## Spatial correlations of vacuum fluctuations and the Casimir-Polder potential

R. Passante ∗ , F. Persico ∗∗, L. Rizzuto ∗∗

∗ Istituto di Biofisica - Sezione di Palermo, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Ugo La Malfa 153, I-90146 Palermo, Italy

∗∗ INFM and Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche ed Astronomiche,

Universitá degli Studi di Palermo, Via Archirafi 36, I-90123 Palermo, Italy\*

## Abstract

We calculate the Casimir-Polder intermolecular potential using an effective Hamiltonian recently introduced. We show that the potential can be expressed in terms of the dynamical polarizabilities of the two atoms and the equal-time spatial correlation of the electric field in the vacuum state. This gives support to an interesting physical model recently proposed in the literature, where the potential is obtained from the classical interaction between the instantaneous atomic dipoles induced and correlated by the vacuum fluctuations. Also, the results obtained suggest a more general validity of this intuitive model, for example when external boundaries or thermal fields are present.

PACS numbers: 12.20.Ds

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [passante@iaif.pa.cnr.it](mailto:passante@iaif.pa.cnr.it)

Casimir-Polder forces are long-range intermolecular forces between neutral atoms or molecules arising from their common interaction with the electromagnetic radiation field [\[1](#page-5-0), [2](#page-5-1)]. They have also been measured with good precision [\[3](#page-5-2)]. Casimir-Polder forces are among the few unambiguous manifestations of the quantum nature of the electromagnetic field [\[4](#page-5-3), [5](#page-5-4)], and they are also the microscopic basis of the Casimir effect [\[2](#page-5-1), [6](#page-5-5), [7](#page-5-6), [8](#page-5-7)]. Thus it is very important to consider physical models which allow to understand the origin of these forces, and many efforts have been devoted to this subject (see [\[9](#page-5-8)] and references therein). In the models proposed, the origin of the Casimir-Polder force is traced back to the vacuum fluctuations or to the radiation reaction field, or to a combination of them.

An interesting heuristic model has been proposed by Power and Thirunamachandran, which involves the spatial correlations of vacuum fluctuations [\[10\]](#page-5-9). Their picture, which conceptually derives from the quantum theory of London dispersion forces, traces the origin of the Casimir-Polder potential back to the instantaneous dipole moments of the two atoms which are induced by the vacuum fluctuations. The induced dipoles are correlated because vacuum fluctuations have spatial correlations [\[11\]](#page-5-10), and this yields a nonvanishig average dipole-dipole interaction, although the average value of the induced dipoles vanishes. Using this picture, Power and Thirunamachandran in [\[10\]](#page-5-9) were able to obtain the complete Casimir-Polder potential, both in the socalled near and far zones. This physically transparent approach to the Casimir-Polder potential has also been generalized to the three-body component of the potential in the case of three ground state atoms in vacuo [\[12\]](#page-5-11).

In this paper, we show that the above mentioned approach to the Casimir-Polder potential in terms of the spatial correlations of vacuum correlations, originally introduced as an intuitive physical model, can be derived rigorously from the Hamiltonian of the two atoms interacting with the radiation field. This gives further support to the validity of this model, and also indicates that this validity can be further extended for example to the case of the Casimir-Polder potential at finite temperature or in the presence of boundary conditions. Casimir-Polder interactions at finite temperature or with external boundaries have recently received great attention in the literature [\[13](#page-5-12), [14](#page-5-13), [15](#page-6-0)].

In the multipolar coupling scheme and within the dipole approximation, the Hamiltonian describing two atoms A and B interacting with the radiation field is

$$
H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \hbar \omega_k a_{\mathbf{k}j}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}j} + H_{atom}^A + H_{atom}^B - \mu_A \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_A) - \mu_B \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_B)
$$
(1)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where  $r_A$ ,  $r_B$  are the positions of the two atoms,  $\mu$  indicates the atomic dipole moment and  $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r})$  is the transverse displacement field, which, in this coupling scheme, is the momentum conjugate to the vector potential [\[9\]](#page-5-8).

We are interested in the Casimir-Polder potential for a pair ground-state atoms. In [\[16](#page-6-1)] it has been shown that this kind of calculation can be considerably simplified using an effective Hamiltonian that can be obtained from the Hamiltonian [\(1\)](#page-2-0). This effective Hamiltonian, which is equivalent to the original one, is quadratic in the field operators; the effective interaction term is

$$
H_{int} = H_{int}^{A} + H_{int}^{B} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \alpha_{A}(k) \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_{A}) \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_{A}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \alpha_{B}(k) \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_{B}) \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_{B}) \tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span> $\alpha(k)$  is the atomic dynamic polarizability

$$
\alpha(k) = \frac{2}{3\hbar c} \sum_{m} \frac{k_{m0} | \mu^{m0} |^2}{k_{m0}^2 - k^2}
$$
 (3)

where  $\hbar c k_{m0} = E_m - E_0$  is the transition energy from the atomic state m to the ground state 0 and  $\mu^{m0}$  are the matrix elements of the atomic dipole moment operator.

