

Reducible field quantization (II): Electrons

Marek Czachor

*Katedra Fizyki Teoretycznej i Metod Matematycznych
Politechnika Gdańska, ul. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

Quantization of free Dirac fields is formulated in terms of a reducible representation of CAR. Similarly to the bosonic case we arrive at field operators which are indeed operators and not operator valued distributions. Observables such as 4-momentum and charge can be defined without any need of normal ordering.

I. INTRODUCTION: JORDAN-WIGNER-TYPE CONSTRUCTION OF CAR

The paper continues the program of reducible field quantization of electrodynamics [1,2,3]. The experience with quantization of electromagnetic fields shows that many results are easiest to prove if one employs properties of a concrete representation. For this reason we begin with an explicit construction of the “ N -oscillator” reducible representation of the algebra of canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR).

The departure point is the Jordan-Wigner construction of CAR [4,5]. We need four operators corresponding to negatons and positons [6], with two spin degrees of freedom for each of them. We define

$$b_- = \sigma_- \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad b_+ = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_- \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad d_- = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_- \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad d_+ = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_-, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathbf{1}$ is the 2×2 identity matrix, $\sigma_{\pm} = (\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)/2$, and σ_k are the Pauli matrices. The operators satisfy CAR

$$\{b_s, b_s^\dagger\} = \{d_s, d_s^\dagger\} = \mathbf{I} \quad (3)$$

and all the remaining anti-commutators vanish. We shall also need the matrix

$$\mathbf{I}_0 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \quad (4)$$

which anti-commutes with b_s and d_s . For any $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{--} & A_{-+} \\ A_{+-} & A_{++} \end{pmatrix}$, and $B = e^A$, denoting

$$b^\dagger A b = \sum_{s,s'=\pm} b_s^\dagger A_{ss'} b_{s'}, \quad d^\dagger A d = \sum_{s,s'=\pm} d_s^\dagger A_{ss'} d_{s'}, \quad (5)$$

we find [7]

$$e^{b^\dagger A b} = \begin{pmatrix} \det B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{--} & B_{-+} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{+-} & B_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad e^{d^\dagger A d} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \det B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{--} & B_{-+} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{+-} & B_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

If $B = u \in SU(2)$ one obtains

$$e^{-(b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d)} b_s e^{b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d} = \sum_{s'} u_{ss'} b_{s'}, \quad e^{-(b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d)} d_s e^{b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d} = \sum_{s'} u_{ss'} d_{s'}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly one can show that

$$e^{-i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} b_s e^{i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} = e^{i\alpha} b_s, \quad e^{-i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} d_s e^{i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} = e^{i\beta} d_s. \quad (8)$$

Of particular interest are the important formulas

$$e^{-(b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d)} \mathbf{I}_0 e^{b^\dagger A b + d^\dagger A d} = \mathbf{I}_0, \quad e^{-i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} \mathbf{I}_0 e^{i(\alpha b^\dagger b + \beta d^\dagger d)} = \mathbf{I}_0. \quad (9)$$

The vacuum of the representation is

$$|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

and satisfies

$$\mathbf{I}_0|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |0, 0, 0, 0\rangle, \quad e^{b^\dagger Ab + d^\dagger Ad}|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |0, 0, 0, 0\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Creation operators act on vacuum by

$$b_-^\dagger|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |1, 0, 0, 0\rangle, \quad b_+^\dagger|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |0, 1, 0, 0\rangle, \quad d_-^\dagger|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |0, 0, 1, 0\rangle, \quad d_+^\dagger|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |0, 0, 0, 1\rangle. \quad (12)$$

The above representation of CAR is a starting point for the Poincaré covariant reducible representation of CAR we shall discuss in the next sections.

