

December 1997

Dynamical Correlation Functions for an Impenetrable Bose Gas with Open Boundary Conditions.

By

Takeo Kojima

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

Abstract

We study the time and temperature dependent correlation functions for an impenetrable bose gas with open boundary conditions. We derive the Fredholm determinant formulae for the correlation functions, by means of the Bethe Ansatz. In the case of time independent ground state, our Fredholm determinant formulae degenerate to the one which have been obtained by the help of fermions [1].

arXiv:solv-int/9712007v1 10 Dec 1997

1 Introduction

In the standard treatment of quantum integrable models, one starts with a finite box and impose periodic boundary conditions, in order to ensure integrability. Recently, there has been increasing interest in exploring other possible boundary conditions compatible with integrability. These other possible boundary conditions are called “open boundary conditions”.

With open boundary conditions, the works on the two dimensional Ising model are among the earliest. By the help of graph theoretical approach, B.M. McCoy and T.T. Wu [2] studied the two dimensional Ising model with open boundary conditions. They calculated the local magnetizations. E.K. Sklyanin [3] began the Bethe Ansatz approach to open boundary problems. M. Jimbo et.al. [4] calculated correlation functions of local operators for the antiferromagnetic XXZ chains with open boundary conditions, using Sklyanin’s algebraic Bethe Ansatz framework and representation theoretical approach invented by Kyoto school [5] [6]. T. Kojima [1] studied the ground state correlation functions for an impenetrable Bose gas with open boundary conditions :

$$\langle \psi(x_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2) \rangle. \quad (1)$$

He derived the Fredholm minor determinant representations for the ground state correlation functions by the help of fermions, which have the integral kernel :

$$\frac{\sin(\lambda - \mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \pm \frac{\sin(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + \mu}. \quad (2)$$

The integral intervals depend on the space parameter x_1, x_2 . In this paper we study an impenetrable Bose gas with open boundary conditions. We are interested in the finite-temperature dynamical correlation functions :

$$\langle \psi(x_1, 0) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t) \rangle_T. \quad (3)$$

We derive the Fredholm determinant representations for the dynamical correlation functions by the coordinate Bethe Ansatz, which have the integral kernel :

$$\frac{L(\lambda, \mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \pm \frac{L(\lambda, -\mu)}{\lambda + \mu}, \quad (4)$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda, \mu) = & e^{it\lambda^2} \sin(x_1(\lambda - \mu)) + e^{it\mu^2} \sin(x_2(\lambda - \mu)) \\ & + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s - \mu} - \frac{1}{s - \lambda} \right) e^{its^2} \sin((s - \mu)x_1) \sin((s - \lambda)x_2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The measure of integral depends on the temperature parameter $T \geq 0$. For the special case that time $t = 0$ and temperature $T = 0$, our formulas degenerate to the one which has been obtained [1]. In the case of periodic boundary conditions, V.E. Korepin and N.A. Slavnov [7] calculated the dynamical correlation functions for an impenetrable Bose gas by the coordinate Bethe Ansatz.

Now a few words about the organization of the paper. In section 2 we formulate the problem. In section 3 we obtain the determinant formulae for the field form factors. In section 4 we obtain the Fredholm determinant representation for the dynamical correlation functions. In section 5 we consider the special case that time $t = 0$ and derive the Fredholm minor determinant representations for the finite-temperature fields correlation functions. We show that our Fredholm formulae degenerate to the one which has been obtained [1].

2 Formulation

The purpose of this section is to formulate the problem. The Hamiltonian of our model is given by

$$H = \int_0^L dx \left(\partial_x \psi^\dagger \partial_x \psi + c \psi^\dagger \psi^\dagger \psi \psi - h \psi^\dagger \psi \right) + h_0 \left(\psi^\dagger(0) \psi(0) - \psi^\dagger(L) \psi(L) \right). \quad (6)$$

