

Comment on “A Random Quantum Key Distribution Achieved by Using Bell States”

Sujuan Qin^{1,2} Qiaoyan Wen¹ Fuchen Zhu³

(1. School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, 100876, P. R. China)

(2. State Key Laboratory of Integrated Services Network, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, P. R. China)

(3. National Laboratory for Modern Communication, P.O.Box 810, Chengdu, 610041, P. R. China)

E-mail: qsujuan2003@163.com

Abstract—In a recent paper [J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5 (2003) 155-157], a quantum key distribution scheme based on entanglement swapping was proposed, which exhibited two improvements over the previous protocols. In this Comment, it is shown that the scheme has no properties as been discussed.

Keywords: Quantum key distribution, Bell operator, entanglement swapping

Chong Li *et al.* presented a quantum key distribution (QKD) scheme based on entanglement swapping [1]. Two improvements over the previous protocols were exhibited:

(1) If the communicators share enough known entangled pairs before the key supply, the sender (Alice) need not send any particle to the receiver (Bob).

(2) The efficiency can approach four-bit secret communication per two entangled pairs (in the BB84 protocol, only one bit per pair of particles is achieved).

The aim of this comment, however, is to point out that there are no such two advantages in Ref. [1].

As to advantage (1), after finishing the communication, Alice and Bob no longer share any entangled pair. That is these entangled pairs shared before cannot be reused as in [2,3]. So the communicators must share enough known entangled pairs before the key supply in every communication. i.e. sharing entangled pairs is the premise. So for a complete protocol, we must consider how to share entangled pairs first. There are many ways to accomplish this. For example, Alice (Bob) prepares a sequence of EPR pairs and then sends one particle of each pair to Bob (Alice); or a trusted third party prepares a sequence of EPR pairs and then sends each

particle from each pair to Alice and Bob respectively; or Alice and Bob share EPR pairs in other ways. However, particles are sent in all these methods. Many protocols [4,5] need not send any particle if communicators share enough known entangled pairs initially. So we have no reason to confess that the advantage (1) stands.

As to advantage (2), the following equality holds according to the feather of entangle swapping (For convenience, we use the same notation as in Ref. [1]).

$$|\Phi\rangle_{ABAB}^{1234} = \frac{1}{2}\{|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{13}|\psi^+\rangle_{AB}^{24} + |\phi^-\rangle_{AB}^{13}|\psi^-\rangle_{AB}^{24} + |\psi^+\rangle_{AB}^{13}|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{24} + |\psi^-\rangle_{AB}^{13}|\phi^-\rangle_{AB}^{24}\}$$

In the Ref. [1], we get 4 bit keys, 0010,1101,1000 or 0111. However, from the Bell operator measurement results of Alice, we can determine the results of Bob. That is to say, the results between Alice and Bob have strict relevance. In light of the information theory, we get the entropy of information:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \log p_i = -\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} = 2bit$$

So the efficiency can only approach two bit keys per two entangled pairs.

According to the above analysis, we show that there are no such two advantages in Ref. [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Chong Li, He-Shan Song, Ling Zhou and Chun-Feng Wu, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5 (2003) 155-157. See also quant-ph/0302193.
- [2] Y. S. Zhang, C. F. Li, G. C. G, Phys. Rev. A 64, 024302 (2001).
- [3] Saber Bagherinezhad and Vahid Karimipour, Phys. Rev. A 67, 044302 (2003).
- [4] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. A 61, 052312 (2000).
- [5] Fu-Guo Deng and G. L. Long, Phys. Rev. A 68, 042315 (2003).

This work is supported by the China National Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 60373059, the National Laboratory for Modern Communications Science Foundation of China Grant No. 51436020103DZ4001, National Research Foundation for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China Grant No. 20040013007.