

# Quantum nonlocality obtained from local states by entanglement purification

P. Walther<sup>1\*</sup>, K.J. Resch<sup>1\*</sup>, Č. Brukner<sup>1</sup>, A.M. Steinberg<sup>1,2</sup>, J.-W. Pan<sup>1,3</sup>, and A. Zeilinger<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Wien, Boltzmannngasse 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Toronto, 60 St. George Street, Toronto ON M5S 1A7 Canada*

<sup>3</sup>*Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

<sup>4</sup>*IQOQI, Institut für Quantenoptik und Quanteninformation,*

*Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Austria*

*\* These authors contributed equally to this work.*

**We have applied an entanglement purification protocol to produce a single entangled pair of photons capable of violating a CHSH Bell inequality from two pairs that individually could not. The initial poorly-entangled photons were created by a controllable decoherence that introduced complex errors. All of the states were reconstructed using quantum state tomography which allowed for a quantitative description of the improvement of the state after purification.**

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Ta, 42.50.Dv

Distributed entanglement is a prerequisite for quantum communication and quantum computation. Unfortunately, entanglement is very fragile and can prove difficult to maintain particularly between separated parties and over extended timescales. This places stringent limits on the range over which quantum communication can take place without some sort of restoration. In this experimental work, we create two photon pairs with low entanglement and purity through controllable decoherence. This noise is very complex and is not engineered with a specific, but limited, purification scheme in mind [1, 2]. After this decoherence each pair cannot violate a CHSH inequality [3] or any other known inequality [4] and we refer to the states as “local”. We apply an entanglement purification protocol to these initial pairs and through quantum state tomography show quantitative improvement in the qualities of the purified pair. This is confirmed by the final pair violating a CHSH Bell inequality proving that it cannot be described by a local realistic model and can serve as the crucial entanglement resource for a number of quantum communication protocols, such as quantum communication complexity [5].

Entanglement can be created via local interactions between particles. However, once the particles are no longer in direct contact, or connected by a quantum channel, their entanglement cannot be increased on average [6]. There are, however, methods for increasing the entanglement or state purity of a subset of the entangled particles [7, 8]. In the general entanglement purification scheme proposed by Bennett et al. [9], Alice and Bob share two pairs of low-quality entangled particles such that each has one particle from each pair. They perform local entangling operations on their respective particles, and then each make a local measurement on one of the particles. By comparing their measurement outcomes and performing local transformations based on those results, they retain a single shared pair of particles which can be of both higher entanglement

and higher purity. After many rounds of this procedure, Alice and Bob can share a state asymptotically close to a maximally-entangled pure state such as one of the Bell states  $|\phi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle|V\rangle)$  and  $|\psi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|V\rangle \pm |V\rangle|H\rangle)$ . While this scheme requires technically difficult CNOT operations [10], it was shown that a commonly used linear optical element, the polarizing beam-splitter (PBS), could be used in its place if one is satisfied with probabilistic operation [11].

The entanglement purification experiment of Pan et al. [12] served as a proof of principle, but the qualities of the input states were reduced in an artificial way. Input states were created via local polarization rotations on highly pure and entangled photon pairs. By averaging over two different polarization rotations, the authors argued they had an effectively mixed state. However, local polarization rotations cannot, change either the purity or entanglement of the photon pairs. Furthermore, these rotations only introduce bit-flip errors and create mixtures of only two of the four Bell states. Mixtures of two Bell states always display nonlocal behaviour (i.e., violate a Bell inequality), except for the case of exactly equal mixture [13], which cannot be purified.

Here, we create effectively mixed polarization states by entangling the polarization degree of freedom from highly entangled photon pairs with their arrival times [1, 8, 14]; these time shifts are far too small to be measured by our detectors and constitute for all practical purposes irreversible interaction with the environment. Entanglement with this unobserved degree of freedom reduces the quantum coherences in the polarization states required for high entanglement and purity. Our decoherence creates states with incoherent contributions from all of the Bell states by introducing complex phase, bit-flip, and correlated errors. Using quantum state tomography [15], we reconstruct the full two-photon polarization states of our initial pairs and purified pair. The Clauser-Holt-Shimony-Horne (CHSH) Bell inequality [3] shows a con-

flict between local realism and measured polarization correlations when the Bell parameter,  $S$  (a function of those correlations), is larger than 2. We introduce sufficient decoherence to make our initial states incapable of violating such an inequality for any analyzer settings. We then quantitatively measure the improvement in the entanglement and the purity of our purified state; our final state violates a Bell inequality by more than  $2\sigma$ .