Here the fields $\psi(x)$ and $\psi^\dagger(x)$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}$) are canonical Bose fields given by

$$[\psi(x), \psi^\dagger(y)] = \delta(x - y), \quad [\psi(x), \psi(y)] = [\psi^\dagger(x), \psi^\dagger(y)] = 0, \quad (x, y \in \mathbf{R}), \quad (7)$$

and $L > 0$ is the size of box. The parameters $h > 0$ and $h_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ represent the chemical potential and the boundary chemical potential respectively. We only consider the case of the coupling constant $c = \infty$, so-called ‘‘impenetrable case’’. The Hamiltonian H acts on the Fock space of the Bose fields defined by the following relations between the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ and the Bose fields :

$$\langle 0 | \psi^\dagger(x) = 0, \quad \psi(x) | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1. \quad (8)$$

A N -particle state vector $|\Psi_N\rangle$ is given by

$$|\Psi_N\rangle = \int_0^L dz_1 \cdots \int_0^L dz_N \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) \psi^\dagger(z_1) \cdots \psi^\dagger(z_N) |0\rangle, \quad (9)$$

where the integrand $\psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ is a \mathbf{C} -valued function. The eigenvector problem : $H|\Psi_N\rangle = E_N|\Psi_N\rangle$, ($E_N \in \mathbf{R}$), is equivalent to the quantum mechanics problem defined by the following four conditions of the integrand function $\psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N)$.

1. The wave function $\psi_N = \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ satisfies the free-particle Schrödinger equation in the case of variables $0 < z_i \neq z_j < L$:

$$-\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right)^2 \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) = E_N \cdot \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N), \quad (0 < z_i \neq z_j < L, E_N \in \mathbf{R}). \quad (10)$$

2. The wave function ψ_N is symmetric with respect to the variables :

$$\psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \psi_N(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(N)}), \quad (\sigma \in S_N). \quad (11)$$

3. The wave function ψ_N satisfies the integrable open boundary conditions :

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - h_0 \right) \psi_N \Big|_{z_j=0} = 0, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + h_0 \right) \psi_N \Big|_{z_j=L} = 0, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N). \quad (12)$$

4. The wave function ψ_N vanishes whenever the coordinates coincide :

$$\psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_N) \Big|_{z_i=z_j} = 0. \quad (13)$$

The wave functions ψ_N which satisfy the above four conditions were constructed [1]. They are parametrized by the spectral parameters.

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \\ &= \text{Cons.} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \text{sgn}(z_j - z_k) \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} (\lambda_j \cos(\lambda_j z_k) + h_0 \sin(\lambda_j z_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here the function $\text{sgn}(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$ and the spectral parameters $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_N$ are determined by the so-called Bethe Ansatz equations :

$$\lambda_j = \frac{\pi}{L} I_j, \quad (I_j \in \mathbf{N}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N). \quad (15)$$

The constant factor “*Cons.*” is determined by

$$\langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle = (2L)^N. \quad (16)$$

The eigenvalue $E_N(\{\lambda\})$:

$$H | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon = E_N(\{\lambda\}) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon, \quad (17)$$

is given by

$$E_N(\{\lambda\}) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j^2 - h). \quad (18)$$

We assume that the set $\{|\Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)\rangle\}_{\text{all}\{\lambda\}_N, N \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a basis of physical space of this model. Here the index $\text{all}\{\lambda\}_N$ represents all the solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations (15). This type assumption is usually called ‘‘Bethe Ansatz’’. The following lemma is a foundation of our analysis.

Lemma 2.1 *If the boundary condition h_0 takes the special value $h_0 = 0, \infty$, the eigenvectors $|\Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle$ satisfy orthogonality relations.*

$$\langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle = (2L)^N \prod_{j=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_j, \mu_j}, \quad (h_0 = 0, \infty). \quad (19)$$

Here $\delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ is Kronecker Delta.

