

On the Integrability of the One-Dimensional Open XYZ Spin Chain

Guo-xing JU^{1,2}, Chi Xiong¹

1. Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080

2. Physics Department, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan Province 453002

Abstract

The Lax pair for the one-dimensional open XYZ spin chain is constructed, this shows that the system is completely integrable .

In the formalism of the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM), the integrability for the systems with periodic boundary conditions is guaranteed by the Yang-Baxter equation, of which the central ingredient is R-matrix [1, 2]. However, it is interesting to find other boundary conditions compatible with integrability for a given model. Based on the work of Cherednik [3], Sklyanin [4] found that there exists boundary condition defined by reflection matrices K^\pm , which satisfy the so-called reflection equations, compatible with the Yang-Baxter equations. These K -matrices imply boundary terms for the Hamiltonians of the spin systems on the finite interval. Recently, a lot of integrable models with open boundaries, both in quantum field theory and in statistical mechanics, have been studied extensively using this method [3]-[11].

On the other hand, for the case of periodic boundary condition, there is a equivalent approach, which is called the Lax representation [2], to the proof of the integrability of the system. In this formalism, a model is said to completely integrable if we can find a Lax pair such that the Lax equation is equivalent to the equation of motion of the model.

Now, it is natural that one expect a variant of the Lax representation to systems with open boundary conditions. In fact, this has been done, for example, for the one-dimensional (1D) Heisenberg open XXZ chain [12], the Hubbard model[13]. In this letter, we will construct the Lax pair for the 1D open XYZ spin-chain.

The 1D open XYZ spin-chain has been investigated from the view of the reflection equation[8, 9]. The corresponding Hamiltonian for the system with N sites is given by[9]

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (J_x \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + J_y \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y + J_z \sigma_n^z \sigma_{n+1}^z) + \text{sn}\eta (A_- \sigma_1^z + B_- \sigma_1^+ + C_- \sigma_1^- + A_+ \sigma_N^z + B_+ \sigma_N^+ + C_+ \sigma_N^-), \quad (1)$$

where

$$J_x = -2(1 + k \text{sn}^2 \eta), J_y = -2(1 - k \text{sn}^2 \eta), J_z = -2c n \eta \text{dn} \eta, \quad (2)$$

$\sigma_n^x, \sigma_n^y, \sigma_n^z$ are Pauli matrices, and $A_{\pm}, B_{\pm}, C_{\pm}$ are constants. The equations of motion for the system (1) are given as follows,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_n^x = -J_y \sigma_n^z (\sigma_{n+1}^y + \sigma_{n-1}^y) + J_z \sigma_n^y (\sigma_{n+1}^z + \sigma_{n-1}^z), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_n^y = -J_z \sigma_n^x (\sigma_{n+1}^z + \sigma_{n-1}^z) + J_x \sigma_n^z (\sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_{n-1}^x), \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_n^z = -J_x \sigma_n^y (\sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_{n-1}^x) + J_y \sigma_n^x (\sigma_{n+1}^y + \sigma_{n-1}^y), \quad (5)$$

$$(n = 2, \dots, N-1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_1^x = -J_y \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^y + J_z \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^z + \text{sn}\eta (-2A_- \sigma_1^y + i(B_- - C_-) \sigma_1^z), \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_1^y = -J_z \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z + J_x \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^x + \text{sn}\eta (2A_- \sigma_1^x - (B_- + C_-) \sigma_1^z), \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_1^z = -J_x \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^x + J_y \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y - 2i \text{sn}\eta (B_- \sigma_1^+ - C_- \sigma_1^-), \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_N^x = -J_y \sigma_{N-1}^y \sigma_N^z + J_z \sigma_{N-1}^z \sigma_N^y + \text{sn}\eta (-2A_+ \sigma_N^y + i(B_+ - C_+) \sigma_N^z), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_N^y = -J_z \sigma_{N-1}^z \sigma_N^x + J_x \sigma_{N-1}^x \sigma_N^z + \text{sn}\eta (2A_+ \sigma_N^x - (B_+ + C_+) \sigma_N^z), \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_N^z = -J_x \sigma_{N-1}^x \sigma_N^y + J_y \sigma_{N-1}^y \sigma_N^x - 2i \text{sn}\eta (B_+ \sigma_N^+ - C_+ \sigma_N^-). \quad (11)$$