At the heart of this entanglement purification method is the PBS (Fig. 1). A PBS transmits horizontally-polarized (H) light and reflects vertically-polarized (V) light. If two photons enter the PBS from two different inputs, then the photons are sent to different outputs if and only if they are both H or both V. For this reason the action of the PBS and post-selection of photons in the two different outputs constitutes a determination that the parity of the photons is even [11, 16]. The CNOT operation on a control (C) and target (T) photon polarization performs:  $|H\rangle_C|H\rangle_T \rightarrow |H\rangle_C|H\rangle_T$ ,  $|H\rangle_C|V\rangle_T \rightarrow |H\rangle_C|V\rangle_T$ ,  $|V\rangle_C|H\rangle_T \rightarrow |V\rangle_C|V\rangle_T$ ,  $|V\rangle_C|V\rangle_T \rightarrow |V\rangle_C|H\rangle_T$ . These operations are related since if the target photon is measured in the state H after a CNOT, then the input photons had even parity. However, the PBS-based scheme is limited to 50% of the efficiency of the CNOT scheme, since it only measures cases with even parity. Furthermore, the linear optics parity check only generates the requisite entanglement when the photons overlap coherently at the PBS.

In our protocol (Fig. 1) Alice and Bob each has one photon from each entangled pair. They, locally, perform parity checks and measure the polarization of one of their PBS outcomes (modes a1' & b2') in the  $|\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle)$  basis. Alice and Bob keep the photons in the other modes (a2' & b1'), only in those cases when they both obtain the  $|+\rangle$  outcome. If each pair of entangled photons has a minimum fidelity  $F > 0.5$  with a Bell state, and if both parity checks succeed, then those remaining photons can be both of higher purity and of higher entanglement [11]. The interested reader is referred to [9] for a mathematical analysis of purification using CNOT operations and to [11, 18] for a detailed theoretical treatment of the linear optics implementation.

In our experiment, we create two pairs of entangled photons using type-II parametric down-conversion [17]. An ultraviolet (UV) pulse passes twice through a  $\beta$ -barium borate (BBO) crystal, which emits highly-entangled photons both into the forward pair of modes a2 & b2 and into the backward pair of modes a1 & b1 (Fig. 2). To counter the effects of birefringence in the down-conversion BBO crystal, one normally rotates the polarization of each photon by  $90^\circ$  with half-wave plates (HWP) and passes them through a second set of BBO crystals. Failure to do so results in degradation of the pair's entanglement from unwanted correlations between the polarization and the time-of-arrival of the photons. By rotating by an angle less than  $90^\circ$ , we introduce con-

trollable effective decoherence in the polarization states of our photon pairs.

Down-conversion is a probabilistic source of entangled photons and thus can produce two photon pairs in the same pair of modes (eg. a2 & b2) with about the same probability as two pairs into four different modes. It has been shown that if the phases of the forward double pair and backward double pair are held stable, then the double pair emission can enhance the efficiency of purification over the case with no double pair emission [18]. We, therefore, kept the path lengths strictly controlled and enclosed the setup to minimize air fluctuations for the duration of the measurements.

The density matrices of the forward- and backward-emitted pairs were reconstructed via a "maximum likelihood" method [19, 20] from our experimental data are shown in Fig. 3a and 3b, respectively. The HWP in each decoherer rotated the photon polarizations by  $50^\circ$  for the forward pair and  $62^\circ$  for the backward pair, instead of the ideal  $90^\circ$ . These rotation angles were chosen to reduce the maximum possible Bell parameter to  $S < 2$ . The angles differ most likely due to experimental asymmetries in the pump characteristics and to spatial filtering. The two initial density matrices are similar, but have interesting differences. Both input pairs contain large diagonal elements in the HH and VV positions with non-maximal negative coherences so both states are primarily  $|\phi^-\rangle$ . The extra diagonal terms and coherences in the density matrices, more prominent for the forward pair, indicate that there are also  $|\psi^\pm\rangle$  components in our states. The smaller than maximal coherences between HH and VV, which are more prominent for the backward pair, show that our states are highly mixed. Using the method of Horodecki et al. [13] on our initial states, we find that the maximum CHSH-Bell parameter one could possibly measure is  $S_{MAX} = 1.89 \pm 0.02$  for the forward pair and  $S_{MAX} = 1.90 \pm 0.014$  for the backward pair. The errors on quantities extracted from the density matrices were calculated via a Monte Carlo procedure. Both of our initial states are more than  $5\sigma$  below the nonlocality border of  $S = 2$  and cannot serve as the crucial entanglement resource for many quantum communication protocols. Our initial states were also checked against the 3322 and 3422 inequalities of Collins and Gisin [4], which are complementary to the CHSH inequality, and found that these inequalities could also not be violated.

