

Tripartite GHZ state generation with trapped ion in an optical cavity

S. Shelly Sharma^{1,2}

(1) *Depto. de Física, Universidade Estadual de Londrina,
86040-370 Londrina, PR Brazil*

(2) *Instituto de Física “Gleb Wataghin”, Universidade Estadual de Campinas,
13083-970 Campinas SP Brazil*

We present a single step scheme to generate, experimentally, maximally entangled tripartite GHZ state in a single ion, using trapped ion interacting simultaneously with a resonant external laser field and red sideband tuned quantized cavity field. Besides the simplicity of execution and short operation time, GHZ state generation is reduction free.

Recent interest in tripartite entanglement is motivated by possible use in quantum information processing. Tripartite entangled states have been shown to be advantageous in comparison with bipartite Bell states in quantum teleportation [1] and quantum dense coding [2]. In particular, three qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) [3] state is found to be the maximally entangled state [4] in the sense that it violates Bell inequalities maximally. GHZ state is unique in the sense that two qubit EPR (Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen) state between any chosen pair of qubits can be obtained from it. However, reversible generation of GHZ from pairwise distribution of EPR states among three parties is not possible [5]. Experimental efforts to realize multipartite entanglement include photon polarization experiments [6], Nuclear Magnetic resonance [7], atoms-cavity experiments [8], trapped ions [9] and more recently ions trapped inside an optical cavity [10,11]. For controlled information processing, cold ions in a linear trap [12] offer a promising approach, as each ion allows two qubit state manipulation. With the ion trap placed inside a high finesse optical cavity, we have at hand a tripartite system with additional control mechanism offered by quantized cavity field. In this letter a single step scheme to generate three qubit maximally entangled GHZ state, using trapped ion interacting simultaneously with a resonant external laser and sideband tuned quantized cavity field, is presented.

Consider a two level ion radiated by the single mode cavity field of frequency ω_c and an external laser field of frequency ω_L . Interaction with external laser field as well as the cavity field, generates entanglement of internal states of the ion, vibrational states of ionic center of mass motion and the cavity field state. The Hamiltonian, for the case when center of the trap is close to the node of cavity field standing wave, is given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{int}, \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{H}_0 = \hbar\nu \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar\omega_c \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{int} = & \hbar\Omega [\sigma_+ \exp [i\eta_L(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) - i\omega_L t] + h.c.] \\ & + \hbar g(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b}) \sin [\eta_c(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{a}^\dagger(\hat{a})$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(\hat{b})$ are creation(destruction) operators for vibrational phonon and cavity field photon respectively and ω_0 the transition frequency of the two-level ion. The ion-phonon and ion-cavity coupling constants are Ω and g , whereas $\sigma_k(k = z, +, -)$ are the Pauli operators qualifying the internal state of the ion. The Lamb-Dicke (LD) parameters relative to the laser field and the cavity field are denoted by η_L and η_c respectively.

The interaction picture Hamiltonian, determined by unitary transformation $U_0(t) = \exp \left[-\frac{i\hat{H}_0 t}{\hbar} \right]$, is given in a detailed form in Eq. (4) of ref. [13]. Consider the ion interacting simultaneously with a resonant external laser field of frequency $\omega_L = \omega_0$ and red sideband tuned quantized cavity field, $\omega_0 - \omega_c = \nu$. In rotating wave approximation, the relevant part of the interaction picture Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_I = & \hbar\Omega[\sigma_+ \hat{O}_0^L + \sigma_- \hat{O}_0^L] \\ & + \hbar g \left[\sigma_+ \hat{b} \eta_c \hat{O}_1^c \hat{a} + h.c. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\hat{O}_k = \exp \left(-\frac{\eta^2}{2} \right) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\eta)^{2p} \hat{a}^\dagger{}^p \hat{a}^p}{p!(p+k)!}. \quad (5)$$

The matrix element of diagonal operator \hat{O}_k for a given vibrational state m is given by

$$\langle m | \hat{O}_k | m \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) \sum_{p=0}^m \frac{(i\eta_L)^{2p} m!}{p! (p+k)! (m-p)!}. \quad (6)$$

