

A New “Dual” Symmetry Structure of the KP Hierarchy

H. Aratyn¹

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago
845 W. Taylor St., Chicago, IL 60607-7059, U.S.A.

E. Nissimov² and S. Pacheva²

Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy
Boul. Tsarigradsko Chausee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

and

Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Box 653, IL-84105 Beer Sheva, Israel

Abstract

A new infinite set of commuting additional (“ghost”) symmetries is proposed for the KP-type integrable hierarchy. These symmetries allow for a Lax representation in which they are realized as standard isospectral flows. This gives rise to a new double-KP hierarchy embedding “ghost” and original KP-type Lax hierarchies connected to each other via a “duality” mapping exchanging the isospectral and “ghost” “times”. A new representation of 2D Toda lattice hierarchy as a special Darboux-Bäcklund orbit of the double-KP hierarchy is found and parametrized entirely in terms of (adjoint) eigenfunctions of the original KP subsystem.

We first provide some background information on the KP hierarchy and “ghost” symmetries. In what follows we use the Sato formalism of pseudo-differential operator calculus (see, e.g. [1]) to describe Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) type integrable hierarchies of integrable nonlinear evolution equations. The main object is the pseudo-differential Lax operator \mathcal{L} obeying an infinite set of evolution equations³ w.r.t. the KP “times” $(t) \equiv (t_1 \equiv x, t_2, \dots)$:

$$\mathcal{L} = D + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i D^{-i} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_l} = \left[(\mathcal{L}^l)_+, L \right] \quad , \quad l = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Equivalently, one can represent (1) in terms of the dressing operator W whose pseudo-differential series are directly expressed in terms of the so called tau-function $\tau(t)$:

$$\mathcal{L} = W D W^{-1} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial t_l} = - (\mathcal{L}^l)_- W \quad , \quad W = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_l(-[\partial]) \tau(t)}{\tau(t)} D^{-l} \quad (2)$$

¹Work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-FG02-84ER40173

²Supported in part by Bulgarian NSF grant *Ph-401*

³ We shall employ the following notations: for any (pseudo-)differential operator A and a function f , the symbol $A(f)$ will indicate action of A on f , whereas the symbol Af will denote just operator product of A with the zero-order (multiplication) operator f . The symbol D stands for the differential operator $\partial/\partial x$, whereas $\partial \equiv \partial_x$ will denote derivative on a function. Further, in what follows the subscripts (\pm) of any pseudo-differential operator $A = \sum_j a_j D^j$ denote its purely differential part ($A_+ = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j D^j$) or its purely pseudo-differential part ($A_- = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_{-j} D^{-j}$), respectively.

with the notation: $[y] \equiv (y_1, y_2/2, y_3/3, \dots)$ for any multi-variable $(y) \equiv (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots)$ and with $p_k(t)$ being the Schur polynomials. The tau-function is related to the Lax operator as:

$$\partial_x \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} \ln \tau(t) = \text{Res} \mathcal{L}^l. \quad (3)$$

In the present approach a crucial notion is that of (adjoint) eigenfunctions ((adj-)EFs) $\Phi(t), \Psi(t)$ of the KP hierarchy satisfying:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_k} = \mathcal{L}_+^k(\Phi) \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_k} = -(\mathcal{L}^*)_+^k(\Psi) \quad (4)$$

The (adjoint) Baker-Akhiezer (BA) “wave” functions $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) = W(\exp(\xi(t, \lambda)))$ and $\psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) = (W^*)^{-1}(\exp(-\xi(t, \lambda)))$ (with $\xi(t, \lambda) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t_l \lambda^l$) are (adj-)EFs which, in addition, also satisfy the spectral equations of the form $\mathcal{L}^{(*)}(\psi_{BA}^{(*)})(t, \lambda) = \lambda \psi_{BA}^{(*)}(t, \lambda)$. As shown in [2], any (adj-)EF possesses a spectral representation of the form:

$$\Phi(t) = \int d\lambda \varphi(\lambda) \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \quad , \quad \Psi(t) = \int d\lambda \varphi^*(\lambda) \psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) \quad (5)$$

with certain suitable spectral “densities” $\varphi^{(*)}(\lambda)$.