We can calculate the Casimir-Polder interatomic potential in two steps: we first obtain the dressed ground state of one atom  $(A)$ , as if the other atom were absent, and then we evaluate the interaction between atom B and the virtual photon cloud dressing atom A. This second step is equivalent to calculating the average value of the effective interaction Hamiltonian  $H_{int}^B$  pertaining to atom B on the dressed ground state of A. A similar approach has already been used for the special case of the Casimir-Polder potential in the socalled far zone [\[17\]](#page-6-2).

At the lowest order in the atomic polarizability, the dressed ground state of atom A can be obtained by a straightforward perturbation theory

$$
|\tilde{g}_A\rangle = |g_A 0_k\rangle - \frac{\pi}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} j \mathbf{k}' j'} \alpha_A(k) \hat{e}_{\mathbf{k} j} \cdot \hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}' j'} \frac{(kk')^{1/2}}{k + k'} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_A} |g_A \mathbf{k} j \mathbf{k}' j'\rangle
$$
(4)

where  $g_A$  indicates the bare ground state of atom A and  $\mathbf{k}j$  are photon states. In order to calculate the expectation value of the effective Hamiltonian [\(2\)](#page-2-1) describing the interaction of atom B with the radiation field, we first evaluate the following quantity

$$
\langle \tilde{g}_A | \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_B) \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_B) | \tilde{g}_A \rangle = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar c}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'j'} \frac{k k'}{k + k'} (\alpha_A(k) + \alpha_A(k'))
$$
  
 
$$
\times (\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j} \cdot \hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}'j'})^2 e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r}_B - \mathbf{r}_A)} + c.c.
$$
 (5)

We now use this expression to obtain the interaction energy between the two atoms A and B, given by

$$
E_{int} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \alpha_B(k) \langle \tilde{g}_A \mid \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_B) \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}_B) \mid \tilde{g}_A \rangle \tag{6}
$$

After some algebra, we obtain

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
E_{int} = -\frac{2\pi^2\hbar c}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} k \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j}\right)_m \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j}\right)_\ell e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{1}{V} \alpha_A(k) \sum_{\mathbf{k}'j'} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}'j'}\right)_m \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}'j'}\right)_\ell e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}} \alpha_B(k') + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'j'} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}'j'}\right)_m \left(\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}'j'}\right)_\ell e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}} \alpha_A(k') \alpha_B(k')\right) + c.c.
$$
 (7)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}_B - \mathbf{r}_A$  is the distance between the two atoms. After evaluation of polarization sums and angular integrations, the term inside the main brackets in [\(7\)](#page-3-0) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi^2} F_{\ell m}^R \frac{1}{R} \left( \alpha_A(k) \int_0^\infty dk' \frac{k'}{k'^2 - k^2} \sin k' R \, \alpha_B(k') + \int_0^\infty dk' \frac{k'}{k'^2 - k^2} \sin k' R \, \alpha_A(k') \alpha_B(k') \right) \tag{8}
$$

where

$$
F_{\ell m}^{R} = \left(\nabla^2 \delta_{\ell m} - \nabla_{\ell} \nabla_{m}\right)^{R} \tag{9}
$$

is a differential operator acting on the coordinate **.** 

Using  $\alpha(k) = \alpha(-k)$  and the analytical properties of the dynamical polarizability, the following integrals are easily evaluated

$$
\int_0^\infty dk' \frac{k'}{k'^2 - k^2} \sin k' R \alpha_B(k') = \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_B(k) \cos(kR) \tag{10}
$$

$$
\int_0^\infty dk' \frac{k'}{k'^2 - k^2} \sin k' R \, \alpha_A(k') \alpha_B(k') = \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_A(k) \alpha_B(k) \cos(kR) \tag{11}
$$

and eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-1) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi}\alpha_A(k)\alpha_B(k)F_{\ell m}^R \frac{\cos kR}{R} \tag{12}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Substitution into eq. [\(7\)](#page-3-0) yields the following expression for the interaction energy between the two atoms

