

# Topological quantum gate entangler for a multi-qubit state

*Hoshang Heydari*

*Institute of Quantum Science, Nihon University,  
1-8 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan  
Email: hoshang@edu.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp*

## Abstract

We establish a relation between topological and quantum entanglement for a multi-qubit state by considering the unitary representations of the Artin braid group. We construct topological operators that can entangle multi-qubit state. In particular we construct operators that create quantum entanglement for multi-qubit states based on the Segre ideal of complex multi-projective space. We also in detail discuss and construct these operators for two-qubit and three-qubit states.

## 1 Introduction

Multipartite entangled states are the building block of a universal quantum computer. For example an one-way quantum computer as a scheme for universal quantum computation are based on entangled cluster states. Recently, L. Kauffman and S. Lomonaco Jr. have shown that topological entanglement and quantum entanglement are closely related [1]. They introduced a topological operator called braiding operator that can entangle quantum state. These operator are solution of Yang-Baxter equation. The braiding operator are also unitary transformation which make them very suitable for application in the field of quantum computing. We have also recently establish a relation between multipartite states and the Segre variety and the Segre ideal [2, 3]. For example, we have shown that the Segre ideal represent completely separable states of multipartite states. In this paper, we will construct braiding operators for multi-qubit states based on construction of the Segre ideal. In particular, in section 2 we will give a short introduction to complex projective variety and complex multi-projective Segre variety and ideal. In section 3 we will review the basic construction of topological entanglement operators. We also discuss braiding operator for two-qubit state. Finally in section 4 we will construct such topological unitary operators for multi-qubit states. We will in detail discuss the construction of this operator for a three-qubit state. Now, denote a general, composite quantum system with  $m$  subsystems as  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_m^p(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m) = \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \mathcal{Q}_m$ , with the pure state  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m=1}^{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m} \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} |k_1 k_2 \dots k_m\rangle$  and corresponding Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}$ , where the dimension of the  $j$ th Hilbert space is  $N_j = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j})$ . We are going to use this notation throughout this paper. In particular, we denote a pure two-qubit state by  $\mathcal{Q}_2^p(2, 2)$ . Next, let  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}$  denote a density operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . The density operator  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is said to

be fully separable, which we will denote by  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}^{sep}$ , with respect to the Hilbert space decomposition, if it can be written as  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}^{sep} = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \otimes_{j=1}^m \rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}^k$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^N p_k = 1$  for some positive integer  $N$ , where  $p_k$  are positive real numbers and  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}^k$  denotes a density operator on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$ . If  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}^p$  represents a pure state, then the quantum system is fully separable if  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}^p$  can be written as  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}^{sep} = \otimes_{j=1}^m \rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$ , where  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$  is the density operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$ . If a state is not separable, then it is said to be an entangled state.

## 2 Complex projective variety and Segre ideal for multi-qubit state

In this section, we will define complex projective space, ideal, and variety. Moreover, we will review the construction of the Segre ideal for multi-qubit state. Here are some general references on complex projective geometry [4, 5]. A complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is defined to be the set of lines through the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , that is,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n = \frac{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - 0}{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - 0$ ,  $y_i = \lambda x_i \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $C[z] = C[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$  denotes the polynomial algebra in  $n$  variables with complex coefficients. Then, given a set of homogeneous polynomials  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q\}$  with  $g_i \in C[z]$ , we define a complex projective variety as

$$\mathcal{V}(g_1, \dots, g_q) = \{O \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n : g_i(O) = 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq q\}, \quad (2.0.1)$$

where  $O = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}]$  denotes the equivalent class of point  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}\} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be complex projective variety. Then an ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}) = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n] : g(z) = 0 \forall z \in \mathcal{V}\}. \quad (2.0.2)$$

Note also that  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V})) = \mathcal{V}$ . We can map the product of spaces  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_2-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_m-1}$  into a projective space by its Segre embedding as follows. Let  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, \dots, \alpha_{N_i}^i)$  be points defined on the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_i-1}$ . Then the Segre map