The PBS acts in a preferred basis, the H/V basis. This makes purification more efficient at purifying Bell states with different H/V correlations (i.e.,  $|\psi^\pm\rangle$  states from  $|\phi^\pm\rangle$  noise) than it is from purifying Bell states with the same H/V correlations (i.e.,  $|\psi^\pm\rangle$  states from  $|\psi^\pm\rangle$  noise). One can convert between different Bell states through local polarization rotations without changing the entanglement or purity. With coloured noise, these local rotations can increase the efficiency of purification. We perform polarization rotations using the four HWP which

convert  $|\phi^-\rangle$  to  $|\psi^+\rangle$  and also permute the noise contributions. This allows higher degree of purification and converts our output state to  $|\psi^+\rangle$ .

Fig. 3c shows the density matrix of our final state after the purification protocol. Whereas the initial state measurements were based on direct 2-photon coincidence counts, the final state measurements were based on 4-fold coincidence counts - two counts signal that Alice and Bob both measure the  $|+\rangle$  outcome and the second two are used for tomography of the purified state. Four-fold coincidences were accumulated for 6000s per point and were normalized by the square of the coincidences between the two fixed measurement detectors (modes a1' & b2') to account for changes in the laser power. The final state contains large diagonal elements in the HV and VH positions and has large positive coherences between them - this is the signature of  $|\psi^+\rangle$ . The larger coherences and the lack of significant terms in other diagonal density matrix elements indicate qualitatively that our state has both improved purity and entanglement characteristics. We express the improvement quantitatively by computing the maximum Bell parameter one could measure from this state for optimal measurement settings,  $S_{MAX} = 2.28 \pm 0.06$ . From our tomographic reconstruction we conclude that our final state can violate a Bell inequality by  $4\sigma$ . Using this same final state, we explicitly measured the Bell parameter for the measurement settings of  $-22.5^\circ, 22.5^\circ$  for Alice (mode a2') and  $0^\circ, 45^\circ$  for Bob (mode b1') to be  $S_{MEAS} = 2.29 \pm 0.13$  - a  $2.2\sigma$  violation. For the same settings, we predict the Bell parameter from the density matrix and find  $S_{DM} = 2.17 \pm 0.07$ , in good agreement with our measured results.

For bipartite states, the entanglement can be quantified by the tangle [21], while the purity can be quantified by the linear entropy  $S_L = \frac{4}{3}(1 - \text{Tr}[\rho^2])$ . To show that the entanglement and purity of our state are improved through the purification process we plot the input and final states in Fig. 4 [22]. The initial states have very low Tangle (low entanglement) and high Linear Entropy (low purity). Both the tangle and entropy of our final state are significantly improved by the purification process.

Decoherence is one of the most difficult obstacles facing experimental quantum information processing and communication. In this work, two photon pairs were decohered by complex noise that introduced both phase and bit-flip errors. We have used a linear-optics-based protocol to purify the states, and quantitatively measured the resulting improvement in their quantum properties. Our initial states were so noisy that their polarization correlations could not violate a CHSH inequality and would thus be useless for many quantum communication tasks. In contrast, the nonlocality of our final state was explicitly demonstrated by violation of a Bell inequality. The newly created nonlocal correlations constituted an entanglement resource for quantum communication protocols. Counteracting the negative effects of decoherence is es-

sential to the success of quantum information.

The authors thank Chris Ellenor and Morgan Mitchell for expertise and software and Andrew White for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), NSERC, the DARPA QuIST program managed by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, and the European Commission (RAMBOQ).

