

# Quantum cryptography without public announcement bases

Won Young Hwang \* and In Gyu Koh

*Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Yuseong, Taejeon, Korea*

Yeong Deok Han †

*Department of Physics, Woosuk University, Hujeong, Samrye, Wanju, Cheonbuk, Korea*

This paper provides a simple variation of the basic ideas of the BB84 quantum cryptographic scheme leading to a method of key expansion. A secure random sequence ( the bases sequence ) determines the encoding bases in a proposed scheme. Using the bases sequence repeatedly is proven to be safe by quantum mechanical laws.

One of the most intriguing and exiting recent developments in quantum mechanics has been the prediction and demonstration of a cryptographic key distribution scheme, the security of which is guaranteed by the laws of quantum mechanics [1]. Theoretical models for the quantum key distributions ( quantum cryptography ) has been based on the uncertainty principle [2], EPR states [3], two nonorthogonal states [4] and Wheeler's delayed choice experiment [5]. In all the proposed quantum cryptographies [1]- [5], there are *public announcement* steps at which Alice ( the sender ) and Bob ( the receiver ) exchanges some informations on their operations via classical channel. Eve ( the eavesdropper ) has full access to the announced information on the classical channel but she can listen only and cannot tamper with the signals. In the first public discussion step of the standard BB84 scheme [2], the bases on which Alice ( Bob ) encoded ( measured ) signals are announced each other.

In this paper, a variation of the BB84 scheme is proposed in which the public discussion of bases is not needed, although later public discussions for error detection and 'privacy amplification' [6,7] is still necessary. Elimination of one public discussion step is an advantage by itself. Furthermore, it reduces information about bases to which Eve has access, which can be utilized in sophisticated eavesdropping strategy [8]- [13].

This paper is organized as follows. First, a simple form of quantum cryptography and it's weak point are presented. Next, it is described how this weak point is overcome in BB84 scheme [2]. After this introduction, a new method to overcome the weak point of the simple quantum cryptography is proposed. It is shown that implementation of this method enables a quantum cryp-

tography without public announcement of bases.

Let us consider the following simple quantum cryptography. Alice sends to Bob some quantum carriers ( spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particles or photons ) on which the 1-bit information is encoded; Alice encodes 0 and 1 on  $|u+\rangle$  and  $|u-\rangle$ , respectively, where  $|u+\rangle$  (  $|u-\rangle$  ) is the up ( down ) eigenstate of spin-measurement along axis  $u$  which is known to only Alice and Bob. Since Alice and Bob use the same axis  $u$ , there is perfect correlation between the binary random sequence that Alice encoded and the one that Bob has retrieved by performing spin-measurement along the axis  $u$ . If Eve intercepts the particles sent to Bob by Alice and performs some spin-measurement on the particles and re-sends some particles to Bob later, then she inevitably will introduce some errors on the correlation between Alice's and Bob's. Eve can extract no information about the basis  $u$  by any physical methods, because the mixture of  $|u+\rangle$  and  $|u-\rangle$  with equal probabilities have a density operator  $\rho_u = \frac{1}{2}|u+\rangle\langle u+| + \frac{1}{2}|u-\rangle\langle u-| = \frac{1}{2}I$  which is identical to  $\rho_{u'} = \frac{1}{2}|u'+\rangle\langle u'+| + \frac{1}{2}|u'-\rangle\langle u'-| = \frac{1}{2}I$ , where  $u \neq u'$  and  $I$  is the identity operator. Thus, eavesdropping inevitably give rise to error which can be detected by Alice and Bob, except for the case where Eve knows the basis  $u$  or Eve incidently measures along the  $u$  basis.

This simple quantum cryptography method has a few weak points. First, there is considerable probability that Eve incidently measures along a basis almost similar to  $u$  as there is only one hidden parameter  $u$ . In that case, Eve obtains information on the binary random sequence ( key ) introducing negligible errors. Second, Eve might obtain information about the basis through indirect method: she tries an arbitrarily chosen basis in eavesdropping. If the tried basis is different from the basis  $u$  which Alice and Bob use, then errors are introduced which Alice and Bob will detect. Alice and Bob will discard the obtained data and start again. Now, Eve knows that the chosen and tried basis is not the correct basis  $u$ .

