

The Functional Derivation of Master Equations*

Hans-Thomas Elze

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Física
Caixa Postal 68.528, 21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

May 1999

Abstract

Master equations describe the quantum dynamics of open systems interacting with an environment. They play an increasingly important role in understanding the emergence of semiclassical behavior and the generation of entropy, both being related to quantum decoherence. Presently we derive the exact master equation for a homogeneous scalar Higgs or inflaton like field coupled to an environment field. It is represented here by an infinite set of harmonic oscillators with a given spectral density. Our nonperturbative result follows directly from the path integral representation of the density matrix propagator. Applications and generalizations are discussed.

1 Introduction

Often the physical system under consideration cannot be studied in isolation. In such cases the interaction with the environment has to be taken into account from the outset, despite the fact that one would like to eliminate these ‘uninteresting’ degrees of freedom from the

*A preliminary version will be published in Proceedings of Hadron Physics VI, E. Ferreira et al., eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).

dynamical description of the proper open subsystem one is really interested in. Prominent classical examples are the motion of a massive body under the influence of friction or the Brownian motion, where the idealization of an isolated system clearly misses the relevant effects. It is not hard to imagine corresponding quantum mechanical situations, most notably the effect of Ohmic resistance to the electron current in a wire, and similar other dissipative systems with an interplay of transport and relaxation phenomena. The results of research in this field of the so-called quantum Brownian motion have been reviewed, e.g., in Ref. [1], where numerous further references can be found.

Recently open systems have been studied also in the context of quantum field theories, with applications ranging from high-energy physics to cosmology. The underlying theme herein is the study of quantum decoherence, i.e. the emergence of semiclassical properties in complex quantum mechanical systems. In terms of the density matrix of the open subsystem one would like to understand how a more or less pure initial state evolves into an impure mixture. Clearly this question is intimately related to the problem of entropy generation and possibly thermalization in quantum systems. The references illustrate the different physical aspects of the environment induced decoherence [2, 3, 4, 5], which also may solve the (in)famous problem of the ‘wave function collapse’ [6, 7].

In any case, let us recall the general structure of all master equations as expressed in terms of density matrices. – The overall density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ describing the subsystem plus its environment can be expanded in a complete set of states Ψ_n , which obey the time dependent Schrödinger equation, $\hat{\rho} = \sum_n c_n |\Psi_n\rangle\langle\Psi_n|$. Then, employing the Schrödinger equation, the equation of motion for the density matrix follows immediately: $i\partial_t\hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}_{SE}, \hat{\rho}]$, where \hat{H}_{SE} denotes the total system plus environment Hamiltonian; our units are such that $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$. The aim of all derivations of master equations is to reduce the equation for $\hat{\rho}$ to an equation for the subsystem density matrix $\hat{\rho}$, which is obtained by eliminating the environment degrees of freedom, $\hat{\rho} \equiv \text{Tr}_E \hat{\rho}$. The result, generally, is of the form

$$i\partial_t\hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + F[\hat{\rho}] \quad , \quad (1)$$

where \hat{H} is the subsystem Hamiltonian and the remaining term describes the dissipative and diffusive effects of the integrated out environment; as we shall see in the following, it may

have a complicated time dependent (memory) structure possibly involving derivatives of the subsystem density matrix $\hat{\rho}$.

In order to proceed, we consider a simple model motivated by studies of the inflationary universe [8, 9]. The semiclassical homogeneous field equation for the scalar ‘inflaton’ Φ is

$$\ddot{\Phi} + 3H\dot{\Phi} + \Gamma\dot{\Phi} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial\Phi} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where $H \equiv \dot{R}/R$ is the Hubble ‘constant’ and the corresponding friction term here describes the damping due to the expansion of the universe; similarly, the term involving the decay rate Γ describes the essentially dissipative coupling to other particles; the r.h.s. contains the ordinary force term due to the selfinteraction, e.g. $V(\Phi) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\Phi^4$.

Whereas the fate of the inflaton field driving the early universe expansion has been much studied including its quantum as well as thermal fluctuations, we would like to draw attention to another aspect of Eq. (2). It could arise from a typical semiclassical saddle-point approximation to a path integral describing the evolution of Φ in a higher-dimensional space-time environment [9, 10]. In this case, the interesting long-time behavior of the inflaton field will be modified by the influence of quantum fluctuations in the higher-dimensional background space.

In the following, leaving the wider scope for now, we consider as our starting point the classical action

$$S_{SE} = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\dot{\Phi}^2 - V(\Phi) + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{m_n}{2} [\dot{\phi}_n^2 - \omega_n^2(\phi_n - \Phi)^2] \right\} \quad , \quad (3)$$

i.e. coupling the homogeneous scalar field to an environment of $N \rightarrow \infty$ oscillators, which is equivalent to a single radiation field [2, 3]. This Feynman-Vernon or Caldeira-Leggett type of model represents a large variety of different physical situations depending on the distribution of oscillator masses (dimensionless) and frequencies, and the potential, m_n , ω_n , and $V(\Phi)$, respectively.

Similar models have been studied in numerous special cases before, see e.g. Refs. [1, 3, 4]. In particular, the effective Φ -equation obtained in the saddle-point approximation after integrating out the environment degrees of freedom exactly (cf. Sec. 2) assumes the form of Eq. (2) for the so-called Ohmic environment [1], cf. Sec. 3.

In distinction to most earlier work, we do not attempt to solve the quantum mechanical time evolution problem for the density submatrix of the Φ -field in a special case. Rather our

aim is to derive its master equation employing the path integral representation; see Ref. [2] for comparison, where a master equation was derived using the canonical quantization of a somewhat different model. We believe that the nonperturbative method presented here lends itself to generalizations for more genuine field theoretic problems, i.e. involving propagating fields [5], provided the relevant influence functional (cf. Sec. 2) can be calculated.

2 From the Influence Functional to the Master Equation

To begin with, since the above action, Eq. (3), is quadratic in the oscillator coordinates and velocities $\phi_n, \dot{\phi}_n$, they can be eliminated exactly from the path integral(s) describing the time evolution of the complete system [1]. In the following we neglect initial state correlations between the proper subsystem and the environment degrees of freedom for simplicity; their incorporation does not add a new element to our derivation.

It turns out that the result of the Gaussian integrations can be specified completely in terms of the thermal noise and the dissipation kernels, ν and η , respectively,

$$\nu(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty d\omega I(\omega) \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) \cos(\omega s) \quad , \quad \eta(s) \equiv - \int_0^\infty d\omega I(\omega) \sin(\omega s) \equiv \frac{d}{ds} \bar{\eta}(s) \quad , \quad (4)$$

where $\beta \equiv T^{-1}$ denotes the inverse temperature. The spectral density here is defined by

$$I(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N m_n \omega_n^3 \delta(\omega - \omega_n) \quad . \quad (5)$$

It characterizes the particular environment. Assuming a quasi-continuous distribution of environmental oscillators, spectral densities $I(\omega) \propto \omega^k$ (for sufficiently small ω) with $k > 0$ have often been considered before, cf. [1, 2, 4], and have been called sub-Ohmic ($k < 1$), Ohmic ($k = 1$), and supra-Ohmic ($k > 1$), respectively. The electron with its radiation field, for example, amounts to a particle in a supra-Ohmic environment with $k = 3$ [3].

In this way, the following representation for the Φ -‘field’ density matrix at time t in terms of the initial one ($t = 0$) is obtained:

$$\rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) = \int d\Phi_i d\Phi'_i J(\Phi, \Phi', t; \Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) \rho(\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) \quad , \quad (6)$$

with the propagator determined by two remaining path integrals [1],

$$J(\Phi, \Phi', t; \Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0}^{\Phi, \Phi', t} \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}q' \exp\{i(S[q] - S[q'])\} \exp\{-\mathcal{F}[q, q', t]\} \quad , \quad (7)$$

and with the indicated boundary conditions, $q(0) \equiv \Phi_i$, $q(t) \equiv \Phi$, and for q' analogously. Here Z is a (generally time-dependent) normalization factor, such that the total probability to find the subsystem is preserved (see the first of Refs. [4] for a detailed discussion of general properties of open quantum systems, the subsystem density matrix in particular, as well as an explicit calculation); we omit Z in the following, since it can always be restored by properly normalizing $\hat{\rho}$ in the very end. Furthermore, the action S is the part of S_{SE} , Eq. (3), which only depends on the field Φ , and the Feynman-Vernon influence functional \mathcal{F} incorporates the effect of the integrated out environment on the subsystem. In the present case it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[q, q', t] = & \int_0^t ds \int_0^s du [q(s) - q'(s)] \{ \nu(s-u)[q(u) - q'(u)] + i\eta(s-u)[q(u) + q'(u)] \} \\ & + i\bar{\eta}(0) \int_0^t ds [q^2(s) - q'^2(s)] . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Clearly, the spectral distribution $I(\omega)$ has to vanish sufficiently fast in the infrared, in order to avoid a divergence of $\bar{\eta}(0)$, cf. Eqs. (4). We observe that the last two terms in Eq. (8) simply renormalize the quadratic parts of the potentials in $S[q] - S[q']$ in Eq. (7); therefore, we omit these terms in the following and add them again in the end, see Eqs. (14).

Having set the stage with Eqs. (6)–(8), we derive the master equation by suitably evaluating the time derivative $\partial_t \rho = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [\rho(t+\epsilon) - \rho(t)]/\epsilon$. We calculate to $O(\epsilon)$ the r.h.s. of $\rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) + \dot{\rho}(\Phi, \Phi', t)\epsilon \simeq \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t+\epsilon)$ by going through the following steps:

i. We split the path integrals going from 0 to $t+\epsilon$ at time t , cf. Eqs. (6)–(8), by inserting the intermediate ‘points’ z, z' and integrating – corresponding to inserting a complete set of states in the formula $\exp\{i\hat{H}(t+\epsilon)\} = \int dz \exp\{i\hat{H}\epsilon\}|z\rangle\langle z| \exp\{i\hat{H}t\}$.

ii. We parametrize the (infinitesimal) propagation from t to $t+\epsilon$ by straight line paths,

$$\tilde{q}(s) \equiv z + \frac{\Phi - z}{\epsilon}(s-t) , \quad \dot{\tilde{q}}(s) = \frac{\Phi - z}{\epsilon} , \quad t \leq s \leq t+\epsilon , \quad (9)$$

and analogously \tilde{q}' ; this parametrization becomes exact as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

iii. We introduce $\chi \equiv z - \Phi$, and χ' correspondingly, and replace the integrations over dz, dz' by the ones over $d\chi, d\chi'$. – The action for the propagation from t to $t+\epsilon$ becomes

$$S \simeq \int_t^{t+\epsilon} ds \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tilde{q}}^2 - V(\tilde{q}) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\chi^2}{\epsilon} - \epsilon V(\Phi + \xi\chi) , \quad (10)$$

where $\xi \in [0, 1]$; $S[q']$ is calculated analogously. – Similarly, we evaluate the influence functional,

$$\mathcal{F}[q, q', t+\epsilon] = \mathcal{F}[q, q', t] + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} ds \int_0^s du \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{F}[q, q', t] + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} ds \int_0^t du \dots + O(\epsilon^2) \\
&= \mathcal{F}[q, q', t] + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon\chi, \epsilon\chi') \\
&\quad + \epsilon(\Phi + \chi - \Phi' - \chi') \int_0^t du \{ \nu(t-u)[q(u) - q'(u)] + i\eta(t-u)[q(u) + q'(u)] \} , \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where we omitted the last two terms from Eq. (8).

iv. Expanding consistently to $O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon\chi, \epsilon\chi')$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\Phi, \Phi', t + \epsilon) &\simeq \int d\chi d\chi' d\Phi_i d\Phi'_i \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon} \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\epsilon}(\chi^2 - \chi'^2)\right\} (1 - i\epsilon V(\Phi) + i\epsilon V(\Phi')) \\
&\cdot \int_{\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0}^{\Phi+\chi, \Phi'+\chi', t} \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}q' \exp\{i(S[q] - S[q'])\} \exp\{-\mathcal{F}[q, q', t]\} \rho(\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) \\
&\cdot \left(1 - \epsilon\{\Phi + \chi - \Phi' - \chi'\} \int_0^t du \{ \nu(t-u)[q(u) - q'(u)] + i\eta(t-u)[q(u) + q'(u)] \}\right), \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where a possibly unexpected normalization factor $(2\pi\epsilon)^{-1}$ appears on the r.h.s.; analogously to the factor $(2\pi\epsilon)^{-1/2}$ in the derivation of the Schrödinger equation from the path integral, where one employs only one intermediate coordinate integration, it is necessary here, in order that Eq. (12) becomes an identity in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

v. We observe that without the last factor under the remaining path integrals they would yield simply $\rho(\Phi + \chi, \Phi'_f + \chi', t)$. Furthermore, the Gaussian integrals over $d\chi, d\chi'$ effectively require $\chi^2, \chi'^2 \simeq \epsilon$. Therefore, properly expanding and collecting terms to $O(\epsilon)$, we obtain after performing these integrals the fully general result corresponding to the action of Eq. (3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) &= -\frac{1}{2i} [\partial_\Phi^2 - \partial_{\Phi'}^2] \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) + \frac{1}{i} [V(\Phi) - V(\Phi')] \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) \\
&- (\Phi - \Phi') \int d\Phi_i d\Phi'_i \int_{\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0}^{\Phi, \Phi', t} \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}q' \exp\{i(S[q] - S[q'])\} \exp\{-\mathcal{F}[q, q', t]\} \rho(\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) \\
&\cdot \int_0^t du \{ \nu(t-u)[q(u) - q'(u)] + i\eta(t-u)[q(u) + q'(u)] \} , \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where, of course, we cancelled the leading terms between the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. and divided the remaining ones by ϵ , before taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We recall that the quadratic potential terms have to be renormalized,

$$V(\Phi) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\Phi^4 \longrightarrow V(\Phi)_r = -\frac{1}{2}[\mu^2 - 2\bar{\eta}(0)]\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\Phi^4 , \quad (14)$$

i.e., one has to perform a mass shift here.

This completes our derivation of the master equation, which conforms with the general structure of Eq. (1). Generally, we are still left with two formidable path integrals to be evaluated. However, depending on the actual form of the noise and dissipation kernels, the above result, Eq. (13) is a useful starting point for various approximation techniques, such as perturbation theory, mean field theory, variational techniques, or exact evaluations.

The latter can be carried out in particular, if the action S is at most quadratic in $\Phi, \dot{\Phi}$ [11], or else, if the kernels have zero range in time corresponding to the absence of non-Markovian memory effects. In the following section we will show how the previously studied Ohmic environment can be treated with the help of the above results.

3 Example: Ohmic Environment

In order to illustrate the explicit form of the master equation (13), we choose the simplest example of an Ohmic environment [1]. We begin with a quasi-continuous spectral density of the form [11]

$$I(\omega) = \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \omega \left(\frac{\omega}{\tilde{\omega}} \right)^{k-1} \exp\{-\omega^2/\Lambda^2\} , \quad (15)$$

where Λ is a high-frequency cut-off, $\tilde{\omega}$ another frequency scale, and γ denotes the effective coupling constant between the Φ -field and the environment oscillators, cf. Eqs. (3), (5).

Then, considering the high-temperature limit $T \gg \Lambda \geq \omega$ and especially the Ohmic environment with $k = 1$, we obtain the thermal noise and dissipation kernels according to Eqs. (4)

$$\nu(s) = 2\gamma T \delta(s) , \quad \eta(s) = \gamma \delta'(s) , \quad (16)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative of the δ -function in the last equation. Using these kernels in Eq. (13), the path integrals simply reproduce $\rho(\Phi, \Phi', t)$ and the resulting equation becomes essentially local in time,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) = & \\ & \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [\partial_\Phi^2 - \partial_{\Phi'}^2] + V(\Phi) - V(\Phi') - i\gamma \{ 2T [\Phi - \Phi']^2 + [\Phi - \Phi'] [\partial_\Phi - \partial_{\Phi'}] \} \right\} \rho(\Phi, \Phi', t) \\ & + \gamma \delta(t) [\Phi - \Phi'] \int d\Phi_i d\Phi'_i [\Phi_i + \Phi'_i] \rho(\Phi_i, \Phi'_i, 0) . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We remark that herein a divergent term $-\gamma\delta(0)[\Phi^2 - \Phi'^2]\rho(\Phi, \Phi', t)$ precisely cancelled the mass shift of Eq. (14); this has been the reason to introduce the counterterm $\sum_{n=1}^N m_n \omega_n^2 \Phi^2/2$ into the action, Eq. (3), in the first place. Furthermore, the singular initial value term in the last line of Eq. (17) arises similarly from evaluating by partial integration the last term proportional to $\eta(t-u) = \gamma\delta'(t-u)$ in Eq. (13). This contribution may vanish for particular initial conditions. However, it has not been noticed in earlier work on the Ohmic environment by Caldeira and Leggett and by Unruh and Zurek [2] as summarized in Ref. [11]. It produces an initial ‘jolt’ which has been discussed in these studies of quantum decoherence.

In order to cast the master equation (17) into the form of a sometimes more useful Fokker-Planck type transport equation, we introduce the Wigner transform

$$W(\Phi, \Pi, t) \equiv \int d\phi \exp\{i\Pi\phi\} \rho(\Phi + \frac{\phi}{2}, \Phi - \frac{\phi}{2}, t) . \quad (18)$$

With this definition and assuming that the potential $V(\Phi)$ is a fourth order polynomial, we obtain the transport equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t W(\Phi, \Pi, t) = & \left\{ \Pi \partial_\Phi - V'(\Phi) \partial_\Pi + \frac{1}{24} V'''(\Phi) \partial_\Pi^3 + 4\gamma \{ \partial_\Pi \Pi + T \partial_\Pi^2 \} \right\} W(\Phi, \Pi, t) \\ & - 4\pi\gamma\delta(t)\delta'(\Pi) \int d\Phi' \Phi' W(\Phi', 0, 0) , \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the derivatives act on everything to the right and the primes on $V(\Phi)$ denote differentiation w.r.t. Φ .

