## Bargmann potentials and Marchenko transformations.

S. A. Zaitsev<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, Khabarovsk State Technical University, Tikhookeanskaya 136, Khabarovsk 680035, Russia

#### Abstract

The Marchenko phase-equivalent transformation of the Schrödinger equation for two coupled channels is discussed. The combination of the Marchenko transformations valid in the Bargmann potential case is suggested.

### Introduction

<span id="page-0-4"></span>Let the scattering data fit the necessary and sufficient conditions (see, e.g. [\[1\]](#page-4-0)) of the existence of the solutions of the Marchenko equation

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}(r, r') + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(r, r') + \int_{r}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\gamma}(r, t) \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma\beta}(t, r')dt = 0,
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(r, r') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk [\delta_{\alpha\beta} - S_{\alpha\beta}] e^{ik(r+r')} + A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} e^{-\kappa(r+r')}, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2.
$$
 (1)

Then the elements of resulting potential matrix

$$
[\mathcal{V}_0(x)]_{\alpha\beta} = -2\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}(x, x)
$$
\n(2)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>for some  $\varepsilon$   $(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$  satisfy the condition [\[2\]](#page-4-1):

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{1+\theta} \left| \left[ \mathcal{V}_0(x) \right]_{\alpha \beta} \right| dx < \infty \qquad (-\varepsilon < \theta < \varepsilon). \tag{3}
$$

The purpose of the work is to construct the potential [\(3\)](#page-0-0) which describes the interaction in a system of two coupled channels of the angular momenta  $\ell_1 = 0$  and  $\ell_2 = 2$  starting from the Bargmann potential [\(2\)](#page-0-1).

The transformations of the coupled Schrödinger equation

$$
\Psi_0'' - \mathcal{V}_0(x)\Psi_0 + k^2\Psi_0 = 0,\tag{4}
$$

into

<span id="page-0-2"></span>
$$
\Psi_1'' - \left(\mathcal{V}_1(x) + \frac{6}{x^2} \mathcal{P}\right) \Psi_1 + k^2 \Psi_1 = 0, \quad \mathcal{P} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \tag{5}
$$

have been detailed in the book [\[2\]](#page-4-1), where the matrix phase-equivalent transformations (MPET) associated with the scattering data of the three different types have been suggested. The conditions [\[1\]](#page-4-0) corresponds to the case a) in chapter VII of [\[2\]](#page-4-1), however the method of chapter VIII in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-4-1)) cannot be used in our case, since generally the necessary condition for its implementation

$$
\left[\mathcal{F}(0)\right]_{21} = 0,\tag{6}
$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(k)$  is the Jost matrix

<span id="page-0-3"></span>
$$
\left[\mathcal{F}(k)\right]_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{I} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}(0, t) e^{ikt} dt, \quad \mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}
$$

does not hold. As a consequence  $\mathcal{V}_1(x)$  has the singularity  $x^{-2}$  at the origin or at infinity.

Below are given the auxiliary (direct and inverse) Marchenko transformations which in combination with MPET furnish the potential which is regular at the origin and decreases at infinity faster than  $x^{-2}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research under the grant No 02-02-17316 and State Program Russian Universities

### Bargmann potential properties

We proceed from the assumption that the elements of the potential matrix [\(2\)](#page-0-1) differ from zero at the origin:

$$
\left[\mathcal{V}_0(0)\right]_{\alpha,\,\beta} \neq 0. \tag{8}
$$

In that case it can been shown that the regular solution  $\mathcal{G}_0(x, k)$  of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-0-2) has the behavior near the origin:

$$
\mathcal{G}_0(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} x + \lambda x^3 + o(x^3) & \nu x^3 + o(x^3) \\ \nu x^3 + o(x^3) & x + \mu x^3 + o(x^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x \to 0),
$$
 (9)

where

$$
\lambda = \frac{[\mathcal{V}_0(0)]_{11} - k^2}{6}, \ \mu = \frac{[\mathcal{V}_0(0)]_{22} - k^2}{6}, \ \nu = \frac{[\mathcal{V}_0(0)]_{12}}{6}.
$$
 (10)

The asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{G}_0(x, i\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$  is given by the following expression [\[2\]](#page-4-1):

$$
\mathcal{G}_0 \to \frac{e^{\sigma x}}{2\sigma} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{i}\sigma), \; (\sigma > 0); \qquad \mathcal{G}_0 \to x \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(0) + o(x^{1-\theta}), \; (\sigma = 0), \tag{11}
$$

where tilde denotes transposition.

