

Few remarks on Bäcklund transformations for many-body systems

V B Kuznetsov ¹ and E K Sklyanin ²

Department of Applied Mathematics,
University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

Abstract

Using the n -particle periodic Toda lattice and the relativistic generalization due to Ruijsenaars of the elliptic Calogero-Moser system as examples, we revise the basic properties of the Bäcklund transformations (BT's) from the Hamiltonian point of view. The analogy between BT and Baxter's quantum Q -operator pointed out by Pasquier and Gaudin is exploited to produce a conjugated variable μ for the parameter λ of the BT B_λ such that μ belongs to the spectrum of the Lax operator $L(\lambda)$. As a consequence, the generating function of the composition $B_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ B_{\lambda_n}$ of n BT's gives rise also to another canonical transformation separating variables for the model. For the Toda lattice the dual BT parametrized by μ is introduced.

14 November, 1997

Submitted to *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

¹On leave from: Department of Mathematical and Computational Physics, Institute of Physics, St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg 198904, Russia. E-mail: vadim@amsta.leeds.ac.uk

²On leave from: Steklov Mathematical Institute at St. Petersburg, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191011, Russia. E-mail: sklyanin@pdmi.ras.ru

1. Introduction

Bäcklund transformations (BT's) are an important tool in the theory of integrable systems [1]. Most frequently, they are understood as special mappings between solutions of nonlinear evolution equations. The Hamiltonian properties of BT's, as canonical transformations, are studied less well. The recent developments in the quantum integrable theories [2, 3], discrete-time dynamics [4, 5, 6] and separation of variables [7, 8] suggest, however, that the Hamiltonian aspect of BT's deserves more attention.

The aim of the present paper is to revise the concept of BT's from the Hamiltonian point of view and to point out some new properties of BT's. We restrict our attention to the finite-dimensional integrable systems and illustrate our general remarks on the example of the periodic Toda lattice and the elliptic Ruijsenaars model. When elaborating our approach to BT's, we have benefited greatly from the works of Pasquier and Gaudin [2], where a fundamental relationship between BT and Baxter's quantum Q -operator was discovered, and of Veselov [4], who gave us the adequate mathematical language to speak about integrable mappings.

In the section 2 the main properties of Bäcklund transformations for Liouville integrable systems are enlisted and a new property of *spectrality* is introduced. The meaning of spectrality is elucidated by making the comparison with the Baxter's quantum Q -operator. It is shown that spectrality of BT provides an effective solution to the problem of separation of variables. In two subsequent sections we illustrate the new property of BT's for two families of integrable many-body systems. The concluding section 5 contains a summary and a discussion.

2. Spectrality and separation of variables

Suppose an integrable system with n degrees of freedom is described in terms of the canonical Darboux variables $X \equiv \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $x \equiv \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$, with the Poisson brackets:

$$\{X_i, X_j\} = \{x_i, x_j\} = 0, \quad \{X_i, x_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (2.1)$$

and functionally independent commuting Hamiltonians $H_i \equiv H_i(X, x)$

$$\{H_i, H_j\} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.2)$$

For our purposes it is convenient to think of a BT as a canonical transformation B_λ from the canonical variables (X, x) to the canonical variables (Y, y) . It is important that B_λ depends on a complex parameter λ . We shall suppose that B_λ can be described via the generating function $F_\lambda(y; x)$ such that

$$X_i = \frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial x_i}, \quad Y_i = -\frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial y_i}. \quad (2.3)$$

The list of properties defining a BT usually includes:

- *Canonicity.* See above.
- *Invariance of Hamiltonians.*

$$H_i(X, x) = H_i(Y, y), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

- *Commutativity.*

$$B_{\lambda_1} \circ B_{\lambda_2} = B_{\lambda_2} \circ B_{\lambda_1} \quad (2.5)$$

where \circ means composition of canonical transformations.

In case of the *algebraically integrable* systems [9] one more property can be added to the list:

- *Algebraicity.* The equations (2.3) describing B_λ are supposed to be algebraic with respect to X, Y and properly chosen functions of x and y (say, exponential or elliptic).