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_2-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_m-1} \xrightarrow{\text{Segre}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1 N_2 \dots N_m - 1} \quad (2.0.3)$$

is defined by  $((\alpha_1^1, \alpha_2^1, \dots, \alpha_{N_1}^1), \dots, (\alpha_1^m, \alpha_2^m, \dots, \alpha_{N_m}^m)) \mapsto (\alpha_{i_1}^1 \alpha_{i_2}^2 \dots \alpha_{i_m}^m)$ . Next, let  $\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}, 1 \leq i_j \leq N_j$  be a homogeneous coordinate-function on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1 N_2 \dots N_m - 1}$ . For a multi-qubit quantum system the Segre ideal is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{Segre}}^m = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_j | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \dots \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_j \dots \mathcal{Q}_m}, \quad (2.0.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_j | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \dots \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_j \dots \mathcal{Q}_m}$  is the ideal defining when a subsystem  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  is separated from quantum system  $\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \dots \mathcal{Q}_m$  is generated by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_j | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \dots \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_j \dots \mathcal{Q}_m} = \left\langle \text{Minors}_{2 \times 2} \mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j \right\rangle, \quad (2.0.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j$  is the following  $2 \times 2^{m-1}$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11\dots 11_j 1\dots 1} & \alpha_{11\dots 11_j 1\dots 2} & \cdots & \alpha_{22\dots 21_j 2\dots 2} \\ \alpha_{11\dots 12_j 1\dots 1} & \alpha_{11\dots 12_j 1\dots 2} & \cdots & \alpha_{22\dots 22_j 2\dots 2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.0.6)$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_j \models \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_j} \cdots \mathcal{Q}_m$  means we delete  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  from right side and add it to the left side of  $\models$ .

### 3 Topological entanglement operators

In this section we will give a short introduction to Artin braid group and Yang-Baxter equation. We will study relation between topological and quantum entanglement by investigating the unitary representation of Artin braid group. Here are some general references on quantum group and low-dimensional topology [6, 7]. The Artin braid group  $B_n$  on  $n$  strands is generated by  $\{b_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  and we have the following relations in the group  $B_n$ : i)  $b_i b_j = b_j b_i$  for  $|i-j| \geq n$  and ii)  $b_i b_{i+1} b_i = b_{i+1} b_i b_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a complex vector space. Then, for two strand braid there is associated an operator  $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the identity operator on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then, the Yang-Baxter equation is defined by

$$(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{R})(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{I}) = (\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{R})(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{R}). \quad (3.0.7)$$

The Yang-Baxter equation represents the fundamental topological relation in the Artin braid group. The inverse to  $\mathcal{R}$  will be associated with the reverse elementary braid on two strands. Next, we define a representation  $\tau$  of the Artin braid group to the automorphism of  $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}$  by

$$\tau(b_i) = \mathcal{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}, \quad (3.0.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  are in position  $i$  and  $i+1$ . This equation describe a representation of the braid group if  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation and is also invertible. Moreover, this representation of braid group is unitary if  $\mathcal{R}$  is also unitary operator. Thus  $\mathcal{R}$  being unitary indicated that this operator can performs topological entanglement and it also can be considers as quantum gate. It has been show in [1] that  $\mathcal{R}$  can also perform quantum entanglement by acting on qubits states. Now, let  $\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}$ , and  $\alpha_{22}$  be any scalars on the unit circle in the complex plane. Then, we can construct an unitary  $\mathcal{R}$  as follow

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.0.9)$$

which is a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation. To see how it is related to quantum gates, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the swap gate  $\tau = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}$  gate define by

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{12} & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.0.10)$$

In view of braiding and algebra,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a solution to the braided version of the Yang-Baxter equation and  $\tau$  is a solution to the algebraic Yang-Baxter equation and  $\mathcal{P}$  represent a virtual or flat crossing. The action of unitary matrix  $\mathcal{R}$  on a quantum state are: i)  $\mathcal{R}|11\rangle = \alpha_{11}|11\rangle$ , ii)  $\mathcal{R}|12\rangle = \alpha_{21}|21\rangle$ , iii)  $\mathcal{R}|21\rangle = \alpha_{12}|12\rangle$ , iv)  $\mathcal{R}|22\rangle = \alpha_{22}|22\rangle$ . A proof that the operator  $\mathcal{R}$  can entangle quantum states is give in [1]. Here, we will also give a proof based on the construction of the Segre variety.