The so called *squared eigenfunction potential* $S(\Phi, \Psi)$ [3] yields a well-defined unique expression for the inverse derivative ∂_x^{-1} of a product of arbitrary pair of EF and adj-EF [2] :

$$\partial^{-1}(\Phi(t)\Psi(t)) \equiv S(\Phi, \Psi) = - \int \int d\lambda d\mu \varphi^*(\lambda) \varphi(\mu) \frac{e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)}}{\lambda - \mu} \frac{e^{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} (\lambda^{-l} - \mu^{-l}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} \tau(t)}}{\tau(t)} \quad (6)$$

This will always be the case for all instances of appearance of inverse derivatives in the sequel.

Finally, let us recall the basic facts about “ghost” symmetries of the generic KP hierarchy. A “ghost” symmetry is defined through an action of a vector field $\hat{\partial}_\alpha$ on the KP Lax operator or the dressing operator [4] :

$$\hat{\partial}_\alpha \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{M}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}] \quad , \quad \hat{\partial}_\alpha W = \mathcal{M}_\alpha W \quad , \quad \mathcal{M}_\alpha \equiv \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Phi_a D^{-1} \Psi_a \quad (7)$$

where $(\Phi_a, \Psi_a)_{a \in \{\alpha\}}$ are some set of functions indexed by $\{\alpha\}$. Commutativity of $\hat{\partial}_\alpha$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}$ implies that $(\Phi_a, \Psi_a)_{a \in \{\alpha\}}$ is a set of pairs of (adj-)EFs of \mathcal{L} .

Now, for the general (adj-)EFs Φ, Ψ of \mathcal{L} we define new generalized “ghost” symmetry flows :

$$\hat{\partial}_\alpha \Phi = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Phi_a \partial^{-1} (\Psi_a \Phi) - \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)} \quad , \quad \hat{\partial}_\alpha \Psi = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Psi_a \partial^{-1} (\Phi_a \Psi) + \mathcal{F}^{*(\alpha)}. \quad (8)$$

Note the additional inhomogeneous terms $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{F}^{*(\alpha)}$ which themselves are (adj-)EFs of \mathcal{L} (1) and which are absent in the traditional approach of [4, 3], see however [5]. It is crucial for what follows that their presence is in general allowed by requirements of *commutativity of two different “ghost” flows* $\hat{\partial}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\partial}_\beta$ and the integrability condition $[\hat{\partial}_\alpha - \mathcal{M}_\alpha, \hat{\partial}_\beta - \mathcal{M}_\beta] = 0$ following from the definition (7).

We now proceed to give an explicit construction of the “ghost” KP hierarchy. Consider an infinite system of independent (adj-)EFs $\{\Phi_j, \Psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{L} and define the following infinite set of

the ‘‘ghost’’ symmetry flows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{L}] \quad , \quad \mathcal{M}_s = \sum_{j=1}^s \Phi_{s-j+1} D^{-1} \Psi_j \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \Phi_k = \sum_{j=1}^s \Phi_{s-j+1} \partial^{-1} (\Psi_j \Phi_k) - \Phi_{k+s} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \Psi_k = \sum_{j=1}^s \Psi_j \partial^{-1} (\Phi_{s-j+1} \Psi_k) + \Psi_{k+s} \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} F = \sum_{j=1}^s \Phi_{s-j+1} \partial^{-1} (\Psi_j F) \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} F^* = \sum_{j=1}^s \Psi_j \partial^{-1} (\Phi_{s-j+1} F^*) \quad (11)$$

where $s, k = 1, 2, \dots$, and (F^*) F denote generic (adj-)EFs which do not belong to the ‘‘ghost’’ symmetry generating set $\{\Phi_j, \Psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. With the choice of the inhomogeneous terms as in (10) it is easy to show that the ‘ghost’’ symmetry flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}$ do indeed commute, *i.e.*, the ∂ -pseudo-differential operators \mathcal{M}_s (9) satisfy:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \mathcal{M}_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \mathcal{M}_s - [\mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{M}_r] = 0. \quad (12)$$