In the present paper, however, we concentrate on the analytic properties of BT's and ignore their algebraic and algebro-geometric aspects.

It is important to make clear distinction between the notion of BT and the close notions of *integrable canonical mapping* [4], or *integrable discrete-time dynamics*. The latter two are defined by the properties of canonicity and invariance only, the parameter λ being disregarded. The term 'discrete-time dynamics' refers usually to the case when the canonical transformation degenerates, in a certain limit, into an infinitesimal generator $\{H, \cdot\}$ of a continuous Hamiltonian flow. Existence of the parameter λ is crucial for our definition of BT and enriches it with new properties.

Though the commutativity of BT's is traditionally proved as an independent property, in fact it follows from the canonicity and the invariance of Hamiltonians. Indeed, as shown in [4], any integrable canonical mapping acts on the Liouville torus as a shift (or a collection of shifts, in case of multivalued mappings) of the angle variables $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi_i + b_i(\lambda)$. The commutativity is then obvious.

The theory of BT's acquires a new aspect if the integrable system in question is solvable via Inverse Scattering (or Inverse Spectral Transform) method. Suppose that the commuting Hamiltonians H_i can be obtained as the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial

$$W(u, v; \{H_i\}) = \det(v - L(u)) \quad (2.6)$$

of a matrix $L(u) \equiv L(u; X, x)$ (Lax operator) depending on X, x and a complex parameter u . Note that the invariance of H_i under B_λ is equivalent then to the invariance of the spectrum of $L(u)$, that is there exists an invertible matrix $M(u)$ such that

$$M(u)L(u; X, x) = L(u; Y, y)M(u), \quad \forall u \in C. \quad (2.7)$$

The properties of BT's enlisted above are well known. Now we are going to add to the list a new property which is the main contribution of the present paper.

- *Spectrality*. Let μ be defined as the variable conjugated to λ :

$$\mu = -\frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (2.8)$$

We shall say that the BT B_λ is *associated* to the Lax operator $L(u)$ if for some function $f(\mu)$ the pair $(\lambda, f(\mu))$ lies on the *spectral curve* of the Lax matrix

$$W(\lambda, f(\mu); \{H_i\}) \equiv \det(f(\mu) - L(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

This *spectrality* property of BT seems to be new, at least we failed to find it in the literature. We have verified it for the Toda lattice and the elliptic Ruijsenaars model for which $f(\mu) = e^{-\mu}$ (see sections 3 and 4). It seems plausible, however, that spectrality is the property shared by BT's for a much larger class of models.

The meaning of the equality (2.9) becomes clear if we turn to the quantum case. In the pioneering paper by Pasquier and Gaudin [2], based on the earlier treatment of the classical Toda lattice by Gaudin [10], a remarkable connection has been established between the classical BT B_λ for the Toda lattice and the famous Baxter's Q -operator [11]. Pasquier and Gaudin have constructed certain integral operator \hat{Q}_λ

$$\hat{Q}_\lambda : \Psi(x) \rightarrow \int dx Q_\lambda(y; x) \Psi(x) \quad (2.10)$$

(here and below $dx \equiv dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ etc) whose properties parallel those of the classical BT B_λ . In the quantum case the canonical transformation is replaced with the similarity transformation

$$\hat{Y}_i = \hat{Q}_\lambda \hat{X}_i \hat{Q}_\lambda^{-1}, \quad \hat{y}_i = \hat{Q}_\lambda \hat{x}_i \hat{Q}_\lambda^{-1}, \quad (2.11)$$

where the hat $\hat{}$ distinguishes the quantum operators from their classical counterparts. The correspondence between the kernel $Q_\lambda(y; x)$ of \hat{Q}_λ and the generating function $F_\lambda(y; x)$ of B_λ is given by the semiclassical relation

$$Q_\lambda(y; x) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} F_\lambda(y; x)\right), \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.12)$$

After publication of [2] the Q -operators have been found for a number of other quantum integrable models [3].

