

Classical Motion

Richard Mould*

Abstract

Preciously given rules allow conscious systems to be included in quantum mechanical systems. These rules are derived from the empirical experience of an observer who witnesses a quantum mechanical interaction leading to the capture of a single particle. In the present paper it is shown that purely classical changes experienced by an observer are consistent with these rules. Three different interactions are considered, two of which combine classical and quantum mechanical changes. The previously given rules support all of these cases.

Introduction

In a previous paper [1], *drift consciousness* refers to the way the conscious attention of an observer moves to nearby states that are distinct from the original state and from each other. In another paper [3], the drift of a *conscious pulse* is one that moves continuously over brain states. The first is characteristic of quantum mechanical drifting, and the second is characteristic of classical drifting. The first has been dealt with extensively in a number of papers [1]-[5], but the second needs some clarification.

The simplest case of classical drifting (i.e., classical motion) consists of a slow but continuous change of some conscious scene. Imagine that an observer is looking at a red field that is slowly but continuously turning into a green field. At each moment of time the brain is centered on a state that has a definite color, like states a and b at times t_1 and t_2 in fig. 1. The shaded circle centered at point a reflects the range of the *conscious pulse* at time t_1 . It is the observer's temporal and spatial resolution width at each moment. Each horizontal line in that figure represents a spatial range of states with the same color. Neighborhood states at different times will shade continuously from one

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800




Figure 1

color to another, with the leading edge of the pulse being more green, and the trailing edge being more red. The pulse therefore includes states that are all the same color at each moment of time, where each fades continuously into another color as a function of time.

If the observer's experience is more complex at each moment, then that complexity will be reflected in differences among the horizontal states. The most general case of classical motion is therefore represented by fig. 2, where each *point* in the field is a different conscious or (possibly) unconscious state. The only requirement is that the change from one state to the next (horizontally or vertically) is continuous. The result will be the motion of a conscious pulse over a classical scene that is continuous. A classical Hamiltonian does not make discontinuous jumps even though, in some cases, the rate of change might be too fast for the observer to resolve the states within the conscious pulse.




Figure 2

One might suppose that the brain's Hamiltonian contains discontinuities that will disrupt the continuity of the field in fig. 2. Brain discontinuities include abrupt changes of awareness, including periods of unconsciousness that may

quickly come or go. However, if the brain at every moment is correlated with an environment that is classically continuous, then the field in fig. 2 will be continuous.

Classical Observation of a Detector

Prior to an observer interacting with and becoming aware of a piece of laboratory equipment such as a detector D , the state of the system will take the form

$$\Phi(t_i > t) = D\{X\}$$

where $\{X\}$ is a pulse that includes unspecified conscious or unconscious brain states of the observer prior to interaction, and t_i is the time of detector/observer interaction. When the interaction begins, the system evolves to

$$\Phi(t \geq t_i) = D\{X\} + .. + .. + D\{\underline{B}\} \quad (1)$$

where the first component gives way to successive components that are more and more completely entangled detector and brain states. The succession passes continuously from the first to the last component inasmuch as there is no intrinsic discontinuity between them. An underlined \underline{B} is a conscious brain state (refs. 1, 5). The brackets around $\{X\}$ and $\{\underline{B}\}$ specify a *pulse* of states as defined in refs. 3, 5. Ready brain states and stochastic choices are not involved in eq. 1. Rule (2) in refs. 1, 5 only requires the appearance of ready brain states when there are newly emerging and “non-continuous” brain states. That is not the case here. Therefore, eq. 1 represents a continuous drift of the observer’s brain pulse that does not involve stochastic choice. It is a purely classical drift.

