Deformations of Calogero-Moser Systems^{*}

J.F. van Diejen[†]

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Amsterdam, Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Abstract. Recent results are surveyed pertaining to the complete integrability of some novel *n*-particle models in dimension one. These models generalize the Calogero-Moser systems related to classical root systems.

1. Introduction

The Hamiltonian of the celebrated Calogero-Moser (CM) system [1] is given by

$$H_{cm} = 1/2 \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \theta_j^2 + g^2 \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \wp(x_j - x_k),$$
(1)

where $\wp(z)$ denotes the Weierstraß \wp -function [2] or a degeneration thereof $(1/z^2, 1/\text{sh}^2(z))$ or $1/\sin^2(z)$). The integrability of H_{cm} (1) was proved with the aid of a Lax matrix [1].

Some years ago a relativistic generalization of H_{cm} was introduced [3, 4, 5]. The Hamiltonian of the relativistic system (RCM) reads

$$H_{rcm} = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \operatorname{ch}(\beta \theta_j) \prod_{k \ne j} \left[1 + \beta^2 g^2 \wp(x_j - x_k) \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (2)

One can look upon the RCM system as a one-parameter deformation of the CM model, with $\beta \sim 1/c$ (the inverse of the speed of light) acting as deformation parameter. For $\beta \to 0$, which corresponds to the nonrelativistic limit, $\beta^{-2}(H_{rcm}(\beta) - n)$ converges to H_{cm} . The relativistic system is also integrable; explicit formulas have been found for a complete set of integrals in involution:

$$H_{l,rcm} = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}\\|J|=l}} e^{-\beta \sum_{j \in J} \theta_j} \prod_{\substack{j \in J\\k \in J^c}} \left[1 + \beta^2 g^2 \wp(x_j - x_k) \right]^{1/2}, \qquad l = 1,\dots,n.$$
(3)

From a Lie-theoretic perspective the above *n*-particle models are connected with the root system A_{n-1} . Here, we will take a look at similar deformations of the CM systems related to classical root systems other than A_{n-1} (i.e. B_n , C_n , D_n and BC_n). A more detailed discussion of the material covered below (including proofs) can be found in the papers [6, 7].

2. Trigonometric Potentials

In the case of trigonometric potentials our system is characterized by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \left(\operatorname{ch}(\beta \theta_j) \, V_j^{1/2} V_{-j}^{1/2} - (V_j + V_{-j})/2 \right) \tag{4}$$

^{*}Talk given at the 9th Workshop on Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems (NEEDS '93), held at Gallipoli, Italy, September 3-12, 1993.

[†]E-mail address: jand@fwi.uva.nl

with

$$V_{\varepsilon j} = w(\varepsilon x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} v(\varepsilon x_j + x_k) v(\varepsilon x_j - x_k), \qquad \varepsilon = \pm 1,$$
(5)

$$v(z) = \frac{\sin \alpha(\mu + z)}{\sin(\alpha z)},\tag{6}$$

$$w(z) = \frac{\sin \alpha(\mu_0 + z)}{\sin(\alpha z)} \frac{\cos \alpha(\mu_1 + z)}{\cos(\alpha z)} \frac{\sin \alpha(\mu'_0 + z)}{\sin(\alpha z)} \frac{\cos \alpha(\mu'_1 + z)}{\cos(\alpha z)}.$$
 (7)

One can look upon the functions v and w as potentials: v governs the interaction between the particles and w models an external field. The parameters μ , μ_r and μ'_r (r = 0, 1) act as coupling constants; after setting them equal to zero the particles become free (v, w = 1).

Just as for the RCM system, explicit formulas have been found that constitute a complete set of integrals in involution for the Hamiltonian H (4)-(7)

$$H_{l} = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, |J| \le l \\ \varepsilon_{j} = \pm 1, j \in J}} \operatorname{ch}(\beta \theta_{\varepsilon J}) V_{\varepsilon J; J^{c}}^{1/2} V_{-\varepsilon J; J^{c}}^{1/2} U_{J^{c}, l-|J|}, \qquad l = 1,\dots,n,$$
(8)

with

$$\theta_{\varepsilon J} = \sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \, \theta_j, \tag{9}$$

$$V_{\varepsilon J;K} = \prod_{j \in J} w(\varepsilon_j x_j) \prod_{\substack{j,j' \in J \\ j < j'}} v^2(\varepsilon_j x_j + \varepsilon_{j'} x_{j'}) \prod_{\substack{j \in J \\ k \in K}} v(\varepsilon_j x_j + x_k) v(\varepsilon_j x_j - x_k), \quad (10)$$

$$U_{I,p} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le q \le p \\ \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i \in I}} (-1)^q \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq I_q \subset I \\ |I_q| = p}} \prod_{1 \le q' \le q} V_{\varepsilon(I_{q'} \setminus I_{q'-1}); I \setminus I_{q'}}$$
(11)

 $(U_{I,0} = 1, I_0 = \emptyset)$. Notice that H_1 coincides with the Hamiltonian H (4) up to a factor two. **Theorem 1 (Liouville integrability):** The functions H_1, \ldots, H_n are in involution (with respect to the standard Poisson bracket induced by the symplectic form $\omega = \sum_j dx_j \wedge d\theta_j$).

After reparametrization according to

$$\mu = i\beta g, \quad \mu_r = i\beta g_r, \quad \mu'_r = i\beta g'_r, \tag{12}$$

the asymptotics for $\beta \to 0$ leads to the CM system associated with the root system BC_n :

$$H_1(\beta) = H_{1,0} \beta^2 + o(\beta^2), \tag{13}$$

with

$$H_{1,0} = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \theta_j^2 + g^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{1 \le j \ne k \le n} \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \alpha (x_j + x_k)} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \alpha (x_j - x_k)} \right) \\ + \alpha^2 \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \left(\frac{(g_0 + g_0')^2}{\sin^2 (\alpha x_j)} + \frac{(g_1 + g_1')^2}{\cos^2 (\alpha x_j)} \right) + const.$$
(14)

More generally, one has

$$H_l(\beta) = H_{l,0} \,\beta^{2l} \,\,+\,\, o(\beta^{2l}) \tag{15}$$

with

$$H_{l,0} = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=l}} \prod_{j \in J} \theta_j^2 + l.o.$$
(16)

(*l.o.* stands for terms of lower order in the momenta θ_j). An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and the above expansion formulas is the integrability of the CM Hamiltonian $H_{1,0}$ (14).

Theorem 2 (transition to the BC_n -type CM system): The limits

$$H_{l,0} = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \beta^{-2l} H_l(\beta), \qquad l = 1, \dots, n,$$
(17)

exist and the resulting functions $H_{1,0}, \ldots, H_{n,0}$ are in involution.

3. Elliptic Potentials

As elliptic counterpart of H (4)-(7), I propose the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \operatorname{ch}(\beta \theta_j) V_j^{1/2} V_{-j}^{1/2} + U$$
(18)

where V_{ε_i} is again of the form (5), but now with v and w given by

$$v(z) = \frac{\sigma(\mu + z)}{\sigma(z)}, \qquad w(z) = \prod_{0 \le r \le 3} \frac{\sigma_r(\mu_r + z) \ \sigma_r(\mu'_r + z)}{\sigma_r(z) \ \sigma_r(z)}.$$
(19)

The function U is defined by

$$U = \sum_{0 \le r \le 3} c_r \prod_{1 \le j \le n} v(\omega_r + x_j)v(-\omega_r - x_j), \qquad (20)$$

$$c_r = \sigma(\mu)^{-2} \prod_{0 \le s \le 3} \sigma_s(\mu_{\pi_r(s)}) \sigma_s(\mu'_{\pi_r(s)}), \qquad (21)$$

where the following permutations have been introduced: $\pi_0 = id$, $\pi_1 = (01)(23)$, $\pi_2 = (02)(13)$ and $\pi_3 = (03)(12)$. In the above expressions $\sigma(z)$ denotes the Weierstraß σ -function with quasi-periods $2\omega_r$, r = 1, 2, 3, and the σ_r are the associated functions [2]

$$\sigma_r(z) = \exp(-\eta_r z)\sigma(\omega_r + z)/\sigma(\omega_r), \qquad r = 1, 2, 3.$$
(22)

(By convention $\omega_0 \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_0(z) \equiv \sigma(z)$).

Although the integrability of H (18)-(21) has not been demonstrated yet, some partial results have been obtained [7]: *i*. I found an additional Hamiltonian, which commutes with H (18)-(21) if the coupling constants of the external field satisfy the condition $\sum_{0 \le r \le 3} (\mu_r + \mu'_r) = 0$; *ii*. for special values of the coupling constants H (18)-(21) can be seen as a reduction of the RCM Hamiltonian (2); the integrability of the model then follows from [4]; *iii*. if μ equals a half-period ω_r , then straightforward generalization of the expressions in Section 2 results in an ansatz for the higher integrals; their commutativity has been verified for $n \le 4$.

After setting parameters as in Eq. (12), one has

$$H(\beta) = const + H_0 \beta^2 / 2 + o(\beta^2)$$
(23)

with

$$H_0 = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \theta_j^2 + g^2 \sum_{1 \le j \ne k \le n} \left(\wp(x_j + x_k) + \wp(x_j - x_k) \right) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ 0 \le r \le 3}} (g_r + g'_r)^2 \, \wp(\omega_r + x_j).$$
(24)

A Lax pair for the flow generated by H_0 (24) has been presented by Inozemtsev [8].

Remarks: i. For special values of the parameters g_r , g'_r , the Hamiltonians $H_{1,0}$ (14) and H_0 (24) reduce to CM Hamiltonians that are associated with the root systems B_n , C_n and D_n .

ii. Quantization of the Hamiltonians for $\beta \neq 0$ gives rise to difference operators instead of the usual partial differential operators. The reason is the occurrence of exponentials of the form $\exp(\pm\beta i\partial_{x_j})$ in the quantized Hamiltonian. In the case of trigonometric potentials the eigenfunctions of the quantum system turn out to be the product of a factorized ground-state wave function and recently discovered multivariable generalizations of the Askey-Wilson polynomials [6, 7].

iii. Further limits of the Hamiltonian with elliptic potentials in Eqs. (18)-(21) lead to novel *n*-particle models with nearest neighbor interaction [9]. These models generalize the nonperiodic relativistic Toda chain [10], and form a deformation of known Toda chains with very general boundary conditions [11].

References

- M. A. Olshanetsky, A. M. Perelomov, Classical integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras, Phys. Reps. 71, 313 (1981).
- [2] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1986).
- [3] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, H. Schneider, A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 170, 370 (1986).
- [4] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities, Commun. Math. Phys. **110**, 191 (1987).
- [5] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, Finite-dimensional soliton systems, in Integrable and superintegrable systems, ed. B. Kupershmidt (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p. 165.
- [6] J. F. van Diejen, Commuting difference operators with polynomial eigenfunctions, math. prepr. University of Amsterdam nr. 93-10, funct-an/9306002 (1993).
- [7] J. F. van Diejen, Integrability of difference Calogero-Moser systems, math. prepr. University of Amsterdam nr. 93-19 (1993).
- [8] V. I. Inozemtsev, Lax representation with spectral parameter on a torus for integrable particle systems, Lett. Math. Phys. 17, 11 (1989).
- [9] J. F. van Diejen, Difference Calogero-Moser systems and finite Toda chains, in preparation.
- [10] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, Relativistic Toda systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 133, 217 (1990).
- [11] V. I. Inozemtsev, The Finite Toda Lattices, Commun. Math. Phys. 121, 629 (1989).