II. POINCARÉ TRANSFORMATIONS OF FREE DIRAC FIELDS

The Dirac equation for a free electron can be written in the 2-spinor form [8] as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon_A{}^B & -i\nabla_A{}^{B'} \\ i\nabla^B{}_{A'} & \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_B \\ \psi_{B'} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (13)$$

We use the units with $\hbar = 1$ and $c = 1$. The energy-momentum world-vector $p^a = (\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}, \mathbf{p})$ can be decomposed in terms of two null directions [9] $p^a = \pi^a + \frac{m^2}{2}\omega^a = \pi^A\bar{\pi}^{A'} + \frac{m^2}{2}\omega^A\bar{\omega}^{A'}$, satisfying the spin-frame condition $\omega_A\pi^A = 1$.

The 2-spinor language naturally privileges null directions [8]. The projections $S(\omega, p) = \omega^a S_a$ of the Pauli-Lubanski vector in the $\omega^A\bar{\omega}^{A'}$ direction are [9]

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_A\omega^B + \omega_A\pi^B), \quad S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\pi}_{A'}\bar{\omega}^{B'} + \bar{\omega}_{A'}\bar{\pi}^{B'}). \quad (14)$$

The eigenvectors

$$\phi_{\pm, \alpha}^{(+)}(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_A \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_{\pm, \alpha}^{(-)}(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi_A \\ \mp \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\omega}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

correspond to the four combinations of signs of spin and frequency. The subscripts \pm denote the signs of frequency and the superscripts (\pm) the signs of spin.

The invariant measure on the mass- m hyperboloid is $d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p}{2\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}$. A general solution of the free Dirac equation can be written in a Fourier form as

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \overline{g(\mathbf{p}, -s)} e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \quad (16)$$

The charge conjugated solution is

$$\psi_\alpha^c(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) g(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \overline{f(\mathbf{p}, -s)} e^{ip \cdot x} \right). \quad (17)$$

The Dirac equation is associated with two representations of (the covering space of) the Poincaré group which are dual to each other (the active finite dimensional nonunitary spinor representation and the passive infinite dimensional unitary representation).

Denote by $\Lambda_a{}^b$, $\Lambda_\alpha{}^\beta$, $\Lambda_A{}^B$, and $\Lambda_{A'}{}^{B'}$, respectively, the representations $(1/2, 1/2)$, $(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$, $(1/2, 0)$, and $(0, 1/2)$ of $\Lambda \in SL(2, C)$. The active transformation of the bispinor field $T_{S, y}\psi_\alpha(x) = \Lambda_\alpha{}^\beta\psi_\beta(\Lambda^{-1}(x - y))$ induces the passive transformation of the amplitudes by means of

$$T_{\Lambda, y}\psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, y} f(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, y} g(\mathbf{p}, -s)} e^{ip \cdot x} \right), \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, y} f(\mathbf{p}, -) \\ \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, y} f(\mathbf{p}, +) \end{pmatrix} = e^{ip \cdot y} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \omega_A(\mathbf{p})\Lambda\pi^A(\mathbf{p}) & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_A(\mathbf{p})\Lambda\omega^A(\mathbf{p}) \\ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p})\bar{\Lambda}\omega^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p})\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\pi}^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix}}_{u(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) \in SU(2)} \begin{pmatrix} f(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p}, -) \\ f(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p}, +) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The amplitudes g transform in the same way as f . The transformed spin-frames are $\Lambda\omega_A(\mathbf{p}) = \Lambda_A^B\omega_B(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p})$, $\Lambda\pi_A(\mathbf{p}) = \Lambda_A^B\pi_B(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p})$. The representation (19) is unitary with respect to the positive-definite scalar product

$$\langle f_1|f_2\rangle = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \overline{f_1(\mathbf{p}, s)} f_2(\mathbf{p}, s). \quad (20)$$

III. REDUCIBLE QUANTIZATION

We follow the strategy proposed in [1,2]. Take four CAR operators b_\pm, d_\pm we have discussed above and introduce the following four operators

$$b(\mathbf{p}, s) = |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}| \otimes b_s = c_1(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad d(\mathbf{p}, s) = |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}| \otimes d_s = c_2(\mathbf{p}, s). \quad (21)$$

