

## Dynamical $r$ -matrix for the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system

F.W. Nijhoff and V.B. Kuznetsov <sup>1</sup>

*Department of Applied Mathematical Studies*

*University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.*

E.K. Sklyanin <sup>2</sup>

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*

*Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan*

O. Ragnisco

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Terza Università di Roma*

*Via Vasca Navale 84, Roma, Italy*

### Abstract

The classical  $r$ -matrix structure for the generic elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model is presented. It makes the integrability of this model as well as of its discrete-time version that was constructed in a recent paper manifest.

Submitted to J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.

---

<sup>1</sup> On leave from Department of Mathematical and Computational Physics, Institute of Physics, St.Petersburg University, St.Petersburg 198904, Russia

<sup>2</sup>On leave from Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St.Petersburg 191011, Russia

# 1 Introduction

The problem of finding a classical  $r$ -matrix structure for the Calogero-Moser (CM) type of models aroused some attention a few years ago, cf. [1, 2, 3]. The fact that this had remained an open problem until relatively recently lies probably in the specific feature that for these models the  $r$ -matrix turns out to be of dynamical type, i.e. it depends on the dynamical variables. Similar features have been found in other integrable many-body problems as well, e.g. systems separable in the generalized ellipsoidal coordinates [4]. The difficulty presented by the dynamical aspect of the  $r$ -matrix is that the Poisson algebra of a model, whose structural constants are given by a dynamical  $r$ -matrix is, generally speaking, no longer closed, and that there is no closed-form Yang-Baxter equation defining the  $r$ -matrix. So far, only for one particular example — the spin generalisation of the Calogero-Moser model — a proper algebraic setting (Gervais-Neveu-Felder equation) is found [5] which also allows to quantize the model. For other models finding the algebraic interpretation of the dynamical  $r$ -matrix and, respectively, solving the quantization problem are still open questions. Thus, the use of such dynamical  $r$ -matrices so far is very restricted. Nonetheless, the existence of such structures is probably significant for the integrability of the model — even though one has not been able thus far to deal very effectively with these structures — and it is foreseeable that they will play a role in the further understanding of the models, maybe even on the quantum level.

One of the most important integrable many-body systems is the relativistic variant of the Calogero-Moser model, the so-called Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) model introduced in [6, 7]. Its importance lies in the fact that it can be considered as a  $q$ -deformation of the CM model and as such the corresponding quantum model is realised in terms of commuting difference operators whose eigenfunctions are given in terms of Macdonald polynomials, cf. e.g. [8, 9]. On the classical level a dynamical  $r$ -matrix was found only very recently in [10] for the rational and trigonometric (hyperbolic) cases, although a special parameter-case was already treated in an earlier paper, [11]. A geometric interpretation was given in a recent preprint, cf. [12]. So far, no results have been found for the full elliptic case. That, in fact, is the subject of the present paper where we will present the dynamical  $r$ -matrix structure for the RS model in the generic elliptic case, thus generalising the previous

results of [10]–[12].

## 2 Ruijsenaars-Schneider model and its discrete-time version

The equations of motion of the RS model in its generic (elliptic) form read

$$\ddot{q}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j v(q_i - q_j) \quad , \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad , \quad (2.1a)$$

where the potential  $v(x)$  is given by

$$v(x) = \frac{\wp'(x)}{\wp(\lambda) - \wp(x)} \quad , \quad (2.1b)$$

in which  $\wp(x) = \wp(x|\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is the Weierstrass P-function,  $2\omega_{1,2}$  being a pair of periods, and  $\lambda$  is the (relativistic) deformation parameter. As shown by Ruijsenaars and Schneider in [6, 7], this multi-particle model is integrable, and carries a representation of the Poincaré algebra in two dimensions. Moreover, a large number of the characteristics of the CM model are generalized in a natural way to the relativistic case, such as the existence of a Lax pair, a sufficient number of integrals of the motion in involution, and exact solution schemes in the special cases of rational and trigonometric/hyperbolic limits. The elliptic case has recently been investigated by Krichever and Zabrodin in [13] in connection with the non-abelian Toda chain.

