

The spectrum of random matrices and integrable systems

Pierre van Moerbeke

Department of Mathematics, Université de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve,
Belgium and Brandeis University, Waltham, Mass 02254, USA
(vanmoerbeke@geom.ucl.ac.be & vanmoerbeke@math.brandeis.edu).

Consider¹ a weight $\rho(dz) := e^{-V(z)}dz$ on an interval $F = [A, B] \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, with rational logarithmic derivative and subjected to the following boundary conditions:

$$V' = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{\sum_0^\infty b_i z^i}{\sum_0^\infty a_i z^i}, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow A, B} f(z) e^{-V(z)} z^k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

On the ensemble

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \{N \times N \text{ Hermitean matrices}\}$$

define the probability

$$P(M \in dM) = c_N e^{-\text{Tr} V(M)} dM, \quad (2)$$

with the Haar measure dM on \mathcal{H}_N ; the latter can be decomposed into a spectral part (radial part) and an angular part:

$$dM := \prod_1^N dM_{ii} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (d\text{Re} M_{ij} d\text{Im} M_{ij}) = \Delta^2(z) dz_1 \dots dz_N dU, \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta(z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (z_i - z_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant. This lecture deals with the following probability for $E \subset F$:

$$P(\text{spectrum } M \in E, \text{ with } M \in \mathcal{H}_N) = \frac{\int_{E^N} \Delta^2(z) \prod_1^N \rho(dz_k)}{\int_{F^N} \Delta^2(z) \prod_1^N \rho(dz_k)}. \quad (4)$$

As the reader can find out from the excellent book by Mehta [], it is well known that, if the probability $P(M \in dM)$ satisfies the following two requirements: (i) invariance under conjugation by unitary transformations $M \mapsto U M U^{-1}$, (ii) the random variables M_{ii} , $\text{Re} M_{ij}$, $\text{Im} M_{ij}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ are independent, then $V(z)$ is quadratic (Gaussian ensemble). For this ensemble and for very large N , the probability $P(\text{an eigenvalue} \in dz)$ tends to Wigner's semi-circle distribution on the interval

¹Appeared in: Group 21, Physical applications and Mathematical aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, Vol.II, 835-852, Eds.:H.-D. Doebner, W. Scherer, C. Schulte, World scientific, Singapore, 1997.

$[-\sqrt{2N}, \sqrt{2N}]$. Upon normalizing the distribution such that the average spacing between eigenvalues equals one, one finds different limits for $N \nearrow \infty$, according to whether one considers the part of the spectrum near $z = 0$ (bulk scaling limit) or near the edge $\sqrt{2N}$ (edge scaling limit). The first situation leads to the Sine kernel and the second one to the Airy kernel.

It is also known that the following probability can be expressed as a Fredholm determinant, for both, N finite and N infinite. A very sketchy proof of this fact will be exposed in this lecture. Therefore the real issue is to compute for $E \subset F$,

$$P(\text{exactly } k \text{ eigenvalues in } E) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^k \det(I - \lambda K_N^E) \Big|_{\lambda=1} \quad (5)$$

for the kernel

$$K^E(y, z) = K(y, z)I_E(z). \quad (6)$$

Below are a few important examples of kernels, the first one being one side of the Christoffel-Darboux formula for classical orthogonal polynomials:

- $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(V(y)+V(z))} \sum_{k=1}^N p_{k-1}(y)p_{k-1}(z)$ (“Christoffel-Darboux” kernel)
- $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x (e^{-ixy} \pm e^{ixy})(e^{ixz} \pm e^{-ixz})dx = \frac{\sin x(y-z)}{y-z} \pm \frac{\sin x(y+z)}{y+z}$ (Sine kernel)
- $\int_0^x A(x+y)A(x+z)dx$ (Airy kernel)
- $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x xJ_\nu(x\sqrt{y})J_\nu(x\sqrt{z})dx$ (Bessel kernel).

(7)

As a feature, common to all of them, note that, for instance, the orthogonal polynomials $p_k(y)$, the exponential function $e^{-ixy} \pm e^{ixy}$, the Airy function $A(x+y)$ and the Bessel function $J_\nu(x\sqrt{y})$ are all eigenfunctions of second order problems.

Random matrices provide a model for excitation spectra of heavy nuclei at high excitations (Wigner [], Dyson [] and Mehta []). Since the energy levels are governed by the spectrum of a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian on a Hilbert space, it is reasonable upon truncation to assume that the Hamiltonian be represented by large matrices and, from a statistical mechanical point of view, by an ensemble of matrices, possibly satisfying some symmetry properties. In their analysis of nuclear experimental data, Porter and Rosenzweig [] observed that the occurrence of two levels, close to each other, is a rare event (level repulsion), showing that the spacing is not Poissonian, as one might expect from a naive point of view; this lead Wigner to his so-called surmise.