In order to rewrite equations above in the Lax form, we consider the following operator version of an auxiliary linear problem,

$$\Psi_{n+1} = L_n(u)\Psi_n, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi_n = M_n(u)\Psi_n, \quad (n = 2, \dots, N) \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi_{N+1} = Q_N(u)\Psi_{N+1}, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi_1 = Q_1(u)\Psi_1, \quad (15)$$

where u is the spectral parameter which does not depend on the time t , $L_n(u)$, $M_n(u)$, $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_N(u)$ are called the Lax pair. $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_N(u)$ are responsible for the boundary conditions. The consistency conditions for the equations (12)-(15) are the following Lax equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_n(u) = M_{n+1}(u)L_n(u) - L_n(u)M_n(u), \quad (n = 2, \dots, N-1) \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_N(u) = Q_N(u)L_N(u) - L_N(u)M_N(u), \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_1(u) = M_2(u)L_1(u) - L_1(u)Q_1(u). \quad (18)$$

From the eqs. (3)-(5) and (16), we see that they are the same as those in the case of periodic boundary condition. For the XYZ model with periodic boundary condition, the Lax pair L_n, M_n has been given by Sogo and Wadati[14]. So, for the open XYZ model, we only have to construct operators $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_N(u)$. If we write $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_N(u)$ in the following forms:

$$Q_1(u) = (a_{ij}^{(-)})_{2 \times 2}, \quad Q_N(u) = (a_{ij}^{(+)})_{2 \times 2} \quad (19)$$

and

$$a_{ij}^{(-)} = \sum_{l=0}^3 a_{ij}^{(-)l} \sigma_1^l, \quad a_{ij}^{(+)} = \sum_{l=0}^3 a_{ij}^{(+)l} \sigma_N^l, \quad (20)$$

where $\sigma^0 = 1$, $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3 = \sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z$ are the Pauli matrices, then after a lengthy calculations, from eqs. (17) and (18), we obtain the expressions for $a_{ij}^{(\pm)l}(i, j = 1, 2, l = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ as follows,

$$a_{11}^{(\pm)0} = \frac{4isn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} a(u)b(u); \quad (21)$$

$$a_{11}^{(\pm)1} = \frac{isn\eta}{D} \{ \pm(B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})c(u)(-2e(u) + f(u) + g(u)) - (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})(b^2(u) + c^2(u) - f^2(u)) \}; \quad (22)$$

$$a_{11}^{(\pm)2} = \pm \frac{sn\eta}{D} \{ \pm(B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})(a^2(u) + c^2(u) - e^2(u)) + (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})c(u)(f(u) - g(u)) \}; \quad (23)$$

$$a_{11}^{(\pm)3} = G_3 - \frac{isn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} d(u); \quad (24)$$

$$a_{12}^{(\pm)0} = \frac{2isn\eta}{D} c(u) \{ (B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})a(u) + (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})b(u) \}; \quad (25)$$

$$a_{12}^{(\pm)1} = G_1 \pm \frac{2isn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} b(u)(-2e(u) + f(u) + g(u)); \quad (26)$$

$$a_{12}^{(\pm)2} = -iG_2 \pm \frac{2sn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} a(u)(-f(u) + g(u)); \quad (27)$$

$$a_{12}^{(\pm)3} = \mp \frac{isn\eta}{D} (f(u) + g(u)) \{ (B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})a(u) + (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})b(u) \}; \quad (28)$$

$$a_{21}^{(\pm)0} = \frac{2isn\eta}{D} c(u) \{ -(B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})a(u) + (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})b(u) \}; \quad (29)$$

$$a_{21}^{(\pm)1} = G_1 \mp \frac{2isn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} b(u)(-2e(u) + f(u) + g(u)); \quad (30)$$

$$a_{21}^{(\pm)2} = iG_2 \pm \frac{2sn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} a(u)(-f(u) + g(u)); \quad (31)$$

$$a_{21}^{(\pm)3} = \pm \frac{isn\eta}{D} (f(u) + g(u)) \{ -(B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})a(u) + (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})b(u) \}; \quad (32)$$

