

November 21, 2018

**Comment on "Propagator of two coupled  
general driven time-dependent oscillators" by  
C. F. Lo and Y. J. Wong**

F. Benamira and L. Guechi  
Laboratoire de Physique Theorique,  
Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences,  
Université Mentouri, Route d'Ain El Bey,  
Constantine, Algérie.

**Abstract**

We comment on several incorrect results given in a recent paper by Lo and Wong. In particular, it is pointed out that their evaluation of the propagator for two coupled general driven time-dependent oscillators is not satisfactory. The correct expression can be obtained by applying an appropriate time-dependent canonical transformation.

PACS 03.65- Quantum theory ; quantum mechanics.

In a recent paper, Lo and Wong<sup>1</sup> (hereafter referred to as LW) have derived by means of a variant of the  $su(1,1)$  Lie algebraic approach the propagator associated to a system of two time-dependent coupled and driven harmonic oscillators with time-varying angular frequencies and masses described by the Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \frac{p_j^2}{2m_j(t)} + \frac{1}{2}m_j(t)\omega_j^2(t)x_j^2 - m_j(t)f_j(t)x_j \right] + \lambda(t)x_1x_2. \quad (1)$$

In this comment, we would like to point out that the relation (3) in LW, referred to as (LW 3) henceforth, is incorrect for time-dependent masses  $m_j(t)$  and  $\phi \neq \text{Const}$ . Applying the time-dependent canonical transformation (TDCT) defined by Eq. (LW 2), the new Hamiltonian has to be  $\mathcal{H}(t) = H(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_2$ , where  $F_2$  is the generating function of the canonical transformation. LW have omitted the term  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_2$  in Eq. (LW 3). Therefore, Eqs (LW 5) are not correct and the evaluation of the propagator is not satisfactory. In particular, the Hamiltonian does not decompose into a sum of two uncoupled driven oscillators, except in special cases.

A careful study of the dynamical system with the Hamiltonian (1) has been carried out in our paper<sup>2</sup>. For time-dependent masses the optimal TDCT differs from the one used in LW and reads:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{Q_1 \cos \alpha(t) + Q_2 \sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{m_1(t)}}, & x_2 = \frac{-Q_1 \sin \alpha(t) + Q_2 \cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{m_2(t)}}, \\ p_1 = \sqrt{m_1(t)} (P_1 \cos \alpha(t) + P_2 \sin \alpha(t) + \beta_1(t)x_1), \\ p_2 = \sqrt{m_2(t)} (-P_1 \sin \alpha(t) + P_2 \cos \alpha(t) + \beta_2(t)x_2), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the functions  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta_1(t)$  and  $\beta_2(t)$  can be conveniently chosen to make separation of variables straightforward possible. When  $\alpha(t) \equiv \text{Const}$  and  $\beta_j(t) = -\frac{\dot{m}_j(t)}{2\sqrt{m_j(t)}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , the new Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_j^2(t)Q_j^2 - F_j(t)Q_j \right] + \Gamma(t)Q_1Q_2, \quad (3)$$

where the  $\Omega_j$ 's,  $F_j$ 's and  $\Gamma$  are given by similar relations as in LW but with the  $\omega_j^2$ 's replaced by  $\tilde{\omega}_j^2$ 's as:

$$\tilde{\omega}_j^2(t) = \left[ \omega_j^2(t) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\dot{m}_j^2(t)}{m_j^2(t)} - 2\frac{\ddot{m}_j(t)}{m_j(t)} \right) \right]; \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

A comparison of our relation (3) with relation (LW 3) shows that the canonical transformation can only be parametrized by a constant angle. It is clear that the separation of variables in Eq. (3) requires that  $\Gamma(t) \equiv 0$ , i.e.  $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{m_1(t)m_2(t)}(\tilde{\omega}_2^2(t) - \tilde{\omega}_1^2(t))\tan(2\alpha)$ , which is a constraint on the parameters of the system.

Following the path integral method described in Ref.<sup>2</sup>, the correct expression of the propagator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x''_1, x''_2, t''; x'_1, x'_2, t') &= \prod_{j=1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{(m''_j m'_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2i\pi\hbar\rho''_j\rho'_j \sin\phi_j(t'', t')}} \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{4\hbar} \frac{m_j(t)}{m_j(t)} x_j^2 \Big|_{t'}^{t''}\right\} \\
&\times \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}''_j}{\rho''_j} Q_j''^2 - \frac{\dot{\rho}'_j}{\rho'_j} Q_j'^2\right)\right\} \\
&\times \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\hbar \sin\phi_j(t'', t')} \left[\left(\frac{Q_j''^2}{\rho_j''^2} + \frac{Q_j'^2}{\rho_j'^2}\right) \cos\phi_j(t'', t')\right.\right. \\
&\quad - \frac{2Q_j'' Q_j'}{\rho_j'' \rho_j'} + 2\frac{Q_j''}{\rho_j''} \int_{t'}^{t''} G_j(t) \sin\phi_j(t, t') dt \\
&\quad + 2\frac{Q_j'}{\rho_j'} \int_{t'}^{t''} G_j(t) \sin\phi_j(t'', t) dt \\
&\quad \left.\left. - 2 \int_{t'}^{t''} \int_{t'}^t G_j(t) G_j(\tau) \sin\phi_j(t'', t) \sin\phi_j(\tau, t') d\tau dt\right]\right\} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $G_j(t) = F_j(t)\rho_j(t)$ ,  $\phi_j(t'', t') = \int_{t'}^{t''} \frac{dt}{\rho_j^2}$  and  $\rho_j(t)$  is the solution of the auxiliary equation

$$\ddot{\rho}_j + \Omega_j^2 \rho_j = \frac{1}{\rho_j^3}. \quad (6)$$

## References

<sup>1</sup>C. F. Lo and Y. J. Wong, *Europhys. Lett.*, **32** (1995) 193.

<sup>2</sup>F. Benamira and L. Guechi, *quant-ph/ 0112003*.