In the following, the functions

$$
\mathcal{Y}_0(x) = \mathcal{G}_0(x, i\sigma) \mathcal{P}, \sigma > 0, \quad \mathcal{Z}_0(x) = \mathcal{G}_0(x, 0) \mathcal{P}
$$
\n(12)

are used. We also will keep track of the bound state wave function  $\Phi_0(x) \equiv \Psi_0(x, i\kappa), \kappa > 0$ :

$$
\Phi_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 x + u_2 x^3 + o(x^3) \\ v_1 x + v_2 x^3 + o(x^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x \to 0), \tag{13}
$$

$$
\Phi_0(x) \to e^{-\kappa x} \left( \begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{array} \right), \quad (x \to \infty) \tag{14}
$$

transformations.

### First transformation

The intent of this transformation is to obtain the Jost function that satisfies the condition [\(6\)](#page-0-3). Here, the matrix-function

$$
\mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{Y}_0 \mathcal{J}_1^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{I} + \int_0^x \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_0}(t) \mathcal{Y}_0(t) dt \tag{15}
$$

is constructed in such a way that the matrix

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\Psi_1(x, k) = \Psi_0(x, k) + \mathcal{Y}_1(x) \mathcal{W} \left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_0}(x), \Psi_0(x, k) \right\} (\sigma^2 + k^2)^{-1}, \qquad (k \neq \mathrm{i}\sigma) \tag{16}
$$

obeys the Schrödinger equation

$$
\Psi_1'' - \mathcal{V}_1(x)\Psi_1 + k^2\Psi_1 = 0\tag{17}
$$

with the transformed potential  $\mathcal{V}_1(x)$ :

$$
\mathcal{V}_1(x) = \mathcal{V}_0(x) - 2\left[\mathcal{Y}_1(x)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_0}(x)\right]'.\tag{18}
$$

Here,  $\mathcal{W}\left\{\mathcal{\widetilde{X}}(x),\ \mathcal{Y}(x)\right\}$  denotes the Wronskian:  $\mathcal{W}\left\{\mathcal{\widetilde{X}},\ \mathcal{Y}\right\} = \mathcal{\widetilde{X}}\mathcal{Y}'\text{- }\mathcal{\widetilde{X}}'\mathcal{Y}.$ The asymptotic behavior of the functions  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_1(x)$  [\[2\]](#page-4-1):

> $\mathcal{Y}_0 \to e^{\sigma x} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{P}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2\sigma}\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{i}\sigma),$  (19)

$$
\mathcal{Y}_1 \to 2\sigma s^{-1} e^{-\sigma x} \mathcal{CP}, \quad s \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \widetilde{\mathcal{CC}} \mathcal{P}, \tag{20}
$$

provides the modification of the initial  $S$  matrix and Jost matrix  $[2]$ :

$$
\widehat{S}(k) = \mathcal{T}(k) S(k) \mathcal{T}(k), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(k) = \mathcal{F}(k) \mathcal{T}(k), \tag{21}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{T}(k) = \mathcal{I} - 2\sigma \, (\sigma + ik)^{-1} s^{-1} \mathcal{C} \, \mathcal{P} \, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}.
$$

The parameter  $\sigma$  value is defined by the expression:

$$
\left[\mathcal{F}(0)\,\mathcal{T}(0)\right]_{21} = 0,\tag{23}
$$

that is equivalent to

$$
\zeta^2 - 2\zeta_0 \zeta - 1 = 0,\t\t(24)
$$

where  $\zeta(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}_{22}(\mathrm{i}\sigma)/\mathcal{F}_{21}(\mathrm{i}\sigma)$ ,  $\zeta_0 = \zeta(0)$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>The solution  $\mathcal{Z}_1(x)$  of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-1-0) asymptotic behavior is given by the expression:

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{Z}_1(x) \to \left( \left[ \hat{\mathcal{F}}(0) \right]_{22} x \mathcal{I} + o(x^{1-\theta}) \right) \mathcal{P}, \quad (x \to \infty). \tag{25}
$$

One can see from the regular solution  $\Phi_0(x, k)$  of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-0-2) transformation

$$
\Phi_1(x, k) = \Phi_0(x, k) - \mathcal{Y}_1(x) \int_0^x \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0(t) \Phi_0(t, k) dt
$$
\n(26)

that  $\Phi_0(x, k)$  and  $\Phi_1(x, k)$  have the same behavior at the origin and  $V_1(0) = V_0(0)$ .