The properties of \hat{Q}_λ such as the invariance of the Hamiltonians

$$[\hat{Q}_\lambda, H_i] = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

and the commutativity

$$[\hat{Q}_{\lambda_1}, \hat{Q}_{\lambda_2}] = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

reproduce the respective properties (2.4) and (2.5) of B_λ . The most interesting property of \hat{Q}_λ , however, is that its eigenvalues $\phi(\lambda)$ on the joint eigenvectors Ψ_ν of H_i and Q_λ labelled with the quantum numbers ν

$$Q_\lambda \Psi_\nu = \phi_\nu(\lambda) \Psi_\nu \quad (2.15)$$

satisfy the *separation equation*, which is a certain differential or difference equation

$$\hat{W} \left(\lambda, -i\hbar \frac{d}{d\lambda}; \{h_i\} \right) \phi_\nu(\lambda) = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

containing the eigenvalues h_i of H_i . In the classical limit the equation (2.16) goes over into the spectrality equation (2.9).

An important application of the spectrality property of BT is that to the problem of *separation of variables* [7, 8]. Again, it is instructive to start with the quantum case. A separating operator \hat{K} is, by definition, an operator, transforming the joint eigenfunctions Ψ_ν of H_i into the product

$$\hat{K}\Psi_\nu = c_\nu \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_\nu(\lambda_i) \quad (2.17)$$

of *separated functions* $\phi_\nu(\lambda)$ of one variable λ satisfying the separation equation (2.16). Since the coefficients c_ν in (2.17) can be chosen arbitrarily, abstractly speaking, there exist infinitely many separating operators \hat{K} . The difficult problem, however, is to find the ones which can be described as integral operators with explicitly given kernels.

Knowing a Q -operator gives one an immediate opportunity to construct plenty of separating operators. Indeed, consider the operator product $\hat{Q}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} \equiv \hat{Q}_{\lambda_1} \dots \hat{Q}_{\lambda_n}$ having the kernel $Q_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}(y; x)$ and for any function $\rho(y)$ introduce the operator

$$\hat{K}_\rho : \Psi(x) \rightarrow \int dx \int dy \rho(y) Q_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}(y; x) \Psi(x). \quad (2.18)$$

It is obvious from (2.15) that \hat{K}_ρ is a separating operator, the coefficients c_ν being

$$c_\nu = \int dy \rho(y) \Psi_\nu(y). \quad (2.19)$$

Since the eigenfunctions $\Psi_\nu(y)$ form a basis in the corresponding Hilbert space, the formula (2.19) provides a one-to-one correspondence between reasonably chosen classes of c_ν and $\rho(y)$. Therefore, arguably, the formula (2.18) describes all possible separating operators. Their kernels $K_\rho(\lambda; x)$ are given explicitly as multiple integrals

$$\begin{aligned} K_\rho(\lambda; x) &= \int dy \int d\xi^{(1)} \dots \int d\xi^{(n-1)} \\ &\times \rho(y) Q_{\lambda_1}(y; \xi^{(1)}) Q_{\lambda_2}(\xi^{(1)}; \xi^{(2)}) \dots Q_{\lambda_n}(\xi^{(n-1)}; x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

It is a straightforward task to present the classical analog of the above argument. Consider the composition $B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} = B_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ B_{\lambda_n}$ of Bäcklund transformations and the corresponding generating function $F_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}(y; x)$. Let us switch now the roles of y 's and λ 's treating λ 's as dynamical variables and y 's as parameters. Then $F_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}(y; x)$ becomes the generating function of the n -parametric canonical transformation K_y from (X, x) to (μ, λ) given by the equations

$$X_i = \frac{\partial F_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}}{\partial x_i}, \quad \mu_i = -\frac{\partial F_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}}{\partial \lambda_i}. \quad (2.21)$$

It follows directly from (2.9) that the pairs (λ_i, μ_i) satisfy the separation equations

$$W(\lambda_i, f(\mu_i); \{H_j\}) = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

which constitutes exactly the definition of the separating canonical transformation in the classical case [7].