In particular, for the first ‘‘ghost’’ symmetry flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \equiv \bar{\partial}$ we have:

$$\bar{\partial} \Phi_k = \Phi_1 \partial^{-1} (\Psi_1 \Phi_k) - \Phi_{k+1} \quad , \quad \bar{\partial} \Psi_k = \Psi_1 \partial^{-1} (\Phi_1 \Psi_k) + \Psi_{k+1} \quad ; \quad \bar{\partial} F = \Phi_1 \partial^{-1} (\Psi_1 F) \quad (13)$$

Eqs.(13), in turn, imply the following 2D Toda lattice (2DTL)-like equations for the Wronskians of Φ_j 's and Ψ_j 's, respectively:

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \ln W_k = \Phi_1 \Psi_1 - \frac{W_{k+1} W_{k-1}}{W_k^2} \quad ; \quad \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \mathcal{W}_k = \Phi_1 \Psi_1 + \frac{\mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mathcal{W}_{k-1}}{\mathcal{W}_k^2}. \quad (14)$$

Here and below use will be made of the following short-hand notations for the Wronskian-type determinants:

$$W_k \equiv W_k[\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k] = \det \left\| \partial^{\alpha-1} \Phi_\beta \right\| \quad , \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, k \quad ; \quad \mathcal{W}_k \equiv W_k[\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_k] \quad (15)$$

$$W_k(F) \equiv W_{k+1}[\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k, F] \quad , \quad \mathcal{W}_k(F^*) \equiv W_{k+1}[\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_k, F^*] \quad (16)$$

Consider now the τ -function of \mathcal{L} and let us act with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}$ on both sides of (3) obtaining:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \ln \tau = - \sum_{j=1}^s \partial^{-1} (\Phi_{s-j+1} \Psi_j) \quad (17)$$

using (9) as well as the t_r -flow eqs. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \mathcal{M}_s = [\mathcal{L}_+^r, \mathcal{M}_s]_-$. In particular, for $s = 1$ eq.(17) together with (13) yields:

$$\bar{\partial} \ln \tau = -\partial^{-1} (\Phi_1 \Psi_1) \quad , \quad \bar{\partial} \ln (\Phi_1 \tau) = -\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \quad , \quad \bar{\partial} \ln (\Psi_1 \tau) = \frac{\Psi_2}{\Psi_1} \quad (18)$$

Taking into account the first eq.(18), we can rewrite (14) in the standard 2DTL form:

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \ln (W_k \tau) = -\frac{(W_{k+1} \tau) (W_{k-1} \tau)}{(W_k \tau)^2} \quad ; \quad \partial \bar{\partial} \ln (\mathcal{W}_k \tau) = \frac{(\mathcal{W}_{k+1} \tau) (\mathcal{W}_{k-1} \tau)}{(\mathcal{W}_k \tau)^2}. \quad (19)$$

Using last of eqs.(13) and (14) we can reexpress the action of the ∂ -pseudo-differential operators \mathcal{M}_s (9) on EFs as *ordinary* $\bar{\partial}$ -differential operators, namely:

Lemma 1 For any generic eigenfunction F of \mathcal{L} , which does not appear within the set $\{\Phi_j\}$ in (9) (and whose “ghost” symmetry flows are given by last eq.(11)) we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} (F/\Phi_1) = \bar{M}_s (F/\Phi_1) \quad (20)$$

$$\bar{M}_s \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\sum_{k=j}^s \frac{\Phi_{s-k+1}}{\Phi_1} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{j-1}(\Psi_k)}{\mathcal{W}_j} \right) \left(\bar{D} - \bar{\partial} \ln \frac{\mathcal{W}_{j-1}}{\mathcal{W}_{j-2}} \right) \cdots \left(\bar{D} - \bar{\partial} \ln \Psi_1 \right) \left(\bar{D} - \bar{\partial} \ln \frac{1}{\Phi_1} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} \ln \Phi_1 \quad (21)$$

where the $\bar{\partial}$ -differential operators \bar{M}_s satisfy the standard form of Zakharov-Shabat (ZS) “zero-curvature” equations w.r.t. the \bar{t}_s -flows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} \bar{M}_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_r} \bar{M}_s - [\bar{M}_s, \bar{M}_r] = 0 \quad (22)$$

Eq.(22) is a consequence of (12).