## Second transformation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Now that the Jost function  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(k)$  meets the condition  $\left[\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(0)\right]_{21} = 0$ , MPET [\[2\]](#page-4-1) may be imple-mented to Eq. [\(17\)](#page-1-0). Here, the solution  $\mathcal{Z}_1(x)$  of Eq. (17) and matrix-function

$$
\mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{Z}_1 \mathcal{J}_2^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P} - \int_0^x \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_1}(t) \mathcal{Z}_1(t) dt \tag{27}
$$

are used for the transformation construction [\[2\]](#page-4-1):

$$
\Psi_2(x,k) = \Psi_1(x,k) - \mathcal{Z}_2(x) \mathcal{W}\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_1}(x), \ \Psi_1(x,k)\right\} k^{-2}.\tag{28}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span> $\Psi_2(x, k)$  obeys the equation

$$
\Psi_2'' - \left(\mathcal{V}_2(x) + \frac{6}{x^2}\mathcal{P}\right)\Psi_2 + k^2\Psi_2 = 0,
$$
\n(29)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>with the potential:

$$
\mathcal{V}_2(x) = \mathcal{V}_1(x) + 2\left[\mathcal{Z}_2(x)\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_1}(x)\right]' - \frac{6}{x^2}\mathcal{P}.
$$
 (30)

The behavior of  $\Phi_2(x)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_2(x)$  at the origin:

$$
\Phi_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 x + u_2' x^3 + o(x^3) \\ v_2' x^3 + o(x^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & o(x^3) \\ 0 & \mu' x^3 + o(x^3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)
$$

can be deduced from the regular solution transformation formula [\[2\]](#page-4-1):

$$
\Phi_2(x, k) = \Phi_1(x, k) + \mathcal{Z}_2(x) \int_0^x \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1(t) \Phi_1(t, k) dt.
$$
\n(32)

Reference to Eq. [\(27\)](#page-2-0) shows that

$$
\mathcal{Z}_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3\,\nu + o(1) \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{x^2} + O(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x \to 0), \tag{33}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>so that the second term in the right-hand side of [\(30\)](#page-2-1) reads

$$
2\left[\mathcal{Z}_2(x)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_1}(x)\right]' = \begin{pmatrix} -18\,\nu^2\,x^2 + o(x^2) & -6\,\nu + o(x) \\ -6\,\nu + o(x) & \frac{6}{x^2} + O(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x \to 0). \tag{34}
$$

From [\(34\)](#page-3-0) it follows that  $V_2(x)$  is regular at the origin and  $[V_2(0)]_{12} = 0$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span> $\mathcal{Z}_2(x)$  behaves at infinity like [\[2\]](#page-4-1)

$$
\mathcal{Z}_2(x) \to \left(-3\left[\hat{\mathcal{F}}(0)\right]_{22}^{-1} x^{-2} \mathcal{I} + o(x^{-2-\theta})\right) \mathcal{P}, \quad (x \to \infty). \tag{35}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>It follows from  $(25)$  and  $(35)$  that  $[2]$ 

$$
2\left[\mathcal{Z}_2(x)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1(x)\right]' \to \left(\frac{6}{x^2}\,\mathcal{I} + o(x^{-2-\theta})\right)\,\mathcal{P}, \quad (x \to \infty). \tag{36}
$$

One can see from [\(29\)](#page-2-3), [\(30\)](#page-2-1), [\(34\)](#page-3-0) and [\(36\)](#page-3-2) that MPET increases the second channel angular momentum to 2.

## Third transformation

Consider the following transformation:

$$
\Psi_3(x, k) = \Psi_2(x, k) + \mathcal{Y}_3(x) \mathcal{W} \left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_2}(x), \Psi_0(x, k) \right\} (\sigma^2 + k^2)^{-1},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{V}_3(x) = \mathcal{V}_2(x) - 2 \left[ \mathcal{Y}_3(x) \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_2}(x) \right]',
$$
 (37)

where

$$
\mathcal{Y}_3 = \mathcal{Y}_2 \mathcal{J}_3^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{J}_3 = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P} - \int_x^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}_2}(t) \mathcal{Y}_2(t) dt.
$$
 (38)

From theorem 6.2.1 in the book [\[2\]](#page-4-1) it follows that this transformation is asymptotically inverse of the first one, since  $\mathcal{Y}_3(x) = -\mathcal{Y}_0(x)$  and  $-\mathcal{J}_3(x) = \mathcal{J}_1^{-1}(x)$  at infinity.

Notice that the condition [\(3\)](#page-0-0) is not affected by each of the three transformations.