The above construction corresponds in the quantum case to setting $\rho(y) = \delta(y_1 - \bar{y}_1) \dots \delta(y_n - \bar{y}_n)$ where \bar{y}_i are some constants. It remains an open question what could be the classical analog of the formula (2.18) for generic $\rho(y)$.

As the last general remark before passing to the examples, we would like to stress that for the finite-dimensional systems the composition of n BT's with n being the number of degrees of freedom is a sort of 'universal' BT in the sense that any other canonical transformation preserving the Hamiltonians H_i must be expressible in terms of $B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}$. To observe it one can use again the fact that in the angle coordinates B_λ acts as a shift $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi_i + b_i(\lambda)$. For generic $b_i(\lambda)$ the sum $b_i(\lambda_1) + \dots + b_i(\lambda_n)$ must then cover the n -dimensional Liouville torus which results in the universality of $B_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}$.

3. Periodic Toda lattice

Our first example is the periodic Toda lattice [12, 13] for which there exist two alternative Lax operators associated, as we shall show, with two different BT's. The standard and quite well studied BT [14, 12, 1, 10] which we denote here B_λ is associated, in the sense defined in the previous section, to the 2×2 Lax matrix (or, monodromy matrix [15]) $L(u; X, x)$ defined as the product of local L -operators

$$L(u) = \ell_n(u) \dots \ell_2(u) \ell_1(u), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\ell_i(u) \equiv \ell_i(u; X_i, x_i) = \begin{pmatrix} u + X_i & -e^{x_i} \\ e^{-x_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

The characteristic polynomial of $L(u)$ is quadratic in v

$$W(u, v) \equiv \det(v - L(u)) = v^2 - t(u)v + 1, \quad (3.3)$$

and the commuting Hamiltonians H_i are obtained from the expansion of the only non-trivial spectral invariant $t(u) \equiv \text{tr } L(u)$

$$t(u) = u^n + H_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + H_n. \quad (3.4)$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}H_1^2 - H_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}X_i^2 + e^{x_{i+1}-x_i} \right) \quad (3.5)$$

is the standard periodic Toda Hamiltonian (in this section we use the periodicity convention $i + n \equiv i$ for the indices i).

The Bäcklund transformation B_λ is obtained from the generating function

$$F_\lambda(y; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{x_i - y_i} - e^{y_{i+1} - x_i} - \lambda(x_i - y_i)) \quad (3.6)$$

and, according to (2.3), is implicitly described by the equations

$$X_i = e^{x_i - y_i} + e^{y_{i+1} - x_i} - \lambda, \quad Y_i = e^{x_i - y_i} + e^{y_i - x_{i-1}} - \lambda. \quad (3.7)$$

The characteristic properties of the BT are verified easily. The *invariance of the Hamiltonians* can be established using the equality [10]

$$M_{i+1}(u, \lambda) \ell_i(u; X_i, x_i) = \ell_i(u; Y_i, y_i) M_i(u, \lambda), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$M_i(u, \lambda) \equiv M_i(u, \lambda; x_{i-1}, y_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{y_i} \\ e^{-x_{i-1}} & \lambda - u - e^{y_i - x_{i-1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.9)$$

which one can verify directly using the equations (3.7). Due to the periodic boundary conditions, the local gauge transformation (3.8) results in the spectrum-preserving similarity transformation

$$M_1(u, \lambda) L(u; X, x) = L(u; Y, y) M_1(u, \lambda) \quad (3.10)$$

for $L(u)$ which proves the invariance (2.4) of the Hamiltonians.

The direct proof of the *commutativity* (2.5) of the BT's can be found in [14, 12, 1].

