

Quantum theory of computation and relativistic physics

Alexander Yu. Vlasov*
197101, Mira Street 8, IRH
St.-Petersburg, Russia

Jan 1997
(Jul 1999)

quant-ph/9701027

Title: Quantum Theory of Computation and Relativistic Physics

Authors: Alexander Yu. Vlasov (FCR/IRH, St.-Petersburg, Russia)

Comments: 6 pages, LaTeX2e, 2 columns, 4 PostScript figures are included by epsfig.sty; based on poster in Proc. PhysComp '96 Workshop (BU, Boston MA, 22-24 Nov 1996) pp. 332-333, a "no-go" result for bounded quantum networks; v3/4 -- typos corrected, minor changes.

Report-no: RQC-VAY11/v4

In the e-print is discussed a few steps to introducing of "vocabulary" of relativistic physics in quantum theory of information and computation (QTI&C). The behavior of a few simple quantum systems those are used as models in QTI&C is tested by usual relativistic tools (transformation properties of wave vectors, etc.). Massless and charged massive particles with spin 1/2 are considered. Field theory is also discussed briefly.

Abstract

In the paper are described some steps for merger between relativistic quantum theory and theory of computation. The first step is consideration of transformation of *qubit* state due to rotation of coordinate system. The Lorentz transformation is considered after that. The some new properties of this transformation change usual model of qubit. The system of q^2 bit seems more fundamental relativistic model. It is shown also that such model as *electron* is really such q^2 bit system and for modelling of qubit is necessary to use massless particle like electron neutrino.

The quantum field theory (QFT) is briefly discussed further. The wave vectors of interacted particle now described by some operator and it can produce some multiparticle (*'nonlinear'*) effects.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p, 03.65.-w, 03.70.+k, 89.70.+c

Keywords: Quantum, Computation, Relativistic

*E-Mails: Alexander.Vlasov@pobox.spbu.ru, alex@protection.spb.su, vlasov@physics.harvard.edu

1 Introduction

The paper describes some approaches to *relativistic quantum theory of computation*. The main purpose of the work is to consider essentially new properties of *quantum computers* [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] due to relativistic phenomena rather than some small corrections to nonrelativistic formulae.

At first, in relativistic theory it is necessary to consider a *qubit* in different coordinate systems. In simplest case it may be 3D local rotations and $SU(2)$ spinors.

For consideration of temporal coordinate it is necessary to use Lorentz transformations and 4D spinors. The more correct approach include full Poincare group and quantum field theory.

2 Qubit

A quantum two-state system is often called *quantum bit* or ‘*qubit*’ [6, 7]. Let us consider a particle with spin 1/2 as a model of the qubit. The quantum state of the system is $\psi = c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle$, where c_0 and c_1 are complex numbers and the norm of ψ is:

$$\|\psi\|^2 \equiv \psi^* \psi = |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1, \quad c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C} \quad (1)$$




Figure 1: Riemann sphere for qubit

A state of a qubit can be described as a superposition of two logical states of usual bit (**False**, **True** or **0**, **1**)

with complex coefficients. The state of quantum system is described as a *ray* in complex Hilbert space and for two-state system it can be considered as complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P \sim \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Each ray (c_0, c_1) is presented by complex number $\zeta = c_0/c_1$. The $|0\rangle$ corresponds to 0 and the $|1\rangle$ to ∞ . There is correspondence [8] between the plane ζ and a sphere \mathbf{S} due to stereographic projection $\zeta = (x-iy)/(1-z)$ (see Fig. 1). Expressions for coordinate (x, y, z) on the unit sphere are:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} \zeta}{|\zeta|^2 + 1} = \frac{c_0 \bar{c}_1 + c_1 \bar{c}_0}{c_0 \bar{c}_0 + c_1 \bar{c}_1} \\ -y &= \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \zeta}{|\zeta|^2 + 1} = \frac{-i(c_0 \bar{c}_1 - c_1 \bar{c}_0)}{c_0 \bar{c}_0 + c_1 \bar{c}_1} \\ z &= \frac{|\zeta|^2 - 1}{|\zeta|^2 + 1} = \frac{c_0 \bar{c}_0 - c_1 \bar{c}_1}{c_0 \bar{c}_0 + c_1 \bar{c}_1} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Due to the equation Eq. (1) we can consider (X, Y, Z) instead:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= c_0 \bar{c}_1 + c_1 \bar{c}_0 \\ Y &= i(c_0 \bar{c}_1 - c_1 \bar{c}_0) \\ Z &= c_0 \bar{c}_0 - c_1 \bar{c}_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ map to opposite poles of the sphere.