The momentum eigenvectors are normalized by $\langle\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{p}'\rangle = \delta_{\Gamma_m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = (2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$. The reducible representation of CAR can be written in a compact form as

$$\{c_n(\mathbf{p}, s), c_{n'}(\mathbf{p}', s')^\dagger\} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{\Gamma_m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}| \otimes \mathbf{I} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{\Gamma_m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') I_p, \quad (22)$$

the remaining anti-commutators vanishing. The identity at the right side of (22) is the one occurring in the CAR relations (2) and the RHS of (22) is in the center of the CAR algebra, i.e. commutes with all CAR operators. Similarly to the CCR case [1,2,3] we have introduced the operator $I_p = |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}| \otimes \mathbf{I}$ satisfying the resolution of unity $\int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) I_p = I$. We define the single-oscillator Dirac field operator by

$$\Psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) b(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) d(\mathbf{p}, -s)^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right). \quad (23)$$

The charge conjugated operator reads

$$\Psi_\alpha^c(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) d(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) b(\mathbf{p}, -s)^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right). \quad (24)$$

In order to perform the second step of quantization we introduce

$$I_0 = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}| \otimes \mathbf{I}_0. \quad (25)$$

The N -oscillator extension is defined by

$$\underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(b(\mathbf{p}, s) \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + I_0 \otimes b(\mathbf{p}, s) \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I_0 \otimes \dots \otimes I_0 \otimes b(\mathbf{p}, s) \right) = \underline{c}_1(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad (26)$$

$$\underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(d(\mathbf{p}, s) \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + I_0 \otimes d(\mathbf{p}, s) \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I_0 \otimes \dots \otimes I_0 \otimes d(\mathbf{p}, s) \right) = \underline{c}_2(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad (27)$$

$$\underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, -s)^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right), \quad (28)$$

$$\underline{\Psi}_\alpha^c(x) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \left(\phi_{+, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \phi_{-, \alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, -s)^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right). \quad (29)$$

The reducible representation of CAR reads

$$\{\underline{c}_n(\mathbf{p}, s), \underline{c}_{n'}(\mathbf{p}', s')^\dagger\} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{\Gamma_m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \underline{I}_p, \quad (30)$$

with $\underline{I}_p = \frac{1}{N} \left(I_p \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes I_p \right)$.

IV. FIELD OPERATORS ARE INDEED OPERATORS

$\underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x)$ has been defined as an N -oscillator extension of a single-oscillator $\Psi_\alpha(x)$. Therefore, in order to verify that $\underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x)$ is an operator it is sufficient to check this property for $\Psi_\alpha(x)$. The choice of the representation implies that

$$\Psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_s \left(\phi_{+,\alpha}^{(s)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})W(x) \otimes b_s + \phi_{-,\alpha}^{(s)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})W(x)^\dagger \otimes d_{-s}^\dagger \right)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})|\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}|$ is the spectral representation of an unbounded operator, $\phi_{\pm,\alpha}^{(s)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ are functions of the operator $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ in the sense of spectral theory, and $W(x) = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})|\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle\mathbf{p}|e^{-ip\cdot x}$ is unitary. All these objects are well defined and there is no problem with products of fields taken at the same point x of the configuration space. The difference between fields taken in our reducible representation and those arising from the standard Fock construction is analogous to this between the unitary operator $W(x)$ and the distribution $\int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})e^{-ip\cdot x}$.