**Lemma 3.0.1** *If elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies  $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} \neq \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}$ , then the state  $\mathcal{R}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle)$ , with  $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle + |2\rangle$  is entangled.*

From the construction of the Segre ideal the separable set of two qubit state satisfies  $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}$ . Thus a two qubit state

$$\mathcal{R}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = \alpha_{11}|11\rangle + \alpha_{12}|12\rangle + \alpha_{21}|21\rangle + \alpha_{22}|22\rangle. \quad (3.0.11)$$

is entangled if and only if this inequality does not hold. We can also note that a measure of entanglement for two-qubit state in give by concurrence  $\mathcal{C}(|\Phi\rangle) = 2|\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}|$ . In general, let  $M = (M_{kl})$  denote an  $n \times n$  matrix with complex elements and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be defined by  $\mathcal{R}_{rs}^{kl} = \delta_s^k \delta_r^l M_{kl}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a unitary solution to the Yang-Baxter equation. In the next section, we will used this construction and proof to create entangled states for three-qubit states.

## 4 Multi-qubit quantum gate entangler

In the previous section we have shown that how we can create entangled state using topological unitary transformation  $\mathcal{R}$ . We have also show a relation between the Segre ideal and such transformation. In this section, we will use this information to construct multi-qubit entangled state based on this ideal. But first, we will construct such topological operator for three-qubit state. For this state the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_1|\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3}^{2,2,2}$  representing if a subsystem  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  that is unentangled with  $\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3$  is generated by  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_1|\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3} = \langle \text{Minors}_{2 \times 2} \mathcal{X}_{2 \times 4}^1 \rangle$ , that is

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_1|\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3} = \left\langle \text{Minors}_{2 \times 2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{111} & \alpha_{112} & \alpha_{121} & \alpha_{122} \\ \alpha_{211} & \alpha_{212} & \alpha_{221} & \alpha_{222} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad (4.0.12)$$

where we have used the notation  $|\mathcal{Q}_1$  to indicate that  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  is separated from  $\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3$  but we still could have entanglement between  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_3$ . In the same way, we can define the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_2|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_3}^{2,2,2}$  representing if the subsystem  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  is unentangled with  $\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_3$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_3|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_2}$  representing if the subsystem  $\mathcal{Q}_3$  is unentangled with  $\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3$ . The ideals are generated  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_2|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_3} = \langle \text{Minors}_{2 \times 2} \mathcal{X}_{2 \times 4}^2 \rangle$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_3|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_2} = \langle \text{Minors}_{2 \times 2} \mathcal{X}_{2 \times 4}^3 \rangle$ . Thus, the Segre ideal for three-qubit state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\text{Segre}}^3 &= \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_1|\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_2|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_3} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_3|\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_2} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \dots, \mathbf{T}_{12} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.0.13)$$

where  $\mathbf{T}_1 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,1} - \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_2 = \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,2}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_3 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,1,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_4 = \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_5 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{1,2,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{1,2,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_6 = \alpha_{2,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{2,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_7 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_8 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_9 = \alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_{10} = \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1} -$

$\alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2}$ ,  $T_{11} = \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}$ , and  $T_{12} = \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}$ . In our recent paper [2] we have shown that we can construct a measure of entanglement for three-qubit states based on these Segre varieties. We have also construct a measure of entanglement for general multipartite states based on an extension of the Segre varieties [3]. For example, for three-qubit state a measure of entanglement is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(|\Psi\rangle) = (2(|T_1|^2 + |T_2|^2 + |T_3|^2 + |T_4|^2 + |T_5|^2 + |T_6|^2) + |T_7|^2 + |T_8|^2 + |T_9|^2 + |T_{10}|^2 + |T_{11}|^2 + |T_{12}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Now, based on comparison with the two-qubit case we will construct a unitary transformation  $\mathcal{R}$  that create three-qubit entangled states. Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{111} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{221} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{212} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{211} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{122} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{121} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{112} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{222} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.0.14)$$

Then we have the following lemma for three-qubit states.