2.1 Spatial rotation of coordinate system

A transformation of the state due to a spatial rotation of coordinate system is described by unitary matrix with determinant unity:

$$\psi' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \psi, \quad \bar{a} = d, \quad \bar{c} = -b \quad ad - bc = |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \quad (4)$$

This is the group of unitary 2×2 matrices, $SU(2)$. It corresponds to principle, that transformation of the wave vector is described by some representation of a group of coordinate transformation. The group $SU(2)$ is representation of the group of spatial rotations $SO(3)$ in a space of 2D complex vectors.

Due to 2–1 isomorphism $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$, any rotation corresponds to unitary matrix up to sign. We can see simple correspondence between any 1–gate and ‘‘passive’’ transformation, *i.e.* transition to other coordinate system.

The equations Eq. (3) can be used for demonstration of relation between $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$. If we apply some

unitary transformation Eq. (4) $U : (c_0, c_1) \rightarrow (c'_0, c'_1)$ then $(X, Y, Z) \rightarrow (X', Y', Z')$. Unitary matrices do not change the norm Eq. (1) and length of the vector:

$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = (|c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2)^2 \quad (5)$$

Angles between vectors also do not change. Unitary transformations of a state of the qubit correspond to rotations of the sphere (Fig. 1). Two matrices: \mathbf{U} and $-\mathbf{U}$ produce the same rotation due to Eq. (3).

The transformations of a state of n -qubits due to spatial rotation can be described by *unitary* 2^{2n} matrices.

3 The relativistic consideration of a qubit

3.1 Lorentz transformation

For Lorentz transformation of coordinate system there is similar isomorphism between the group $SO(3, 1)$ and the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of all complex 2×2 matrices with determinant unity. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic with Lorentz group in the same way as the group $SU(2)$ with group of 3D rotations [8]. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation of Lorentz group $SO(3, 1)$ in a space of 2D complex vectors.

On the other hand, we should not directly apply such representation of relativistic group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to a qubit. Only the subgroup of unitary matrix saves the norm Eq. (1). The expression Eq. (1) in relativistic theory is not invariant scalar, but temporal part of 4-vector. Simple relation between transformations of coordinate system and unitary matrices is broken here.

Let us denote:

$$T = \|\psi\|^2 \equiv \psi^* \psi = c_0 \bar{c}_0 + c_1 \bar{c}_1 \quad (6)$$

We can write¹, using equations Eq. (3), Eq. (6) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X - iY \\ X + iY & T - Z \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \bar{c}_0 & c_0 \bar{c}_1 \\ c_1 \bar{c}_0 & c_1 \bar{c}_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c}_0 & \bar{c}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \psi \psi^* \\ \det \mathbf{V} &= T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = \\ &= 2c_0 \bar{c}_0 2c_1 \bar{c}_1 - 2c_1 \bar{c}_0 2c_0 \bar{c}_1 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

¹In the matrix notation $\psi^* \psi$ is scalar and $\psi \psi^*$ is 2×2 matrix (with Dirac notation: $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ respectively).

Linear transformations with determinant unity of a qubit correspond to Lorentz transformation of the vector (T, X, Y, Z) :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi' &= \mathbf{A} \psi; \quad \det \mathbf{A} = 1 \\ \mathbf{V}' &= 2 \mathbf{A} \psi (\mathbf{A} \psi)^* = 2 \mathbf{A} \psi \psi^* \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^* \\ \det \mathbf{V}' &= T'^2 - X'^2 - Y'^2 - Z'^2 = \\ &= \det \mathbf{V} = T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$




Figure 2: Null vector (T, X, Y, Z)

Only if the matrix \mathbf{A} is unitary, $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and $\text{Trace } \mathbf{V}$ i.e. the norm Eq. (6) does not change. Otherwise Eq. (6) should be considered as the ‘ T -component’ of a 4-vector.