To prove the *spectrality* equality (2.9) which in this case takes the form $\det(e^{-\mu} - L(\lambda)) = 0$ we shall apply a modified version of the argument used in [10, 2] for the quantum case. Note, first, that in our case

$$\mu = -\frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i), \quad (3.11)$$

as follows from (3.6) and (2.8). It suffices then to show that $e^{-\mu}$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $L(\lambda)$. We shall construct explicitly the corresponding eigenvector ω_1 :

$$L(\lambda; X, x) \omega_1 = e^{-\mu} \omega_1. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.9) it follows that $\det(M_i(u, \lambda)) = \lambda - u$. It is easy to see that for $u = \lambda$ the matrix $M_i(\lambda, \lambda)$ has the unique, up to a scalar factor, null-vector

$$\omega_i = \begin{pmatrix} e^{y_i} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_i(\lambda, \lambda) \omega_i = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Using the identity (3.10) we conclude that

$$M_1(\lambda, \lambda) L(\lambda; X, x) \omega_1 = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

which, combined with the uniqueness of the null-vector ω_1 of M_1 , implies that ω_1 is an eigenvector of $L(\lambda; X, x)$. To determine the corresponding eigenvalue, we apply the

same argument to the identity (3.8) obtaining the equality $M_{i+1}(\lambda; \lambda)\ell_i(\lambda; X_i, x_i)\omega_i = 0$ from which it follows that $\ell_i(\lambda; X_i, x_i)\omega_i \sim \omega_{i+1}$. The direct calculation shows that

$$\ell_i(\lambda; X_i, x_i)\omega_i = e^{y_i - x_i}\omega_{i+1}. \quad (3.15)$$

It remains only to use the formulae (3.1) and (3.11) to arrive finally at (3.12). Actually, we could skip the discussion of null-vectors of M_i and to derive (3.12) directly from (3.15). In more complicated situations, however, it may be easier to find ω as the null-vector of M and then to determine the corresponding eigenvalue of $L(\lambda)$.

Note that the vectors ω_i are the classical counterparts of Baxter's [11] vacuum vectors.

Let us examine now the alternative Lax operator [12, 13] given by the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{L}(v; X, x)$ with the components

$$\mathcal{L}_{jk}(v; X, x) = -X_j\delta_{jk} + v^{-1/n}e^{x_j - x_k}\delta_{j,k+1} + v^{1/n}\delta_{j+1,k}. \quad (3.16)$$

The duality between the Lax operators $\mathcal{L}(v)$ and $L(u)$ is expressed in the switching the roles of the parameters u and v . The characteristic polynomial $\mathcal{W}(v, u) \equiv \det(u - \mathcal{L}(v))$ of the Lax operator (3.16) produces the same Hamiltonians H_i and the same spectral curve as $W(u, v)$, as follows from the identity

$$\det(v - L(u)) = -v \det(u - \mathcal{L}(v)). \quad (3.17)$$

For other examples of the similar duality, see [16].

The swapping of u and v corresponds to switching the roles of the parameters λ and μ in the BT. For the new Bäcklund transformation \mathcal{B}_μ associated with the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}(v)$ the formulae (3.6), (3.7) and (3.11) remain the same but their interpretation changes. The BT is parametrized now by the parameter μ which becomes a numerical constant. The equality (3.11) is reinterpreted now as a constraint on the variables x_i and y_i . The parameter λ is reinterpreted, respectively, as the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint (3.11) and becomes a dynamical variable which can be defined from the equations (3.7).

The characteristic properties of BT are verified for \mathcal{B}_μ in very much the same manner like for B_λ . The *invariance of the Hamiltonians* follows from the invariance of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}(v)$ which, in turn, follows from the easily verified identity

$$\mathcal{M}(v)\mathcal{L}(v; X, x) = \mathcal{L}(v; Y, y)\mathcal{M}(v), \quad (3.18)$$

with the matrix $\mathcal{M}(v) \equiv \mathcal{M}(v; x, y)$ given by its components

$$\mathcal{M}_{jk}(v) = -\delta_{jk} + v^{-1/n}e^{y_j - x_k}\delta_{j,k+1}. \quad (3.19)$$

The *commutativity*, as shown in section 2 follows from the canonicity and the invariance.