V. VACUUM AND MULTI-ELECTRON STATES

The vacuum consists of a Hilbert space of all the states which are annihilated by all annihilation operators. We begin with a ‘‘single-oscillator vacuum’’

$$|O\rangle = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})O(\mathbf{p})|\mathbf{p}, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle, \quad (31)$$

where $|\mathbf{p}, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle = |\mathbf{p}\rangle|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ and $|0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ is defined by (10). One finds indeed $b(\mathbf{p}, s)|O\rangle = d(\mathbf{p}, s)|O\rangle = 0$. The vacuum is defined at the N -oscillator level as the tensor product of one-oscillator vacua

$$|Q\rangle = \underbrace{|O\rangle \dots |O\rangle}_N. \quad (32)$$

As expected $\underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, s)|Q\rangle = \underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, s)|Q\rangle = 0$.

To discuss multi-electron states it is convenient to introduce the smeared out CAR operators

$$\underline{c}_n(f) = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})\overline{f(\mathbf{p}, s)}\underline{c}_n(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad \underline{c}_n(f)^\dagger = \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{p}, s)\underline{c}_n(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger, \quad (33)$$

satisfying

$$\{\underline{c}_n(f), \underline{c}_{n'}(g)^\dagger\} = \delta_{nn'} \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})\overline{f(\mathbf{p}, s)}g(\mathbf{p}, s)\underline{I}_p. \quad (34)$$

The RHS of (34) is in the center of the CAR algebra.

The scalar product of two unnormalized one-electron states is

$$\langle Q|\underline{c}_n(f)\underline{c}_{n'}(g)^\dagger|Q\rangle = \delta_{nn'} \sum_s \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p})Z(\mathbf{p})\overline{f(\mathbf{p}, s)}g(\mathbf{p}, s) = \delta_{nn'} \langle Of|Og\rangle =: \delta_{nn'} \langle f|g\rangle_Z, \quad (35)$$

with $Z(\mathbf{p}) = |O(\mathbf{p})|^2$. The scalar product at (35) is analogous to the formula for one-photon states given in [3]. fO denotes the pointlike product $fO(\mathbf{p}, s) = O(\mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{p}, s)$.

The following important result can be proved in a direct analogy to Theorem 1 from [3] so we leave the proof to the reader. Denote by \sum_σ the sum over all the permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, M\}$.

Theorem 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle Q|\underline{c}_n(f_1) \dots \underline{c}_n(f_M)\underline{c}_n(g_1)^\dagger \dots \underline{c}_n(g_M)^\dagger|Q\rangle &= \sum_\sigma \delta_\sigma \langle f_1|g_{\sigma(1)}\rangle_Z \dots \langle f_M|g_{\sigma(M)}\rangle_Z \\ &= \sum_\sigma \delta_\sigma \sum_{s_1 \dots s_M} \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}_1)Z(\mathbf{p}_1) \dots d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}_M)Z(\mathbf{p}_M)\overline{f_1(\mathbf{p}_1, s_1)} \dots \overline{f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, s_M)}g_{\sigma(1)}(\mathbf{p}_1, s_1) \dots g_{\sigma(M)}(\mathbf{p}_M, s_M) \end{aligned}$$

where δ_σ is the sign of the permutation σ . ■

VI. ACTION OF THE POINCARÉ GROUP ON FIELD OPERATORS

For any $\Lambda \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, $y \in \mathbf{T}^{(1,3)}$ we will construct a representation of (the covering of) the Poincaré group, $(\Lambda, y) \mapsto \underline{U}_{\Lambda, y}$, acting by $\underline{U}_{\Lambda, y}^\dagger \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x) \underline{U}_{\Lambda, y} = \Lambda_\alpha^\beta \underline{\Psi}_\beta(\Lambda^{-1}(x-y))$. The map $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_\alpha^\beta$ is the bispinor representation of Λ . We will begin with constructing $U_{\Lambda, y}^\dagger \Psi_\alpha(x) U_{\Lambda, y} = \Lambda_\alpha^\beta \Psi_\beta(\Lambda^{-1}(x-y))$, which additionally satisfies $U_{\Lambda, y}^\dagger I_0 U_{\Lambda, y} = I_0$. Once we have completed this stage the final representation is $\underline{U}_{\Lambda, y} = \underbrace{U_{\Lambda, y} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{\Lambda, y}}_N$.

