## Energy Dissipation in Quantum Computers

A.Granik<sup>\*</sup>and G.Chapline<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

A method is described for calculating the heat generated in a quantum computer due to loss of quantum phase information. Amazingly enough, this heat generation can take place at zero temperature. and may explain why it is impossible to extract energy from vacuum fluctuations. Implications for optical computers and quantum cosmology are also briefly discussed.

During the last decade the literature on quantum computing grew so fast and became so vast, that to review it would require a separate paper which is not our purpose. We consider the book by M.Nielsen and I.Chuang [1] as a well-written and useful reference text on the topic. Our goal is more modest since we would like to address one of the problems of a general still posing a serious obstacle on the path to construction of a practical quantum computer. The problem is how to prevent destruction of phase coherence by measurements.

In this letter we draw attention to the similarity of such coherence destroying measurements to logically irreversible operations in a classical computer. As was first noted by R.Landauer [2], logically irreversible operations *inevitably* result in generation of heat. In particular, Landauer showed that destruction of 1 bit of information in a computer whose environment has temperature T results in a production of kTln2 amount of heat. We will show that destruction of phase information in a quantum computer also results in a production of heat.

One must be tempted to question whether Landauer's principle applies to phase information since Shannon's measure of information ,  $-\sum_n p_n lnp_n$ , depends only on the probabilities  $p_n$  for finding the system in various states. On the other hand, phase information is certainly required to describe the quantum state of a system. This suggests that the phase information may reasonably be expected to fall into the category of "algorithmic information" [3] It has been suggested [4] that Landauer's principle does in fact apply to destruction of algorithmic information.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Physics, University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA.95211; E-mail: agranik@uop.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Lab., Livermore, CA.94550; E-mail: Chapline1@LLNL.gov

This is certainly true for simple systems in thermal equilibrium with a hot environment, where ensemble average of algorithmic information is essential identical with the usual Gibbs-Boltzmann entropy [4],[5]. However, for cold quantum systems the question seems less clear, since phase information is largely contained in off-diagonal elements of the density matrix, which are not directly related to thermodynamic quantities. On the other hand, energy dissipation in quantum systems can be calculated directly from the equations of motion for the density matrix.

For a closed quantum system there can be no loss of phase information: indeed, knowledge of phases is exactly equivalent to knowing how the probabilities for the system to be in various states change with time. This is illustrated by the Schroedinger equation written in the following form:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla \phi)^2 + V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla lnp)^2 + \nabla^2 (lnp) \right]$$
(1)

The left-hand side of (1) is just the Hamilton-Jacobi operator for the phase  $\phi$  of the wave function  $\Psi(x,t)$ , while the r.h.s of (1) is a function of the probability  $p(x,t) = |\Psi|^2$  for finding a particle of position x.

Obviously, a quantum mechanical description of particle motion requires a complete knowledge of  $\phi(x,t)$ . Nevertheless there are many circumstances where knowledge of  $\phi(x,t)$  is effectively destroyed. For example, measurement of particle's position may result in a loss of information concerning  $\phi(x,t)$ . Although phase information in the complete system (particle  $\oplus$  measuring apparatus) is presumably conserved [6], there typically will be loss of information concerning  $\phi(x,t)$  when particle's position is measured. We will show in the following that this loss of phase information is accompanied by the generation of heat.

Our starting point is decoherence functional of Griffiths [7], OmnesRO, and Gell-Mann and Hartle [9]. We consider a closed quantum system consisting of "computer" interacting with an environment. A history for the system is found by specifying a state  $|\alpha(t)\rangle$  for the computer as a function of time. For each moment of time t one can construct a projection operator

$$P_{\alpha}(t) \equiv |\alpha(t)\rangle < \alpha(t)| \oplus I_e \tag{2}$$

which projects onto a particular history and leaves the environment *unchanged*. The projection operators for the same state at different times are connected by

$$P_{\alpha}(t) = e^{Ht/\hbar} P_{\alpha}(0) e^{-Ht/\hbar}$$
(3)

where H is the total Hamiltonian.