To prove the *spectrality* equality $\det(\lambda - \mathcal{L}(e^{-\mu})) = 0$, it suffices, similarly to the case of the Lax operator $L(u)$, to present the eigenvector Ω of the matrix $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\mu})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ :

$$\mathcal{L}(e^{-\mu})\Omega = \lambda\Omega. \quad (3.20)$$

Again, Ω can be determined as the null-vector of $\mathcal{M}(e^{-\mu})$:

$$\mathcal{M}(e^{-\mu})\Omega = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Note that the uniqueness of Ω follows from the easily verified identity $\det(z - \mathcal{M}(v)) = (z + 1)^n - v^{-1}e^{-\mu}$ which implies that the spectrum of $\mathcal{M}(e^{-\mu})$ consists of n non-degenerate eigenvalues, the 0 being one of them. From (3.21) one easily derives the recurrence relation for the components of Ω

$$\Omega_j = \Omega_{j-1} \exp\left(y_j - x_{j-1} + \frac{\mu}{n}\right) \quad (3.22)$$

which determine Ω up to a constant factor. It remains to verify the identity (3.20) which can be done by a direct calculation using the expressions (3.16) for the matrix $\mathcal{L}(v)$, (3.11) for μ and (3.7) for X_i .

4. Elliptic Ruijsenaars model

Our second example is the relativistic generalization due to Ruijsenaars [17] of the elliptic Calogero-Moser [18] many-body system. For the non-relativistic Calogero-Moser system a BT was found in [19]. In [5] a discrete-time dynamics was constructed for the elliptic Ruijsenaars model. As we show below, the discrete-time evolution transformation found in [5] has all the properties of a BT if the parameter p in [5] is specified in a proper way.

We use here the notations of [5] with few exceptions: our parameter ξ equals to $-\lambda$ from [5], and our $e^{-\lambda}$ corresponds to p from [5]. As in the case of the Toda lattice, there exist two dual BT's: B_λ and \mathcal{B}_μ . The standard Lax operator for the Ruijsenaars model, as shown below, is associated with \mathcal{B}_μ . Since the dual Lax operator is so far unknown, we describe here only the transformation \mathcal{B}_μ .

Following [5, 8], we introduce the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}(v; X, x)$ for the n -particle (A_{n-1} type) Ruijsenaars system as the $n \times n$ matrix with the entries

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(v) = -e^{X_i} \frac{\sigma(\xi)\sigma(v+x_i-x_j-\xi)}{\sigma(v)\sigma(x_i-x_j-\xi)} \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i-x_k+\xi)}{\sigma(x_i-x_k)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the Weierstrass sigma function and ξ is a constant.

The commuting Hamiltonians

$$H_i = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=i}} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in J} X_j\right) \prod_{\substack{j \in J \\ k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J}} \frac{\sigma(x_j - x_k + \xi)}{\sigma(x_j - x_k)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (4.2)$$

are generated from the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $\mathcal{L}(v)$ (4.1)

$$\det(\mathcal{L}(v) - u) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-u)^{n-j} H_j \frac{\sigma(v - j\xi)}{\sigma(v)} \quad (4.3)$$

where we assume $H_0 \equiv 1$.

The Bäcklund transformation \mathcal{B}_μ is given by the equations

$$e^{X_i} = e^{-\lambda} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \xi)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \xi)} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_k + \xi)}{\sigma(x_i - y_k)} \quad (4.4)$$

$$e^{Y_i} = e^{-\lambda} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\sigma(x_k - y_i + \xi)}{\sigma(x_k - y_i)} \quad (4.5)$$

where λ is considered as the Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the constraint

$$\mu = n\xi + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k). \quad (4.6)$$

Note here that the variable λ in formulas (4.4)–(4.5), describing the discrete-time dynamics, appeared already as p in [5], but the conjugated variable μ did not. Notice also that λ was treated in [5] as an extra parameter, not as a Lagrange multiplier corresponding to a constraint.

The generating function of the canonical transformation \mathcal{B}_μ is expressed in terms of the function

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \int^x \ln \sigma(y) dy \quad (4.7)$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\lambda(y; x) &= -\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i + \xi) + \sum_{i < j} (\mathcal{S}(x_i - x_j - \xi) - \mathcal{S}(x_i - x_j + \xi)) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\mathcal{S}(x_i - y_j + \xi) - \mathcal{S}(x_i - y_j)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

The verification of the characteristic properties of BT for \mathcal{B}_μ proceeds in the same way as in the case of the Toda lattice.