$$
E_{int} = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{V} \Re \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \left( \hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j} \right)_m \left( \hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j} \right)_\ell k e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \alpha_A(k) \alpha_B(k) \left( -F_{\ell m}^R \frac{\cos kR}{R} \right) \right) \tag{13}
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Inspection of the form [\(13\)](#page-4-0) of the Casimir-Polder potential shows that it can be written in the following form

$$
E_{int} = \Re \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \left( \langle 0_k | (d_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_B))_m (d_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_A))_\ell | 0_k \rangle \alpha_A(k) \alpha_B(k) V_{\ell m}(k, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}_B - \mathbf{r}_A) \right) \right) \tag{14}
$$

where

$$
\langle 0_k | (d_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_B))_m (d_{\mathbf{k}j}(\mathbf{r}_A))_\ell | 0_k \rangle = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{V} (\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j})_m (\hat{e}_{\mathbf{k}j})_\ell k e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}
$$
(15)

is the equal-time spatial correlation function of the electrical field in the (bare) vacuum state and evaluated at the position of the two atoms. The quantity

$$
V_{\ell m}(k, \mathbf{R}) = -F_{\ell m}^R \frac{\cos kR}{R}
$$
  
=  $k^3 \left( \left( \delta_{\ell m} - \hat{R}_{\ell} \hat{R}_{m} \right) \frac{\cos kR}{kR} - \left( \delta_{\ell m} - 3 \hat{R}_{\ell} \hat{R}_{m} \right) \left( \frac{\sin kR}{k^2 R^2} + \frac{\cos kR}{k^3 R^3} \right) \right)$  (16)

is the classical electrostatic interaction energy between two dipoles oscillating at frequency ck [\[18](#page-6-3)].

The form [\(14\)](#page-4-1) of the Casimir-Polder potential, which we have derived from the basic Hamiltonian of the atom-radiation interaction, coincides with the heuristic expression assumed on the basis of physical considerations by Power and Thirunamachandran in [\[10\]](#page-5-9). Therefore, our results justify the validity of their physical model, which traces the origin of the Casimir-Polder potential back to the classical electric interaction between the two atomic dipoles, induced and correlated by the vacuum fluctuations. Furthermore, our results, although explicitly derived for the case of two atoms in vacuo at zero temperature, suggest that the model might be valid also in more complicated situations, such as in the presence of boundary conditions (conducting plates or dielectrics) or at nonzero temperature. In fact, we expect that in these cases we should simply substitute the spatial correlation of the electric field in the vacuum state with that calculated with the specific boundary conditions considered or in a thermal state of the field.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Commission under contract No. HPHA-CT-2001-40002 and in part by the bilateral Italian-Japanese project 15C1 on Quantum Information and Computation of the Italian Ministry for Foreign Affairs. Partial support by Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica and by Comitato Regionale di Ricerche Nucleari e di Struttura della Materia is also acknowledged.

- <span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] H.B.G. Casimir and D. Polder, Phys. Rev. 73 (1948) 360
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[2] P.W. Milonni, The Quantum Vacuum, Academic Press, London, 1994
- [3] C.I. Sukenik, M.G. Boshier, D. Cho, V. Sandoghdar, E.A. Hinds, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 560
- <span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] L. Spruch, Science 272 (1996) 1452
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[5] S.M. Barnett, A. Aspect, P.W. Milonni, J. Phys. B 33 (2000) L143
- [6] C. Genet, A. Lambrecht, S. Reynaud, [arXiv:quant-ph/0302072](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0302072)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] M.M. Calbi, S.M. Gatica, D. Velegol, M.W. Cole, Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003) 033201
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[8] I. Brevik, V.N. Marachevsky, K.A. Milton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3948
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[9] G. Compagno, R. Passante, F.Persico Atom-Field Interactions and Dressed Atoms , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[10] E.A. Power, T. Thirunamachandran, Phys. Rev. A 48 (1993) 4761
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[11] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, G. Grynberg, Photons and Atoms, Wiley, New York, 1989
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[12] M. Cirone, R. Passante, J. Phys. B 30 (1997) 5579
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[13] H. Wennerström, J. Daicic, B.W. Ninham, Phys. Rev. A 60 (1999) 2581
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[14] G. Barton, Phys. Rev. A 64 (2001) 032102
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[15] G.L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, V.M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rev. A 61 (2000) 062107
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[16] R. Passante, E.A. Power, T. Thirunamachandran, Phys. Lett. A 249 (1998) 77
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[17] R. Passante, E.A. Power, Phys. Rev. A 35 (1987) 188
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[18] R.R. McLone, E.A. Power, Mathematika 11 (1964) 91