The generator of $U_{\mathbf{1}, y}$ is chosen in a way which is consistent with an appropriate ‘‘single-oscillator’’ Noether invariant, i.e.

$$P_a = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) p_a |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \frac{1}{2} (b^\dagger b - b b^\dagger + d^\dagger d - d d^\dagger) = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) p_a |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes (b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d - 2\mathbf{I}). \quad (36)$$

The part $-2 \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) p_a |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \mathbf{I}$ belongs to the center of CAR. Then, using the results from Sec. I, we can show that $U_{\mathbf{1}, y} = e^{iy \cdot P}$ acts by

$$U_{\mathbf{1}, y}^\dagger b(\mathbf{p}, s) U_{\mathbf{1}, y} = |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes e^{-iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} b_s e^{iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} = e^{iy \cdot p} b(\mathbf{p}, s) \quad (37)$$

$$U_{\mathbf{1}, y}^\dagger d(\mathbf{p}, s) U_{\mathbf{1}, y} = |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes e^{-iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} d_s e^{iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} = e^{iy \cdot p} d(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad (38)$$

$$U_{\mathbf{1}, y}^\dagger I_0 U_{\mathbf{1}, y} = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes e^{-iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} \mathbf{I}_0 e^{iy \cdot p(b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d)} = I_0. \quad (39)$$

Finally, $\underline{U}_{\mathbf{1}, y}^\dagger \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x) \underline{U}_{\mathbf{1}, y} = \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x-y)$. The four-momentum at the N -oscillator level reads

$$\underline{P}_a = P_a \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes P_a \quad (40)$$

and satisfies

$$[\underline{P}_a, \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger] = p_a \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger \quad (41)$$

which is the same result as in the Fock representation.

Now consider

$$U_{\Lambda, 0} = \exp \left(i \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes (b^\dagger A(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) b + d^\dagger A(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) d) \right) \left(\int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}') |\mathbf{p}'\rangle \langle \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}'| \otimes \mathbf{I} \right) \quad (42)$$

$$= \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \exp \left(i (b^\dagger A(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) b + d^\dagger A(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) d) \right) \left(\int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}') |\mathbf{p}'\rangle \langle \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}'| \otimes \mathbf{I} \right) \quad (43)$$

where $u(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) = e^{iA(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})} \in SU(2)$ is the matrix occurring in (19). An equivalent definition employing directly $u(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})$, instead of $A(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})$, can be formulated by means of (6). Taking into account (7) one finds

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger c_n(\mathbf{p}, -) U_{\Lambda, 0} \\ U_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger c_n(\mathbf{p}, +) U_{\Lambda, 0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A(\mathbf{p}) \Lambda \pi^A(\mathbf{p}) & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_A(\mathbf{p}) \Lambda \omega^A(\mathbf{p}) \\ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{\Lambda} \omega^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{\Lambda} \pi^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}, -) \\ c_n(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}, +) \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger I_0 U_{\Lambda, 0} = I_0 \quad (44)$$

and finally

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, -) \underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0} \\ \underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, +) \underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A(\mathbf{p}) \Lambda \pi^A(\mathbf{p}) & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_A(\mathbf{p}) \Lambda \omega^A(\mathbf{p}) \\ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{\Lambda} \omega^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{\omega}_{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{\Lambda} \pi^{A'}(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}, -) \\ \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}, +) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

$$\underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0}^\dagger \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x) \underline{U}_{\Lambda, 0} = \Lambda_\alpha^\beta \underline{\Psi}_\beta(\Lambda^{-1} x). \quad (46)$$