The decoherence functional for the system is defined for coarse grained histories obtained by specifying the states only at discrete times. If we denote the corresponding set of projection operators by  $[P_{\alpha}] \equiv P_{\alpha_1}^1(t_1), P_{\alpha_2}^2(t_2), ..., P_{\alpha_n}^n(t_n)$ the decoherence functional os then

$$D([P_{\alpha'}], [P_{\alpha}]) \equiv Tr[P_{\alpha'_{n}}^{n}(t_{n})P_{\alpha'_{1}}^{1}(t_{1}) \ \rho_{0} \ P_{\alpha_{1}}^{1}(t_{1})P_{\alpha_{n}}^{n}(t_{n})]$$
(4)

where  $\rho_0$  is the initial density matrix. In cases where  $\rho_0$  can be factored into a density matrix for the system and a density matrix for the environment, the decoherence functional can be expressed in terms of the influence functional of Feynman and Vernon [10]. This allows one to explicitly calculate the effects of phase decoherence when the environment consists of harmonic oscillators, since the influence functional can be explicitly calculated in this case [10].

Although the coarse graining of time histories is quite appropriate for computers, where the results of calculations are normally displayed at discrete times, it would be convenient for illustrative purposes to assume that our "computer" also consists of an array of harmonic oscillators whose amplitudes are monitored *continuously* in time and specified by paths  $x_i(t)$ . Although this example differs conceptually from the conceptions of quantum computers discussed, for example, in some pioneering theoretical models of such computers [11],[12],[13],[14] it is not without interest in itself. In particular, one might think of our array of harmonic oscillators as the eigenmodes of an optical resonator.

In optical realizations of associative memory [15], [16] information about this modes is typically stored holographically, and depending on the similarity of an input signal to one of the stored modes the optical system will resonate in one of the eigenmodes. In a quantum mechanical version of such an optical system the state of the system would be described by a wave function  $\Psi(x, t)$  where xdenotes the amplitude of the electric field corresponding to a particular eigenmode. Actually, optical versions of associative memories will typically include non-linear elements providing gain for the oscillators. Therefore the problem of describing (negative) dissipation arises out of necessity. Fortunately, the influence functional formalism is capable of describing the effects of feedback and gain. However, our main purpose here is not to describe the well-understood amplification of a coherent signal in a medium with gain, but instead to study energy dissipation associated with loss of phase information for  $\Psi(x, t)$ .

Assuming that the initial density matrix  $\rho_0$  for a harmonic oscillator plus environment ca be factorized, we obtain for the decoherence functional for a time interval t = 0 to  $t = t_f$  the following expression:

$$D(x(t), y(t)) = \delta[x(t_f) - y(t_f)] e^{i[S(x(t)) - S(y(t))]} e^{iW(x,y)}\rho(y(0), x(0))$$
(5)

where  $\rho(x(0), y(0))$  is the initial density matrix for the harmonic oscillator. The effect of the environment on the harmonic oscillator is summarized by the influence functional  $e^{iW}$ . If the harmonic oscillator is linearly coupled to the

environmental harmonic oscillators then W has the form [12]

$$W = i \int_0^{t_f} \int_0^{t_f} ds [x(t) - y(t)] [\alpha(t - s)x(s) - \alpha^*(t - s)y(s)]$$
(6)

We will use in (6) an expression for  $\alpha$  chosen on the basis of

a) a continuum of oscillators representing the thermal bath and

b) the representation of oscillator's density according to Zwanzig [17].

Given these assumptions, the real and imaginary parts of  $\alpha$  have the form

$$\alpha_R = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \int_0^\Omega \omega \coth \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \cos(t-s) d\omega \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha_I = \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \int_0^\Omega \omega \sin\omega(t-s) d\omega = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \frac{\Omega}{t-s} [\cos\Omega(t-s) - \frac{\sin\Omega(t-s)}{\Omega(t-s)}]$$
(8)

where  $\Omega$  is a high frequency cut-off and  $\eta$  is a damping coefficient.

The integral in (7) cannot be evaluated in a closed form. However, for the case which we are considering here, i.e.,  $T \to 0$ ,  $\alpha_R$  is easily found. This is achieved by replacing  $\operatorname{coth}(\omega/2k_BT)$  ( $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant) with 1 for all the frequencies  $0 \leq \omega \leq \Omega$ . We include  $\omega = 0$ , because at this point the integrand is 0, which is easily seen from the following:

a) 
$$\lim_{\substack{\omega \to 0 \\ T \to 0}} \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} = 0 \longrightarrow \lim_{\substack{\omega \to 0 \\ T \to 0}} \omega \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T} = \frac{\omega}{\hbar\omega/2k_B T} = \frac{2k_B T}{\hbar} = 0$$

b) 
$$\lim_{\substack{\hbar\omega\to 0\\T\to 0}} \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} = C \neq 0 \rightarrow \qquad \lim_{\substack{\omega\to 0\\T\to 0}} \omega \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T} = \omega \coth \frac{C}{2} = 0$$

c) 
$$\lim_{\substack{\omega \to 0 \\ T \to 0}} \frac{n\omega}{k_B T} \to \infty \to \lim_{\substack{\omega \to 0 \\ T \to 0}} \omega \coth \frac{n\omega}{2k_B T} = \omega = 0$$