The described above weak points of simple quantum cryptography do not exist in BB84 scheme. They were eliminated in following way. Alice uses randomly one of two bases  $u$  and  $u'$  for encoding (  $u$  and  $u'$  are chosen  $z$  and  $x$  in BB84, respectively ) and Bob performs spin-measurements along basis randomly chosen between  $u$  and  $u'$ . After all quantum carriers have arrived at Bob, Alice and Bob announce publically each other which basis they chose at each instance. In about half of all the instances the basis Alice chose are the same as those cho-

---

\*e-mail: hwang@chep6.kaist.ac.kr

†e-mail: ydhan@core.woosuk.ac.kr

sen by Bob. In these instances there will be perfect correlation between Alice's and Bob's, unless the quantum carriers were perturbed by Eve or noise. In this way, using BB84 method, Alice and Bob can prevent Eve from knowing which basis Alice choose to encode while Eve has access to quantum carriers. Even if Eve knows about  $u$  and  $u'$ , Eve cannot learn anything about which of  $u$  and  $u'$  is chosen by Alice at a particular instance before the public announcement of bases.

Public announcement of bases is a necessary step in standard BB84 scheme. However, let us now consider another shielding method, in which Alice and Bob do not publically reveal their bases. First, Alice and Bob share by any method ( by courier or by BB84 scheme ) some secure binary random sequence that is known to nobody. This random sequence is to be used to determine the encoding basis  $u$  and  $u'$ . Alice ( Bob ) encodes ( performs spin-measurement ) on the basis  $z$  and  $x$  when it is 0 and 1, respectively. For example, when the bases random sequence is

$$0, 1, 1, 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

and the signal random sequence that Alice wants to send to Bob is

$$1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots \quad (2)$$

then she sends to him the following quantum carriers

$$|z-\rangle, |x+\rangle, |x-\rangle, |z+\rangle, |x-\rangle, \dots \quad (3)$$

Since Alice and Bob have common random sequence, there will be perfect correlation between them unless the quantum carriers were perturbed by Eve or noise. Eve is naturally prevented from knowing about the encoding bases, since she does not know the bases sequence. As we see, public announcement of bases is not needed in the proposed scheme. However, the scheme can only be useful if it is possible to use safely the bases random sequence repeatedly. If this is not the case, Alice and Bob have to consume the same length of random sequences to obtain some length of new random sequences. Fortunately, quantum mechanical laws enable the bases random sequences to be used repeatedly enough, as shown below.

Suppose Alice used the bases random sequence of  $N$  times (  $N$  is a positive integer ). In order to know about the bases sequence Eve collects measurement records on the quantum carriers of all the  $N$  times. <sup>1</sup> Next, she rearranges the records according to the order of the bases

sequence. First, she collects the records of the first one in each  $N$  sequences and label on this set number 1, ..., next she collects the records of  $i$ -th (  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $i$  is an integer ) one in all  $N$  sequences and label on this set number  $i$ , ... Eve knows that for each set of number  $i$ , either  $z$  or  $x$  is used for encoding by Alice. Now, Eve tries to obtain some informations about which basis is used for each set of number  $i$ . If it is possible, Alice and Bob cannot use the bases sequence repeatedly. However, Eve can obtain no information about the bases as shown below. When Alice encodes on  $z$ , Eve is given states as, for example,  $|z-\rangle, |z+\rangle, |z+\rangle, |z-\rangle, |z-\rangle$ ... with equal probabilities of  $+$  and  $-$ . When Alice encodes on  $x$ , Eve is given states as, for example,  $|x+\rangle, |x+\rangle, |x-\rangle, |x+\rangle, |x-\rangle$ ... with equal probability of  $+$  and  $-$ . These two ensembles of states have the same density operator  $\frac{1}{2}|z+\rangle\langle z+| + \frac{1}{2}|z-\rangle\langle z-|$  (  $= \frac{1}{2}|x+\rangle\langle x+| + \frac{1}{2}|x-\rangle\langle x-|$  ). Any two ensembles that have the same density operator give statistically the same outcome to any quantum mechanical measurements [14,15], even if they were composed of ensembles of different state vectors [16]. Suppose that Eve initially have some partial information ( in terms of Shannon's information theory, the information  $I$  have a value between 0 and 1 in this case ) about the bases. Eve wants to increase the information about the bases. However, since the two ensemble give rise to statistically the same outcomes to any quantum mechanical operations, she cannot increase the information about the bases. Therefore we can assume that Eve's information about bases will remain zero if Eve's initial one was zero. It means that Eve cannot distinguish which basis is used and that the bases sequence can be used repeatedly.