In their pioneering work, Jimbo, Miwa, Mori and Sato [] have shown some (p, q) -variables derived from the sine kernel satisfy a certain Neumann-like completely integrable finite-dimensional Hamiltonian system. Tracy and Widom [] have successfully

used functional-theoretical tools to compute the level spacing distributions for a more general class of kernels, always yielding Neumann-type systems. In the case where E is a semi-interval, they find a distribution whose density satisfies a Painlevé type equation. Deift, Its and Zhou [1] have used the Riemann-Hilbert approach to find the precise asymptotics for the distributions above. Random matrices have come up in the context of statistical mechanics and quantum gravity; see [2]. Random matrix ideas play an increasingly prominent role in mathematics: not only have they come up in the spacings of the zeroes of the Riemann zeta function, but their relevance has been observed in the chaotic Sinai billiard and, more generally, in chaotic geodesic flows; Sarnak [3] conjectures that chaos leads to the “spectral rigidity”, typical of the spectral distributions of random matrices, whereas the spectrum of an integrable system is random (Poisson)!

The main question of this lecture is the following: *What is the connection of random matrices with integrable systems? Is this connection really useful?* Remember an integrable system is a time evolution involving commuting vector fields, parametrized by times t_1, t_2, \dots . Celebrated examples are the KP hierarchy and the Toda lattice.

The approach in this lecture, based on joint work with M. Adler and T. Shiota [4], is novel and different from the previous studies, mentioned above. Here we get a system of partial differential equations directly for the probability (5) with $k = 0$, rather than a Neumann system for some complicated auxiliary variables. Moreover our PDE’s are nothing else but the KP hierarchy for which the t -partials, viewed as commuting operators, are replaced by non-commuting operators in the endpoints A_i of the interval E under consideration. When the boundary of E consists of one point, one recovers the Painlevé equations, found in [5], at least for some of the kernels (7); from our work, it also appears that some of the Painlevé equations can be viewed as the KP equation in non-commutative operators.

Invoking a different integrable system, H. Peng [6] has extended these methods to symmetric ensembles, instead of Hermitean ensembles. Symplectic ensembles will require the use of still a different integrable system. Picking the 2-Toda lattice leads to equations for the distribution of the spectrum associated with two coupled random matrices; see [7].

The first half of this lecture discusses the finite Hermitean matrix ensembles, which relate to discrete integrable models, while the second deals with infinite ensembles, which arise in the context of continuous systems. The first part serves, to a large extent, to understand and motivate the methods developed in the second part.

1 Finite ensembles at the crossroads of KP, Toda and Virasoro

1.1 Introducing time in the probability

On the ensemble \mathcal{H}_n , we consider probability (2), in which we introduce “time”, seemingly as an artifact:

$$P(M_n \in dM) = c_n(t) e^{-\text{Tr} V(M) + \sum_1^\infty t_i \text{Tr} M^i} dM$$

and thus

$$P(\text{spectrum } M_n \in E) = \frac{\int_{E^n} \Delta^2(z) \prod_{k=1}^n \rho_t(dz_k)}{\int_{F^n} \Delta^2(z) \prod_{k=1}^n \rho_t(dz_k)} =: \frac{\tau_n(E, t)}{\tau_n(t)}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\rho_t(dz) = e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} e^{-V(z)} dz = e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} \rho_0(dz). \quad (9)$$

1.2 $\tau_n(E, t)$ satisfies the KP hierarchy

Introduce orthonormal and (monic) orthogonal polynomials, $p_i(z) := p_i(E, t, z)$ and $\tilde{p}_i(z) := \tilde{p}_i(E, t, z)$ respectively, with regard to the density ρ_t , on $E \subset F \subseteq \mathbf{R}$,

$$\langle p_i, p_j \rangle_{E, t} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j \rangle_{E, t} = h_i \delta_{ij}, \quad \tilde{p}_i = \sqrt{h_i} p_i. \quad (10)$$

The matrix m_n of moments $\mu_{ij}(E, t)$, at time t

$$m_n(E, t) := (\mu_{ij}(E, t))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} := \left(\langle z^i, z^j \rangle_{E, t} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}, \quad (11)$$

can be expanded in Schur polynomials $S_k(t)$, with coefficients given by the moments at time $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ij}(E, t) &= \int_E z^{i+j} e^{\sum_1^\infty t_k z^k} \rho(dz) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(t) \int_E z^{i+j+k} \rho(dz) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(t) \mu_{k+i, j}(E, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Also, it is classically known (see Szegö []) that both $\det m_n$ and $p_n(z)$ have integral representations; these facts lead to the following identities:

$$\tau_n(E, t) = \int_{E^n} \Delta(u)^2 \prod_{k=1}^n \rho_t(du_k) = \det m_n(E, t) \quad (13)$$

$$= \det \left(\left(S_{j-i}(t) \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j < \infty}} \left(\mu_{jk}(E, 0) \right)_{\substack{0 \leq j < \infty \\ 0 \leq k \leq n-1}} \right) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_n(E, t, z) = \frac{1}{\det m_n} \int_{E^n} \prod_1^n (z - u_k) \Delta(u)^2 \prod_{k=1}^n \rho_t(du_k) \quad (15)$$

$$= z^n \frac{\tau_n(E, t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau_n(E, t)}, \quad \text{where } [\alpha] = \left(\alpha, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha^3}{3}, \dots \right), \quad (16)$$

using in the last equation

$$e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i u^i} \left(1 - \frac{u}{z}\right) = e^{\sum_1^\infty \left(t_i - \frac{z^{-i}}{i}\right) u^i}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (14) shows that the integral $\tau_n(E, t)$ is the determinant of the projection of an infinite-dimensional plane onto the positive Fourier modes $H_+ = \text{span}\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$, as follows:

$$e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} \left(\text{span} \left\{ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} z^j \mu_{n-1-j,k}(E, 0), k = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\} \oplus z^n H_+ \right) \rightarrow H_+. \quad (18)$$