To prove the above lemma, we have used the Bethe-Ansatz equations of the spectral parameters. In the sequel we use the orthogonality relations of the eigenstates, therefore we concentrate our attentions to the case of the special boundary conditions : $h_0 = 0, \infty$. The boundary conditions $h_0 = 0$ and $h_0 = \infty$ are called Neumann, Dirichlet, respectively. In the sequel we use the following abbreviations.

$$|\Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)\rangle_+ \text{ for Neumann,} \quad |\Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)\rangle_- \text{ for Dirichlet.} \quad (20)$$

The constant ‘‘Cons.’’ is given by

$$\text{Cons.} = \begin{cases} \frac{2^N}{\sqrt{(1+\delta_{\lambda_1, 0})N!}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \lambda_j\right)^{-1}, & \text{for Neumann,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \left(\frac{2i}{h_0}\right)^N, & \text{for Dirichlet.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

From the orthogonal relations (19) and the so-called ‘‘Bethe Ansatz’’, we arrive at the completeness relations.

$$id = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\text{all}\{\lambda\}_N} \frac{|\Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)\rangle_{\epsilon} \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)|}{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_{\epsilon}}. \quad (22)$$

The Bose fields $\psi(x, t), \psi^\dagger(x, t)$ are developed by the time t by

$$i\partial_t \psi = [\psi, H], \quad i\partial_t \psi^\dagger = [\psi^\dagger, H]. \quad (23)$$

More explicitly the time dependence of the Bose fields are written by

$$\psi(x, t) = e^{iHt} \psi(x) e^{-iHt}, \quad \psi^\dagger(x, t) = e^{iHt} \psi^\dagger(x) e^{-iHt}. \quad (24)$$

In this paper we are interested in the dynamical correlation functions $\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, T}$ defined by the following way. For the nonzero temperature $T > 0$, the dynamical correlation functions

for N state are defined by the summation of the every states :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, N, T} &= \left\{ \sum_{\text{all}\{\lambda\}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{E_N(\{\lambda\})}{T}\right) \right\}^{-1} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{\text{all}\{\lambda\}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{E_N(\{\lambda\})}{T}\right) \frac{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon}{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the index $\epsilon = \pm$ represents the boundary conditions. For the ground state case $T = 0$, the dynamical correlation functions for N state are defined by the vacuume expectation value of the ground state :

$$\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, N, 0} = \frac{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon}{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \rangle_\epsilon}, \quad (26)$$

where the spectral parameters $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ are given by

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{L}(j-1), & \text{for Neumann,} \\ \frac{\pi}{L}j, & \text{for Dirichlet.} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

In this paper we are interested in the thermodynamics of the correlation functions. For the nonzero temperature $T > 0$, the dynamical correlation functions in the thermodynamic limit are defined by

$$\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, T} = \lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ \frac{N}{L} = D(T)}} \langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, N, T}. \quad (28)$$

Here the density $D(T) = \frac{N}{L}$ is given by

$$D(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \vartheta(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (29)$$

where the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\vartheta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 - h}{T}\right)}. \quad (30)$$

For the ground state $T = 0$, the dynamical correlation function in the thermodynamic limit is defined by

$$\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, 0} = \lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ \frac{N}{L} = D(0)}} \langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, N, 0}. \quad (31)$$

Here the density $D(0) = \frac{N}{L}$ can be chosen arbitrary. In this paper we give the Fredholm determinant representations for the dynamical correlation functions $\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, T}$, ($T \geq 0, \epsilon = \pm$).

3 Form Factors

The purpose of this section is to derive the determinant formulae for the form factors. First we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *For the sequences $\{f_{j,k}\}_{j=1,\dots,N+1, k=1,\dots,N}$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N+1}$, the following holds :*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{N+1}} \text{sgn} \sigma f_{\sigma(N+1)} \prod_{j=1}^N g_{\sigma(j),j} = \left(f_{N+1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} (g_{j,k} - \alpha f_j \cdot g_{N+1,k}) \Big|_{\alpha=0}. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Consider the coset decomposition :

$$S_{N+1} = S_N(N+1) \cup S_N(N) \cdot (N, N+1) \cup \dots \cup S_N(1) \cdot (1, N+1),$$

where $S_N(j)$ is permutations of $(1, \dots, j-1, N+1, j+1, \dots, N)$. Rewrite the left side of the equation (3.1) with respect to the coset decomposition :

$$\begin{aligned} (L.H.S.) &= \sum_{j=1}^N g_j \sum_{\tau \in S_N(j)} \text{sgn}(\tau \cdot (j, N+1)) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N f_{\tau(k),k} \cdot f_{\tau(N+1),j} \\ &+ g_{N+1} \sum_{\tau \in S_N(N+1)} \text{sgn}(\tau \cdot (N+1, N+1)) \prod_{k=1}^N f_{\tau(k),k} = (R.H.S.) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

