

Quantum Energy in a Vibrating Cavity

Paweł Węgrzyn

*Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Reymonta 4, 30-059 Cracow, Poland**

We discuss the quantized field inside a general one-dimensional cavity system. We recognize the $SL(2, R)$ symmetry being the remainder of the conformal group. The explanation of the lack of the resonance production for the fundamental frequency is given and the asymptotic behavior of the cavity system is properly described.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Lc, 03.70.+k, 11.10.-z

The quantized massless scalar field in one spatial dimension restricted to live in a finite but periodically oscillating cavity was studied in many papers. It results in the generation of particles due to the instability of the vacuum state in the presence of time-dependent boundaries. This subject has been reviewed recently in [1]. From experimental point of view, the most interesting aspect is the instability of the system triggered by the parametric opto-mechanical resonance. In these cases the total energy of the field is exponentially growing with time.

Investigations concerning time-dependent cavity systems, referred usually as dynamical Casimir effect or motion-induced radiation, have been performed mainly in one spatial dimension. Our paper is a supplement to the subject and we reveal the role of the remnant $SL(2, R)$ symmetry in such investigations. Relying on the symmetry, we derive general formulas for quantum energies and numbers of produced particles and we consider basic examples of cavity systems in one spatial dimension.

The standard model consists in the massless scalar field $A(x, t)$ which satisfies the classical wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The left cavity wall is fixed at position $x = 0$, while the right wall is oscillating with some prescribed trajectory $L(t)$. It is reasonable to assume that the cavity oscillates during some finite time period $0 < t < T$. For $t < 0$ and $t > T$, the cavity is static and its length is L . The complete set of basic classical solutions for this problem was found in [2]:

$$A_k(x, t) = N_k [\exp(-i\omega_k R(t+x)) - \exp(-i\omega_k R(t-x))] , \quad (1)$$

where the resonance frequencies are $\omega_k = k\omega$, with $\omega = \omega_1 = \pi/L$, the normalization factor is $N_k = i/(4\pi k)^{-1/2}$ and the phase function is to be derived from the Moore equation:

$$R(t+L(t)) - R(t-L(t)) = 2L . \quad (2)$$

It will be more useful in later applications to express the above equation in the following way:

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{t-L(t)}^{t+L(t)} d\tau \dot{R}(\tau) = 1 . \quad (3)$$

The quantization of the field follows the development given in [2, 3], and we recall the results obtained there (see also [4]). The new aspect of our treatment is the detailed discussion of the quantum energy. Therefore, we skip the quantization procedure and carry over main results from previous papers, and we focus on the output resulting in the formulas for the total energy and the number of produced particles.

The vacuum expectation value for the energy density inside the cavity can be presented in the following form:

$$\langle T_{00}(x, t) \rangle = \langle 1/2(\partial_t A)^2 + 1/2(\partial_x A)^2 \rangle = \varrho(t+x) + \varrho(t-x) , \quad (4)$$

where the profile function for left- and right-movers is defined by:

$$\varrho(\tau) = -\frac{\pi}{48L^2} \dot{R}^2(\tau) - \frac{1}{24\pi} S[R](\tau) . \quad (5)$$

The second term is responsible for particle production and it is defined by the Schwartz derivative:

$$S[R] = \frac{\ddot{R}}{\dot{R}} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\ddot{R}}{\dot{R}} \right)^2 . \quad (6)$$

*Electronic address: wegrzyn@th.if.uj.edu.pl

The formula for the total energy can be presented in the following form:

$$E(t) = \int_0^{L(t)} dx \langle T_{00}(x, t) \rangle = \int_{t-L(t)}^{t+L(t)} d\tau \varrho(\tau) . \quad (7)$$

Obviously, if the cavity ends the oscillations and returns to its static state, then the total energy reaches its final value. Therefore, for all $t > T$ we have $E(t) = E(T)$. However, the energy density is still changing with time. We can observe travelling wave packets which bounce between cavity walls. For $t > T$, it is meaningful to define the Bogolubov coefficients which are eventually time-independent:

$$\beta_{kl} = -\frac{1}{2L} \sqrt{\frac{l}{k}} \int_{t-L}^{t+L} d\tau e^{-i\omega_k R(\tau) - i\omega_l \tau} . \quad (8)$$

The number of photons in k -th mode and the total number of photons produced in the oscillating cavity are given by:

$$n_k = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{lk}|^2 , \quad N = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \quad (9)$$

Let us now begin with the analysis of the above formulas. First, we consider the trivial static case $L(t) = L$. The Moore equation Eq.(2) possesses many solutions, which correspond to different choices of the basis in the quantum space of field states. A particular solution is the identity function $R(z) = z$ which provides us with the standard basis composed of standing waves. In the static case, the composition of any solutions of Eq.(2) gives also a solution. If we add that the phase function must be invertible, we see that the set of solutions of Eq.(2) forms a group. Finally, in any basis the calculations in the static case lead to the same conclusion that the number of produced particles is zero: $N = 0$, and the total energy equals the Casimir zero energy: $E = -\pi/24L = -\omega/24$.