According to ref.[6], for any ZS system (as in (22)) there always exists a unique triangular coordinate transformation in the space of evolution parameters such that the (transformed) ZS differential operators acquire the standard KP form, i.e., $\bar{M}_s = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s)_+$ for some KP-type $\bar{\partial}$ -pseudo-differential operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$. It turns out that the “ghost” ZS operators (21) have already the right form:

Proposition 1 The $\bar{\partial}$ -differential ZS operators \bar{M}_s (21) can be expressed as:

$$\bar{M}_s = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s)_+ \quad , \quad \bar{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{D} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left(\bar{D} + \bar{\partial} \ln \frac{W_{k+1}}{W_k} \right)^{-1} \cdots \left(\bar{D} + \bar{\partial} \ln \frac{W_2}{\Phi_1} \right)^{-1} \quad (23)$$

$$b_1 = -\bar{\partial}(\Phi_2/\Phi_1) \quad , \quad b_k = -\bar{\partial}(\Phi_{k+1}/\Phi_1) + \dots \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (24)$$

where the short-hand notations (15) are used.

Remark. The pseudo-differential series of the original Lax operator \mathcal{L} (1) can always be rearranged into a form similar to (23) :

$$\mathcal{L} = D + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \left(D - \partial \ln \frac{W_{k+1}}{W_k} \right)^{-1} \cdots \left(D - \partial \ln \frac{W_2}{\Psi_1} \right)^{-1} \quad (25)$$

with appropriate coefficients a_k . Expressions (25),(23) are very suggestive when discussing Darboux-Bäcklund (DB) orbits and connection to 2DTL to which we now turn our attention.

Let us first introduce the following non-standard orbit of successive DB transformations for the original KP system:

$$\mathcal{L}(n+1) = T_1(n) \mathcal{L}(n) T_1^{-1}(n) \quad , \quad T_1(n) = \Phi_1 D \Phi_1^{-1} \equiv \Phi_1^{(n)} D \Phi_1^{(n)-1} \quad (26)$$

$$\Phi_l^{n+1} = \Phi_1^{(n)} \partial \left(\frac{\Phi_{l+1}^{(n)}}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} \right) \quad , \quad l \geq 1; \quad \Psi_1^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} \quad , \quad \Psi_j^{(n+1)} = -\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} \partial^{-1} \left(\Phi_1^{(n)} \Psi_{j-1}^{(n)} \right) \quad , \quad j \geq 2 \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(n-1) = \hat{T}_1(n) \mathcal{L}(n) \hat{T}_1^{-1}(n) \quad , \quad \hat{T}_1(n) = \Psi_1 D \Psi_1^{-1} \equiv \Psi_1^{(n)} D \Psi_1^{(n)-1} \quad (28)$$

$$\Phi_1^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{\Psi_1^{(n)}} \quad , \quad \Phi_l^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\Psi_1^{(n)}} \partial^{-1} \left(\Psi_1^{(n)} \Phi_{l-1}^{(n)} \right) \quad , \quad l \geq 2; \quad \Psi_j^{(n-1)} = -\Psi_1^{(n)} \partial \left(\frac{\Psi_{j+1}^{(n)}}{\Psi_1^{(n)}} \right) \quad , \quad j \geq 1 \quad (29)$$

In what follows, the DB “site” index (n) on (adj-)EFs will be skipped for brevity whenever this would not lead to ambiguities.

Remark. Let us stress the non-canonical form of the (adjoint) DB transformations (27),(29) on the “ghost” symmetry generating (adj-)EFs. For a generic eigenfunction F the (adjoint) DB transformations read as usual [3] :

$$F^{(n+1)} = \Phi_1^{(n)} \partial \left(\frac{F^{(n)}}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} \right) = \left(\partial - \partial \ln \Phi_1^{(n)} \right) F^{(n)} \quad , \quad F^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{\Psi_1^{(n)}} \partial^{-1} \left(\Psi_1^{(n)} F^{(n)} \right) \quad (30)$$

We obtain the following important:

Proposition 2 “Ghost” symmetries (9) commute with DB transformations (26)–(29), i.e., the “ghost” symmetry generators (9) $\mathcal{M}_s \equiv \mathcal{M}_s(n) = \sum_{j=1}^s \Phi_{s-j+1}^{(n)} D^{-1} \Psi_j^{(n)}$ transform on the DB-orbit as:

$$\mathcal{M}_s(n) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{M}_s(n \pm 1) = T^{(\wedge)}(n) \mathcal{M}_s(n) T^{(\wedge)-1}(n) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} T^{(\wedge)}(n) T^{(\wedge)-1}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^s \Phi_{s-j+1}^{(n \pm 1)} D^{-1} \Psi_j^{(n \pm 1)} \quad (31)$$

The “ghost” KP Lax operator (23) transforms, accordingly, as:

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}(n+1) = \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n+1)}} \bar{D}^{-1} \Phi_1^{(n+1)} \right) \bar{\mathcal{L}}(n) \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n+1)}} \bar{D} \Phi_1^{(n+1)} \right) \quad (32)$$

We now are able to introduce double-KP hierarchy and its tau-functions. We first construct an infinite set of (adj-)EFs $(\bar{\Phi}_j, \bar{\Psi}_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ for the “ghost” Lax operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (23) in terms of the initial set of (adj-)EFs $(\Phi_j, \Psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{L} defining the “ghost” symmetry flows (9)–(11). Taking $F = const$ in (20) we find that $\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} = \Psi_1^{(n+1)}$ is an EF of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(n)$ for any “site” n on the DB-orbit (26)–(29).

Therefore, taking into account (32) we deduce that $\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n-1)} \equiv \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}} = \Phi_1^{(n)}$ is an adj-EF of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(n-1)$ again for any DB “site” n . The rest of the (adj-)EFs $\bar{\Phi}_j, \bar{\Psi}_j$ for $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ ($j \geq 2$) is constructed in such a way that their DB-orbit will have the following form consistent with the DB-orbit of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (32) :

$$\bar{\Phi}_j^{(n-1)} = \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}_{j+1}^{(n)}}{\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}} \right) \quad , \quad j \geq 1 \quad ; \quad \bar{\Psi}_1^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}} \quad , \quad \bar{\Psi}_l^{(n-1)} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \left(\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \bar{\Psi}_{l-1}^{(n)} \right) \quad , \quad l \geq 2 \quad (33)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n)}} \quad , \quad \bar{\Phi}_l^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n)}} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \left(\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n)} \bar{\Phi}_{l-1}^{(n)} \right) \quad , \quad l \geq 2 \quad ; \quad \bar{\Psi}_j^{(n-1)} = -\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n)} \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\bar{\Psi}_{j+1}^{(n)}}{\bar{\Psi}_1^{(n)}} \right) \quad , \quad j \geq 1 \quad (34)$$

$$\bar{F}^{(n+1)} = \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\bar{F}^{(n)}}{\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}} \right) = \left(\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial} \ln \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \right) \bar{F}^{(n)} \quad (35)$$

where \bar{F} is a generic EF of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$.

The explicit form of $\{\bar{\Phi}_j, \bar{\Psi}_j\}$ reads using notations (15)–(16) :

$$\bar{\Phi}_l^{(n)} \equiv \bar{\Phi}_l = (-1)^l \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_1} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \Phi_1 \Psi_1 \bar{\partial}^{-1} \frac{W_2}{\Psi_1^2} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \frac{W_3 \Psi_1}{(W_2)^2} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \dots \bar{\partial}^{-1} \frac{W_{l-1} W_{l-3}}{(W_{l-2})^2} \quad , \quad l \geq 2 \quad (36)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)} \equiv \bar{\Phi}_1 = \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_1} \quad ; \quad \bar{\Psi}_j^{(n)} \equiv \bar{\Psi}_j = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{W_2}{\bar{\Phi}_1} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \frac{W_3 \Phi_1}{(W_2)^2} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \dots \bar{\partial}^{-1} \frac{W_{j+1} W_{j-1}}{(W_j)^2} \quad , \quad j \geq 1 \quad (37)$$

Remark. For later use let us write down the k -step iteration of DB transformations on $\Phi_1^{(n)}, \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n)}$:

$$\Phi_1^{(n-k)} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{k-1}}{\mathcal{W}_k} \quad , \quad \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n+k)} = \frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n+k)}} = \frac{W_{k-1}}{W_k} \quad (38)$$

Collecting the above results we obtain:

Proposition 3 *Both Lax operators – the initial \mathcal{L} (25) and the “ghost” one $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (23), define a double-KP integrable system:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \mathcal{L} = [(\mathcal{L}^r)_+, \mathcal{L}] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{L}] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \bar{\mathcal{L}} = [(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s)_+, \bar{\mathcal{L}}] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \bar{\mathcal{L}} = [\bar{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathcal{L}}] \quad (39)$$

where \mathcal{M}_s was introduced in (9) and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_r$ is its “dual” counterpart defined in terms of the $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (adj-)EFs (36)–(37) : $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{\Phi}_{r-i+1} \bar{D}^{-1} \bar{\Psi}_i$. Accordingly, for generic EFs F, \bar{F} of \mathcal{L} and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively, we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} F = (\mathcal{L}^r)_+(F) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} F = \mathcal{M}_s(F) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} (F/\Phi_1) = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s)_+(F/\Phi_1) \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s} \bar{F} = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s)_+(\bar{F}) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \bar{F} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_r(\bar{F}) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} (\Phi_1 \bar{F}) = (\mathcal{L}^r)_+(\Phi_1 \bar{F}) \quad (41)$$

Corollary 1 *According to Prop.3 and (9), there exists a duality mapping between the two scalar KP subsystems of (39) defined by \mathcal{L} and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively, under the exchange $(t) \leftrightarrow (\bar{t}), \Phi_j \leftrightarrow \bar{\Phi}_j, \Psi_j \leftrightarrow \bar{\Psi}_j$.*

There exists a simple relation between the tau-functions of \mathcal{L} and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$. Namely, using (10) and (13) in eq.(21) for $s = 2$ leads to: $\bar{M}_2 \equiv \bar{\mathcal{L}}_+^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 - 2\bar{\partial}(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$, i.e., $\text{Res}_{\bar{y}} \bar{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{\partial}^2 \ln \bar{\tau} = -\bar{\partial}(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ which, upon comparing with the second eq.(18), implies for $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$: $\bar{\partial}^2 \ln \bar{\tau} = \bar{\partial}^2 \ln(\Phi_1 \tau)$. Applying duality (Corollary 1) to the last equation and to first eq.(18) we have also: $\partial^2 \ln \bar{\tau} = \partial^2 \ln(\Phi_1 \tau)$ and $\partial \bar{\partial} \ln \bar{\tau} = \partial \bar{\partial} \ln(\Phi_1 \tau)$. The above relations can be generalized to the following:

Proposition 4 *The τ -function of $\bar{\partial}$ -Lax operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (23) is expressed in terms of EFs and the τ -function of the original ∂ -Lax operator \mathcal{L} (25) as follows :*

$$\bar{\tau}(t, t') = \Phi_1(t, t') \tau(t, t') \quad , \quad \frac{p_s(-[\bar{\partial}]) \bar{\tau}}{\bar{\tau}} = \frac{\Phi_{s+1}}{\Phi_1} \quad (42)$$

Recalling expressions (2) we derive from the second eq.(42) a remarkably simple explicit parametrization of the second “ghost” KP subsystem of (39) in terms of EFs of the first initial KP system:

Corollary 2 *The dressing operator for $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (23) has the following explicit form :*

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{W} \bar{D} \bar{W}^{-1} \quad , \quad \bar{W} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{s+1}}{\Phi_1} \bar{D}^{-s} \quad (43)$$

We now turn to construction of 2D Toda lattice hierarchy as a special DB-orbit of the double-KP system (39). Recalling eqs.(38) allows to rewrite Lax operator expressions (25),(23) in the form (reintroducing the DB “site” index) :

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(n) = D + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(n) \left(D - \partial \ln \Phi_1^{(n-k)} \right)^{-1} \cdots \left(D - \partial \ln \Phi_1^{(n-1)} \right)^{-1} \quad (44)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \bar{\mathcal{L}}(n) = \bar{D} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(n) \left(\bar{D} - \bar{\partial} \ln \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n+k)} \right)^{-1} \cdots \left(\bar{D} - \bar{\partial} \ln \bar{\Phi}_1^{(n+1)} \right)^{-1} \quad (45)$$

Now, taking into account (30) and (35), one can represent the action of $\mathcal{L}(n), \bar{\mathcal{L}}(n)$ (44)–(45) on generic EFs at any fixed “site” n of the DB-orbit as action of infinite Jacobi-type matrices Q_{nm}, \bar{Q}_{nm} on infinite column vectors $F^{(n)}$ and $\bar{F}^{(n)}$ ($k \geq 1$ below) :

$$\mathcal{L}(n) \left(F^{(n)} \right) = Q_{nm} F^{(m)} \quad , \quad \bar{\mathcal{L}}(n) \left(\bar{F}^{(n)} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{(n)}} \bar{Q}_{nm} \Phi_1^{(m)} \right) \bar{F}^{(m)} \quad (46)$$

$$Q_{n,n+k} = \delta_{k1} \quad , \quad Q_{n,n-k} = a_k(n) \quad , \quad Q_{nn} = \partial \ln \Phi_1^{(n)} \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{Q}_{n,n-k} = \delta_{k1} \frac{\Phi_1^{(n)}}{\Phi_1^{(n-1)}} = \Phi_1 \Psi_1 \quad , \quad \bar{Q}_{n,n+k} = b_k(n) \frac{\Phi_1^{(n)}}{\Phi_1^{(n+k)}} = b_k \frac{\Phi_1 W_{k-1}}{W_k} \quad , \quad \bar{Q}_{nn} = -\bar{\partial} \ln \Phi_1^{(n)} \quad (48)$$

Using (46) the (pseudo-)differential Lax equations of the double-KP hierarchy (39)–(41) for any fixed DB “site” n can be equivalently represented as discrete Lax equations for the infinite Jacobi-type matrices (47)–(48) :

$$Q_{nm} \psi_m = \lambda \psi_n \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \psi_n = (Q^r_+)_{nm} \psi_m \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} \psi_n = -(\bar{Q}^s_-)_{nm} \psi_m \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} Q = \left[(Q^r)_+ , Q \right] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} Q = \left[Q , (\bar{Q}^s)_- \right] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_s} \bar{Q} = \left[\bar{Q} , (\bar{Q}^s)_- \right] \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \bar{Q} = \left[(Q^r)_+ , \bar{Q} \right] \quad (50)$$

where we took BA function as F , i.e., $F^{(n)}(t) = \psi_{BA}^{(n)}(t, \lambda) \equiv \psi_n$ and the subscripts (\pm) indicate upper+diagonal/lower-triangular part of the corresponding matrices. The above equations are the Lax equations for the 2DTL hierarchy [7] (see also [8]).

In this letter we announced our results on a new “ghost” symmetry structure of the KP system giving rise to a duality between two related KP hierarchies embedded into a *double*-KP system. Detailed exposition with complete proofs will appear elsewhere. It will also address a variety of further interesting issues: (a) Relation (embedding into) of the present double-KP hierarchy (39) to multi-component matrix KP hierarchies [7]; (b) Generalization of the present construction with several infinite sets of “ghost” symmetries; (c) Relation to random multi-matrix models [8]; (d) Supersymmetric generalization and obtaining a consistent supersymmetric 2DTL hierarchy.

References

- [1] L. Dickey, *‘Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems’*, World Scientific (1991)
- [2] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *solv-int/9701017*, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*
- [3] W. Oevel, *Physica* **A195** (1993) 533; W. Oevel and W. Schief, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **6** (1994) 1301

- [4] A. Orlov, in “*Nonlinear Processes in Physics: Proceedings of the III Potsdam-V Kiev Workshop*”, A.S. Fokas *et.al.* (eds.), Springer-Verlag (1993); Y. Cheng, W. Strampp and B. Zhang, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995) 117
- [5] B. Enriquez, A.Yu. Orlov and V.N. Rubtsov, *Inverse Problems* **12** (1996) 241, solv-int/9510002
- [6] T. Takebe, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7 (Suppl. 1B)** (1992) 923
- [7] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4** (1984) 1; T. Takebe, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **129** (1990) 281
- [8] L. Bonora and C.S. Xiong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B405** (1993) 191