The various terms arising in Eq. (19) have the following significance: a) the l.h.s. together with the first two terms on the r.h.s. describe the classical Liouville flow of the phase space distribution $W(\Phi, \Pi)$; b) the third term presents the quantum correction (for a non-polynomial potential one obtains here a well known sine series in powers of $\partial_\Phi \partial_\Pi$); c) the fourth and fifth term, respectively, incorporate the previously obtained dissipative and diffusive contributions due to the (integrated out) Ohmic environment; d) the highly singular last term indeed induces an initial ‘jolt’ in the Wigner function,

$$W(\Phi, \Pi, t = 0_+) = W(\Phi, \Pi, t = 0_-) - 4\pi\gamma\delta'(\Pi) \int d\Phi' \Phi' W(\Phi', 0, t = 0_-) . \quad (20)$$

With this observation we conclude our (re-)derivation of more or less known features for the Ohmic environment and turn to a brief discussion of future applications of the method and main result presented in Sec. 2.

4 Discussion

We derived the master equation for a homogeneous scalar field interacting with an environment composed of harmonic oscillators, which may alternatively be viewed as a free radiation field [3], directly from the path integral representation of the density matrix propagator. In particular, we allowed for arbitrary self-interactions of the scalar field. We remark that our results apply equally well to a single nonrelativistic particle in an arbitrary external potential interacting with the environment, i.e. the case usually studied in quantum Brownian motion [1]; then, the variable Φ is to be interpreted as the particle coordinate.

As an immediate application of the results presently obtained we plan to study the phenomenon of semiquantum chaos in more realistic situations.

Semiquantum chaos as described in Ref. [12] (see also further references therein) arises in classically regular systems in the semiclassical regime. In particular, the time dependent Hartree-Fock approximation (or large-N expansion etc.) to the full quantum evolution, which in turn is regular, generally leads to nonlinearly coupled equations of motion for the one- and two-point functions. They have been shown to produce chaotic behavior under various circumstances.

Our aim is to show that the interaction with a suitably prepared environment, e.g. involving solid state quantum dots or QED microwave cavities, can drive the system, e.g. conduction band electrons or Rydberg atoms, into the semiclassical limit where semiquantum chaos is expected to manifest itself.

As is obvious from the general result, Eq. (13), or the more detailed calculation for the Ohmic environment which led to Eq. (17) and the Fokker-Planck type transport equation (19), these master equations all are linear in the density matrix or Wigner function. An important generalization of the approach of Sec. 2 would be to study the coupling between propagating fields. In this case we also expect nonlinear contributions to the effective ‘one-body’ master equation which are due to scattering terms. We hope to come back to the problem of propagating fields in the near future with a study of the entropy production due to bremsstrahlung emission during the initial stopping phase of high-energy heavy-ion collisions [4].

Acknowledgement

I thank C. E. Aguiar, T. Kodama and J. Rafelski for stimulating discussions and the CNPq (Brazil) for support, grant CNPq-300758/97-9.

References

- [1] H. Grabert, P. Schramm and G.-L. Ingold, *Phys. Rep.* **168** (1988) 115
- [2] W. G. Unruh and W. H. Zurek, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 1071
- [3] P. M. V. B. Barone and A. O. Caldeira, *Phys. Rev.* **A43** (1991) 57
- [4] H.-Th. Elze, *Nucl. Phys.* **B436** (1995) 213; *Phys. Lett.* **B369** (1996) 295; quant-ph/9710063
- [5] F. Lombardo and F. D. Mazzitelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 2001
- [6] W. H. Zurek, *Physics Today* **44** (1991) 36
- [7] M. Tegmark, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **6** (1993) 571
- [8] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, *Phys. Rev.* **D32** (1985) 1899
- [9] P. D. B. Collins, A. D. Martin and E. J. Squires, *Particle Physics and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York 1989)
- [10] Th. Appelquist, A. Chodos and P. G. O. Freund (eds.), *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories* (Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park 1987)
- [11] B. L. Hu, J. P. Paz and Y. Zhang, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 2843
- [12] Th. C. Blum and H.-Th. Elze, *Phys. Rev.* **E53** (1996) 3123