As a result, we obtain from (7)

$$\alpha_R(T=0) = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \Omega \frac{\sin\Omega(t-s)}{t-s} - \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sin\frac{\Omega(t-s)}{2}}{\frac{t-s}{2}} \right)^2 \tag{9}$$

The imaginary part of W (Eq.6) is then

$$W_{I} = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} [x(t) - y(t)][x(s) - y(s)] \frac{\Omega sin\Omega(t-s)}{t-s} dt ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} [x(t) - y(t)][x(s) - y(s)] \left(\frac{sin\frac{\Omega(t-s)}{2}}{\frac{t-s}{2}}\right)^{2} ds dt \right\}$$
(10)

If  $\Omega \to \infty$  then  $\frac{1}{\pi} sin \frac{\Omega(t-s)}{(t-s)} = \delta(t-s)$ , and Eq.(10) yields

$$W_{I} = [\eta \Omega - \frac{\pi \eta}{2} \delta(0)] \int_{0}^{t} [x(t) - y(t)]^{2} dt$$
(11)

Taking into account the fact that  $\lim_{\Omega \to \infty} \frac{\Omega}{\pi} = \delta(0)^{-1}$ , we obtain from (11)

$$\lim_{\Omega \to \infty} \frac{W_I}{\hbar} = \frac{\eta \Omega}{2\hbar} \int_0^t [x(t) - y(t)]^2 dt$$
(12)

This expression allows us to estimate the decoherence time (corresponding to  $\frac{W_I}{\hbar} \approx 1$ ) at zero temperature T = 0:

$$t_d \approx \frac{1}{\eta \Omega} \frac{\hbar}{|x(0) - y(0)|^2} \tag{13}$$

If we compare  $t_d$  with the analogous expression for  $k_B T >> \hbar \Omega$  [18], namely

$$t_d \approx \frac{\hbar}{\eta k_B T} \frac{\hbar}{|x(0) - y(0)|^2}$$

we can see that  $\hbar\Omega$  now plays the role of the "temperature" <sup>2</sup>.

In order to calculate the energy transfer from our oscillator computer to its environment due to loss of phase information, we can treat the term in Eq.(5) arising from  $\alpha_R$  as a time dependent perturbation. If we neglect the effect of  $\alpha_I$ , the equation of motion for the oscillator density matrix in an unperturbed eigenstate basis is

$$\frac{d\rho_{nm}}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\omega_{nm}\rho_{nm} - \frac{\eta\Omega}{\pi\hbar} < n|[x, [x, \rho]]|m>$$
(14)

To first order in the interaction we find that the change in probability for finding the computer in its n - th energy eigenstate is

$$\Delta \rho_{nn} \simeq -\frac{\eta \Omega}{\pi \hbar} \int_0^\infty dt \int \int dx dy \ \rho_0(x, y) \psi_n^*(x) (x - y)^2 \psi_n(y) \tag{15}$$

where  $\rho_0(x, y)$  is the initial density matrix. From Eq.(15) it is straightforward to calculate the expected energy loss

$$\Delta E = \sum_{n} \Delta \rho_{nn} E_n$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>the detailed discussion of the validity of this limit is provided in [18]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>probably it can be called the decoherence "temperature"

Clearly, the same sort of procedure will apply to any quantum computer, with an appropriate operator replacing x in Eq.(14). Thus we have found a general method for calculating the energy loss associated with loss of phase information.

However, we have to inject a word of caution here. It should be noted that we have *not* found a general formula for the amount of phase information that has been dissipated. Therefore, it is not possible to compare our result directly with Landauer's principle. It is interesting that our formula for energy loss, Eq.(15), does not involve temperature at all, and hence one might be tempted to conclude that our result does not agree with Landauer's principle. However, injecting any amount of heat into a cold environment will raise its temperature, and so the question of whether our result agrees with Landauer's principle requires a more careful consideration.