- 
- [1] N.A. Peters, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 133601 (2004).
  - [2] T. Yamamoto, et al., *Nature* **421**, 343 (2003).
  - [3] J.S. Bell, *Physics* **1**, 195 (1965); J. Clauser, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 880 (1969).
  - [4] D. Collins, *N. J. Phys. A* **37**, 1775 (2004).
  - [5] D. Collins, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 170405 (2002); C. Brukner, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 127901 (2004).
  - [6] V. Vedral, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2275 (1997).
  - [7] C.H. Bennett, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 2046 (1996).
  - [8] P.G. Kwiat, et al., *Nature* **409**, 1014 (2001).
  - [9] C.H. Bennett, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 722 (1996).
  - [10] T.B. Pittman, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 032316 (2003); J.J. O'Brien, et al., *Nature* **426**, 264 (2003); S. Gasparoni, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 020504 (2004).
  - [11] J.-W. Pan, et al., *Nature* **410**, 1067 (2001).
  - [12] J.-W. Pan, et al., *Nature* **423**, 417 (2003).
  - [13] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, *Phys. Lett. A* **200**, 340 (1995).
  - [14] P.G. Kwiat, et al., *Science* **498**, (2000).
  - [15] A.G. White, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3103 (1999).
  - [16] T.B. Pittman, B.C. Jacobs, J.D. Franson, *Phys. Rev. A*, **64**, 062311 (2001).
  - [17] P.G. Kwiat, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4337 (1995).
  - [18] C. Simon, J.-W. Pan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 257901 (2002).
  - [19] Z. Hradil, *Phys. Rev. A*, **55**, R1561 (1997); K. Banaszek, et al., *Phys. Rev. A*, **61**, 010304(R) (1999).
  - [20] D.F.V. James, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 052312 (2001).
  - [21] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. A*, **61**, 052306 (2000).
  - [22] A.G. White, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 012301 (2002).

**Fig. 1** Schematic for entanglement purification. A source produces two pairs of entangled photons, one photon from each pair travels to Alice and the others to Bob. In each quantum channel, decoherence degrades the entanglement and purity of the quantum states. Each party mixes their photons through a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS) oriented in the H/V basis and measures the outputs a1' & b2' in the  $|\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle)$  basis. Given that the four photons each take a different PBS output and both Alice and Bob find the same measurement outcome (in our experiment  $|+\rangle$ ), the remaining photon pair in modes a2' & b1' has been purified.

**Fig. 2** The experimental setup for purifying mixed entangled states. Entangled photon pairs are created when an ultraviolet laser pulse makes two passes through a  $\beta$ -barium borate (BBO) crystal. Rotating the half-wave plate (HWP) in front of each compensating BBO crystal (COMP) by less than  $90^\circ$  creates a tunable degree of decoherence. All four HWPs are left in to rotate  $45^\circ$  to en-

hance the efficiency of purification under our experimental noise conditions. Modes from the two different pairs (a1 & a2 and b1 & b2) are combined at the two PBSs which perform parity checks. In the output modes a1' & b2', projective measurements are made onto the state  $|+\rangle$  using single-mode fiber coupled single-photon counting detectors behind 3-nm bandwidth interference filters. Tomographic and Bell inequality measurements are performed using the HWPs and quarter-wave plates (QWPs) in these output modes and two more fiber-coupled detectors also behind interference filters.

**Fig. 3** Tomographic reconstruction of the density matrices before and after purification. The real (left-hand side) and imaginary (right-hand side) parts of the density matrices of a) the initial forward pair, b) the initial backward pair, and c) the purified pair. The maximum possible Bell parameter,  $S_{MAX}$ , from the CHSH-Bell inequality

for any set of measurements is  $1.89 \pm 0.02$  for the initial forward pair and  $1.90 \pm 0.014$  for the initial backward pair. For the purified state we measured the Bell parameter with the polarizer settings  $-22.5^\circ$  &  $22.5^\circ$  in mode a3 and  $0^\circ$  &  $45^\circ$  in mode b4 to be  $S_{MEAS} = 2.29 \pm 0.13 > 2$  – a  $2.2\text{-}\sigma$  Bell inequality violation. The final purified state cannot be described by any local realistic theory.

**Fig. 4** Quantifying the state's improvement through purification. Quantum state purity and entanglement can be characterized by the linear entropy of the quantum state and by its tangle. The initial states (open circle and open square) are of high linear entropy and low tangle - i.e., they are highly mixed and have low entanglement. After purification, the new state (solid square) is of higher purity and higher entanglement. For reference, all physical states lie below the solid line [22].