Next, we will examine the dynamical case. Looking at Eq.(5), we cannot guess whether the total energy is bounded from below. We should prove that to provide the ultimate justification of the quantization of the model. The contribution from the first term of Eq.(5) is always negative. It is easy to derive from Eq.(3) that this contribution is even smaller than the static Casimir energy for the instant value of the distance between mirrors:

$$-\frac{\pi}{48L^2} \int_{t-L(t)}^{t+L(t)} d\tau \dot{R}^2(\tau) \leq -\frac{\pi}{24} \frac{1}{L(t)} . \quad (10)$$

If we refer to the terminology used in literature, this negative contribution may be called *sub-Casimir energy*. Depending on the specific cavity motion, this negative energy part can be arbitrarily large. It may be freely large even if the distance between cavity walls is kept big enough, so that the cavity length is never smaller than some fixed value.

In order to simplify the analysis of the total energy in its full extent, we introduce the following function:

$$T(\tau) = \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} R^{-1}(\tau) \right)^{-1/2} . \quad (11)$$

A nice feature of the above substitution is that it leads to the quadratic form in the integrand of Eq.(7):

$$E = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{t'-L}^{t'+L} d\tau \left(\dot{T}^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{2} T^2 \right) , \quad (12)$$

where $t' = R(t-L) + L = R(t+L) - L$ and the Moore equation Eq.(3) is now equivalent to:

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{t'-L}^{t'+L} d\tau \frac{1}{T^2(\tau)} = 1 . \quad (13)$$

We examine the cavity in its final stage for $t > T$. It is straightforward to find the stationary points of the action Eq.(12) with the constraint Eq.(13). They correspond to the following solutions:

$$T_{min}^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + D^2) + \frac{1}{2}(A^2 + B^2 - C^2 - D^2) \cos(\omega\tau) - (AC + BD) \sin(\omega\tau) , \quad (14)$$

where the integration constants are real numbers that satisfy the condition $AD - BC = 1$. Using Eq.(11), we can evaluate the phase functions for cavity motions that minimizes the total energy:

$$R_{min}(\tau) = \frac{2}{\omega} \arctan \left(\sigma \left(\tan \frac{\omega\tau}{2} \right) \right), \quad (15)$$

where the consecutive branches of the multivalued function \arctan should be properly chosen to have the phase function increasing. We have introduced the inner function:

$$\sigma(\tau) = \frac{A\tau + B}{C\tau + D}. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to verify that for all solutions Eq.(15) the number of produced photons is just zero $N = 0$ and the total energy matches the static Casimir value $E = -\omega/24$. The set of minimal solutions forms a representation of $SL(2, R)$ group. The inverse of the phase function is given by:

$$R_{min}^{-1}(\tau) = \frac{2}{\omega} \arctan \left(\sigma^{-1} \left(\tan \frac{\omega\tau}{2} \right) \right), \quad (17)$$

where $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) = (D\tau - B)/(-C\tau + A)$. The existence of $SL(2, R)$ symmetry is related to conformal transformations that leave space boundaries unchanged. These transformations are just:

$$t \pm x \longrightarrow R_{min}(t \pm x). \quad (18)$$

To consider infinitesimal transformations, we put $A = 1 + a$, $B = b$, $C = c$ and $D = 1 - a$, where parameters a, b, c are assumed to be small. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega t &\longrightarrow \omega t + (b - c) + (b + c) \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega x) + 2a \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega x) \\ \omega x &\longrightarrow \omega x - (b + c) \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega x) + 2a \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega x) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

A group of $SL(2, R)$ transformations is composed of time translations and periodic deformations of coordinates with the fundamental frequency ω . For any cavity motion, it is easy to check that for final times $t > T$ the transformed phase function $R \circ R_{min}$ corresponds to the same energy density Eq.(5) and the same number of particles N as those obtained using the function R .

The existence of $SL(2, R)$ symmetry suggests us that it is convenient to represent the resonant solutions of the Moore equation in the following form:

$$R(\tau) = \frac{2}{\omega_k} \arctan \left(\sigma_j \left(\tan \frac{\omega_k \tau}{2} \right) \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (20)$$

where $\sigma_j(\tau)$ are now arbitrary increasing functions. The period interval $2L$ that appears in integral formulas is now divided into k equal pieces. In general, the inner function σ may differ in the subintervals and it justifies the subscript j . The profile function of the energy density Eq.(5) is given by:

$$\varrho(\tau) = -\frac{k^2 \omega^2}{48\pi} (1 + (1 + v^2)^2 S[\sigma_j](v)) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)\omega^2 (1 + v^2)^2 \sigma_j^2(v)}{48\pi (1 + \sigma_j^2(v))^2}, \quad (21)$$

where $v = \tan(\omega_k \tau/2)$. The total energy is equal to:

$$E = -k^2 \frac{\omega}{24} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k\pi} \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv (1 + v^2) S[\sigma_j](v) \right) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)\omega}{24k\pi} \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv \frac{(1 + v^2) \sigma_j^2(v)}{(1 + \sigma_j^2(v))^2}, \quad (22)$$

and the Bogolubov coefficients can be calculated from:

$$\beta_{kl} = \frac{(-1)^{k+l+1}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{l}{k}} \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{1 + v^2} \left(\frac{v + i}{v - i} \right)^l \left(\frac{\sigma_j(v) + i}{\sigma_j(v) - i} \right)^k. \quad (23)$$