Quantum Observation

References 1-5 deal with discontinuities that are intrinsic to a quantum mechanical system. The principal case studied was that of an observer who is consciously interacting with a detector from the beginning, where the system subsequently interacts at time t_0 with an incoming particle as in eq. 2 of ref. 1 or ref. 5. This state evolves into two distinct components of a superposition whose detector states are *not* continuous with one another.

$$\Phi(t_{sc} > t \geq t_0 > t_i) = \psi(t)D_0\{\underline{B}_0\} + D_1(t)\{B_1\} \quad (2)$$

where it is assumed that eq. 1 applies between t_i and time t_0 . After t_0 , there is continuous flow of probability current from the first component to the second in eq. 2. However, unlike the interaction in eq. 1, the observer continues to be conscious of the first component during this process - until there is a stochastic hit on the second component at t_{sc} . At that time the first component in this equation goes to zero and consciousness is conferred on the ready brain pulse $\{B_1\}$, making it a conscious brain pulse $\{\underline{B}_1\}$.

This switch-over from one distinctive conscious field of states $\{\underline{B}_0\}$ to a totally different conscious field is shown in fig. 3, where the initial conscious pulse is seen (on the left) to approach the interaction time t_0 with full strength. After t_0 , it weakens and an associated ready brain pulse on the right gains strength; that is, the initial pulse loses amplitude and the ready pulse gains amplitude ¹. At time t_{sc} the first component disappears completely and the second component becomes conscious.




Figure 3

The instantaneous change from the first to the second component in eq. 2 may seem incorrect when it is noted that the detector (being a macroscopic body) cannot undergo instantaneous change. The detector in eq. 2 includes all of the low level physiological states of the brain as well as all the process inside of the detector proper. The time dependent function $D_1(t)$ therefore includes all of the above changes, but the observer will only 'see' the result of the changes

¹This weakening does not mean that there is a lessening of conscious intensity as one might expect from the relationship of intensity to amplitude in classical physics. In this case, square modulus is decreased, but the associated conscious state is undiminished. See discussion of this in ref. 3.

when the conscious pulse $\{\underline{B}_1\}$ first appears. For the observer, all those changes will be historic antecedents of his new experience. That is, the *final state* of the detector will be non-locally correlated with the appearance of $\{\underline{B}_1\}$.

To see this in detail, rewrite eq. 2 representing the detector in three parts *DDD* where these are the early, middle, and later parts of the detector. Of course, the detector can be broken up into a continuum of intermediate states between initial and final states, but we will simplify with only three. There will then be four components in eq. 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t_{sc} > t \geq t_0 > t_i) &= \psi(t)D_0D_0D_0\{\underline{B}_0\} + D_1(t)D_0D_0\{B_0\} \\ &\text{and } D'_1(t)D_1D_0\{B_0\} \text{ and } D''_1(t)D_1D_1\{B_1\} \end{aligned}$$

where the third and fourth components do not receive current because of rule (4). All of the new components are initially zero, but the second increases in time while the last two do not. Since all of the current from the first component will flow into the second component, it is certain that the stochastic trigger will select

$$\Phi(t = t_{sc}) = D_1(t_{sc})D_0D_0\{\underline{B}_0\}$$

Subsequently there will be a classical progression (given by the arrows below) that leads finally to the observer's conscious awareness of the capture.

$$\Phi(t \geq t_{sc}) = D_1(t)D_0D_0\{\underline{B}_0\} \rightarrow D'_1(t)D_1D_0\{\underline{B}_0\} \rightarrow D''_1(t)D_1D_1\{\underline{B}_1\}$$

During the time that the capture is working its way through the detector, the observer will remain conscious of the ground state of the detector. He will become aware of the change to $\{\underline{B}_1\}$ only at the end. In this and other papers, we will skip these intermediate steps by going directly from the first to the last components as in eq. 2.

Pulse to Pulse

The first component $\{\underline{B}_0\}$ in eq. 2 is a continuously evolving superposition of states. The second component $D_1(t)\{B_1\}$ is also continuously evolving, but it is a new component that is discontinuously different from the first. Therefore, rule (2) requires that it give rise to a *ready* brain pulse $\{B_1\}$ (or perhaps a ready pulse $\{B_0\}$ as in the more detailed case in the previous section). The fact that each state in the conscious or ready pulse in eq. 2 is embedded in a continuum

of states does not negate the rule. The Hamiltonian acts on each individual state such as a in the conscious pulse in fig. 4, to carry a current $J_{aa'}$ from it to the new ready state a' in that figure.