The relation between $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and Lorentz group Eq. (8) is valid not only for null vectors. Any vector is a sum of two null vectors and

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{U})\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^* + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A}^*.$$

The qubit is described by two-component complex vector or *Weyl spinor*. It corresponds to massless particle with spin 1/2. Such particle always moves with the speed of light. The equations Eq. (7) show a correspondence between such spinor and 4D null vector (Fig. 2). This

vector can be also rewritten by using Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{V} &= T\mathbf{1} + X\sigma_x + Y\sigma_y + Z\sigma_z, \\ V_i &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\sigma_i\mathbf{V}) = \text{Tr}(\sigma_i\psi\psi^*) = \psi^*\sigma_i\psi; \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\} : (T, \{X, Y, Z\}) = (\psi^*\psi, \psi^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

3.2 Massive particle

Massive charged particle with spin 1/2 like an electron is described by two Weyl spinors and has four complex components:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_R \\ \varphi_L \end{pmatrix} \quad \varphi_R, \varphi_L \in \mathbb{C}^2; \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

It is possible to consider such massive particle as two qubits:

$$\psi = c_{00}|00\rangle + c_{01}|01\rangle + c_{10}|10\rangle + c_{11}|11\rangle \quad (11)$$

The first index is similar to $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ for each φ_R, φ_L . The other one corresponds to discrete coordinate transformation like *spatial reflection*: $P : (t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (t, -\vec{x})$.

It is also possible to build a vector by using the 4D spinor and 4×4 Dirac matrices γ^μ . It is 4D vector of *current* Fig. 3 :

$$j^\mu = \psi^*\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\psi \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

with always positive:

$$j^0 = \psi^*\psi = \sum_i |\psi_i|^2 = \|\varphi_R\|^2 + \|\varphi_L\|^2 \quad (14)$$

but j^0 is not Lorentz invariant. The Lorentz invariant scalar is

$$\psi^*\gamma^0\psi = \varphi_R^*\varphi_L + \varphi_L^*\varphi_R \quad (15)$$




Figure 3: Massive particle

3.3 Representations of Lorentz group

We have used very simple construction of a qubit, but any other constructions also have limitations because a representation of Lorentz group cannot satisfy contemporary two following conditions: ²

- The representation is finite dimensional.
- The representation is unitary in a *definite* norm.

It can be considered as some mathematical reasons for:

- Using of *quantum field theory* (QFT) instead of systems with finite number of states.
- Necessity of a consideration of different kinds of interacting quantum fields.

The relativistic physics have both these properties. We can consider *Quantum Electrodynamics* (QED) as an example.

It is not quite compatible with such properties of usual model of quantum computation as fixed size of registers and gates, one kind of *qubits*, etc..

4 Quantum field theory and computations

In articles about quantum computers Feynman [2, 3] has used one of usual tools of a QFT — *annihilation* and

²A “no-go” result for bounded quantum networks.

creation operators a and a^* :

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

$$N \equiv a^*a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with Fermi relation for the anticommutator:

$$\{a^*, a\}_+ \equiv a^*a + a a^* = 1 \quad (17)$$

These operators are used for describing of usual quantum gate in [3], but this approach has more wide scope. This method has a resemblance with secondary quantization in a QFT.

4.1 Secondary quantization

In a QFT wave functions are operators [9]. Let us consider photons as an example:

$$\hat{\psi}_p = c_p e^{-ipx} + c_p^* e^{ipx} \quad (18)$$

There c_p and c_p^* are operators of annihilation and creation of the particle with 4-momentum p and so $\hat{\psi}$ is an operator. There is Bose relation for the commutator:

$$[c^*, c]_- \equiv c^*c - c c^* = 1 \quad (19)$$

4.2 States and operators

The operators c_p and c_p^* act in some auxiliary Hilbert space and functions like Eq. (18) have more direct physical meaning than states in this space. The quantum field of electrons is described by some expression similar to Eq. (18)³.

The matrices Eq. (16) are used for presentation of quantum gates in [3], but it should be mentioned that in relativistic physics there is no sharp division between q-gates and q-states due to formulae like Eq. (18).