Then, Sato [] tells us that this projection satisfies Hirota bilinear identities (Plücker relations) and, in particular, the **KP-hierarchy**; but according to (13) this projection coincides with the integral $\tau_n(E, t)$. Therefore $\tau_n(E, t)$ satisfies for all $n \geq 0$ the KP-equations²,

$$\tau \frac{\partial^2 \tau}{\partial t_1 \partial t_k} - \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_k} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_1} - \sum_{\substack{i+j=k+1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} S_i(\tilde{\partial}) \tau \cdot S_j(-\tilde{\partial}) \tau = 0, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots \quad (19)$$

1.3 The vector $(\tau_n(E, t))_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Toda lattice

Incidentally, equation (16) provides an elementary “classical” proof of Sato’s representation of a wave-function in terms of a τ -function. Indeed, in view of equation (23) below, we have that the L^2 -norm $\sqrt{h_k}$ of \tilde{p} , as in (10), has the form $h_k = \tau_{k+1}/\tau_k$, so that $\tilde{p}_k = p_k \sqrt{h_k} = p_k \sqrt{\tau_{k+1}/\tau_k}$; this leads to Sato’s representation of the so-called “wave vector” in terms of the “ τ -vector” $\tau(t) := (\tau_n(E, t))_{n \geq 0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(E, t, z) := (\Psi_k)_{k \geq 0} &:= e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} (p_k(E, t, z))_{k \geq 0} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} \left(z^k \frac{\tau_k(t - [z^{-1}])}{\sqrt{\tau_k(t) \tau_{k+1}(t)}} \right)_{k \geq 0} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} S(t) \chi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\chi(z) := (z^i)_{i \geq 0}$; this last equation holds, because $p_k(t, z)$ is a triangular linear combination of monomials z^j , represented by the (invertible) lower-triangular matrix $S(t)$. Note $z\chi = \Lambda\chi$, where Λ is the shift matrix $\Lambda := (\delta_{i,j-1})_{i,j \geq 0}$; therefore

$$z\Psi = L(t)\Psi, \quad \text{with } L(t) = S(t)\Lambda S(t)^{-1} \text{ symmetric, tridiagonal matrix.} \quad (21)$$

² $S_i(\pm\tilde{\partial}) = S_i\left(\pm\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \pm\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \pm\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}, \dots\right)$, where $S_i(t)$ are the elementary Schur polynomials:
 $e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} = \sum_0^\infty S_k(t) z^k$.

The orthonormality of the polynomials $p_k(E, t, z)$ implies, by (10) and (20), the orthonormality of the $\Psi_k(E, t, z)$'s for the weight $\rho_0(dz)$ and thus taking partials in t_i , one finds:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \int_E \Psi_k(E, t, z) \Psi_\ell(E, t, z) \rho_0(dz) = 0.$$

Combining this relation with (20) and (21), one finds that Ψ and L evolve according to³:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_n} = \frac{1}{2}(L^n)_s \Psi \text{ and } \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_n} = \frac{1}{2}[(L^n)_s, L]. \text{ (Toda lattice)} \quad (22)$$

For a full account, see []. The seeds for these facts were already present in the pioneering work of Bessis, Itzykson and Zuber [] and later in the work of Witten [].

1.4 The n -point correlation function

The methods in this subsection are adapted from Mehta []. Return now to probability (8); upon expanding the products of the two determinants in the integral below, the denominator of (8) can be expressed as follows, using the orthogonality of the monic orthogonal polynomials $\tilde{p}_k = \tilde{p}_k(F, t, z)$ on the full range F :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F^n} \Delta^2(z) \prod_1^n \rho_t(dz_i) \\ &= \int_{F^n} \det(\tilde{p}_{i-1}(z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \det(\tilde{p}_{k-1}(z_\ell))_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \prod_{n=1}^n \rho_t(dz_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in \sigma_n} (-1)^{\pi + \pi'} \prod_{k=1}^n \int_F \tilde{p}_{\pi(k)-1}(z_k) \tilde{p}_{\pi'(k)-1}(z_k) \rho_t(dz_k) \\ &= n! \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_F \tilde{p}_k^2(z) \rho_t(dz) = n! \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Then, using the obvious fact $(\det A)^2 = \det(AA^\top)$, upon borrowing the exponentials from $\rho_t(dz_i)$ and the h_i from the denominator, one computes the probability (8) in terms of the polynomials $\tilde{p}(z) := \tilde{p}(F, t, z)$ and a kernel $K_n(y, z)$:

$$P(\text{spectrum } M_n \in E^n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n! \prod_1^n h_{i-1}} \int_{E^n} \det \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tilde{p}_{j-1}(z_k) \tilde{p}_{j-1}(z_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \prod_1^n \rho_t(dz_i)$$