Figure 4

So state a undergoes a discontinuous change to state a' as required by rule (2).

A Terminal Observation

In the case of the terminal observation described in ref. 1, the interaction between an incoming particle and a detector is complete by a time t_f , which is assumed to be *before* an observer looks at the apparatus at time t_{ob} .

$$\Phi(t_{ob} > t > t_f) = [\psi(t)D_0 + D_1(t)]\{X\}$$

where $\{X\}$ is an unspecified brain pulse of the observer that is not yet entangled with the detector.

At time t_i the interaction begins like the one in eq. 1, where the unspecified pulse $\{X\}$ changes *classically* into a pulse $\{\underline{B}\}$ while becoming entangled with the detector.

$$\Phi(t \geq t_i) = [\psi(t)D_0 + D_1(t)][\{X\} + .. + .. + \{\underline{B}\}]$$

Initially, the observer will not be able to distinguish between the two superimposed detector states D_0 and D_1 . However, at some point he will resolve the difference between them, and at this point, a continuous “classical” evolution will no longer be possible. Let this happen with the first appearance of

state $\{\underline{B}\}$ at time t_{ob} . The solution will then branch ‘quantum mechanically’ into two new components, with rule (2) requiring the introduction of the ready brain pulses $\{B_0\}$ and $\{B_1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t \geq t_{ob}) &= [\psi(t)D_0 + D_1(t)]\{\underline{B}\} \\ &+ \psi'(t)D_0\{B_0\} + D_1'(t)\{B_1\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Current will flow from $\psi(t)D_0\{\underline{B}\}$ in the first row to the first component $\psi'(t)D_0\{B_0\}$ in the second row, and from $D_1(t)\{\underline{B}\}$ in the first row to the second component $D_1'(t)\{B_1\}$ in the second row. It is the flow of current to the two ready brain pulses that will lead to a stochastic choice between them. That choice will produce either

$$\Phi(t \geq t_{sc}) = \psi(t)D_0\{\underline{B}_0\} \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi(t \geq t_{sc}) = D_1\{\underline{B}_1\}$$

This evolution of the conscious field is shown in fig. 5. The initial classical change $\{X\} \rightarrow \{\underline{B}\}$ is represented by the four field points in the central column of fig. 5, after which $\{\underline{B}\}$ diminishes in amplitude as current flows into the ready brain states to the right and to the left. It is imagined that a stochastic hit occurs on field points to the left that include the detector state D_0 .




Figure 5

Conclusion

The above examples include three very different ways that an observer can interact with a detector. The first (eq. 1) occurs when an observer interacts in a purely classical way. The second (eq. 2, fig. 3) is a quantum mechanical interaction that occurs after the observer is already entangled with the detector. And the third (eq. 3, fig. 5) is a classical/quantum mechanical interaction that occurs as the observer becomes entangled with a detector superposition. In the second and third cases, quantum mechanical and the classical changes are intermingled in distinctive ways.

Generally speaking, if an observer evolves continuously along a single line in a field of possible conscious experiences, then his motion will be classical. A stochastic choice will not be necessary. However, if the observer encounters a superposition, or somehow becomes part of a superposition, then that single line will break into two or more branches. Since the observer cannot be conscious on more than one branch at a time, a stochastic choice must be made to decide which branch will be followed by the conscious observer. When a non-continuous quantum mechanical jump of this kind presents itself, rule (2) requires that the newly emerging state must be a ready brain state.

References

- [1] R.A. Mould, “Consciousness: The rules of engagement”, quant-ph/0206064
- [2] R.A. Mould, “Schrödinger’s Cat: The rules of engagement”,
quant-ph/0206065
- [3] R.A. Mould, “Conscious Pulse I: The rules of engagement”,
quant-ph/0207005
- [4] R.A. Mould, “Conscious Pulse II: The rules of engagement”,
quant-ph/0207165
- [5] R.A. Mould, “Quantum Brain States”, *Found. Phys.* **33**(4) 591-612 (2003),
quant-ph/0303064