This property of a QFT has some analogy with functional style of programming in modern computer science [10]. In both cases there is no essential difference between data (states) and functions (operators). A function can be used as data for some other function.

³ The main difference is commutational relations Eq. (17) for electrons and Eq. (19) for photons.

For example, let us consider an electron as the model of a qubit. In nonrelativistic quantum theory of computation a q-gate can change state of the qubits $\psi' = U\psi$ (Fig. 4). Here ψ, ψ' are wave vectors of quantum system ('qubits') and U is an operator of the gate.




Figure 4: Nonrelativistic gate

The gate can be built as some electro-magnetic device. From point of view of QED it is described as an interaction of two quantum fields and we should not split the processes on q-gates and qubits. The usual formula of secondary quantization is $\Psi' = \mathcal{U}_{\hat{\psi}, \hat{A}} \Psi$ (Fig. 5). Here Ψ, Ψ' describe *occupation numbers*, and $\hat{\psi}$ is wave operator for electron (positron), and \hat{A} for photons. The wave operators for particle are included in \mathcal{U} and can form many *nonlinear* expressions. They correspond to Feynman diagrams. Such description is linear in respect of Ψ, Ψ' , but not on $\hat{\psi}, \hat{A}$.




Figure 5: Relativistic gate

4.3 Algebraic and matrix notation

The relations Eq. (17) and Eq. (19) describe one particle. If we have a few particles then the full set of relations is:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_k, a_{k'}\}_+ &= \{a_k^*, a_{k'}^*\}_+ = 0 \\ \{a_k, a_{k'}^*\}_+ &= \delta_{kk'} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for particles like electrons (Fermi statistic, half-integer spin) and

$$\begin{aligned} [c_k, c_{k'}]_- &= [c_k^*, c_{k'}^*]_- = 0 \\ [c_k, c_{k'}^*]_- &= \delta_{kk'} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for particles like photons (Bose statistic, integer spin).

The equations Eq. (16), Eq. (17) show representation of operators with Fermi relations for one particle. The matrix representations of Eq. (20) for many particles are more complicated.

The relations for Bose particles Eq. (19), Eq. (21) are impossible to express by using finite-dimensional matrices because for any two matrices \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} :

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{AB} - \mathbf{BA}) = 0 \implies [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}]_- \neq \mathbf{1} \quad (22)$$

Due to such properties of algebras of commutators the presentation by using formal expressions with operators of annihilation and creation [3] instead of matrices can be more convenient in quantum theory of computation from the point of view of relativistic physics.

5 Conclusion

In nonrelativistic quantum theory of computation it was necessary only to point number of states 2^n for description of q^n bit. In relativistic theory there are many special cases. The charged and neutral, massive and massless particles *etc.* should be described differently.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to organizers of the PhysComp96, especially to Tommaso Toffoly, and to Physics Department of Boston University for support and hospitality.

References

- [1] Paul Benioff, Quantum Mechanical Hamiltonian Models of Discrete Processes That Erase Their Own Histories: Application to Turing Machines, *Internal Journal of Theoretical Physics* **21** (1982), 177–201.
- [2] Richard Feynman, Simulating Physics with Computers, *Internal Journal of Theoretical Physics* **21** (1982), 467–488.
- [3] Richard Feynman, Quantum-Mechanical Computers, *Foundations of Physics* **16** (1986), 507–531.
- [4] David Deutsch, Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer, *Proceedings of Royal Society of London A* **400**, (1985), 97–117.

- [5] David Deutsch, Quantum computational networks, *Proceedings of Royal Society of London A* **425**, (1989), 73–90.
- [6] Benjamin Schumacher, Quantum Coding, *Physical Review A* **51** (1995), 2738–2747.
- [7] Charles Bennett, Quantum Information and Computation, *Physics Today* **48**¹⁰, (October 1995), 24–30.
- [8] Roger Penrose and Wolfgang Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time* Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press (1986).
- [9] Nicolai Bogoliubov and Dmitri Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields*, Wiley (1980).
- [10] John Backus, The algebra of functional programs: functional level reasoning, linear equations, and extended definitions, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **107**, (1981), 1–43.