It should be noted that the indistinguishability between ensembles of particles corresponding to  $\frac{1}{2}|z+\rangle\langle z+| + \frac{1}{2}|z-\rangle\langle z-|$  and  $\frac{1}{2}|x+\rangle\langle x+| + \frac{1}{2}|x-\rangle\langle x-|$  can be shown by another physical argument. If they are distinguishable we can implement the superluminal communications using the spin-version [17,18] of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) [19,18] experiment. Let the state of source particle pairs is the singlet one  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|z+\rangle_1|z+\rangle_2 - |z-\rangle_1|z-\rangle_2)$  (  $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x+\rangle_1|x+\rangle_2 - |x-\rangle_1|x-\rangle_2)$  ). If, at site 1, one performs spin-measurement along  $z$  ( $x$ ) axis, the states of particles given at site 2 is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{2}|z+\rangle\langle z+| + \frac{1}{2}|z-\rangle\langle z-|$  (  $= \frac{1}{2}|x+\rangle\langle x+| + \frac{1}{2}|x-\rangle\langle x-|$  ). Thus if the one at site 2 can distinguish between them, the one at site 1 can send signal instantaneously to the one at site 2, by performing spin-measurement along  $z$  or  $x$  axis according to the binary sequence he wants to send.

As the bases sequence can be used repeatedly the key

---

<sup>1</sup>In the sophisticated eavesdropping strategy [8]- [13] the quantum carriers are not measured directly and immediately. In those strategies, they make the quantum carriers to interact with auxiliary quantum states ( the ancilla ) and they delay measurements on the ancilla by storing them in quan-

---

tum memories until the time when they can extract maximal informations about the key. In this case, we deal with the ancilla stored in quantum memories instead of records of measurement. However, we will get the same result that the two ensembles cannot be distinguished.

can be distributed many times. However, it should be underlined that the bases sequence have to be discarded after the expanded key is used for encrypting a message. It is because a cryptogram gives partial information about the key by which it is encrypted. With this information about the key Eve can extract information about the bases. For example, if Eve know that  $+$  ( or  $0$  ) is encoded on a quantum carrier she intercepted and the outcome of spin-measurement along  $x$  axis is  $+$  ( or up state ), then she knows that it is more probable that the basis is  $x$  axis. Thus, after a cryptogram encrypted using the distributed key is announced, it must be taken into account that Eve have considerable information about the bases sequence. One may be concerned about the fact that this information on bases may be a weak point of the proposed scheme. However, this partial information about bases is obtained after all the quantum carriers passed away Eve. Quantum cryptographic method successfully works as long as Eve does not know the encoding bases while she has access to quantum carriers. In BB84 scheme, indeed full information about bases is publically announced after quantum carriers passed away Eve. Taking into account this fact we can argue that the proposed scheme is not weaker than the BB84 scheme in this respect.

Similarly to BB84 scheme error check must be done in the proposed scheme, too. Alice and Bob compare some randomly chosen subset of their key. Bob inform publically to Alice whether he obtained  $+$  or  $-$  at the subset of instances. Next, Alice compares the informed data with her ones and check if there is error. Public discussion will be also needed in later 'privacy amplification' [6,7], to eliminate discrepancies in bits which have not been revealed.