³with regard to the Lie algebra splitting of $gl(\infty)$ into the algebras of skew-symmetric A_s and lower triangular (including the diagonal) matrices A_b (Borel matrices):

$$gl(\infty) = \mathcal{D}_s \oplus \mathcal{D}_b = A = A_s + A_b.$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{E^n} \det(K_n(z_k, z_\ell))_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \prod_1^n \rho_0(dz_i), \quad (24)$$

where the kernel K_n is defined in terms of the wave function (20) for $E = F$:

$$K_n(y, z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_{j-1}(F, t, y) \Psi_{j-1}(F, t, z). \quad (25)$$

The orthogonality relations $\int_F \Psi_k(F, t, z) \Psi_\ell(F, t, z) \rho_0(dz) = \delta_{k\ell}$ lead to the reproducing property for the kernel $K_n(y, z)$:

$$\int_F K_n(y, z) K_n(z, u) \rho_0(dz) = K_n(y, u), \quad \int_F K_n(z, z) \rho_0(dz) = n. \quad (26)$$

Upon replacing E^n by $\prod_1^k dz_i \times F^{n-k}$ in (24), upon integrating out all the remaining variables z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n and using the reproducing property (26), one finds the n -point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\text{one eigenvalue in each } [z_i, z_i + dz_i], i = 1, \dots, k) \\ &= c_n \det(K_n(z_i, z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \prod_1^k \rho_0(dz_i). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Finally, by Poincaré's formula for the probability $P\left(\bigcup E_i\right)$, the probability that no spectral point of M belongs to E is given by a Fredholm determinant

$$\det(I - \lambda A) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^k \int_{z_1 \leq \dots \leq z_k} \det(A(z_i, z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \prod_1^k \rho_0(dz_i),$$

for the kernel $A = K_n^E$, given by (25):

$$P(\text{no spectrum } M \in E) = \det(I - K_n^E), \quad K_n^E(y, z) = K_n(y, z) I_E(z). \quad (28)$$

1.5 Toda vertex operators and boundary-time Virasoro constraints

This subsection is taken from []; as a new ingredient, we introduced in [] the “*vector vertex operator*” for the Toda lattice,

$$\mathbf{X}(t, z) := \Lambda^{-1} \chi(z^2) e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} e^{-2 \sum_1^\infty \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}}, \quad (29)$$

acting on the vector $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 0}$; it can be viewed as a Darboux transformation, mapping a Toda solution into a new one. It satisfies the following simple “*operator identity*”⁴:

$$\left(-b^{\ell+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} - a^{\ell+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + [\mathbf{J}_\ell^{(2)}, \cdot] \right) \int_a^b dz \mathbf{X}(t, z) = 0, \quad \ell \geq -1, \quad (30)$$

⁴ $(\mathbf{J}_\ell \mathbf{X}\tau)_k = (\mathbf{J}_\ell)_k (\mathbf{X}\tau)_k$, with $(\mathbf{X}\tau)_k = z^{2(k-1)} e^{\sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} e^{-2 \sum_1^\infty \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}} \tau_{k-1}$

where the vector operators⁵

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{J}_k^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \frac{1}{2}(-k)t_{-k} + \delta_{k,0} \operatorname{diag}(\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{J}_k^{(2)} &= \sum_{i+j=k} : \mathbf{J}_i^{(1)} \mathbf{J}_j^{(2)} :, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

form the generators of the Heisenberg (oscillator) and Virasoro algebras respectively.

The Hirota bilinear identities for τ -functions, already mentioned in section 1.2, also generate so-called Fay identities, familiar to algebraic geometers; they are quadratic relations between translates of τ -functions. These Fay identities enable us to express the kernel K_n , defined by (25), and the n -point correlation functions $\det(K_n(z_i, z_j))$, in terms of vertex operators acting on the τ -vector $\tau(t) := (\tau_n(F, t))_{n \geq 0}$, underlying $\Psi(F, t, z)$ as in (20). Namely,

$$K_n(z, z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_{j-1}(t, z)^2 = \tau_n^{-1}(\mathbf{X}(t, z)\tau(t))_n,\tag{32}$$

and, more generally, using higher degree Fay identities:

$$\det(K_n(z_i, z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} = \tau_n^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}(t, z_i) \tau(t) \right)_n.\tag{33}$$

Using the Neumann series in λ for $\det(I - \lambda K_n^E)$, and setting $E^c := F \setminus E$, it follows that

$$P(\text{no spectrum } M_n \in E) = \frac{\tau_n(E^c, t)}{\tau_n(t)} = \frac{(e^{-\int_E \mathbf{X}(t, z) e^{-V(z)} dz} \tau(t))_n}{\tau_n(t)}.\tag{34}$$

Given the disjoint union

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [A_{2i-1}, A_{2i}] \subset F \subset \mathbf{R},$$

the vectors $\tau(t) := \tau(F, t) := (\tau_n(F, t))_{n \geq 0}$ and $\tau(E^c, t) := (\tau_n(E^c, t))_{n \geq 0}$ obey the following Virasoro-like constraints for $m = -1, 0, 1, \dots$:

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (a_i \mathbf{J}_{i+m}^{(2)} - b_i \mathbf{J}_{i+m+1}^{(1)}) \tau(F, t) = 0.\tag{35}$$

$$\left(-\sum_1^{2r} A_i^{m+1} f(A_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} + \sum_{i \geq 0} (a_i \mathbf{J}_{i+m}^{(2)} - b_i \mathbf{J}_{i+m+1}^{(1)}) \right) \tau(E^c, t) = 0.\tag{36}$$

⁵the agreement is the following: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} = 0$ for $k \leq 0$ and $t_k = 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Normal ordering :: means: pull the differentiation to the right, regardless of commutation relations.