In [14] there was constructed an exact time-discretization of the equations (2.1a) given by an integrable correspondence of the form

$$\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq \ell}}^N \frac{\sigma(q_\ell - q_k + \lambda)}{\sigma(q_\ell - q_k - \lambda)} = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\sigma(q_\ell - \tilde{q}_k) \sigma(q_\ell - \underline{q}_k + \lambda)}{\sigma(q_\ell - \underline{q}_k) \sigma(q_\ell - \tilde{q}_k - \lambda)} \quad , \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

In (2.2) the  $q_k$  denote the particle positions for the time variable equal to  $n$ , the tilde being a shorthand notation for the discrete-time shift, i.e. for  $q_k(n) = q_k$  we write  $q_k(n+1) = \tilde{q}_k$ , and  $q_k(n-1) = \underline{q}_k$ . The function  $\sigma(x)$  is the Weierstrass sigma-function, (see Appendix for the definition), and  $\lambda$  is the parameter of the system as in the continuous case (2.1).

The initial value problem for eqs. (2.2), given initial particle positions  $\{q_i(0)\}$  and  $\{q_i(1)\}$ , leads to the problem of solving at each iteration step a coupled system of  $N$

algebraic equations for  $N$  unknowns, and it was shown in [14] that in fact it is an integrable symplectic correspondence (for a definition, see e.g. [15]) with respect to the standard symplectic form  $\Omega = \sum_k dp_k \wedge dq_k$ . This implies that any branch of the correspondence given by eqs. (2.2) defines a canonical transformation with respect to the standard Poisson brackets given by

$$\{p_k, q_\ell\} = \delta_{k\ell} \quad , \quad \{p_k, p_\ell\} = \{q_k, q_\ell\} = 0 \quad . \quad (2.3)$$

Here

$$p_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( -\log |\sigma(q_\ell - q_k)| + \log |\sigma(q_\ell - q_k + \lambda)| \right) \quad . \quad (2.4)$$

The discrete equations of motion (2.2) arise from a discrete Lax pair of the form

$$L_\kappa = \sum_{i,j=1}^N h_i^2 \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j + \lambda) e_{ij} \quad , \quad (2.5a)$$

$$M_\kappa = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{h}_i^2 \Phi_\kappa(\tilde{q}_i - q_j + \lambda) e_{ij} \quad , \quad (2.5b)$$

using the discrete Lax equation

$$\tilde{L}_\kappa M_\kappa = M_\kappa L_\kappa \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

Notice here that in (2.5) we use a different gauge from the symmetric one used in [14]. In eqs. (2.5) the variable  $\kappa$  is an additional spectral parameter, and the matrices  $e_{ij}$  are the standard elementary matrices whose entries are given by  $(e_{ij})_{k\ell} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{j\ell}$ . The function  $\Phi_\kappa$  is called the Baker function and is defined as

$$\Phi_\kappa(x) \equiv \frac{\sigma(x + \kappa)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(\kappa)} \quad , \quad (2.7)$$

which obeys a number of functional relations listed in the Appendix. The auxiliary variables  $h_\ell^2$  can be expressed in terms of the canonical variables, we obtain

$$h_\ell^2 = e^{p_\ell} \prod_{k \neq \ell} \frac{\sigma(q_\ell - q_k - \lambda)}{\sigma(q_\ell - q_k)} \quad . \quad (2.8)$$

In terms of these variables we have the following Poisson brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{q_k, q_\ell\} &= 0 \quad , \quad \{\log h_k^2, q_\ell\} = \delta_{k\ell} \quad , \\ \{\log h_k^2, \log h_\ell^2\} &= \zeta(q_k - q_\ell + \lambda) + \zeta(q_k - q_\ell - \lambda) - 2\zeta(q_k - q_\ell) \quad , \quad k \neq \ell \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