We apply the above description and formulas to consider several examples of models considered in literature. Let us begin with the model of sinusoidal cavity oscillations:

$$L(t) = L + \Delta L \sin(\omega_k t). \quad (24)$$

Obviously, we are to assume that $\Delta L < L$ and $\omega_k \Delta L < 1$. The asymptotic solution for phase function was found in [5, 6] in the following form:

$$R(\tau) = \tau - \frac{2}{\omega_k} \text{Im} \left(\ln [1 + \zeta + e^{i\omega_k \tau} (1 - \zeta)] \right) , \quad (25)$$

where $\zeta = \exp [(-1)^{k+1} \omega_k \tau \Delta L / L]$. It is straightforward to rewrite this solution as:

$$R(\tau) = \frac{2}{\omega_k} \arctan \left(\zeta \tan \frac{\omega_k \tau}{2} \right) , \quad (26)$$

or we can simply state that $\sigma_j(v) = \zeta v$. For large times T , we can consider ζ to be constant and calculate easily the total energy from Eq.(22):

$$E = -k^2 \frac{\omega}{24} + \frac{(k^2 - 1)\omega}{24} \cosh(\omega_k T \Delta L / L) . \quad (27)$$

This result agrees with [5, 6, 7]. The lack of the resonance production of particles for the lowest frequency $\omega_1 = \omega$ is due to the $SL(2, R)$ symmetry.

Some exact analytical solution corresponding to a vibrating cavity system was presented in [8] for the resonance channel $k = 2$ and generalized in [9] for higher resonances. The solutions correspond to a family of wall motions:

$$L(t) = L + \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left\{ \arcsin \left[\sin \frac{\omega_k \Delta L}{2} \cos(\omega_k t) \right] - \frac{\omega_k \Delta L}{2} \right\} . \quad (28)$$

The solutions for corresponding phase functions can be presented in the form Eq.(20) with

$$\sigma_j(v) = v / [1 - (T/L - 1)v \tan(\omega_k \Delta L / 2)] , \quad (29)$$

for k even and

$$\sigma_j(v) = v + (T/L - 1) \tan(\omega_k \Delta L / 2) , \quad (30)$$

for k odd. Again, the Schwartz derivatives $S[\sigma_j]$ vanish. It is straightforward to obtain the energy density and the Bogolubov coefficients. In this case, the energy happens to grow quadratically with time.

Using Eq.(22) and Eq.(23), we can prove for our examples of cavity systems that the standard relation between the total energy and the number of particles in particular modes holds:

$$E = -\frac{\omega}{24} + \sum_k n_k \omega_k . \quad (31)$$

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the quantum energy in a time dependent cavity system is well defined. The total energy is always greater than the Casimir energy of the corresponding static cavity system. However, the local expectation values of the energy density may take arbitrary large negative values. Unlike other previous studies on the same subject, we show the important role of $SL(2, R)$ symmetry in the analysis of cavity systems. This symmetry is a remainder of the conformal symmetry. It helps to explain the lack of the mechanism of particle production for the lowest, fundamental resonance frequency. We also described the asymptotic behavior of the resonant cavity system.

- [1] V.V.Dodonov, Modern Nonlinear Optics, Part 1, p.309-394 (Advances in Chemical Physics, Volume 119, ed. by M.W.Evans, Wiley, N.Y., 2001).
- [2] G.T. Moore. J. Math. Phys. **11**, 2679 (1970).
- [3] S.A. Fulling, C.W. Davies, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **348**, 393 (1976).
- [4] P. Węgrzyn, T. Róg, Acta Phys. Polon. **B 34**, 3887 (2003).
- [5] V.V.Dodonov, A.B.Klimov, Phys. Lett. **A 167**, 309 (1992).
- [6] V.V. Dodonov, A.B. Klimov, D.E. Nikonov, J.Math.Phys. **34**, 2742 (1993).
- [7] M.Bordag, U.Mohideen, V.M.Mostepanenko, Physics Reports **353**, 1-205 (2001).
- [8] C.K.Law, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1931 (1994).
- [9] Y.Wu, K.W.Chan, M.C.Chu, P.T.Leung, Phys.Rev. **A 59**, 1662 (1999).