A sketch of the proof of equations (35) and (36) goes as follows: besides the matrix L satisfying $L\Psi = z\Psi$, we introduce a new matrix M , a matrix analogue of the Orlov-Schulman operator for KP, such that $M\Psi = (\partial/\partial z)\Psi$; of course, $[L, M] = 1$. The boundary condition (1) for the weight $\rho(z)dz$ on F implies the vanishing of the integral

$$\int_F \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(f(z)\Psi_k(z)\Psi_\ell(z)e^{-V(z)} \right) dz = 0. \quad (37)$$

Working out this integral and using the operators L and M , one proves the skew-symmetry of the matrix $Q := Mf(L) + \frac{1}{2}(f' - g)(L)$, and hence of⁶ $[Q, L^{m+1}]^\dagger$; remember f and g are the functions appearing in the weight (1); incidentally, the skew-symmetric matrix Q actually satisfies the *string equation* $[L, Q] = f(L)$. We then use the ASV-correspondence \square between symmetry vector fields on the Toda wave functions and the Virasoro symmetries on the τ -functions; this establishes equation (35). Equation (36) follows from (35), using (30) and taking into account the boundary of E^c . Observe the *decoupling* of the Virasoro constraints in (36) into a *time-part* and a *boundary-part*!

1.6 “Non-commutative” KP hierarchy for the probability distribution

Let now the rational function $V'(z)$, appearing in the weight (1), be of the following form:

$$V'(z) = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{b_0 + b_1 z}{a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2}; \quad (38)$$

then the equations (36) and their powers enable us to extract all partial derivatives $\partial^{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell} \tau(E^c, t) / \partial t_1^{k_1} \dots \partial t_\ell^{k_\ell}$, evaluated at $t = 0$ in terms of partial differential operators in the boundary points of the disjoint union E ,

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} A_i^{k-m} f(A_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (39)$$

where $m = \max(\deg f - 1, \deg g)$. Declare \mathcal{A}_k to be of homogeneous weight k .

When $a_2 = 0$ in V' , the probability $\mathcal{P}_n(A_1, \dots, A_{2r}) := P(\text{no spectrum } M_n \in E)$ satisfies the KP-hierarchy (19), but with the (*commutative*) partial derivatives in t replaced by the (*non-commutative*) partial differential operators \mathcal{A}_k defined by (39)⁷:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_n \cdot \mathcal{A}_k \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P}_n - \mathcal{A}_k \mathcal{P}_n \cdot \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P}_n - \sum_{i+j=k+1} S_i(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \mathcal{P}_n \cdot S_j(-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \mathcal{P}_n \\ & + (\text{terms of lower weight } i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k) = 0, \text{ for } k \geq 3. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

When $a_2 \neq 0$ in V' , the \mathcal{A}_k 's in (36) are replaced by more complicated expressions.

⁶ $[A, B]^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$
⁷ $S_i(\pm \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) := S_i(\pm A_1, \pm \frac{1}{2}A_2, \pm \frac{1}{3}A_3, \dots)$ are the elementary Schur polynomials with t_k replaced by $\frac{1}{k}A_k$.

Examples: When $V(z) = z^2$, the $p_k(\mathbf{R}, 0, z)$'s are Hermite polynomials and, for a semi-infinite interval $E = (A, \infty)$, the first equation of the system (40) reduces to the Painlevé IV equation for $\partial/\partial A \log \det(I - K_n^E)$. The case $V = z - \alpha \log z$ leads to Laguerre polynomials at $t = 0$ and to Painlevé V for $(A \partial/\partial A) \log \det(I - K_n^E)$. The Jacobi polynomials are also a special case of (38) and the first equation of the hierarchy (40) reduces to an unknown equation. These Painlevé equations were first obtained by Tracy and Widom [] and then by us [], as a special instance of the non-commutative KP.

2 Continuous kernels, KP and Virasoro

Here, we shall be dealing with continuous kernels, like the Sine, Airy or Bessel kernels listed in (7). How do we obtain PDE's for the spectral distribution, using the theory of integrable systems ? The question is how to introduce time ? This question was resolved by Adler, Shiota and the author in []. In the finite situation, the kernel K_N in (25) was given by a Christoffel-Darboux sum, based on eigenvectors of L :

$$K_n(y, z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_{j-1}(t, y) \Psi_{j-1}(t, z), \quad (41)$$

with $\Psi := (\Psi_j)_{j \geq 0}$ an eigenvector of a second order problem and evolving in time according to the Toda lattice (see (21) and (22)):

$$z\Psi = L\Psi, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_n} = \frac{1}{2}(L^n)_s \Psi, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_n} = \frac{1}{2}[(L^n)_s, L]. \quad (42)$$

The *continuous analogue* goes as follows: consider now wave and adjoint wave functions $\Psi(x, t, z)$ and $\Psi^*(x, t, z)$, with $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \in \mathbf{C}^\infty$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfying the KP-hierarchy,

$$\begin{aligned} z\Psi &= L\Psi, & \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_n} &= (L^n)_+ \Psi, \\ z\Psi^* &= L^\top \Psi^*, & \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t_n} &= -(L^\top)_+ \Psi^*, \end{aligned} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_n} = [(L^n)_+, L], \quad (43)$$

where L is a pseudo-differential operator

$$L = D + a_{-1}D^{-1} + \dots, \quad \text{with } D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

We consider the p -reduced KP hierarchy, i.e., the reduction to L 's such that $L^p = D^p + \dots$ is a differential operator for some $p \geq 2$. The precise continuous analogue of (41), which relates to a second order problem, would be to choose $p = 2$.