It is easy to see that in terms of the canonical variables  $p_\ell$  and  $q_\ell$ , the Lax matrix  $L_\kappa$  in (2.5a) is exactly the same as the one of the continuous RS model, cf. [16]. In fact, taking

the continuum limit on the discrete-time part of the Lax pair (2.5), namely the matrix  $M_\kappa$  (2.5b), we obtain a Lax pair for the continuous RS model given by equations (2.1a). Since the  $L_\kappa$ -matrix for the discrete and continuous models is the same, the proof of involutivity of the invariants (integrals)  $I_\ell = \text{tr} L_\kappa^\ell$  is the same in both cases, and sufficient to assess the Liouville integrability both discrete as well as continuous. The proof can be found in the original paper of Ruijsenaars, [7], but is rather involved. Having at one's disposal an  $r$ -matrix structure would make the involutivity manifest. So far such an  $r$ -matrix has not been found in the full elliptic case. We will proceed now to establish this  $r$ -matrix structure.

### 3 Classical $r$ -matrix structure

As was noted recently by Suris, cf. [12], the main difference between the  $r$ -matrix structures of the relativistic and non-relativistic CM models resides in the fact that the latter is given in terms of a linear Lie-Poisson structure/bracket, whereas the former is given in terms of a quadratic bracket, cf. also [11]. The Poisson structure for the RS model will thus be given in the following quadratic  $r$ -matrix form (cf. [17, 18])

$$\begin{aligned} \{ L_\kappa \otimes L_{\kappa'} \} &= L_\kappa \otimes L_{\kappa'} r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^- - r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ L_\kappa \otimes L_{\kappa'} \\ &+ (L_\kappa \otimes \mathbf{1}) s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ (\mathbf{1} \otimes L_{\kappa'}) - (\mathbf{1} \otimes L_{\kappa'}) s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^- (L_\kappa \otimes \mathbf{1}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The following symmetry conditions must hold for the  $r$ -matrices:  $r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^\pm$  and  $s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^\pm$

$$P r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^\pm P = -r_{\kappa', \kappa}^\pm, \quad P s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ P = s_{\kappa', \kappa}^- , \quad (3.2a)$$

where  $P$  is the permutation matrix in the tensor product of two matrices, i.e.  $P(A \otimes B)P = B \otimes A$ , as well as the condition

$$r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ - s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ = r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^- - s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^- , \quad (3.2b)$$

in order that the quadratic Poisson algebra generates Hamiltonian flows for the invariants of the model, cf. [12, 19]. The condition (3.2b) was also formulated in [19] in order to allow for a quadratic algebra on the lattice in terms of a local Lax representation to be integrated to a quadratic algebra in terms of the monodromy matrix.

The choice of a gauge for the Lax matrices seems to be quite important in that it influences to a great extent the complexity of the associated  $r$ -matrix. The Lax matrix (2.5a) has the nice property that it yields a remarkably simple  $r$ -matrix structure even in the elliptic case. In fact, the  $r$ -matrices we found are of the form

$$r_{\kappa,\kappa'}^- = r_{\kappa,\kappa'} - s_{\kappa} + P s_{\kappa'} P, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$r_{\kappa,\kappa'}^+ = r_{\kappa,\kappa'} + u^+ + u^-, \quad (3.3b)$$

$$s_{\kappa,\kappa'}^+ = s_{\kappa} + u^+, \quad (3.3c)$$

$$s_{\kappa,\kappa'}^- = P s_{\kappa'} P - u^-, \quad (3.3d)$$

where <sup>1</sup>

$$r_{\kappa,\kappa'} = r_{\kappa,\kappa'}^0 + \sum_i \zeta(\kappa - \kappa') e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \zeta(q_i - q_j) e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$r_{\kappa,\kappa'}^0 = \sum_{i \neq j} \Phi_{\kappa - \kappa'}(q_i - q_j) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$s_{\kappa} = \sum_{i,j} \left( L_{\kappa}^{-1} \partial_{\lambda} L_{\kappa} \right)_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{jj}, \quad (3.4c)$$

$$u^{\pm} = \sum_{i,j} \zeta(q_j - q_i \pm \lambda) e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj}. \quad (3.4d)$$

