

# On the Question of Interference in Radiation Produced by Relativistic Channeled Particles

*V.F.Boldyshev and M.G.Shatnev* \*,

National Science Center

Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology,  
61108, Kharkov, Ukraine.

## Abstract

Two approaches used in the description of the channeling radiation emitted from relativistic positrons are compared with each other. In the first (traditional) case, the probability of the process is proportional to a sum of absolute squares of the amplitudes of the transition between two states with definite transverse energy levels of the positrons traversing single crystals. In the second case, we begin with calculation of the sum of amplitudes for transition between states with different transverse energy levels for corresponding radiation frequency, and then the sum is squared. One must keep in mind that the latter approach can be used only in the case when positrons move in a nearly harmonic planar potential with equidistant transverse energy levels. It is shown that the calculation based on the second approach can give rise to a peak structure in the spectrum when the number of transverse energy levels is much greater than one.

We consider a relativistic charged particle incident onto a crystal at a small angle to a crystal planes. In the planar channeling case for positively charged positrons, the channel is between the crystal planes. This channel is the source of a potential well in the direction transverse to particle motion giving rise to transversely bound states for the particle. Transitions to lower-energy states lead to the phenomenon known as channeling radiation (CR). Calculations of the CR process are carried out by using the rules of quantum electrodynamics[1,2]. The longitudinal and transverse motion of relativistic channeled positrons is described by the time-independent Dirac equation and the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with relativistic corrections, respectively. The wave functions in the matrix element are given by the solutions of these equations, and, since the potential in our case only depends on the coordinate  $x$ , the part of the wave function describing the free motion along the  $y$ - and  $z$ -axes is a plane wave. The part of the wave function describing transverse nonrelativistic one-dimensional oscillations of planarly channeled positrons in the laboratory frame is obtained solving the Schrödinger equation for the transverse motion. The intensity of the CR is proportional to the square of the matrix element absolute value. The Doppler formula for the energy of photon emitted is derived using the energy and momentum conservation laws. For this energy one gets

$$\omega = \frac{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'}}{1 - \frac{p_{\parallel}}{E} \cos \theta}, \quad (1)$$

---

\*E-mail:shatnev@kipt.kharkov.ua

where  $\varepsilon_n$  and  $\varepsilon_{n'}$  are the discrete energy levels of the transverse oscillations of the positron in the channel before and after radiation, respectively,  $E$  and  $p_{\parallel}$  are the energy and the longitudinal momentum of the positron, and  $\theta$  is the angle of radiation emission relative to the direction of motion of the channeled positron.

The radiation of a maximum frequency

$$\omega = 2(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'})\gamma^2, \gamma = \frac{E}{m}$$

is emitted in the forward direction (at  $\theta = 0$ ). For positrons of not too high transverse energies, a good approximation (see e.g., Refs.[1-3]) is the harmonic potential leading to equidistant energy levels

$$\varepsilon_n = \Omega(n + 1/2),$$

where  $\Omega = \frac{2}{d_p} \sqrt{\frac{2U_0}{E}}$  is the oscillation frequency,  $d_p$  is the distance between planes in the corresponding units, and  $U_0$  is the depth of the potential well. Since  $\frac{p_{\parallel}}{E} = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{-2})$ , and  $\cos \theta = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2)$ , Eq. (2) can be expressed as

$$\omega = 2\gamma^2(n - n')\Omega(1 + \theta^2\gamma^2)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The case  $n - n' = 1$  corresponds to the peak values of the experimental CR spectra [4], being the first harmonic with the photon energy  $\omega = 2\gamma^2\Omega$ . As it follows from Eq.(2), photons emitted via positron transition from any initial level  $n$  to the final level  $n - 1$  are identical (i.e., have the same energies for the same emission angles). This means that the resulting amplitude should be given by an additive superposition of amplitudes of all such transitions. The positron state outside the crystal ( $z < 0$ ) is a plane wave, whereas inside the crystal ( $z > 0$ ), the part of its wave function corresponding to the transverse motion is a superposition of the harmonic oscillator eigenvectors. Factors  $c_n$  describing transitions from the initial state to states with the transverse energy levels  $n$  can be found using boundary conditions set upon the wave function at the crystal border ( $z = 0$ ). Then, a transition to the closest lower level  $n - 1$  occurs with emission of a photon having energy  $\omega$ . The amplitude  $M_{n,n-1}$  of such a transition was calculated in Refs.[1,2]. One may expect that the total amplitude of the transition from the initial to final state accompanied by the photon emission is determined by products of the amplitudes  $c_n$  and  $M_{n,n-1}$ . Following the rules of the quantum mechanics, we express this amplitude as

$$A \propto \sum_n c_n M_{n,n-1}, \quad (3)$$

where summation is performed over all the harmonic oscillator levels. In paper [1] the spectral-angular distribution of emitted photons is represented as

$$\frac{d^2\varpi}{d\omega dO} \propto \sum_f |M_{if}|^2. \quad (4)$$

The sum entering Eq.(4) is the one over the quantum numbers  $f$  of the transverse motion of the particle. Then, the probability of having a definite transverse energy is taken into account by multiplying each term of this sum by a corresponding factor. In our consideration, discrete levels of the transverse motion in the harmonic oscillator potential refer to the intermediate state of the particle. Accordingly, the contribution to

the intensity  $\frac{d^2\varpi}{d\omega dO}$  due to transitions, e.g., between the closest levels is determined by the square of the absolute value of expression (3)

$$\frac{d^2\varpi^{(1)}}{d\omega dO} \propto \left| \sum_n c_n M_{n,n-1} \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

In other words, unlike Ref.[1], we get an expression that contains interference terms mixing amplitudes of photon emission from different equidistant levels. We would like to note that dynamics of channeling electron in a crystal differs from that of the positron case. The transverse potential well for the electron does not give rise to equidistant energy levels for transverse particle motion. Therefore, there are no interference contributions to the photon emission intensity similar to those present in Eq. (5). In our opinion, this could explain the greater intensity in case of channeling positron compared to that for the electron observed in experiment [4]. We think that studying the problems like the one under consideration in this paper can help in the development of methods for obtaining polarized photon beams.

The authors would like to thank L.G. Levchuk for helpful discussions and interest to the work.

## References

- [1] N.K. Zhevago, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **75** (1978) 1389 [Sov. Phys. JETP **48** (1978)701].
- [2] M.A. Kumakhov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **72** (1977) 1489.
- [3] D.S. Gemmell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46** (1974) 129.
- [4] J. Bak, et al, Nucl. Phys. **B254** (1985) 491.