The fact that energy dissipation can occur at *zero* temperature is nonetheless a unique result, and may have some bearing on the question whether it is possible to extract energy from quantum fluctuations of vacuum. Bennett [4] has pointed out that Landauer's principle lies at the heart of the Maxwell Demon problem: i.e., why it is impossible for information gathering system to extract energy from thermal fluctuations. One might expect that some kind of quantum analog of Landauer's principle would explain why it is impossible to extract energy from quantum fluctuations. Since a detailed description of quantum fluctuations would almost certainly involve phase information, the question of energy cost of erasing this information would very likely to play a decisive role. Furthermore, since quantum fluctuations can take place at zero temperature one would expect that the energy cost of erasing phase information should also *not* vanish at zero temperature, as is indicated by the above analysis.

The inevitable loss of phase information implied by Eqs.(5)-(13) does have certain implications for the possibility of using quantum effects in optical computing. Optical computers (e.g., [19]) normally use coherent states for the electromagnetic field. Roughly speaking, such a use of coherent states is equivalent to the requirement that every photon perform the same calculation. Apparently, greater computational power would become available if more general states for the photons, e.g., squeezed states, could be used. Unfortunately, Eqs(5)-(13) suggest that this is not possible in practice. As noted above, optical computers typically incorporate non-linear devices to provide gain. The usual formulae [18] describing decoherence due to thermal fluctuations become meaningless in the presence of such devices. However, our quantum decoherence formulae are presumably valid and imply that decoherence will occur in a time on the order of the given in Eq.(13). where  $\Omega$  is on the order of the photon frequency. This will be a very short time for high gain devices employing visible light. For example, in an optical computer using N photons and a non-linear amplifier with a gain 1  $cm^{-1}$ , the decoherence time  $t_d \approx \frac{10^{-10}}{N}$  sec.

A formally similar problem concerns the question of how classical space-time

emerges in quantum cosmology. As in the photon case, the classical field description for the metric of space-time represents a special state for the quantized gravitational field corresponding to loss of phase information [20]. Evidently, the initial state and environment for the gravitational field are such that the off-diagonal elements of the decoherence functional become small. In the twistor formulation of quantum gravity [21] the Lagrangian for the gravitational field has a form very similar to the usual electromagnetic field-atomic interaction, with certain twistor fields playing the role of atoms. Thus the behavior of quantum optical devices may provide a model for quantum cosmology. For example, the main theme of this short paper, the energy dissipation associated with loss of quantum information, should have an analog in quantum cosmology, In fact, it is very tempting to identify the heat generated as a result of the loss of phase information with  $3^0$  cosmic blackbody radiation.

## References

- M.A.Nielsen, I.L.Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, 2000
- [2] R.Landauer, IBM J.Res.Div, 5, 183(1961)
- [3] For a survey of the role of algorithmic information in physics see, for example, W.H.Zurek, Phys.Rev. A40, 4721(1989)
- [4] C.Bennet, Int.J.of Theor.Phys. 21,905(1982)
- [5] C.M.Caves, Phys Rev. Lett. 64, 2111(1990)
- [6] For an explicit example see M.O.Scully, B.Englert, J.Schwinger, Phys. Rev. A40.1775(1989)
- [7] R.Griffiths, J.Stat.Phys. **36**,219 (1984)
- [8] R.Omnes, J.Stat.Phys. 53, 893(1988);53,933(1988);53,957(1988)
- [9] M.Gell-Mann and J.B.Hartle in Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information, ed.by W.Zurek, Addison Wesley, Reading (1990)
- [10] R.P.Feynman and F.L.Vernon, Ann. of Phys. 24,118(1963)
- [11] P.Benioff, It, J.Theor.Phys. **21**, 177(1982)
- [12] R.P.Feynman, Found.Phys. 16, 507(1986)
- [13] D.Deutch, Proc.R.Soc. London A400, 97(1985)
- [14] A.Peres, Phys.Rev. A32,3266(1985)
- [15] D.Z.Anderson, Opt.Lett. **11**, 56(1986)
- [16] B.H.Soffer, G.J.Dunning, Y.Qwechko, E.Marom, Opt.Lett 11, 118(1986)

- [17] R.Zwanzig, J.Stat.Phys. 9,215(1973)
- [18] A.O.Caldeira, A.J.Leggett, Physica **121A**.587(1983)
- [19] H.J.Caulfield, J.Shamir, Appl.Opt. 28,2185(1989)
- [20] J.J.Hallivel, Phys.Rev.**D39**, 2912(1989)
- [21] K.Yamagishi, G.Chapline, Class.Quant.Gravity (8) (3),427(1991)