The matrix elements in (3.4c) can be calculated explicitly using the formula (A.13) for the inverse of the elliptic Cauchy matrix as well as making diligent use of the elliptic Lagrange interpolation formulae (A.10) and (A.11), and this yields the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \left( L_{\kappa}^{-1} \partial_{\lambda} L_{\kappa} \right)_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} \left[ \zeta(\kappa + N\lambda) - \zeta(\lambda) + \sum_{k \neq i} (\zeta(q_i - q_k - \lambda) - \zeta(q_i - q_k)) \right] \\ &+ (1 - \delta_{ij}) \left[ \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^N \frac{\sigma(q_i - q_k - \lambda)}{\sigma(q_i - q_k)} \right] \left[ \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \frac{\sigma(q_j - q_k)}{\sigma(q_j - q_k - \lambda)} \right] \Phi_{\kappa + N\lambda}(q_i - q_j). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The proof of the  $r$ -matrix structure (3.1) together with (3.3) and (3.4) is by direct computation starting from the explicit form of the  $L$ -matrix (2.5a) and the Poisson brackets (2.9)

<sup>1</sup> In (3.4c) we mean by the matrix  $\partial_{\lambda} L_{\kappa}$  the following

$$\partial_{\lambda} L_{\kappa} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N h_i^2 \Phi_{\kappa}(q_i - q_j + \lambda) [\zeta(\kappa + q_i - q_j + \lambda) - \zeta(q_i - q_j + \lambda)] e_{ij},$$

i.e. we differentiate only with respect to the explicit dependence on the parameter  $\lambda$ .

and making use of a number of elliptic relations which are listed in the Appendix. We will not give any details, but just restrict ourselves to giving a few intermediate relations, which can be established using the formulas from the Appendix, namely:

$$(L_\kappa \otimes L_{\kappa'}) s_\kappa = \sum_{ij} \sum_{i'j'} h_i^2 h_{i'}^2 \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j + \lambda) \Phi_{\kappa'}(q_{i'} - q_{j'} + \lambda) e_{ij} \otimes e_{i'j'} \\ \times \delta_{jj'} [\zeta(\kappa + q_i - q_j + \lambda) - \zeta(q_i - q_j + \lambda)] , \quad (3.6a)$$

$$(L_\kappa \otimes \mathbf{1}) s_\kappa (\mathbf{1} \otimes L_{\kappa'}) = \sum_{ij} \sum_{i'j'} h_i^2 h_{i'}^2 \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j + \lambda) \Phi_{\kappa'}(q_{i'} - q_{j'} + \lambda) e_{ij} \otimes e_{i'j'} \\ \times \delta_{j'i'} [\zeta(\kappa + q_i - q_j + \lambda) - \zeta(q_i - q_j + \lambda)] , \quad (3.6b)$$

as well as

$$\left[ r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^0, L_\kappa \otimes L_{\kappa'} \right] = \sum_{ij} \sum_{i'j'} h_i^2 h_{i'}^2 \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j + \lambda) \Phi_{\kappa'}(q_{i'} - q_{j'} + \lambda) e_{ij} \otimes e_{i'j'} \\ \times \{ (1 - \delta_{ii'}) (1 - \delta_{jj'}) [\zeta(q_i - q_{i'}) + \zeta(q_{i'} - q_j + \lambda) + \zeta(q_j - q_{j'}) - \zeta(q_i - q_{j'} + \lambda)] \\ + \delta_{jj'} (1 - \delta_{ii'}) [\zeta(q_i - q_{i'}) - \zeta(\kappa + q_i - q_j + \lambda) + \zeta(\kappa' + q_{i'} - q_{j'} + \lambda) + \zeta(\kappa - \kappa')] \\ + \delta_{ii'} (1 - \delta_{jj'}) [\zeta(q_j - q_{j'}) + \zeta(\kappa + q_i - q_j + \lambda) - \zeta(\kappa' + q_{i'} - q_{j'} + \lambda) - \zeta(\kappa - \kappa')] \} . \quad (3.6c)$$

Remark here that our  $r$ -matrices do not depend on momenta, like in the non-relativistic case [2], which was the motivation for the choice of the gauge of  $L_\kappa$ .