The *invariance of the Hamiltonians* H_i follows from the identity (see [5] for the proof)

$$\mathcal{M}(v) \mathcal{L}(v; X, x) = \mathcal{L}(v; Y, y) \mathcal{M}(v) \quad (4.9)$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{M}(v) \equiv \mathcal{M}(v; x, y)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(v) = \frac{\sigma(v + y_i - x_j - \xi)}{\sigma(y_i - x_j - \xi)} \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{\sigma(y_i - y_k + \xi)}{\sigma(y_i - y_k)} \prod_k \frac{\sigma(x_k - y_i + \xi)}{\sigma(x_k - y_i)}. \quad (4.10)$$

The *commutativity*, as usual, is a consequence of canonicity and invariance (see section 2).

To prove the *spectrality* equality which takes the form $\det(e^{-\lambda} - \mathcal{L}(\mu)) = 0$ it is sufficient, like in the case of the Toda lattice, to find the eigenvector Ω of the matrix $\mathcal{L}(\mu)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $e^{-\lambda}$. Let us show that, up to a constant multiplier, the components of the eigenvector Ω are

$$\Omega_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(x_i - y_k + \xi)}{\prod_{k \neq i} \sigma(x_i - x_k)}. \quad (4.11)$$

The equality

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu)\Omega = e^{-\lambda}\Omega \quad (4.12)$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{ij}(\mu)\Omega_j = e^{-\lambda}\Omega_i \quad (4.13)$$

after the substitutions (4.1) for \mathcal{L}_{ij} , (4.6) for μ and (4.4) for e^{X_i} is reduced to the following identity for sigma functions

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma(\mu + x_i - x_j - \xi) \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(x_j - y_k + \xi) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_k - \xi)}{\sigma(x_j - x_k)} = \sigma(\mu) \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(x_i - y_k). \quad (4.14)$$

Due to the symmetry, it is sufficient to prove (4.14) only for $i = 1$. Let $i = 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Consider the both sides of the equality (4.14) as functions of x_n . It is easy to see that they are holomorphic in x_n (the apparent poles in the left-hand-side being cancelled) and have the same quasiperiodicity properties. From the basic properties of sigma functions [20] it follows that it is sufficient to verify the equality of LHS and RHS only in one arbitrary point $x_n = \bar{x}$ with the only condition $\bar{x} \neq \mu - x_n$. Choosing $\bar{x} = y_n - \xi$ we observe that (4.14) is reduced to the similar identity of order $n - 1$. The proof follows then by induction in n since the case $n = 1$ is trivial.

As in the section 3, the vector Ω (4.11) is again the null-vector of the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\mu)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu)\Omega = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

The corresponding identity for sigma functions

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma(\mu + y_i - x_j - \xi) \frac{\prod_{k \neq i} \sigma(x_j - y_k + \xi)}{\prod_{k \neq j} \sigma(x_j - x_k)} = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

follows from the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(x_j - z_k)}{\prod_{k \neq j} \sigma(x_j - x_k)} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (z_k - x_k) = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

(cf. [20], p. 451) when one substitutes $z_k = y_k - \xi$ for $k \neq i$ and $z_i = \mu + y_i - \xi$.

5. Discussion

We have studied three new aspects of Bäcklund transformations. Those are spectrality, dual BT's and application of BT's to the problem of separation of variables. As demonstrated in the section 2, the composition of n BT's, being an 'universal' (n -parametric) BT, provides a separation of variables which has n arbitrary parameters, and thereby defines an 'universal' (n -parametric) family of separating transformations. The connection between the 'universal' BT and the 'universal' SoV is intriguing and has yet to be studied in detail.

Though we have discussed in the present paper only the classical case, our primary motivation comes from the quantum case. The main problem in the quantum case is to construct Baxter's Q -operator which is a quantum analog of the Bäcklund transformation. For the trigonometric case of the Ruijsenaars system, i.e. for the case of multivariable (A_{n-1} -type) Macdonald polynomials, we have succeeded to describe explicitly such a quantum analog of the transformation \mathcal{B}_μ introduced in the section 4. The results will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to J Gibbons, I V Komarov and F W Nijhoff for their interest in the work and useful discussions. The authors wish to acknowledge the support of EPSRC.