For the time being, we take $p \geq 2$ arbitrary. According to Sato's theory, we have the following representation of Ψ and Ψ^* in terms of a τ -function (see [])

$$\Psi(x, t, z) = e^{xz + \sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} \frac{\tau(t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^*(x, t, z) = e^{-xz - \sum_1^\infty t_i z^i} \frac{\tau(t + [z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}; \quad (44)$$

for notation $[z^{-1}]$, see (16). Observe⁸

$$\Phi(x, t, z) := \sum_{\omega \in \zeta_p} b_\omega \Psi(x, t, \omega z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*(x, t, z) = \sum_{\omega \in \zeta_p} a_\omega \Psi^*(x, t, \omega z), \quad (45)$$

are the most general solution of the spectral problems $L^p \Phi = z^p \Phi$ and $L^{\top p} \Phi^* = z^p \Phi^*$ respectively.

The continuous analogue of (41) is

$$K_x(y, z) := \int^x dx \Phi^*(x, t, y) \Phi(x, t, z), \quad \text{also} \quad K_x^E(y, z) := K_x(y, z) I_E(z),$$

where Φ and Φ^* are given by (45), subjected to the condition $\sum_{\omega \in \zeta_p} \frac{a_\omega b_\omega}{\omega} = 0$. Introduce the p -reduced vertex operator

$$Y(t, y, z) := \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \zeta_p} c_{\omega \omega'} X(t, \omega y, \omega' z),$$

which maps the space of p -reduced KP τ -functions into itself, with

$$X(t, y, z) := \frac{1}{z - y} e^{\sum_1^\infty (z^i - y^i) t_i} e^{\sum_1^\infty (y^{-i} - z^{-i}) \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}};$$

see []. We now set $c_{\omega \omega'} = a_\omega b_{\omega'}$ in the operator Y above; again using Fay identities, we obtain continuous analogues of (32), (33) and (34),

$$K_x(y, z) := \frac{1}{\tau(t)} Y(t, y, z) \tau(t)$$

$$\det(K_x(y_i, z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} = \frac{1}{\tau} \prod_{i=1}^k Y(t, y_i, z_i) \tau$$

$$\det(I - \lambda K_x^E) = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\lambda \int_E dz Y(t, z, z)} \tau.$$

If a τ -function $\tau(t)$ satisfies a Virasoro constraint⁹ (analogue of (35)):

$$J_{kp}^{(2)} \tau = c_{kp} \tau \quad \text{for a fixed } k \geq -1$$

⁸ $\zeta_p := \{\omega \text{ such that } \omega^p = 1\}$

⁹ $J_\ell^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell} + (-\ell) t_{-\ell}$, $J_\ell^{(2)} = \sum_{i+j=\ell} J_i^{(1)} J_j^{(1)}$;; see footnote 4.

then, given a subset $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [A_{2i-1}, A_{2i}] \subset \mathbf{R}_+$, the Fredholm determinant of

$$\tilde{K}^E(\lambda, \lambda') := \frac{1}{p} \frac{k_{x,t}(z, z')}{z^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z'^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} I_E(\lambda'), \quad \lambda = z^p, \lambda' = z'^p$$

satisfies the following constraint

$$\left(-p \sum_{i=1}^{2r} A_i^{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} + \frac{1}{2} (J_{kp}^{(2)} - c_{kp}) \right) \tau \det(I - \mu \tilde{K}_x^E) = 0.$$

Notice again the *decoupling of the boundary- and the time-parts*, as in (36). We further proceed in the same way as in the discrete case: Under suitable assumptions on the initial conditions, analogous to (38), we can express all t -partials at $t = 0$ in terms of partial differential operators in the endpoints A_i of E , provided $p = 2$; we then substitute those expressions in the KP hierarchy, thus leading to a hierarchy of PDE's in terms of non-commutative operators in the A_i . A precise statement due to Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke [] proceeds as follows:

Theorem. Consider a first-order differential operator A in z of the form

$$A = A_z = \frac{1}{2} z^{-m+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + V'(z) \right) + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i z^{-2i}, \quad (46)$$

with

$$V(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} z + \frac{\beta}{6} z^3 \not\equiv 0, \quad m = \deg V' = 0 \text{ or } 2, \quad (47)$$

and its “Fourier” transform

$$\hat{A} = \hat{A}_x = \left(\frac{1}{2} (x + V'(D)) D^{-m+1} + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i D^{-2i} \right)_+ \quad \text{with} \quad D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \quad (48)$$

Let $\Psi(x, z)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$ be a solution of the linear partial differential equation

$$A_z \Psi(x, z) = \hat{A}_x \Psi(x, z), \quad (49)$$

with holomorphic (in z^{-1}) initial condition at $x = 0$, subjected to the following differential equation for some $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$(aA^2 + bA + c)\Psi(0, z) = z^2 \Psi(0, z), \quad \text{with} \quad \Psi(0, z) = 1 + O(z^{-1}). \quad (50)$$