As a direct application of the  $r$ -matrix structure let us calculate the (continuous) time part of the Lax representation. It is obtained from the following formula:

$$(\text{tr} \otimes id)(L_\kappa \otimes \mathbf{1})(r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ - s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+) = \Phi_{\kappa - \kappa'}(\lambda) L_{\kappa'} - \Phi_\kappa(\lambda) N_{\kappa'} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$N_\kappa = \sum_i \left[ \zeta(\kappa) h_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} h_j^2 \zeta(q_i - q_j) - \sum_j h_j^2 \zeta(q_i - q_j - \lambda) \right] e_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} h_i^2 \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j) e_{ij} , \quad (3.8)$$

which, together with (2.5a), leads to the Lax representation found in [16] for the continuous RS model (up to a gauge transformation!). Thus, the continuous equations of motion (2.1a) corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $\text{tr} L_\kappa$  follow from the Lax equation

$$\dot{L}_\kappa = [N_\kappa, L_\kappa] . \quad (3.9)$$

**Remarks:**

- The non-relativistic limit is obtained by letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  while scaling the momenta  $p_i := \lambda p_i$  and making the canonical transformation  $p_i := p_i + \sum_{k \neq i} \zeta(q_i - q_k)$  such that  $h_i^2 \rightarrow 1 + \lambda p_i + O(\lambda^2)$  in (2.8). The  $r$ -matrix structure is linear in that limit since the  $L$ -matrix behaves as

$$L_\kappa \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} + \zeta(\kappa) + \sum_i p_i e_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \Phi_\kappa(q_i - q_j) e_{ij} + O(\lambda) ,$$

whereas the matrices  $r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^\pm, s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^\pm$  enter in the following combination

$$r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^+ + s_{\kappa, \kappa'}^- \rightarrow r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^{(\text{nr})} + O(\lambda) , \quad (3.10)$$

in which the non-relativistic  $r$ -matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\kappa, \kappa'}^{(\text{nr})} &= \sum_i (\zeta(\kappa - \kappa') + \zeta(\kappa')) e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \Phi_{\kappa - \kappa'}(q_i - q_j) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \Phi_{\kappa'}(q_i - q_j) e_{jj} \otimes e_{ij} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

thus recovering the result of [2] in the leading terms.

- It does not seem easy to compare our result with that of Avan and Rollet in [10] because of the not so transparent nature of that result (they wrote their  $r$ -matrix structure in a linear form hiding the quadratic nature of the RS model). In the trigonometric limit taking the special parameter value  $e^{2\lambda} = -1$  the structure we found should reduce to the one given earlier by Babelon and Bernard in [11].
- In [12] the author seems to suggest that the  $r$ -matrix for the relativistic model and the non-relativistic model is the same in the rational and trigonometric/hyperbolic limits, which is demonstrated by an independence of the  $r$ -matrix objects on the relativistic parameter  $\lambda$ . This, however, seems to be no longer true in the case of the elliptic model, and there is no apparent way in which one can get rid of the  $\lambda$ -dependence in the explicit formulas (3.3) and (3.4).
- We do not write down any Yang-Baxter type relations between the  $r$ -matrices given in (3.3), because as a consequence of the dynamical nature the Yang-Baxter algebra



does not seem to be closed, i.e. the Yang-Baxter 2-cocycle consists of terms which contain Poisson brackets with the  $L$ -matrix itself. It is an interesting open problem to see whether one can close the algebra on any level, leading to a possible truncation of some higher-order Yang-Baxter cocycle. So far, no results along this direction exist.