**Lemma 4.0.2** *If elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies  $T_i \neq 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq 12$ , then the state  $\mathcal{R}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle)$ , with  $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle + |2\rangle$  is entangled.*

The proof of this lemma follows by construction of  $\mathcal{R}$  which is based on separable elements of three-qubit states defined by  $T_i$ . For example  $\mathcal{R}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^2 \alpha_{k_1 k_2 k_3} |k_1 k_2 k_3\rangle$  is entangled if and only if  $T_i \neq 0$ . Note that we can also write the braiding operator  $\mathcal{R}$  for three-qubit as  $\mathcal{R}_{2^3 \times 2^3} = \mathcal{R}_{2^3 \times 2^3}^d + \mathcal{R}_{2^3 \times 2^3}^{ad}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_{2^3 \times 2^3}^a = (\alpha_{111}, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha_{222})$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\mathcal{R}_{2^3 \times 2^3}^{ad} = (0, \alpha_{221}, \alpha_{212}, \dots, \alpha_{112}, 0)$  is an anti-diagonal matrix. We will use this notation to construct the matrix  $\mathcal{R}$  for multi-qubit state. For  $m$ -qubit state a topological unitary transformation  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}$  that create multi-qubit entangled states is defined by  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m} = \mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}^d + \mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}^{ad}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}^a = (\alpha_{1\dots 1}, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha_{2\dots 2})$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}^{ad} = (0, \alpha_{22\dots 1}, \dots, \alpha_{21\dots 1}, \alpha_{12\dots 2}, \dots, \alpha_{1\dots 12}, 0)$  is an anti-diagonal matrix. Then we have following lemma for general multi-qubit states.

**Lemma 4.0.3** *Let  $\mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j$  be a  $2 \times 2^{m-1}$  matrix defined by*

$$\mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11\dots 11_j 1\dots 1} & \alpha_{11\dots 11_j 1\dots 2} & \cdots & \alpha_{22\dots 21_j 2\dots 2} \\ \alpha_{11\dots 12_j 1\dots 1} & \alpha_{11\dots 12_j 1\dots 2} & \cdots & \alpha_{22\dots 22_j 2\dots 2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.0.15)$$

*Then the state  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}(|\psi\rangle_1 \otimes |\psi\rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi\rangle_m)$ , with  $|\psi\rangle_j = |1\rangle + |2\rangle$  is entangled if  $2 \times 2$  minors of  $\mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j$  not vanishes, for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .*

The proof follows by construction of  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}$  which is based on completely separable elements of multi-qubit states defined by the Segre ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Segre}}^m = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_j | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \dots \mathcal{Q}_j \dots \mathcal{Q}_m}$ . That is the state  $\mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi\rangle) =$

$\sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m=1}^{2, 2, \dots, 2} \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} |k_1 k_2 \dots k_m\rangle$  is entangled if and only if all  $2 \times 2$  minors of  $\mathcal{X}_{2 \times 2^{m-1}}^j \neq 0$ . Note that this operator is a quantum gate entangler since  $\tau_{2^m \times 2^m} = \mathcal{R}_{2^m \times 2^m} \mathcal{P}_{2^m \times 2^m}$  is a  $2^m \times 2^m$  phase gate and  $\mathcal{P}_{2^m \times 2^m}$  is  $2^m \times 2^m$  swap gate. Thus we have succeeded to construct quantum gate entangler for a general multi-qubit state based on a similar construct of a braiding operator that satisfies condition for separability that is given by definition of the Segre ideal. This also shows a good relation between topology, algebraic geometry and quantum theory with application in the field of quantum computing.

**Acknowledgments:** The author acknowledges the financial support of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

## References

- [1] L. Kauffman and S. Lomonaco Jr., *New J. Phys.* 4 (2002), 73.1–73.18.
- [2] H. Heydari and G. Björk, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005) 3203-3211.
- [3] H. Heydari, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006) 9839-9844.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [5] D. Mumford, *Algebraic Geometry I, Complex Projective Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Springer, 1995.
- [7] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge university press, 1994.