Then

- $\Psi(x, z)$ is a solution of a second order problem for some potential $q(x)$

$$(D^2 + q(x))\Psi(x, z) = z^2 \Psi(x, z). \quad (51)$$

- Given a subset $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [A_{2i-1}, A_{2i}]$ and the kernel

$$K_x^E(y, z) := I_E(z) \int^x \frac{\Phi(x, \sqrt{y})\Phi(x, \sqrt{z})}{2y^{1/4}z^{1/4}} dx, \quad (52)$$

with

$$\Phi(x, u) := \sum_{\omega=\pm 1} b_\omega e^{\omega V(u)} \Psi(x, \omega u),$$

the Fredholm determinant $\mathcal{P}(A_1, \dots, A_{2r}) := \det(I - \lambda K_x^E)$ satisfies a hierarchy of bilinear partial differential equations¹⁰ in the A_i for odd $n \geq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{A}_n \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{A}_n \mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P} - \sum_{i+j=n+1} S_i(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \mathcal{P} \cdot S_j(-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \mathcal{P} \\ & + (\text{terms of lower weight } i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where the \mathcal{A}_n are differential operators of homogeneous “weight” n , defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} A_i^{\frac{n+1-m}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i}, \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

examples. **Airy kernel:** Given an entire function $U(u)$ growing sufficiently fast at ∞ , consider its “Fourier” transform,

$$F(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-U(u)+uy} du; \quad (54)$$

define the associated function $\rho(z)$ and the differential operator A :

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{U(z)-zU'(z)} \sqrt{U''(z)} \text{ and } A := \rho(z) \frac{1}{U''(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho(z)^{-1}. \quad (55)$$

Then the function

$$\Psi(x, z) := \rho(z) F(x + U'(z)) \quad (56)$$

obeys, for a trivial reason,

$$A\Psi(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(x, z), \quad (57)$$

and, upon integration of $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} e^{-U(u)+uy}$, one shows $\Psi(x, z)$ also satisfies:

$$\left(U' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - x \right) \Psi(x, z) = U'(z) \Psi(x, z). \quad (58)$$

¹⁰ $S_i(\pm\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) := S_i(\pm\mathcal{A}_1, 0, \pm\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{A}_3, 0, \dots)$

Upon letting $x \rightarrow 0$ in (58) and using (57), we find

$$U'(A)\Psi(0, z) = U'(z)\Psi(0, z). \quad (59)$$

Choosing $U(z) = z^3/3$, the integral (54) becomes the Airy function; the operator A in (55) coincides with (46), for $V(z) = \frac{2}{3}z^3$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 4$, $m = 2$:

$$A := \frac{1}{2z} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2z^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4}z^{-2} \text{ and } \hat{A} = D.$$

Moreover (59) becomes equation (50) and, by stationary phase, satisfies the asymptotics requested in (50):

$$A^2\Psi(0, z) = z^2\Psi(0, z), \text{ with } \Psi(0, z) = 1 + O(z^{-1}) \text{ for } z \nearrow \infty.$$

From (58), it follows that $q(x) = -x$ in (51); the kernel (52) reduces to the Airy kernel mentioned in (7) and therefore its Fredholm determinant satisfies hierarchy (53) with $\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} A_i^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \partial/\partial A_i$. When E is a semi-infinite interval, the first PDE in the hierarchy (53) reduces to Painlevé II for $(\partial/\partial A) \log \det(I - \tilde{K}^E)$, as originally discovered in [1]. Note that in this example, the τ -function is the Kontsevich integral. For details on our methods, see [1].

Bessel kernel: Pick $m, V(z), A_z$ and \hat{A}_x in (46), (47) and (48) as follows,

$$V(z) = -z, \text{ with } m = 0, A := A_z := \frac{1}{2}z\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 1\right), \hat{A} := \hat{A}_x := \frac{1}{2}(x-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

and consider the partial differential equation (49) with initial condition (50):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}z\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 1\right)\Psi(x, z) &= \frac{1}{2}(x-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Psi(x, z) \\ \left(4A^2 - 2A - \nu^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\Psi(0, z) &= z^2\Psi(0, z), \text{ with } \Psi(0, z) = 1 + O(z^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Bessel equation})$$

The solution to this problem is given by¹¹

$$\Psi(0, z) = B(z) = \varepsilon\sqrt{z}H_\nu(iz) = \frac{e^{z2^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}}}{\Gamma(-\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \int_1^\infty \frac{z^{-\nu+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-uz}}{(u^2-1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} du = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$$

and

$$\Psi(x, z) = e^{xz}B((1-x)z). \quad (60)$$

The latter is an eigenfunction for the second order problem

$$\left(D^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x-1)^2}\right)\Psi(x, z) = z^2\Psi(x, z).$$

¹¹ $\varepsilon = i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}e^{i\pi\nu/2}, -\frac{1}{2} < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$.