## 4 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we have presented the classical  $r$ -matrix structure of the full elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. Since the model is the most general among the Calogero-Moser type models for the  $sl_n$  Lie algebra, our result is in a sense conclusive. Still, a number of questions wait to be answered. Since the dynamical nature of the  $r$ -matrices implies that the corresponding Yang-Baxter algebra is not closed, it is not yet clear how to use it for quantization.

Concerning the quantization problem, the recent result of Hasegawa [20] should be mentioned, who has found an interesting connection between the quantum  $L$ -operator associated with Belavin's  $R$ -matrix and the quantum integrals of the Ruijsenaars' model. This somehow implies that on the classical level there should exist a gauge transformation involving the dynamical variables between an elliptic  $r$ -matrix of Belavin type and the one we have constructed in the present paper.

Another result concerns the separation of variables approach leading to the explicit integral representations for the Macdonald polynomials associated with the trigonometric RS model, cf. [21]. So far the only result for the elliptic case is the separation of variables for the 3-particle nonrelativistic Calogero-Moser model [22]. The elliptic  $r$ -matrix could presumably help in constructing a separation of variables in the general case.

One more possible application of the results of this paper could lie in the time discretization of the RS model constructed in [14]. One feature of the proposed time discretization is that these discrete models share the time-independent part of the Lax pair with the corresponding continuous models and, consequently, the invariants take the same form in both cases. Thus, the proof of the Liouville integrability (or the involutivity of

the invariants) is exactly the same as for the continuous model. For the discrete models it seems that the most prominent role is played by the  $M$ -matrix. In fact, in similar systems related to integrable lattices it was found that there exists an extended Yang-Baxter structure which incorporates the  $M$ -matrix as well as the  $L$ -matrix in the Yang-Baxter algebra. Now that a classical  $r$ -matrix structure is available for the generic RS system, it would be interesting to search for similar extended YB structures for these many-body systems as well. This would possibly yield some new insights into the problem of performing the  $R$ -matrix quantisation for dynamical  $r$ -matrices as the one we have obtained in the present paper.

## Acknowledgements

VBK is supported by EPSRC under Grant No. GR/K63887. OR is grateful to the Department of Applied Mathematical Studies of the University of Leeds for its hospitality during his visit.

## Appendix: Formulas for elliptic functions

Here, we collect some useful formulas for elliptic functions, see also the standard textbooks e.g. [23]. The Weierstrass sigma-function is defined by

$$\sigma(x) = x \prod_{(k,\ell) \neq (0,0)} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}}\right) \exp \left[ \frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}}\right)^2 \right], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with  $\omega_{kl} = 2k\omega_1 + 2\ell\omega_2$  and  $2\omega_{1,2}$  being a fixed pair of the primitive periods. The relations between the Weierstrass elliptic functions are given by

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{\sigma'(x)}{\sigma(x)}, \quad \wp(x) = -\zeta'(x), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$  are odd functions and  $\wp(x)$  is an even function of its argument. We recall also that the  $\sigma(x)$  is an entire function, and  $\zeta(x)$  is a meromorphic function having simple poles at  $\omega_{kl}$ , both being quasi-periodic, obeying

$$\zeta(x + 2\omega_{1,2}) = \zeta(x) + 2\eta_{1,2}, \quad \sigma(x + 2\omega_{1,2}) = -\sigma(x)e^{2\eta_{1,2}(x + \omega_{1,2})},$$

in which  $\eta_{1,2}$  satisfy  $\eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = \frac{\pi i}{2}$ , whereas  $\wp(x)$  is doubly periodic. From an algebraic point of view, the most important property of these elliptic functions is the existence of a number of functional relations, the most fundamental being

$$\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\gamma) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) = \frac{\sigma(\alpha + \beta)\sigma(\beta + \gamma)\sigma(\gamma + \alpha)}{\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta)\sigma(\gamma)\sigma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which can also be cast into the following form