VII. ACTION OF THE POINCARÉ GROUP ON STATES

It is sufficient to concentrate on the covariance properties of vacuum; the multi-electron states transform according to the properties of vacuum and those of the creation operators. Since

$$\underline{U}_{\Lambda,y}|O\rangle = U_{\Lambda,y}|O\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes U_{\Lambda,y}|O\rangle \quad (47)$$

we explicitly write only

$$U_{\Lambda,y}|O\rangle = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) e^{-2iy \cdot \mathbf{p}} O(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p})|\mathbf{p}, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle. \quad (48)$$

As we can see the vacua are not invariant but only covariant. The factor $e^{-2iy \cdot \mathbf{p}}$ can be removed by a unitary transformation which belongs to the center of CAR. In this new representation the four momentum annihilates vacuum. One should stress that the choice of the ‘‘vacuum representation’’ is here well defined (as opposed to the standard Fock prescription, where the phase is infinite). The possibility of such a choice of representation does not physically mean that the vacuum energy is zero. In the vacuum representation the vacuum transforms by

$$O(\mathbf{p}) \mapsto O(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{p}) =: V_{\Lambda,y}O(\mathbf{p}) \quad (49)$$

and $\underline{U}_{\Lambda,y}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, s) \underline{U}_{\Lambda,y} = \underline{V}_{\Lambda,y}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\mathbf{p}, s) \underline{V}_{\Lambda,y}$.

VIII. CHARGE

The operator

$$Q = e_0 \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes (b^\dagger b + d d^\dagger) = e_0 \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes (b^\dagger b - d^\dagger d + 2\mathbf{I}) \quad (50)$$

is the Noether invariant corresponding to the $U(1)$ symmetry of the free Dirac Lagrangian at a single-oscillator level. Formulas (8), (9), imply

$$e^{-i\varphi Q} \Psi_\alpha(x) e^{i\varphi Q} = e^{-ie_0\varphi} \Psi_\alpha(x), \quad e^{-i\varphi Q} \Psi_\alpha^c(x) e^{i\varphi Q} = e^{+ie_0\varphi} \Psi_\alpha^c(x), \quad e^{-i\varphi Q} \mathbf{I}_0 e^{i\varphi Q} = \mathbf{I}_0. \quad (51)$$

Accordingly $e^{i\varphi Q} = e^{i\varphi Q} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{i\varphi Q}$ is the $U(1)$ gauge transformation

$$e^{-i\varphi Q} \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x) e^{i\varphi Q} = e^{-ie_0\varphi} \underline{\Psi}_\alpha(x), \quad e^{-i\varphi Q} \underline{\Psi}_\alpha^c(x) e^{i\varphi Q} = e^{+ie_0\varphi} \underline{\Psi}_\alpha^c(x). \quad (52)$$

The generator $Q = Q \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes Q$ plays the role of the charge operator.

Charge of multi-electron states follows from

$$[Q, \underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger] = +e_0 \underline{b}(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger, \quad [Q, \underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger] = -e_0 \underline{d}(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger \quad (53)$$

which is the same result as in the Fock representation.

IX. SPIN

The generators of the unitary representation (19) of $SL(2, C)$ are $j^{ab} = l^{ab} + s^{ab}$ where l^{ab} is the usual orbital part in momentum representation and

$$s^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_X(p) J^{abXY} \pi_Y(p) & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_X(p) J^{abXY} \omega_Y(p) \\ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\omega}_{X'}(p) J^{abX'Y'} \bar{\omega}_{Y'}(p) & \bar{\omega}_{X'}(p) J^{abX'Y'} \bar{\pi}_{Y'}(p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