□

Now let us consider the field form factor :

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) | \psi^\dagger(x) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon \\ &= \sqrt{N+1} \int_0^L dz_1 \cdots \int_0^L dz_N \psi_{N+1}^*(z_1, \dots, z_N, x | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times \psi_N(z_1, \dots, z_N | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{\lambda_1,0})(1 + \delta_{\mu_1,0})}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N+1}} \text{sgn} \sigma (e^{-i\lambda_{\sigma(N+1)}x} + \epsilon e^{i\lambda_{\sigma(N+1)}x}) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^N \left\{ \int_0^L dz \text{sgn}(z-x) (e^{-i\lambda_{\sigma(j)}z} + \epsilon e^{i\lambda_{\sigma(j)}z}) (e^{i\mu_j z} + \epsilon e^{-i\mu_j z}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

To derive the third line, we have used a simple fact :

$$\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \text{sgn} \sigma \tau \prod_{j=1}^N f_{\sigma(j),\tau(j)} = N! \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \text{sgn} \sigma \prod_{j=1}^N f_{\sigma(j),j}. \quad (36)$$

Using lemma 3.1, we arrive at the determinant formulae for the form factors.

Lemma 3.2 *The field form factors have the determinant formula.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) | \psi^\dagger(x) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon \\ &= \left(C_\epsilon(x|\lambda_{N+1}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} (I_\epsilon(x|\lambda_j, \mu_k) - \alpha C_\epsilon(x|\lambda_j) I_\epsilon(x|\lambda_{N+1}, \mu_k)) \Big|_{\alpha=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Here we have used

$$C_\epsilon(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{\lambda,0}}} (e^{-i\lambda x} + \epsilon e^{i\lambda x}), \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon(x|\lambda, \mu) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{\lambda,0})(1 + \delta_{\mu,0})}} \left\{ \frac{4}{\lambda - \mu} \sin(x(\lambda - \mu)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} \sin(x(\lambda + \mu)) - 2L(\delta_{\lambda,\mu} + \epsilon \delta_{\lambda,0} \delta_{\mu,0}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We can write the field form factors without using integrals. From the relation $\psi^\dagger(x, t) = e^{iHt} \psi^\dagger(x) e^{-iHt}$, the dynamical form factors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) | \psi^\dagger(x, t) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon \\ &= \exp \left\{ it \left(-h + \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \lambda_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j^2 \right) \right\} \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) | \psi^\dagger(x) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

4 Correlation Functions

The purpose of this section is to derive the Fredholm determinant formulas of the dynamical correlation functions $\langle \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, T}$. First we consider the vacuum expectation values of fields operators. Using the completeness relation (22), the vacuum expectation values of two fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) | \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon}{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon} \\ &= \sum_{\text{all } \{\lambda\}_{N+1}} \frac{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) | \psi(x_1, t_1) | \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) \rangle_\epsilon \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon}{\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) \rangle_\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Using the equation (40) and the following relations :

$$\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) | \psi(x_1, t_1) | \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) \rangle_\epsilon = \epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \psi^\dagger(x_1, t_1) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon^*, \quad (42)$$

$$\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\lambda\}) | \Psi_N(\{\lambda\}) \rangle_\epsilon = (2L)^N, \quad (43)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2L} \right)^{2N+1} e^{-i(t_2-t_1)(h+\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j^2)} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_{N+1} \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \\ & \times e^{i(t_2-t_1)\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \lambda_j^2} {}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \psi^\dagger(x_1) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon^* {}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \psi^\dagger(x_2) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The translation invariance of time holds.

$${}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) | \psi(x_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon = {}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) | \psi(x_1, 0) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2 - t_1) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon. \quad (45)$$

In the sequel we set the abbreviation $t = t_2 - t_1$. Remember a following simple fact.

Lemma 4.1 *For sequences $\{f_{j_1, \dots, j_n}\}_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in I}$, $\{g_{j_1, \dots, j_n}\}_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in I}$, (I : some index set), the following holds.*

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in I} (\text{Sym } f)_{j_1, \dots, j_n} (\text{Sym } g)_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in I} f_{j_1, \dots, j_n} (\text{Sym } g)_{j_1, \dots, j_n}. \quad (46)$$

Here we have used

$$(\text{Sym } f)_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_{j_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(n)}}. \quad (47)$$

The form factors have the determinant formulae in lemma 3.2 and

$${}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_{N+1}(\{\lambda\}) | \psi^\dagger(x) | \Psi_N(\{\mu\}) \rangle_\epsilon = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N+1}} C_\epsilon(x | \lambda_{\sigma(N+1)}) \prod_{j=1}^N I_\epsilon(x | \lambda_{\sigma(j)}, \mu_j), \quad (48)$$

Therefore lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-it(h+\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j^2)} \left(\frac{1}{2L} \right)^{2N+1} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_{N+1} \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \left(e^{it\lambda_{N+1}^2} C_\epsilon^*(x_1 | \lambda_{N+1}) C_\epsilon(x_2 | \lambda_{N+1}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \\ & \times \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} \left(e^{it\lambda_j^2} I_\epsilon(x_1 | \lambda_j, \mu_k) I_\epsilon(x_2 | \lambda_j, \mu_j) - \alpha e^{it\lambda_j^2} C_\epsilon^*(x_1 | \lambda_j) I_\epsilon(x_2 | \lambda_j, \mu_j) \right) \\ & \times \left. e^{it\lambda_{N+1}^2} C_\epsilon(x_2 | \lambda_{N+1}) I_\epsilon(x_1 | \lambda_{N+1}, \mu_k) \right|_{\alpha=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The j th line of the above matrix only depends on λ_j not on λ_k , ($k \neq j$), therefore we can insert the summations $\sum_{\lambda_1} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_{N+1}}$ into the matrix. Now we arrive at the following.

Proposition 4.2 *The vacuum expectation values of two fields have the determinant formulas.*

$$\frac{{}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) | \psi(x_1, 0) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon}{{}_\epsilon \langle \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) | \Psi_N(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \rangle_\epsilon}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-ith} \left(\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \epsilon e^{its^2} C_\epsilon(x_1|s) C_\epsilon(x_2|s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2L} \right)^2 \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} e^{its^2} J_\epsilon(x_1|s, \mu_k) J_\epsilon(x_2|s, \mu_j) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \alpha \epsilon \frac{1}{2L} \left(\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} e^{its^2} C_\epsilon(x_1|s) J_\epsilon(x_2|s, \mu_j) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} e^{its^2} C_\epsilon(x_2|s) J_\epsilon(x_1|s, \mu_k) \right) \right) \Bigg|_{\alpha=0}. \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used

$$J_\epsilon(x|s, \mu) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it\mu^2} I_\epsilon(x|s, \mu). \quad (51)$$

and functions $C_\epsilon(x|s)$ and $I_\epsilon(x|s, \mu)$ are defined in (38) and (39), respectively.

The size of the above matrix depends on the state number N , however, the element of the matrix does not depend on N . By calculations, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} \epsilon e^{its^2} C_\epsilon(x_1|s) C_\epsilon(x_2|s) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{Z}} e^{its^2 - is(x_1 - x_2)} + \epsilon \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{Z}} e^{its^2 - is(x_1 + x_2)}, \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} e^{its^2} C_\epsilon(x_1|s) J_\epsilon(x_2|s, \mu) \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}it\mu^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{\mu,0}}} \left[\left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right) \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{its^2 - isx_1}}{s - \mu} \sin(x_2(s - \mu)) - e^{it\mu^2 - i\mu x_1} \right\} + \epsilon \{ \mu \leftrightarrow (-\mu) \} \right],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{2L} \right)^2 \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{N}} e^{its^2} J_\epsilon(x_1|s, \mu) J_\epsilon(x_2|s, \lambda) \quad (54) \\
&= \delta_{\lambda, \mu} - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}it(\lambda^2 + \mu^2)}}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{\lambda,0})(1 + \delta_{\mu,0})}} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left\{ e^{it\lambda^2} \sin(x_1(\lambda - \mu)) + e^{it\mu^2} \sin(x_2(\lambda - \mu)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right) \sum_{s \in \frac{\pi}{L}\mathbf{Z}} e^{its^2} \left(\frac{1}{s - \lambda} - \frac{1}{s - \mu} \right) \sin((s - \mu)x_1) \sin((s - \lambda)x_2) \right\} + \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} \{ \mu \leftrightarrow (-\mu) \} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take the thermodynamic limits. Let us set

$$\tau(s, x) = its^2 - isx, \quad (55)$$

$$G(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tau(s, x)} ds. \quad (56)$$

The following are our main results.

Theorem 4.3 *In the thermodynamic limit $N, L \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\frac{N}{L} = D$, the ground state dynamical correlation functions have the Fredholm determinant representations.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \psi(x_1, 0) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, 0} \\
&= \frac{e^{-iht}}{2\pi} \left(G(x_1 - x_2) + \epsilon G(x_1 + x_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_\epsilon - \alpha \widehat{W}_\epsilon \right) \Bigg|_{\alpha=0}. \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the integral operators \widehat{V}_ϵ and \widehat{W}_ϵ are defined by

$$(\widehat{V}_\epsilon f)(\lambda) = \int_0^q V_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) f(\mu) d\mu, \quad (\widehat{W}_\epsilon f)(\lambda) = \int_0^q W_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) f(\mu) d\mu, \quad (58)$$

where the Fermi sphere $q = \pi D$ and the integral kernel are given by Neumann or Dirichlet sum :

$$V_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it(\lambda^2 + \mu^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} L(\lambda, \mu) + \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} L(\lambda, -\mu) \right\}, \quad (59)$$

$$W_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) = \epsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2}it(\lambda^2 + \mu^2)} \{P(\lambda|x_1, x_2) + \epsilon P(-\lambda|x_1, x_2)\} \{P(\mu|x_2, x_1) + \epsilon P(-\mu|x_2, x_1)\}. \quad (60)$$

Here we have used

$$L(\lambda, \mu) = e^{it\lambda^2} \sin(x_1(\lambda - \mu)) + e^{it\mu^2} \sin(x_2(\lambda - \mu)) \\ + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s - \mu} - \frac{1}{s - \lambda} \right) e^{its^2} \sin((s - \mu)x_1) \sin((s - \lambda)x_2) ds. \quad (61)$$

$$P(\lambda|x_1, x_2) = e^{\tau(\lambda, x_1)} - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s - \lambda} e^{\tau(s, x_1)} \sin(x_2(s - \lambda)) ds. \quad (62)$$

We have succeeded to write the integral kernel by elementary functions :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\tau(s, y)}}{s - y} ds, \quad (63)$$

and trigonometric functions. Next we study the finite temperature thermodynamics. By statistical mechanics arguments, at temperature $T > 0$, the thermodynamic equilibrium distribution of the spectral parameters is given by the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda)$:

$$\lim \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j} = \vartheta(\lambda_j), \quad \text{where} \quad \vartheta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 - h}{T}\right)}. \quad (64)$$

Therefore the density is given by

$$D(T) = \frac{N}{L} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \vartheta(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (65)$$

We arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 *In the thermodynamic limit : $N, L \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\frac{N}{L} = D(T)$, the finite temperature dynamical correlation functions have the Fredholm determinant representations.*

$$\langle \psi(x_1, 0) \psi^\dagger(x_2, t_2) \rangle_{\epsilon, T} \\ = \frac{e^{-iht}}{2\pi} \left(G(x_1 - x_2) + \epsilon G(x_1 + x_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon, T} - \alpha \widehat{W}_{\epsilon, T} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0}. \quad (66)$$

Here the temperature $T > 0$ and the integral operators $\widehat{V}_{\epsilon, T}$ and $\widehat{W}_{\epsilon, T}$ are defined by

$$(\widehat{V}_{\epsilon, T} f)(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty V_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu) f(\mu) d\mu, \quad (\widehat{W}_{\epsilon, T} f)(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty W_\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(\mu) f(\mu) d\mu, \quad (67)$$

where the Fermi weight $\vartheta(\lambda)$ is given in (64).

5 Degenerate Case

The purpose of this section is to derive the Fredholm minor determinant representations for finite-temperature fields correlation functions :

$$\langle \psi(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_2) \rangle_{\epsilon,T}. \quad (68)$$

Especially our Fredholm minor determinant representations coincide with the one which has been obtained in [1]. When we take the limit $t \rightarrow 0$, the following simplifications occur :

$$G(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad L(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \sin(x_1(\lambda - \mu)) + \sin(x_2(\lambda - \mu)), \quad P(\lambda|x_1, x_2) \rightarrow e^{-ix_1\lambda}. \quad (69)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\langle \psi(x_1)\psi(x_2) \rangle_{\epsilon,T} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon,T} - \alpha \widehat{W}_{\epsilon,T}^{(x_1, x_2)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0} \quad (70)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon,T} \right) \text{Tr} \left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon,T} \right)^{-1} \widehat{W}_{\epsilon,T}^{(x_1, x_2)} \right], \quad (71)$$

where the integral operators are given by

$$\left(\widehat{V}_{\epsilon,T} f \right) (\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{V}_{\epsilon,T}(\lambda, \mu) f(\mu) d\mu, \quad \left(\widehat{W}_{\epsilon,T}^{(\xi, \eta)} f \right) (\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{W}_{\epsilon,T}^{(\xi, \eta)}(\lambda, \mu) f(\mu) d\mu. \quad (72)$$

and the integral kernels are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{\epsilon,T}(\lambda, \mu) &= \sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \{ \sin(x_1(\lambda - \mu)) + \sin(x_2(\lambda - \mu)) \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} \{ \sin(x_1(\lambda + \mu)) + \sin(x_2(\lambda + \mu)) \} \right] \sqrt{\vartheta(\mu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\epsilon,T}^{(\xi, \eta)}(\lambda, \mu) = \sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)} \epsilon (e^{i\xi\lambda} + \epsilon e^{-i\xi\lambda}) (e^{i\eta\mu} + \epsilon e^{-i\eta\mu}) \sqrt{\vartheta(\mu)}. \quad (74)$$

Pay attention to the Fourier transforms :

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\xi e^{i\lambda\xi} \varphi(\xi), \quad \varphi(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\lambda \sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)} e^{-i\lambda\xi} f(\lambda). \quad (75)$$

The following identity holds for functions $f_\epsilon(\epsilon\lambda) = \epsilon f(\epsilon\lambda)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty d\mu f_\epsilon(\mu) \sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)\vartheta(\mu)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \sin(x(\lambda - \mu)) + \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} \sin(x(\lambda + \mu)) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\xi e^{i\lambda\xi} \left(\int_0^x d\xi' \theta_{\epsilon,T}(\xi', \xi) \right) \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\mu f_\epsilon(\mu) \sqrt{\vartheta(\mu)} e^{-i\xi'\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where

$$\theta_{\epsilon,T}(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^\infty \vartheta(\nu) \{ \cos((\xi - \eta)\nu) + \epsilon \cos((\xi + \eta)\nu) \} d\nu. \quad (77)$$

Therefore we arrive at

$$\det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon, T} \right) = \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon, T}^{(-x_1, x_2)} \right) \right), \quad (78)$$

where the integral operator $\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon, T}^{(y_1, y_2)}$ is defined by

$$\left(\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon, T}^{(y_1, y_2)} f \right) (\xi) = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \theta_{\epsilon, T}(\xi, \xi') f(\xi') d\xi'. \quad (79)$$

Let us set

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon, T}^{(-x_1, x_2)} \right) \left| \begin{array}{c} \eta \\ \xi \end{array} \right. \right)}{\det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon, T}^{(-x_1, x_2)} \right) \right)}. \quad (80)$$

Here we have used the following notation of the Fredholm minor determinants :

$$\det \left(1 - \lambda \widehat{K}_I \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \xi_1 & \cdots & \xi_r \\ \eta_1 & \cdots & \eta_r \end{array} \right. \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^{n+r}}{n!} \int_I d\lambda_1 \cdots \int_I d\lambda_n K_{n+r} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \xi_1 & \cdots & \xi_r \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \eta_1 & \cdots & \eta_r \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{array} \right), \quad (81)$$

where we have used

$$K_m \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \xi_1 & \cdots & \xi_m \\ \eta_1 & \cdots & \eta_m \end{array} \right) = \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq m} (K(\xi_j, \eta_k)). \quad (82)$$

The integral operator \widehat{K}_I is defined by using the integral kernel $K(\lambda, \mu)$ and the integral interval I :

$$(\widehat{K}_I f)(\lambda) = \int_I K(\lambda, \mu) f(\mu) d\mu. \quad (83)$$

From definition, the function $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies the integral equation :

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-x_1}^{x_2} \theta_{\epsilon, T}(\xi, \xi') \Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi', \eta) d\xi' = -\frac{2}{\pi} \theta_{\epsilon, T}(\xi, \eta). \quad (84)$$

Let us take the Fourier transforms of this integral equation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi e^{i\lambda\xi} \Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) \\ & - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-x_1}^{x_2} d\mu \widetilde{V}_{\epsilon, T}(\lambda, \mu) \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\vartheta(\mu)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' e^{i\mu\xi'} \Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi', \eta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\vartheta(\lambda)} (e^{i\lambda\eta} + \epsilon e^{-i\lambda\eta}). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Therefore we know

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr} \left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{V}_{\epsilon, T} \right)^{-1} \widehat{W}_{\epsilon, T}^{(\xi, \eta)} \right]. \quad (86)$$

Now we arrive at

Corollary 5.1 *The finite temperature field correlation functions have the Fredholm minor determinants representations.*

$$\langle \psi(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_2) \rangle_{\epsilon,T} = \frac{1}{2} \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon,T}^{(x_1,x_2)} \left| \begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (87)$$

where the integral operator $\widehat{\theta}_{\epsilon,T}^{(x_1,x_2)}$ is defined in (79).

In much the same way as with finite temperature case, we obtain the Fredholm determinant representations for the ground state correlation functions.

Corollary 5.2 *The ground state correlation functions have the Fredholm minor determinant formulae.*

$$\langle \psi(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_2) \rangle_{\epsilon,0} = \frac{1}{2} \det \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \widehat{K}_\epsilon^{(x_1,x_2)} \left| \begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{array} \right. \right). \quad (88)$$

Here the integral operator is defined by

$$\left(\widehat{K}_\epsilon^{(x_1,x_2)} f \right) (\xi) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} K_\epsilon(\xi, \xi') f(\xi') d\xi', \quad (89)$$

where

$$K_\epsilon(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\sin D(\xi - \eta)}{\xi - \eta} + \epsilon \frac{\sin D(\xi + \eta)}{\xi + \eta}. \quad (90)$$

Here the density $D = \frac{N}{L}$.

This result coincides with the one which has been obtained by the help of fermions [1].

Acknowledgements This work is partly supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] T. Kojima, Ground-State Correlation Functions for an Impenetrable Bose Gas with Neumann or Dirichlet Boundary Conditions, *J. Stat. Phys.* Vol. **88** Nos. 3/4 713 (1997).
- [2] B.M. McCoy and T.T.Wu, Theory of Toeplitz determinants and the spin correlation functions of the Ising model 4, *Phys. Rev.* **162** : 436 (1967).

- [3] E.K. Sklyanin, Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, *J. Phys.* **A21** : 2375 (1988).
- [4] M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Kojima, H. Konno and T. Miwa, XXZ chain with boundary, *Nucl. Phys.* **B441**[FS] : 437 (1995).
- [5] B. Davis, O. Foda, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, Diagonalization of the XXZ Hamiltonian by vertex operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **151**, 89 (1993).
- [6] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol **85**, AMS.
- [7] V.E. Korepin and N.A. Slavnov, The Time Dependent Correlation Function of an Impenetrable Bose Gas as a Fredholm Minor. I, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **129**, 103 (1990).
- [8] V.E. Korepin and N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions, Chambridge University Press. 1993.
- [9] T. Kojima, V.E. Korepin and N.A. Slavnov, Determinant representation for dynamical correlation functions of the Quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **188**, 657 (1997).