$$\Phi_\kappa(x)\Phi_\kappa(y) = \Phi_\kappa(x + y) [\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) - \zeta(\kappa + x + y)] , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The following three-term relation for  $\sigma(x)$  is a consequence of (A.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x + y)\sigma(x - y)\sigma(a + b)\sigma(a - b) &= \sigma(x + a)\sigma(x - a)\sigma(y + b)\sigma(y - b) \\ &\quad - \sigma(x + b)\sigma(x - b)\sigma(y + a)\sigma(y - a) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and this equation can be cast into the following convenient form

$$\Phi_\kappa(x)\Phi_\lambda(y) = \Phi_\kappa(x - y)\Phi_{\kappa+\lambda}(y) + \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda}(x)\Phi_\lambda(y - x) , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which is obtained from the elliptic analogue of the partial fraction expansion, i.e. eq. (A.4).

There are few additional important identities that are used in the proof of the  $r$ -matrix structure, the main one is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\kappa-\kappa'}(a - b)\Phi_\kappa(x + b)\Phi_{\kappa'}(a + y) - \Phi_{\kappa-\kappa'}(x - y)\Phi_\kappa(y + a)\Phi_{\kappa'}(x + b) &= \\ = \Phi_\kappa(x + a)\Phi_{\kappa'}(y + b) [\zeta(a - b) + \zeta(x + b) - \zeta(x - y) - \zeta(y + a)] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which can be derived from (A.6) together with (A.4), and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\kappa-\kappa'}(x - y)\Phi_\kappa(y + a)\Phi_{\kappa'}(x + a) &= \\ = \Phi_\kappa(x + a)\Phi_{\kappa'}(y + a) [\zeta(x - y) - \zeta(\kappa + x + a) + \zeta(\kappa' + y + a) + \zeta(\kappa - \kappa')] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

It is eqs. (A.7) and (A.8) that are used in the derivation of (3.6c) which forms the main step in the computation of the  $r$ -matrix.

In [14] there was used an elliptic version of the Lagrange interpolation formula, which was derived on the basis of an elliptic version of the Cauchy identity. We can write the

elliptic Cauchy identity in the following elegant form:

$$\det(\Phi_\kappa(x_i - y_j)) = \Phi_\kappa(\Sigma)\sigma(\Sigma)\frac{\prod_{k<\ell}\sigma(x_k - x_\ell)\sigma(y_\ell - y_k)}{\prod_{k,\ell}\sigma(x_k - y_\ell)}, \quad \text{where } \Sigma \equiv \sum_i(x_i - y_i). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

An elliptic form of the Lagrange interpolation formula is obtained by expanding (A.9) along one of its rows or columns. Thus, we obtain

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma(\xi - x_i)}{\sigma(\xi - y_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_{-\Sigma}(\xi - y_i) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(y_i - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^N \sigma(y_i - y_j)}, \quad \text{when } \Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - y_i) \neq 0, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma(\xi - x_i)}{\sigma(\xi - y_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N [\zeta(\xi - y_i) - \zeta(x - y_i)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(y_i - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^N \sigma(y_i - y_j)}, \quad \text{when } \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i) = 0, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

(here  $x$  denotes one of the zeros  $x_i$ ). Note that in this case the left hand side is a meromorphic function on the elliptic curve as a consequence of Abel's theorem. It can be easily verified that eq. (A.11) is independent of the choice of  $x$  as a consequence of the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(y_i - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^N \sigma(y_i - y_j)} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i) = 0, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

(cf. e.g. [23], p. 451), which follows from eq. (A.10) in the limit  $\Sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, we give the expression for the inverse of the elliptic Cauchy matrix, namely

$$\left[ (\Phi_\kappa(x. - y.))^{-1} \right]_{ij} = \Phi_{\kappa+\Sigma}(y_i - x_j) \frac{P(y_i)Q(x_j)}{Q_1(y_i)P_1(x_j)}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

(with  $\Sigma$  as before), in terms of the elliptic polynomials

$$P(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^N \sigma(\xi - x_k), \quad Q(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^N \sigma(\xi - y_k),$$

and

$$P_1(x_j) = \prod_{k \neq j} \sigma(x_j - x_k), \quad Q_1(y_i) = \prod_{k \neq i} \sigma(y_i - y_k). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Equation (A.13) can be derived using (A.10) and (A.11), and it is used to derive equation (3.5) in the main text.

## References

- [1] J. Avan and M. Talon, *Classical R-matrix structure for the Calogero model*, Phys. Lett. **B303** (1993) 33–37.
- [2] E.K. Sklyanin, *Dynamical r-matrices for elliptic Calogero-Moser model*, St. Petersburg J. Math. **6** (1994) 227.
- [3] H.W. Braden and T. Suzuki, *R-matrices for the elliptic Calogero-Moser models*, Lett. Math. Phys. **30** (1994) 147.
- [4] J.C. Eilbeck, V.Z. Enol'skii, V.B. Kuznetsov and A.V. Tsiganov, *Linear r-matrix algebra for classical separable systems*, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. **27** (1994) 567.
- [5] J. Avan, O. Babelon and E. Billey, *The Gervais-Neveu-Felder equation and the quantum Calogero-Moser systems*, preprint PAR LPTHE 95-25, May 1995; hep-th/9505091 (to be published in Commun. Math. Phys.)
- [6] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. **170** (1986) 370–405.
- [7] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **110** (1987) 191–213.
- [8] J.-F. van Diejen, *Commuting difference operators with polynomial eigenfunctions*, Compos. Math. **95** (1995) 183–233.
- [9] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd Ed., (Oxford Univ. Press, 1995).
- [10] J. Avan and G. Rollet, *The classical r-matrix for the relativistic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system*, Phys. Lett. **A212** (1996) 50–54.
- [11] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, *The sine-Gordon solitons as a N-body problem*, Phys. Lett. **B317** (1993) 363–368.

- [12] Yu.B. Suris, *Why are the Ruijsenaars-Schneider and the Calogero-Moser hierarchies governed by the same  $r$ -matrix?*, Preprint Univ. of Bremen, February 1996, hep-th/9602160.
- [13] I. Krichever and A. Zabrodin, *Spin generalization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, non-Abelian 2D Toda chain and representations of Sklyanin algebra*, Preprint Landau Institute, hep-th/9505039.
- [14] F.W. Nijhoff, O. Ragnisco and V.B. Kuznetsov, *Integrable time-discretization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **176** (1996) 681–700.
- [15] A.P. Veselov, *Growth and integrability in the dynamics of maps*, Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 181–193.
- [16] M. Bruschi and F. Calogero, *The Lax representation for an integrable class of relativistic dynamical systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **109** (1987) 481–492.
- [17] J.-M. Maillet, *Kac-Moody algebra and extended Yang-Baxter relations in the  $O(N)$  non-linear  $\sigma$ -model*, Phys. Lett. **B162** (1985) 137–142.
- [18] L. Freidel and J.-M. Maillet, *Quadratic algebras and integrable systems*, Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991) 278–284.
- [19] F.W. Nijhoff, V.G. Papageorgiou and H.W. Capel, in *Quantum groups*, ed. P.P. Kulish, Springer Lect. Notes Math. **1510** (1992) 312.
- [20] K. Hasegawa, *Ruijsenaars' commuting difference operators as commuting transfer matrices*, Preprint Tohoku University, 1995; q-alg/9512029.
- [21] V.B. Kuznetsov and E.K. Sklyanin, *Separation of variables for  $A_2$  Ruijsenaars model and new integral representation for  $A_2$  Macdonald polynomials*, (Submitted to J.Phys.A), q-alg/9602023.
- [22] E. K. Sklyanin. *Separation of variables. New trends*, Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995) 35–60.
- [23] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course in modern analysis*, (Cambridge University Press, 4th ed., 1988).