The operators

$$J^{ab}{}_{XY} = L^{ab} \varepsilon_X^Y + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{A'B'} \left(\varepsilon^A{}_X \varepsilon^{BY} + \varepsilon^B{}_X \varepsilon^{AY} \right), \quad J^{ab}{}_{X'Y'} = L^{ab} \varepsilon_{X'}^{Y'} + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{AB} \left(\varepsilon^{A'}{}_{X'} \varepsilon^{B'Y'} + \varepsilon^{B'}{}_{X'} \varepsilon^{A'Y'} \right), \quad (55)$$

generate spinor $(1/2, 0)$ and $(0, 1/2)$ representations. Hermiticity of s^{ab} is not explicit but can be proved by means of certain spinor identities valid for spin-frames [9]. Let us note that the matrix s^{ab} involves also the matrix elements of l^{ab} evaluated between the spin-frame spinors. This ‘‘orbital’’ element occurring in the ‘‘spin’’ part of the generators is a consequence of the fact that spin-frames occurring in the $SU(2)$ matrix are spinor fields themselves.

It follows that the generators of $U_{\Lambda,0}$ are $J^{ab} = L^{ab} + S^{ab}$ where L^{ab} is the orbital part and

$$S^{ab}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \left(b^\dagger s^{ab}(\mathbf{p}) b + d^\dagger s^{ab}(\mathbf{p}) d \right). \quad (56)$$

If p^a is future-pointing and ω^a is the associated null vector then the projection of the Pauli-Lubanski vector s^a in the direction of ω^a , corresponding to the representation (19) and (54), reads

$$s = \omega^a(\mathbf{p}) s_a(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_3. \quad (57)$$

We define the spin operator for $U_{\Lambda,y}$ in an analogous way by $S^a(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \hat{p}_b^* S^{ab}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$. Let us note that we use here $\hat{p}_b = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) p_b |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}|$ and not $P_b = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) p_b |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes (b^\dagger b + d^\dagger d - 2\mathbf{I})$. The projection

$$S = \omega^a(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) S_a(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \left(b^\dagger s b + d^\dagger s d \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| \otimes \left(b_+^\dagger b_+ - b_-^\dagger b_- + d_+^\dagger d_+ - d_-^\dagger d_- \right) \quad (58)$$

plays a role analogous to the helicity operator.

At the N -oscillator level the spin operator is defined in terms of generators of $\underline{U}_{\Lambda,0}$ and reads

$$\underline{S} = S \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I + \dots + I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes S. \quad (59)$$

One can verify that spin of vacuum is zero $\underline{S}|Q\rangle = 0$ which is consistent with the fact that vacuum transforms as a scalar field. Spin of multi-electron states follows from

$$[\underline{S}, \underline{c}_n(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger] = s \underline{c}_n(\mathbf{p}, s)^\dagger \quad (60)$$

which is the same result as in the Fock representation.

X. DISCUSSION

Theorem 1 as well as the analogous result from [3] suggest that perturbative expansions associated with the Dyson series will have the same form (for $N \rightarrow \infty$) in the reducible framework as they have in the Fock one, with one modification: The scalar products $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ will be replaced by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_Z$. The functions $Z(\mathbf{k})$ (for photons) and $Z(\mathbf{p})$ (for electrons) cannot be identified since they transform as scalar fields with zero and non-zero masses, respectively. As discussed in [1,2,3] not only do these functions regularize integrals but they appear, for $N \rightarrow \infty$, in exactly those places where one expects renormalization constants Z_3 and Z_2 . Naudts et al. [11] have recently proposed to associate $Z(\mathbf{k})$, $Z(\mathbf{p})$ with fields of space-time fluctuations. Assuming that the functions are constant up to the Planck energies, or perhaps some other scale [12], the only modification one expects within the quantum electrodynamics regime is in a rescaling of bare parameters into observable ones.

Interesting modifications occur if one considers vacuum energies. Let us note that the Noether invariants [13] did not need to be normally ordered, since the vacuum contributions were well behaved. For example, the energy of N_B bosonic and N_F fermionic oscillators described by the vacua $Z_B(\mathbf{k})$, $Z_F(\mathbf{p})$ is

$$\langle E \rangle_{\text{vac}} = N_B \int d\Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) |\mathbf{k}| Z_B(\mathbf{k}) - 2N_F \int d\Gamma_m(\mathbf{p}) \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} Z_F(\mathbf{p}). \quad (61)$$

The expression becomes infinite only in the limits of infinite numbers of oscillators. It is essential, however, that the limits we have used, say, in Theorem 1 are understood in the sense of thermodynamic limits of ‘‘very large numbers’’. The vacuum energy can be thus positive, negative, or zero, depending on the vacuum states. In any case it can be finite if the vacua are appropriately chosen. Such a regularization of the vacuum divergence may have important implications for the cosmological constant problem.

Another important modification with respect to the standard Fock-type quantum field theory is that the reducible quantization introduces a kind of nonlocality whose scale is given, effectively, by the shapes of vacuum wave functions. For this reason the formalism we have obtained does not fit into the framework of generalized free fields [14,15] where the right-hand-sides of CCR/CAR involve functions of Casimirs of symmetry groups. The reducible quantization approach is closer to nonlocal quantum field theories [16,17,18,19,20,21,22].

The main open question is to what extent our formalism is applicable to concrete calculations in perturbative quantum electrodynamics, and whether all the infinities are indeed automatically regularized to all orders of perturbation theory. It seems that various techniques worked out for the purposes of nonlocal field theories may be useful in this context.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A large part of this work was done during my stay in Clausthal. I am indebted to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for funding and prof. H.-D. Doebner for hospitality and help.

- [1] M. Czachor, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 8081 (2000).
- [2] M. Czachor, M. Syty, “Non-canonical quantum optics (II): Poincaré covariant formalism and thermodynamic limit”, quant-ph/0205011.
- [3] M. Czachor, “Reducible field quantization (I): Photons”, submitted to Phys. Lett. A.
- [4] P. Jordan, E. Wigner, Z. für Physik **47**, 631 (1928).
- [5] P. Garbaczewski, Phys. Rep. **36**, 67 (1978).
- [6] Following the terminology of [10] we will speak of negative electrons (negatons) and positive electrons (positons).
- [7] We employ the representation where

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \dots \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

- [8] R. Penrose, W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time, vol. 1* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984).
- [9] M. Czachor, “Bargman-Wigner spinors”, in *Photon and Poincaré Group*, V. V. Dvoeglazov (Ed.), p. 32-62 (Nova Science, Commack, NY, 1999); hep-th/9701135.
- [10] I. Białynicki-Birula, Z. Białynicka-Birula, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1974).
- [11] J. Naudts, M. Kuna, and W. De Roeck, ‘Photon fields in a fluctuating spacetime’, hep-th/0210188.
- [12] G. Saini, S. D. Joglekar, Z. Phys. C **76**, 343 (1997); hep-ph/9701405.
- [13] Construction of four-momentum and charge from the Noether theorem will be discussed elsewhere. In short, taking an appropriate Lagrangian one starts with field operators reducibly quantized in a finite volume and at the end performs the infinite volume limit. There is no need of normal ordering and no divergence occurs at any stage.
- [14] O. W. Greenberg, Ann. Phys. **16**, 158 (1961).
- [15] N. N. Bogolubov, A. A. Logunov, I. T. Todorov, *Introduction to Axiomatic Quantum Field Theory* (Benjamin, Reading, 1975).
- [16] G. V. Efimov, *Nonlocal Interactions of Quantized Fields* (Nauka, Moscow, 1977), in Russian.
- [17] J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3654 (1989); Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1177 (1990).
- [18] D. Evens, J. W. Moffat, G. Kleppe, R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 499 (1991).
- [19] G. Kleppe, R. P. Woodard, Ann. Phys. (NY) **221**, 106 (1993).
- [20] M. A. Clayton, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16**, 1117 (2001).
- [21] N. J. Cornish, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7**, 1895 (1992).
- [22] A. Basu, S. D. Joglekar, EPJdirect C4, 1 (2001).