

## The Painlevé Integrability Test

Willy Hereman

Department of Mathematical and Computer Sciences,  
Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401-1887, U.S.A.

The Painlevé test is a widely applied and quite successful technique to investigate the integrability [8] of nonlinear ODEs and PDEs by analyzing the singularity structure of the solutions. The test is named after the French mathematician Paul Painlevé (1863-1933) [18], who classified second order differential equations that are solvable in terms of known elementary functions or new transcendental functions [12].

The Painlevé test, allows one to verify whether or not a differential equation (perhaps after a change of variables) satisfies the necessary conditions for having the Painlevé property. If so, the equation is prime candidate for being completely integrable [1].

As originally formulated by Ablowitz *et al.* [2], the Painlevé conjecture asserts that all similarity reductions of a completely integrable PDE should have the Painlevé property (or be of Painlevé-type), i.e. their general solutions should have no movable singularities other than poles in the complex plane.

A later version of the Painlevé test due to Weiss *et al.* [23] allows testing of PDEs directly, without recourse to the reduction(s) to ODEs. A PDE is said to have the Painlevé property if its solutions in the complex plane are single-valued in the neighborhood of all its movable singularities. In other words, the equation must have a solution without any branching around the singular points whose positions depend on the initial conditions. The traditional Painlevé test does not test for essential singularities and therefore cannot determine whether or not branching occurs about these.

### The algorithm

The Painlevé test can be applied to nonlinear polynomial system of ODEs or PDEs with (real) polynomial terms. For brevity, we give the three steps of the test for a single PDE,  $\mathcal{F}(x, t, u(x, t)) = 0$ , in two independent variables  $x$  and  $t$ .

Following [23], the Laurent expansion of the solution  $u(x, t)$ ,

$$u(x, t) = g^\alpha(x, t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x, t) g^k(x, t), \quad (1)$$

should be single-valued in the neighborhood of a non-characteristic, movable singular manifold  $g(x, t)$ , which can be viewed as the surface of the movable poles in the complex plane. In (1),  $u_0(x, t) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  is a negative integer, and  $u_k(x, t)$  are analytic functions in a neighborhood of  $g(x, t)$ .

Note that for ODEs the singular manifold is  $g(x, t) = x - x_0$ , where  $x_0$  is the initial value for  $x$ . For PDEs, if  $u(x, t)$  has simple zeros and  $g_x(x, t) \neq 0$ , one may apply the implicit function theorem near the singularity manifold and set  $g(x, t) = x - h(t)$ , for an arbitrary function  $h(t)$  [16, 20]. This considerably simplifies the computations.

*Step 1: Leading order analysis*

Determine the (negative) integer  $\alpha$  and  $u_0$  by balancing the minimal power terms after substitution of  $u = u_0 g^\alpha$  into the given PDE. There may be several branches for  $u_0$ , and for each the next two steps must be performed.

*Step 2: Determination of the resonances*

For a selected  $\alpha$  and  $u_0$ , calculate the non-negative integers  $r$ , called the *resonances*, at which arbitrary functions  $u_r$  enter the series (1). To do so, substitute  $u = u_0 g^\alpha + u_r g^{\alpha+r}$  into the equation, only retaining its most singular terms. Require that the coefficient  $u_r$  is arbitrary by equating its coefficient to zero. Compute the integer roots of the resulting polynomial. For (1) to represent the general solution, the number of roots (including  $r = -1$ ) must match the order of the given equation. The root  $r = -1$  corresponds to the arbitrariness of the manifold  $g(x, t)$ .

*Step 3: Verification of the compatibility conditions*

Verify that a solution of the form (1) is indeed admissible, and that it has the necessary number of free coefficients  $u_r$ . Substitute (1), truncated at the largest resonance, into the PDE. Determine  $u_k$  at non-resonance levels  $k$ . At resonance levels,  $u_r$  should be arbitrary, and since we are dealing with a nonlinear equation, a *compatibility condition* must be unconditionally satisfied.

An equation for which these three steps can be carried out consistently and unambiguously passes the Painlevé test.

In the case of systems, for every dependent variable  $u_i$  one substitutes

$$u_i = g(x, t)^{\alpha_i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k^{(i)} g(x, t)^k, \quad (2)$$

and carefully determines all branches of dominant behavior corresponding to various choices of  $\alpha_i$  and/or  $u_0^{(i)}$ . For each branch, the single-valuedness of the corresponding Laurent expansion must be tested, i.e. the resonances must be computed and the compatibility conditions must be verified. Details and an abundance of worked examples can be found in [1, 5, 6, 8, 16, 20, 22].

### Simple Examples

Consider the PDE,  $u_{tx} + a(t)u_x + 6uu_{xx} + 6u_x^2 + u_{xxxx} = 0$ , and ask under what condition for  $a(t)$  the equation passes the Painlevé test.

Here,  $\alpha = -2$  and  $u_0 = -2g_x^2$ . Apart from  $r = -1$ , the roots are  $r = 4, 5$ , and  $6$ . The latter three are resonances. Furthermore,  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  can uniquely be determined in terms of derivatives of  $g(x, t)$ .

The compatibility conditions at resonances  $r = 4$  and  $r = 5$  are satisfied. Hence,  $u_4$  and  $u_5$  are arbitrary. The compatibility condition at resonance  $r = 6$  is  $a_t + 2a^2 = 0$ . Hence,  $a = \frac{1}{2t}$  and the PDE becomes the cylindrical KdV equation which is indeed completely integrable [1].

As a second example, consider the famous Lorenz system from meteorology,

$$u_1' = a(u_2 - u_1), \quad u_2' = -u_1 u_3 + b u_1 - u_2, \quad u_3' = u_1 u_2 - c u_3, \quad (3)$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are positive constants.

For each dependent variable, one substitutes a Laurent series (2) and determines the leading orders:  $\alpha_1 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = -2$ . The first coefficients are  $u_0^{(1)} = \pm 2i$ ,  $u_0^{(2)} = \mp 2i/a$ ,  $u_0^{(3)} = -2/a$ . The roots are  $r = -1, 2, 4$ . The expressions for  $u_1^{(1)}$ ,  $u_1^{(2)}$  and  $u_1^{(3)}$  are readily computed.

The compatibility conditions at resonances  $r = 2$  and  $r = 4$  are not satisfied. At resonance  $r = 2$  one encounters  $a(c - 2a)(c + 3a - 1) = 0$ . Investigating all cases, it turns out that for  $c = 2a$  the compatibility condition at  $r = 4$  is not satisfied. For  $c = 1 - 3a$ , the compatibility condition at  $r = 4$  is satisfied if  $a = \frac{1}{3}$ . The Lorenz system (3) thus passes the Painlevé test when  $a = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $c = 0$  [10].

In the last example, we consider a coupled system of KdV equations,

$$u_{1,t} - 6au_1u_{1,x} + 6u_2u_{2,x} - au_{1,xxx} = 0, \quad u_{2,t} + 3u_1u_{2,x} + u_{2,xxx} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where  $a$  is a nonzero parameter. System (4) is known to be completely integrable if  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ . This is confirmed by the Painlevé test. Indeed, with a Laurent series for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  one obtains  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -2$  and  $r = -2, -1, 3, 4, 6$  and  $8$ . Furthermore,  $u_0^{(1)} = -4$  and  $u_0^{(2)} = \pm 2\sqrt{2a}$  determine the coefficients  $u_1^{(1)}, u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(1)}, u_2^{(2)}$  unambiguously. At resonances 3 and 4 there is one free function and no condition for  $a$ . The coefficients  $u_5^{(1)}$  and  $u_5^{(2)}$  are uniquely determined. At resonance 6, the compatibility condition is only satisfied if  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ . For this value, the compatibility condition at  $r = 8$  is also satisfied.

### Symbolic Programs

The Painlevé test, although algorithmic, is cumbersome when done by hand. Several computer implementations of the Painlevé test exist [5, 10, 11]. A brief review is given in [21]. These symbolic codes are particularly useful for the verification of the self-consistency (compatibility) conditions, and in exploring all possibilities of balancing singular terms. Applied to equations with parameters, the software can determine the conditions on the parameters so that the equations pass the Painlevé test (see [10, 11]).

### Further Reading

There is a vast amount of literature about the test and its applications to specific differential equations. Several well-documented surveys [3, 5, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 19] and books [4, 6, 22] discuss the basics, as well as subtleties and pathological cases of the test. The survey papers also deal with the many interesting connections with other properties of PDEs and by-products of the Painlevé test. They show, for example, how truncated Laurent series expansions allow one to construct Lax pairs, Bäcklund and Darboux transformations, and closed-form particular solutions of PDEs.

Some shortcomings of the traditional Painlevé test have been identified by Kruskal and others [13, 14, 15]. Improved versions of the Painlevé test have been proposed, such as the poly-Painlevé test [14]. Besides, other variants of the test exist [5, 6, 13, 15], e.g. the weak Painlevé test [20], and a perturbative Painlevé approach [7] which allows for a deeper analysis of equations with negative resonances.

## References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*. London Math. Soc. Lec. Note Ser. 149 (Cambridge University Press, London, 1991).
- [2] M. J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P-type. I. & II, J. Math. Phys. 21 (1980) 715-721; 1006-1015.
- [3] F. Cariello and M. Tabor, Painlevé expansions for nonintegrable evolution equations, Physica D 39 (1989) 77-94.
- [4] P. A. Clarkson, ed., *Applications of Analytic and Geometrical Methods to Nonlinear Differential Equations*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C v. 413 (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993).
- [5] R. Conte, Singularities of differential equations and integrability, in: *Introduction to Methods of Complex Analysis and Geometry for Classical Mechanics and Non-Linear Waves*, D. Benest and C. Fröeschlé, eds. (Éditions Frontières, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 1993) p. 49-143.
- [6] R. Conte, ed., *The Painlevé Property, One Century Later*, CRM Series in Mathematical Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [7] R. Conte, A. P. Fordy and A. Pickering, A perturbative Painlevé approach to nonlinear differential equations, Physica D 69 (1993) 33-58.
- [8] H. Flaschka, A. C. Newell and M. Tabor, Integrability, in: *What is Integrability*. V. E. Zakharov, ed. (Springer, New York, 1991) 73-114.
- [9] J. D. Gibbon, P. Radmore, M. Tabor and D. Wood, The Painlevé property and Hirota's method, Stud. Appl. Math. 72 (1985) 39-63.
- [10] W. Hereman, Ü. Göktaş, M. Colagrosso, and A. Miller, Algorithmic integrability tests for nonlinear differential and lattice equations, Special Issue on 'Computer Algebra in Physics Research,' Comp. Phys. Comm. 101 (1998) submitted.
- [11] W. Hereman and W. Zhuang, Symbolic software for soliton theory, Acta Appl. Math. 39 (1995) 361-378.
- [12] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Dover, New York, 1956).
- [13] M. D. Kruskal, Flexibility in applying the Painlevé test, in: *Painlevé Transcendents*. D. Levi and P. Winternitz, eds. (Plenum, New York, 1992) 187-195.
- [14] M. D. Kruskal and P. A. Clarkson, The Painlevé-Kowalevski and poly-Painlevé tests for integrability, Stud. Appl. Math. 86 (1992) 87-165.

- [15] M. D. Kruskal, N. Joshi and R. Halburd, Analytic and asymptotic methods for nonlinear singularity analysis: a review and extensions of tests for the Painlevé property, in: *Proc. CIMPA Winter School on Nonlinear Systems*, B. Grammaticos and K. M. Tamizhmani, eds. (Pondicherry, India, 1996).
- [16] M. D. Kruskal, A. Ramani and B. Grammaticos, Singularity analysis and its relation to complete, partial and non-integrability. in: *Partially Integrable Evolution Equations in Physics*, R. Conte and N. Boccara, eds. (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990) 321-372.
- [17] M. Lakshmanan and R. Sahadevan, Painlevé analysis, Lie symmetries, and integrability of coupled nonlinear oscillators of polynomial type, *Physics Reports* 224 (1993) 1-93.
- [18] D. Levi and P. Winternitz, eds., *Painlevé Transcendents: Their Asymptotics and Physical Applications*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B (Phys.) v. 278 (Plenum, New York, 1992).
- [19] A. C. Newell and M. Tabor and Y. B. Zeng, A unified approach to Painlevé expansions, *Physica D* 29 (1987) 1-68.
- [20] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis, The Painlevé property and singularity analysis of integrable and non-integrable systems, *Physics Reports* 180 (1989) 159-245.
- [21] C. Scheen, Implementation of the Painlevé test for ordinary differential equations, *Theor. Comp. Sci.* 187 (1997) 87-104.
- [22] W. H. Steeb and N. Euler, *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Painlevé Test* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [23] J. Weiss, M. Tabor and G. Carnevale, The Painlevé property for partial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 24 (1983) 522-526.