} and both vanishing eigenvalues of T (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow k_0$) coincide. By definition of T the operator \hat{T} has form

$$\hat{T}(\varepsilon, k) = \varepsilon\hat{T}_1(\varepsilon, k) + (k - k_0)\hat{T}_2(\varepsilon, k), \quad \|T_i\| \leq C, \quad (3.19)$$

and due to (3.15)-(3.17) the function $\hat{A}(\varepsilon, k) = U^{-1}(\varepsilon, k)\tilde{A}(\varepsilon, k)$ satisfies the equation

$$\hat{T}(\varepsilon, k)\hat{A} = o(|\varepsilon| + |k - k_0|) \quad (3.20)$$

and the following estimate holds

$$0 < C_3 < \|\hat{A}\| < C_4. \quad (3.21)$$

Suppose, that

$$k - k_0 = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.22)$$

and denote $B(\varepsilon, k) = \varepsilon^{-1}\hat{T}(\varepsilon, k)$. Let $\nu(\varepsilon, k)$ is the eigenvalue of $B(\varepsilon, k)$. Obviously, equality (3.18) implies that

$$\lambda(\varepsilon, k) = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) + \varepsilon\nu(\varepsilon, k). \quad (3.23)$$

On the other hand, due to (3.19), (3.20) and (3.22) we have that

$$B(\varepsilon, k)\tilde{A} = o(1), \quad \|B\| \leq C_5. \quad (3.24)$$

In turn, formulae (3.21) and (3.24) imply that there exists the vanishing $\nu(\varepsilon)$ and, hence (see, (3.23)) there is the eigenvalue

$$\lambda(\varepsilon, k) = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) + o(\varepsilon). \quad (3.25)$$

In the same way, one can obtain that if

$$\varepsilon = O(k - k_0) \quad (3.26)$$

then

$$\lambda(\varepsilon, k) = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) + o((k - k_0)). \quad (3.27)$$

Formulae (3.22), (3.25)–(3.27) imply that

$$\lambda(\varepsilon, k) = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon, k) + o(|k - k_0| + |\varepsilon|), \quad k - k_0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Remark 3.2. Now, recall that, in fact, we constructed two series asymptotics corresponding the index $i = 1, 2$ (see, remark 3.1). So, below we add this index for the constructed quantities.

Denote by λ_i , $i = 1, 2$, the eigenvalues of $(I - \mathcal{G}[q^0, k])$ vanishing as $k \rightarrow k_0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Due to (3.2), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.28) we have that

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{|4i\pi(\overline{k - k_0}) + \varepsilon Q_2|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |Q_1|^2}{|\Omega_1|^2 + |\Omega_2|^2} + o(\varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon(k - k_0)| + |k - k_0|^2).$$

One can see that, if $Q_1 \neq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and any k close to k_0 .

Remark 3.3. So, the structure of the scattering data (in the terms of the inverse scattering transform [4]) is instable under small perturbations of initial data. This result justifies the formal asymptotics of the continuous part for the scattering data constructed in [5].

REFERENCES

1. Davey A., Stewartson K., *On the three-dimensional packets of surface waves*, Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser.A. **338** (1974), 101–110.
2. Djordjevic V.D., Redekopp L.G., *On two-dimensional packets of capillary-gravity waves*, J.Fluid Mech. **79** (1977), 703–714.
3. Fokas A.S., Ablowitz M.J., *On the inverse scattering transform of multidimensional nonlinear equations related to first-order system in the plane.*, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984), 2494–2505.
4. Arkadiev V.A., Pogrebkov A.K., Polivanov M.C., *Inverse scattering transform method and soliton solutions for Davey-Stewartson II equation.*, Physica D. **36** (1989), 189-197.
5. Gadyl'shin R.R., Kiselev O.M., *On nonsoliton structure of scattering data under perturbation of two-dimensional soliton for Davey – Stewartson equation II*, Teor. i Mat. Fiz. **106** (1996), 200-208.
6. Kato T., *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

E-mail address: ok@imat.rb.ru