In the proposed scheme, Alice and Bob prepare secure random sequence ( which will be used as the bases sequence ) by courier method or BB84 method, before distributing the key. As shown before, the bases sequence have to be discarded after a cryptogram encrypted by the distributed key is announced. It is inconvenient for Alice and Bob to prepare the bases sequence everytime they distribute the key. It is possible to use more convenient method: Alice and Bob leave some of the distributed key in order to use it as basis sequence later. However, in this case, Alice and Bob must keep the remaining key securely, what is a disadvantage of this method.

We can conceive of the following indirect method for obtaining information about bases sequence. Eve can try various bases sequences, while listening to the public communication channel and observing the behavior of Alice and Bob. If the tried bases sequence is incorrect one ( different from that of Alice and Bob ), error will be detected by Alice and Bob and they will discard the data. Now, Eve, seeing that they discard the data, knows that the tried bases sequence is not a correct one. We can estimate that for Eve to learn bases sequence of  $N$ -bits, such indirect method should be performed at an order of  $2^N$  times. This fact means that the indirect method

does not work for all practical purposes. Furthermore, even the attack of the indirect method can be avoided by delaying later steps until all quantum carriers arrive at Bob.

To summarize, we can say that this paper provides a simple variation of the basic ideas of the BB84 quantum cryptographic scheme leading to a method of key expansion. A secure random sequence ( the bases sequence ) determines the encoding bases in the proposed scheme. Using the bases sequence repeatedly is proven to be safe by quantum mechanical laws. There are three significant advantages of the proposed method. First, public announcement of bases is not needed. Second, it reduces information about bases to which Eve has access, which can be utilized in the sophisticated eavesdropping strategy. Third, there is no discarded data in ideal case, while in BB84 scheme about half of data is discarded. There is also a disadvantage of the method as Alice and Bob must prepare a short secure random sequence to be used as a bases sequence.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to K.Chrzanowski for helpful corrections of the paper.

- 
- [1] S.Wiesner, Sigact News **15** (1) (1983), 78
  - [2] C.H.Bennett and G.Brassard, in : Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Computers, systems, and signal processing, Bangalore ( IEEE, New York, 1984 ) p.175
  - [3] A.K.Ekert, Phys.Rev.Lett.**67** (1991), 661; C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, and N.D.Mermin, Phys. Rev.Lett.**68** (1992), 557
  - [4] C.H.Bennett, Phys.Rev.Lett.**68** (1992), 3121; A.K.Ekert, Nature**358** (1992), 14
  - [5] M.Ardehali, Phys.Lett.A**217** (1996), 301
  - [6] C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, J.M.Robert, Siam J. comput. **17**(1988), 210
  - [7] B.Huttner, A.K.Ekert, J.Mod.Opt.**41**(1994), 2455
  - [8] D.Deutsch, A.Ekert, R.Jozsa, C.Macchiavello, S.Popescu, and A.Sanpera, Phys.Rev.Lett.**77** (1996), 2818
  - [9] H.K.Lo and H.F.Chau, Los Alamos Report No. quant-ph/9511025
  - [10] N.Gisin and B.Huttner, Phys.Lett.A**228** (1997), 13
  - [11] C.A.Fuchs, N.Gisin, R.B.Griffiths, C.S.Niu, and A.Peres, Los Alamos Report No. quant-ph/9701039
  - [12] E.Biham and T.Mor, Los Alamos Report No. quant-ph/9605007
  - [13] J.I.Cirac and N.Gisin, Los Alamos Report No. quant-ph/9702002
  - [14] B.Huttner, A.Miller, J.D.Gautier, H.Zbinden, and N.Gisin, Phys.Rev.A**54** (1996), 3783

- [15] V.B.Brasinsky and F.A.Khalili, Quantum Measurement ( Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992 ), Chap.3.
- [16] d'Espagnat, Conceptual Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Second Edition ( Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1976 ), p46
- [17] D.Bohm, Quantum Theory ( Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1951 )
- [18] F.Selleri, ed., Quantum Mechanics versus Local Realism. The Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox (Plenum, NewYork, 1988).
- [19] A.Einstein, B.Podolsky, and N.Rosen, Phys.Rev. **47** (1935), 777