$$a_{22}^{(\pm)0} = -a_{11}^{(\pm)0}; \quad (33)$$

$$a_{22}^{(\pm)1} = \frac{isn\eta}{D} \{ \pm(B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})c(u)(2e(u) - f(u) - g(u)) - (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})(b^2(u) + c^2(u) - f^2(u)) \}; \quad (34)$$

$$a_{22}^{(\pm)2} = \frac{sn\eta}{D} \{ (B_{\pm} - C_{\pm})(a^2(u) + c^2(u) - e^2(u)) \mp (B_{\pm} + C_{\pm})c(u)(f(u) - g(u)) \}; \quad (35)$$

$$a_{22}^{(\pm)3} = -G_3 - \frac{isn\eta A_{\pm}}{D} d(u) \quad (36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(u) &= w_2 w_3 + w_1 w_4, & b(u) &= w_1 w_3 + w_2 w_4, \\ c(u) &= w_1 w_2 + w_3 w_4, \\ d(u) &= (w_2 - w_1)^2 \{ (w_3 - w_4)^2 - (w_2 + w_1)^2 \} + \\ &\quad + (w_2 + w_1)^2 \{ (w_3 + w_4)^2 - (w_2 - w_1)^2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e(u) &= w_1^2 - w_3^2, & f(u) &= w_2^2 - w_3^2, & g(u) &= w_1^2 - w_4^2, \\
w_1(u) + w_2(u) &= \operatorname{sn}\eta, & w_1(u) - w_2(u) &= k\operatorname{sn}\eta\operatorname{sn}u\operatorname{sn}(u + \eta), \\
w_3(u) + w_4 &= \operatorname{sn}(u + \eta), & w_4(u) - w_3 &= \operatorname{sn}u,
\end{aligned}$$

for the explicit expressions of D, G_1, G_2, G_3 see ref. [14]. In the trigonometric limit $k \rightarrow 0$, where $\operatorname{sn}u \rightarrow \sin u$ and taking $J_x = J_y = 1, J_z = \cos \eta, B_{\pm} = C_{\pm} = 0$, we recover the result in the case of open XXZ model given in the ref. [12](up to a replacement of η by 2η).

The eqs.(19)-(36) combined with L_n, M_n given in ref. [14] are the Lax pair for the open XYZ spin-chain. Now we make two remarks. For systems with periodic boundary conditions, Korepin et al. [2] proved that the Lax equation can follow from the Yang-Baxter equation. In the case of open boundary conditions, the integrability is guaranteed by both the Yang-Baxter equation and the reflection equations. Then we should discuss the relations between the Lax representation and the reflection equations. Furthermore, if we construct conserved quantities from the transfer matrix, then there are some constraints for $Q_1(u), Q_N(u)$ and K^{\pm} -matrices. Whether the constraints hold for $Q_1(u), Q_N(u)$ given in this letter and K^{\pm} -matrices in ref. [9] should be considered. We expect to discuss these problems elsewhere.

We would like to thank Prof. Ke Wu and Prof. Shi-kun Wang for useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. D. Faddeev, in: *Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Les Houches XXXIX*, eds. J. B. Zuber and R. Stora, North-Holland Publ., 1984, pp 561-608;
P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, in: *Integrable Quantum Field Theories*, Lecture Notes in Physics **151**, Springer-Verlag, 1982, pp 61-119.
- [2] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [3] I. Cherednik, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*, **61**(1984)35.
- [4] E. K. Sklyanin, *J. Phys.*, **A 21**(1988)2375.
- [5] L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, **A 6**(1991)5231; **A 7**(1992)5657.
- [6] A. Foerster and M. Karowski, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 408**(1993)512.
- [7] S. Ghoshal and A. Zamolodchikov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, **A 9**(1994)3841;
S. Ghoshal, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, **A 9**(1994)4801.
- [8] H J. de Vega and A. González-Ruiz, *J. Phys.*, **A 26**(1993)L519.
- [9] T. Inami and K. Konno, *J. Phys.*, **A 27**(1994)L913.
- [10] A. Fring and R. Köberle, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 421**(1994)159.
- [11] A. González-Ruiz, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 424**(1994)468.
- [12] H. Q. Zhou, *J. Phys.*, **A 29**(1996)L489.
- [13] X. W. Guan, M. S. Wang and S. D. Yang, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 485** (1997)685.
- [14] K. Sogo and M. Wadati, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, **68**(1982)85.