To obtain unitary time evolution of the system, we work in the basis $|g, m, n\rangle$, $|e, m, n\rangle$, $|g, m-1, n-1\rangle$, and $|e, m-1, n-1\rangle$ where $m, n = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$ denote the state of ionic vibrational motion and quantized cavity field, respectively. The matrix representation of operator \hat{H}_I in the chosen basis is

$$H_I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hbar\Omega F_{m,m}^L & 0 & \hbar g F_{m,m-1}^c \sqrt{n} \\ \hbar\Omega F_{m,m}^L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \hbar\Omega F_{m-1,m-1}^L \\ \hbar g F_{m,m-1}^c \sqrt{n} & 0 & \hbar\Omega F_{m-1,m-1}^L & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $F_{m,m}^L = \langle m | \hat{O}_0 | m \rangle$, $F_{m,m-1}^c = \langle m | \eta_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{O}_1^c | m-1 \rangle$. Working in the Lamb-Dicke regime that is $\eta_L \ll 1$ and $\eta_c \ll 1$, where $F_{m,m}^L \rightarrow 1$ for all m and $F_{m,m-1}^c \rightarrow \eta_c \sqrt{m}$, we get to the lowest order in η_L and η_c , the matrix

$$H_I^{LD} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hbar\Omega & 0 & \hbar g \eta_c \sqrt{mn} \\ \hbar\Omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \hbar\Omega \\ \hbar g \eta_c \sqrt{mn} & 0 & \hbar\Omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

An analytic solution of time dependent Schrödinger equation

$$H_I^{LD} \Psi(t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) \quad (9)$$

is easily found for a given initial state of the system. For the initial states $|g, m-1, n-1\rangle$, and $|e, m-1, n-1\rangle$, defining $a = g\eta_c \sqrt{mn}$ and $\mu = \sqrt{a^2 + \Omega^2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g, m-1, n-1\rangle &\rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{\mu} \sin(at) \sin(\mu t) + \cos(at) \cos(\mu t) \right] |g, m-1, n-1\rangle \\ &\quad - i \left[\frac{\Omega}{\mu} \cos(at) \sin(\mu t) \right] |e, m-1, n-1\rangle \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{\Omega}{\mu} \sin(at) \sin(\mu t) \right] |g, m, n\rangle \\ &\quad + i \left[\frac{a}{\mu} \cos(at) \sin(\mu t) - \sin(at) \cos(\mu t) \right] |e, m, n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |e, m-1, n-1\rangle &\rightarrow \left[\cos(at) \cos(\mu t) - \frac{a}{\mu} \sin(at) \sin(\mu t) \right] |e, m-1, n-1\rangle \\ &\quad - i \left[\frac{\Omega}{\mu} \cos(at) \sin(\mu t) \right] |g, m-1, n-1\rangle \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{\Omega}{\mu} \sin(at) \sin(\mu t) \right] |e, m, n\rangle \\ &\quad - i \left[\frac{a}{\mu} \cos(at) \sin(\mu t) + \sin(at) \cos(\mu t) \right] |g, m, n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From Eqs. (10, and 11), for interaction time t_p such that $\mu t_p = p\pi$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, we find the system in following three qubit entangled states,

$$|g, m-1, n-1\rangle \rightarrow (-1)^p [\cos(at_p) |g, m-1, n-1\rangle - i \sin(at_p) |e, m, n\rangle], \quad (12)$$

$$|e, m-1, n-1\rangle \rightarrow (-1)^p [\cos(at_p) |e, m-1, n-1\rangle - i \sin(at_p) |g, m, n\rangle]. \quad (13)$$

When the initial state is $|g, m-1, n-1\rangle$, choosing $at_p = \frac{\pi}{4}$, we get maximally entangled tripartite two mode GHZ state, with

$$|g, m-1, n-1\rangle \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^p}{\sqrt{2}} (|g, m-1, n-1\rangle - i|e, m, n\rangle), \quad (14)$$

which for the choice $n = m = 1$ corresponds to

$$|g, 0, 0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^p}{\sqrt{2}} (|g, 0, 0\rangle - i|e, 1, 1\rangle). \quad (15)$$