References

- [1] Rogers C and Shadwick W F 1982 *Bäcklund Transformations and Their Applications* (New York: Academic Press)

Matveev V B and Salle M A 1991 *Darboux transformations and solitons* (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Pasquier V and Gaudin M 1992 The periodic Toda chain and a matrix generalization of the Bessel function recursion relations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** 5243–5252
- [3] Antonov A and Feigin B 1996 Quantum group representations and Baxter equation *Preprint* hep-th/9603105

Bazhanov V V, Lukyanov S L and Zamolodchikov A B 1996 Integrable structure of conformal field theory II. Q -operator and DDV equation *Preprint* hep-th/9604044
- [4] Veselov A P 1991 Integrable maps *Russian Math. Surveys* **46**:5 1–51
- [5] Nijhoff F W, Ragnisco O and Kuznetsov V B 1996 Integrable time-discretization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model *Commun. Math. Phys.* **176** 681–700
- [6] Faddeev L D and Volkov A Yu 1994 Hirota equation as an example of integrable symplectic map *Lett. Math. Phys.* **32** 125–136
- [7] Sklyanin E K 1995 Separation of variables. New trends. *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118** 35–60

Kuznetsov V B and Sklyanin E K 1996 Separation of variables for the A_2 Ruijsenaars model and a new integral representation for the A_2 Macdonald polynomials *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 2779–2804

- [8] Kuznetsov V B, Nijhoff F W and Sklyanin E K 1997 Separation of variables for the Ruijsenaars system *Preprint solv-int/9701004*; to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*
- [9] Adler M and van Moerbeke P 1989 *Algebraic integrable systems: A systematic approach* (Boston: Academic Press)
- [10] Gaudin M 1983 *La Fonction d'Onde de Bethe* (Paris: Masson)
- [11] Baxter R I 1982 *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (London: Academic Press, London) chapters 9–10
- [12] Toda M 1981 *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [13] van Moerbeke P 1976 The spectrum of Jacobi matrices *Invent. Math.* **37** 45–81
- [14] Hirota R and Satsuma J 1978 A simple structure of superposition formula of the Bäcklund transformation *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **45** 1741–1750
- [15] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1987 *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of solitons* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [16] Adams M R, Harnad J and Hurtubise J 1990 Dual moment maps to loop algebras *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** 294–308
- Nijhoff F W, Papageorgiou V G, Capel H W and Quispel G R W 1992 The lattice Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy *Inverse Problems* **8** 597–621
- [17] Ruijsenaars S N M 1987 Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities *Commun. Math. Phys.* **110** 191–213
- [18] Calogero F 1971 Solution of the one-dimensional N -body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 419–436
- Moser J 1975 Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations *Adv. Math.* **16** 197–220
- Olshanetsky M A and Perelomov A M 1981 Classical integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras *Phys. Rep.* **71** 313–400
- [19] Chudnovsky D V and Chudnovsky G V 1977 Pole expansions of nonlinear partial differential equations *Nuovo Cimento B* **40** 339–353
- Gibbons J 1982 Rational solutions of Lax equations *Unpublished*
- Wojciechowski S 1982 The analogue of the Bäcklund transformation for integrable many-body systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** L653–657; 1983 Corrigendum **16** 671
- Gibbons J, Hermsen T and Wojciechowski S 1983 A Bäcklund transformation for a generalized Calogero-Moser system *Phys. Lett. A* **94** 251–253

Nijhoff F W and Pang G D 1996 Discrete-Time Calogero-Moser Model and Lattice KP Equations *Proc. of the Intl. Workshop on Symmetries and Integrability of Difference Equations* eds D Levi *et al* (CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **9**) 253–264

- [20] Whittaker E T and Watson G N 1988 *A course in modern analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 4th ed.)