Choosing in (52), $b_+ = e^{-\frac{i\nu}{2}}/2\sqrt{\pi}$, $b_- = i\bar{b}_+$, and integrating from 1 to $x = i + 1$ leads to the Bessel kernel mentioned in (7). If E is a semi-infinite interval, the first equation of (53) reduces to the Painlevé V equation for $(-A\partial/\partial A) \log \det(I - K^E)$, which was first done in [1]. Specializing to $\nu = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ leads to the sine kernels, mentioned in (7). Finally, the τ -function associated with the wave function is a double Laplace-like transform; see [1]. The details for the Bessel kernel can be found in [1].

Acknowledgments

The support of National Science Foundation # DMS 95-4-51179, Nato, FNRS and Francqui Foundation grants is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke: *A matrix integral solution to two-dimensional W_p -Gravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. 147, 25–56 (1992).
- [2] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke: *Matrix integrals, Toda symmetries, Virasoro constraints and orthogonal polynomials* Duke Math.J., **80** (3), 863–911 (1995) and *String orthogonal Polynomials, String Equations and two-Toda Symmetries*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **50** 241–290 (1997).
- [3] M. Adler, T. Shiota, A. Morozov and P. van Moerbeke: *A matrix integral solution to $[P, Q] = P$ and matrix Laplace transforms*, Comm. Math. Phys., **180**, 233–263 (1996).
- [4] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke : *From the w_∞ -algebra to its central extension: a τ -function approach*, Physics Letters **A 194**, 33–43 (1994) and *A Lax pair representation for the Vertex operator and the central extension*, Commun. Math. Phys. 171, 547–588 (1995).
- [5] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke : *Random matrices, vertex operators and the Virasoro algebra*, Phys. Lett. A208, 67–78 (1995) and *Random matrices, Virasoro algebras and non-commutative KP*, Duke math. J. (1997)
- [6] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke: *Finite random Hermitean ensembles and The spectrum of coupled random matrices*, to appear (1997).
- [7] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez, J. Lacki: *Integrability in random matrix models*, Phys. Lett. **B 253**, 56–62 (1991).
- [8] D. Bessis, Cl. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber: *Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration*, Adv. Appl. Math. **1**, 109–157 (1980).

- [9] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa: *Transformation groups for soliton equations*, In: Proc. RIMS Symp. Nonlinear integrable systems — Classical and quantum theory (Kyoto 1981), pp. 39–119. Singapore : World Scientific 1983.
- [10] P. Deift, A. Its, X. Zhou: *A Riemann–Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in the theory of random matrix models, and also in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics*, preprint (1995).
- [11] L. Dickey: *Soliton equations and integrable systems*, World Scientific (1991).
- [12] F. Dyson: *Fredholm determinants and inverse scattering problems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **47**, 171–183 (1976).
- [13] F. Dyson: *Statistical theory of energy levels of complex systems*, I, II and III, J. Math Phys **3** 140–156, 157–165, 166–175 (1962).
- [14] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori and M. Sato: *Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, Physica 1D, 80–158 (1980).
- [15] A. Its, A.G. Izergin, V.E. Korepin, N.A. Slavnov: *Differential equations for quantum correlation functions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 1003-1037 (1990)
- [16] V.G. Kac: *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University press (1990).
- [17] B.M. McCoy: *Spin systems, statistical mechanics and Painlevé functions*, in: Levi, Winternitz (eds.) *Painlevé transcendents: their asymptotics and physical applications*, NY, Plenum press 1992, 377–391 (1992)
- [18] M.L. Mehta: *Random matrices*, 2nd ed. Boston: Acad. Press, 1991
- [19] M.L. Mehta: *A non-linear differential equation and a Fredholm determinant*, J. de Phys I, **2**, 1721–1729 (1992)
- [20] H. Peng: *The spectrum of random matrices for symmetric ensembles*, Brandeis dissertation (1997).
- [21] C.E. Porter and N. Rosenzweig, *Statistical properties of atomic and nuclear spectra*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, Serie A, VI Physica **44**, 1-66 (1960) *Repulsion of energy levels in complex atomic spectra*, Phys. Rev **120**, 1698–1714 (1960).
- [22] P. Sarnak: *Arithmetic quantum chaos*, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, **8**, 183–236 (1995).
- [23] G. Szegő: *Orthogonal polynomials*, AMS colloquium publ, Providence RI**23**, (1939).

- [24] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom: *Level-Spacings distribution and the Airy kernel*, Commun. Math. Phys., **159**, 151–174 (1994) and *Level spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel*, Commun. Math. Phys., **161**, 289–309 (1994). and *Fredholm determinants, Differential equations and matrix models*, Commun. Math. Phys., **161**, 289–309 (1994).
- [25] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom: *Introduction to Random matrices*, “Geometric and Quntum aspects of Integrable systems”, ed. G.F. Helminck, Springer Lecture notes in Physics, **424**, 103–130 (1993).
- [26] P. van Moerbeke : *Integrable foundations of string theory*, in Lectures on Integrable systems, Proceedings of the CIMPA-school, 1991, Ed.: O. Babelon, P. Cartier, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, World scientific, 163–267 (1994).
- [27] E.P. Wigner: *On the statistical distribution of the widths and spacings of nuclear resonance levels*, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. **47**, 790–798 (1951).
- [28] Ed. Witten : *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Harvard University lecture, May 1990, Supplement to the Journal of diff. geom., **1**, 243–310 (1991).