For this special case, where the resonator is initially in vacuum state, and ion prepared in it's ground state with zero vibrational quanta, the shortest operation time corresponds to the choice $p = 1$. This implies that $\frac{\mu}{a} = 4$; $\mu = \frac{4\Omega}{\sqrt{15}}$ giving $t_1 \sim 0.34\mu s$, using the value $\Omega = 8.95MHz$ [11]. To satisfy the condition $\frac{\mu}{a} = 4$ we must fine tune the coupling constants and the cavity Lamb-Dicke parameter such that $g = \frac{\Omega}{n_c\sqrt{15}}$. We recall that if the ion is not placed exactly at the node, ion-quantized field interaction is $\hbar g(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b}) \sin[\eta_c(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) + \phi]$. It is easily verified that the only change in final result is that coupling constant g is replaced by an effective coupling constant $g' = g \cos \phi$. Adjustment of phase ϕ offers a mechanism for the required fine tuning. The GHZ state can be detected by cavity-photon measurement combined with atomic population inversion measurement.

We can verify that for initial states $|e, 0, 0\rangle$, $|g, 1, 1\rangle$, and $|e, 1, 1\rangle$, after interaction time t_1 , the state of the system is

$$|e, 0, 0\rangle \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|e, 0, 0\rangle - i|g, 1, 1\rangle), \quad (16)$$

$$|g, 1, 1\rangle \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|g, 1, 1\rangle - i|e, 0, 0\rangle), \quad (17)$$

$$|e, 1, 1\rangle \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|e, 1, 1\rangle - i|g, 0, 0\rangle), \quad (18)$$

where initial state is entangled with it's flipped state (having all three qubit states rotated by π).

The merits of our proposal are simplicity of execution and short operation time. In comparison, the implementation of a recent proposal [14] for preparation of GHZ state using two separate traps with a single ion each, placed in a high-Q cavity, requires a qubit rotation, followed by two CNOT gates on cavity and ionic vibrational states. Three qubit GHZ state generated in our scheme is unique in that internal states and vibrational states of massive ion are maximally entangled with photon which can serve as an interface for quantum communication. It is important to note that tripartite GHZ is generated without resorting to measurement and consequent reduction. In case the purpose is using maximally entangled state for quantum computation, teleportation or cloning, state reduction is not a desirable feature.

S.S.S thanks Unicamp for hospitality.

-
- [1] A. Karlson and M. Bourennane, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4394 (1998).
 - [2] J. C. Hao, C. F. Li, and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 054301 (2001).
 - [3] D. M. Greenberger, M. Horne and A. Zeilinger, in *Bell's theorem, Quantum theory, and Conceptions of the the Universe*, ed. M. Kafatos, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 69 (1989); D. M. Greenberger, M. Horne, A. Shimony and A. Zeilinger, Am. J. Physics, **58**, 1131 (1990).
 - [4] N. Gisin and Beschmann-Pasquinucci, quant-ph/9804045.
 - [5] N. Linden, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, and H. westmorelang, quant-ph/9912039.
 - [6] D. Bouwmeester, J. W. Pan, M. Daniell, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999).
 - [7] S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A **57**, R1473(1998); R. J. Nelson, D. G. Cory, and S. Lloyd; quant-ph/9905028.
 - [8] A. Rauschenbeutel, G. Nogues, S. Osnaghi, P. Bertet, M. Brune, J.M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5166 (1999).
 - [9] C. A. Sackett, D. Kielpinski, B.E. King, C. Langer, V. Mayer, C. J. Myatt, M. Rowe, Q. A. Turchette, W. M. Itano, D. J. Wineland, and C. Monroe, Nature(London) **404**, 256 (2000)

- [10] G.R. Guthörlein, M. Keller, K. Hayasaka, W. Lange, and H. Walther, *Nature*, **414** 49 (2001).
- [11] A. B. Mundt, A. Kreuter, C. Russo, C. Becher, D. Leibfried, J. Eschner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, R. Blatt, in *Appl. Phys. B* (2002).
- [12] D.J. Wineland, C. Monroe, W.M Itano, D. Leibfried, B.E. King, and D.M. Meekhof, *NIST J. Res.* **103**, 259 (1998); Ch. Roos, Th. Zeiger, H. Rohde, H. C. Nagerl, J. Eschner, D. Leibfried, F. Schmidt-Kaler, R. Blatt, *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4713 (1999).
- [13] S. Shelly Sharma, and A. Vidiella-Barranco, *quant-ph/0210113*.
- [14] *M. Feng and X. Wang, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4**, 283(2002).