### Other angular momenta

Note that the regular solution  $\mathcal{G}_3(x)$  of

$$
\mathcal{G}_3'' - \left(\mathcal{V}_3(x) + \frac{6}{x^2}\mathcal{P}\right)\mathcal{G}_3 = 0\tag{39}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>then can be used to equally increase the angular momenta in both channels. Indeed, MPET corresponding to the transformations [\[3\]](#page-4-2), can be written in the forms:

$$
\Psi_4(k, x) = -k^{-2} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3^{-1}(x) W \left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3(x), \Psi_3(k, x) \right\},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{V}_4(x) = \mathcal{V}_3(x) - 2 \left[ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3^{-1}(x) \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3'(x) \right]';
$$
 (40)

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\Psi_4(k, x) = \Psi_3(k, x) + k^{-2} \mathcal{X}(r) W \left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3(x), \Psi_3(k, r) \right\},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{V}_4(x) = \mathcal{V}_3(x) - 2 \left[ \mathcal{X}(x) \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3(x) \right]',
$$
 (41)

where

$$
\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{G}_3(x) \left[ \int_0^x \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_3(t) \, \mathcal{G}_3(t) \, dt \right]^{-1}.
$$
 (42)

MPET [\(40\)](#page-3-3) and [\(41\)](#page-3-4) increase each of  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$  by 1 and 2, correspondingly, provided that  $\mathcal{G}_3^{-1}(x)$ and  $\mathcal{X}(x)$  exist.

### Example

<span id="page-4-5"></span>As an example the potential is calculated corresponding the simplest  $\mathcal S$  matrix coupling S and D states [\[5\]](#page-4-3):

$$
\mathcal{S}(k) = \frac{1}{k^4 + 4\chi^4} \begin{pmatrix} 2\chi^2 & k^2 \\ -k^2 & 2\chi^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{k+i\phi}{k-i\phi}\right) \left(\frac{k+i\kappa}{k-i\kappa}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\chi^2 & -k^2 \\ k^2 & 2\chi^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{43}
$$

Notice that the transformation  $V_0 \rightarrow V_3$  have been carried out using MPET, i. e. the solution  $\Psi_3(x, k)$ ,  $(k > 0)$  has asymptotic behavior identical to that of  $\Psi_0(x, k)$ :

$$
\Psi_0(x, k) \to \frac{i}{2} \left\{ e^{-ikx} \mathcal{I} - e^{ikx} \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad (x \to \infty).
$$
 (44)

Thus in order that the asymptotic properties of  $\Psi_3(x, k)$  correspond to the potential  $V_3$  [\[4\]](#page-4-4):

$$
\Psi_3(x, k) \to \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}\pi \mathcal{L}} \left\{ e^{-ikx} \mathcal{I} - e^{-i\pi \mathcal{L}} e^{ikx} \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad (x \to \infty), \tag{45}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array} \right),\tag{46}
$$

the matrix

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\pi \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{S} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\pi \mathcal{L}}
$$
\n(47)

must be used as  $S$  matrix in the Marchenko equations [\(1\)](#page-0-4). In this instance [\(43\)](#page-4-5) is used as S, since, for example, all values  $-i$   $Res_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$  are negative and hence the corresponding asymptotic normalization constants  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  are positive.

For the parameters  $\chi = 0.26$   $fm^{-1}$ ,  $\phi = 0.944$   $fm^{-1}$  and  $\kappa = 0.232$   $fm^{-1}$  [\[5\]](#page-4-3) Eq. [\(24\)](#page-2-4) gives  $\sigma = 0.2053483144 f m^{-1}$ . The initial Bargmann potentials  $[\mathcal{V}_0(x)]_{\alpha,\beta}$  and resulting ones  $[\mathcal{V}_3(x)]_{\alpha,\beta}$  $[\mathcal{V}_3(x)]_{\alpha,\beta}$  $[\mathcal{V}_3(x)]_{\alpha,\beta}$  are presented in Figures [1-](#page-5-0)3 by dotted and solid lines correspondingly. Figures [4,](#page-6-1) [5](#page-7-0) exhibit the S and D components of the bound state (with the energy  $-\kappa^2$ ) wave functions:  $\Phi_0(x)$  (dotted line),  $\Phi_3(x)$  (solid line). Note that  $\Phi_3(x)$  in the interior domain may be modified by, for example, the multichannel phase-equivalent transformation [\[6\]](#page-4-6). The asymptotic forms of  $\Phi_0(x)$  and  $\Phi_3(x)$  D components are represented by dotted and solid lines in Fig. [6.](#page-7-1)

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier. Inverse problem in quantum scattering theory (2nd ed., Springer-verlag, New York, 1989.)
- [2] Z. S. Agranovich and V. A. Marchenko, The Inverse Problem of the Scattering Theory (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1963).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] V. A. Marchenko. Sturm-Liouville operators and applications (Berkhauser, Basel, 1986).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] R. G. Newton. Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[5] R. Newton, T. Fulton. Phys. Rev. 107, 1103, 1957.
- [6] A. M. Shirokov, V. N. Sidorenko. Phys.Atom.Nucl. 63 (2000) 1993; Yad.Fiz. 63 (2000) 2085.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 1:



Figure 2:



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 3:



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Figure 4:



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 5:



<span id="page-7-1"></span>Figure 6: