

A dressing of zero-range potentials and electron-molecule scattering problem at low energies

S.B. Leble

Theoretical Physics and Mathematical Methods Department,
Technical University of Gdansk, ul, Narutowicza 11/12, Gdansk, Poland,
leble@mifgate.pg.gda.pl

S. Yalunin

Theoretical Physics Department,
Kaliningrad State University, A. Nevsky st. 14, Kaliningrad, Russia,
yalunin@bk.ru

23rd August 2019

Abstract

A dressing of a nonspherical potential, which includes n zero range potentials, is considered. The dressing technique is used to improve ZRP model. Concepts of the partial waves and partial phases for non-spherical potential are used in order to perform Darboux transformation. The problem of scattering on the regular X_n and YX_n structures is studied. The possibilities of dressed ZRP are illustrated by model calculation of the low-energy electron-Silane (SiH_4) scattering. The results are discussed.

Key words: multiple scattering, silane, zero range potential.

1 Introduction

The ideas of zero range potential (ZRP) approach were recently developed to widen limits of the traditional treatment by Demkov and Ostrovsky [1] and Albeverio et al. [2]. The advantage of the theory is the possibility of obtaining an exact solution of scattering problem. The ZRP is conventionally represented as the boundary condition on the matrix wavefunction at some point. Alternatively, the ZRP can be represented as pseudopotential (Breit [3]).

On the other hand, Darboux transformation (DT) allows to construct in natural way exactly solvable potentials. General starting point of the theory goes up to Matveev theorem (see [4]). The transformation can be also defined on the base of covariance property of the Schrödinger equation with respect to a transformation of wavefunction and potential (Matveev and Salle [5]). Darboux formulas in multi-dimensional space could be applied in the sense of Andrianov, Borisov and Ioffe ideas [6]. In the circumstances, DT technique can be used so as to correct ZRP model.

We attempt to dress the ZRP in order to improve the possibilities of the ZRP model. We use notations and some results from [13]. DT modifies the generalized ZRP (GZRP) boundary condition (Section 2) and creates a potential with arbitrarily disposed discrete spectrum levels for any angular momentum l . In the section 3 we consider S -representation for a non-spherical potential so as to dress a multi-centered potential, which includes n ZRPs. As an important example, we consider electron scattering by the X_n and YX_n structures within the framework of the ZRP model (Section 4). In section 4.3 we present the our calculations for the electron-SiH₄ scattering and discuss them.

2 Zero range potential dressing

Let us start from the simplest case of a central field. Then angular momentum operator commutes with Hamiltonian and therefore wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ can be expanded in the spherical waves

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi(kr)^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l e^{i(\eta_l + l\pi/2)} \psi_l(r, k) Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}_0), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = r^{-1}\mathbf{r}$, \mathbf{n}_0 is initial particle direction, $\psi_l(r, k)$ are partial waves, and η_l are phase shifts. Consider the radial Schrödinger equation for partial wave with angular momentum l . The atomic units are used throughout the present paper, i.e. $\hbar = m = 1$ and Born radius $a_0 = \hbar^2/me^2 = 1$.

$$H_l \psi_l(r, k) = k^2 \psi_l(r, k), \quad (2.2)$$

$$H_l = -D^2 + 2u_l(r), \quad (2.3)$$

$D = d/dr$ denotes differential operator, and H_l are Hamiltonian operators of the partial waves. This equations describe scattering of a particle with energy $E = k^2/2$. The wavefunctions $\psi_l(r, k)$ at infinity have the form

$$\psi_l(r, k) \stackrel{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sin\left(kr - \frac{l\pi}{2} + \eta_l(k)\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Let us consider GZRP in coordinate origin. This potential is conventionally represented as boundary condition on the wavefunction (see [7])

$$D^{2l+1} \left(r^l \psi_l(r, k) \right)_{r=0} = -\frac{\alpha_l (2l+1)!}{(2l+1)!! (2l-1)!!} \left(r^l \psi_l(r, k) \right)_{r=0}, \quad (2.5)$$

where α_l are inverse scattering lengths. The potential $u_l = l(l+1)/2r^2$ and therefore wavefunctions $\psi_l(r, k)$ can be expressed in terms of the spherical functions

$$\psi_l(r, k) = \cos(\eta_l) j_l(kr) + \sin(\eta_l) n_l(kr), \quad (2.6)$$

where spherical functions $j_l(x)$, $n_l(x)$ are related to usual Bessel functions as $j_l(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} J_{l+1/2}(x)$, $n_l(x) = (-1)^l \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} J_{-l-1/2}(x)$. In the vicinity of zero they have the asymptotic behavior $j_l(x) \sim x^{l+1}/(2l+1)!!$, and $n_l(x) \sim x^{-l}(2l-1)!!$. To substituting the equation (2.6) into the boundary condition we obtain the elements of S -matrix

$$\exp(2i\eta_l) = \frac{\alpha_l - ik^{2l+1}}{\alpha_l + ik^{2l+1}}. \quad (2.7)$$

The bound states correspond to the poles of the S -matrix (i.e the zeros of the denominator $\alpha_l + ik^{2l+1}$), which lie on the imaginary positive semi-axis of the complex k -plane. It is obvious that bound state, with orbital momentum l , exists only if $(-1)^l \alpha_l > 0$ (elsewise an antibound state exists) and has the energy $E_l = \alpha_l^{2/(2l+1)}/2$.

Thus, spectral problem for GZRP is solved for any value k . On the other hand, the equations (2.2) are covariant with respect to DT that yields the following transformations of the potentials (coefficients of the operator H_l)

$$u_l^{(1)} = u_l - D s_l, \quad (2.8)$$

and the wavefunctions $\psi_l(r, k)$

$$\psi_l^{(1)} = \frac{s_l - D}{\sqrt{k^2 + b_l^2}} \psi_l(r, k), \quad s_l = \frac{D \varphi_l(r, i b_l)}{\varphi_l(r, i b_l)} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\varphi_l(r, i b_l)$ are some solutions of the equations (2.2) at $k = i b_l$, and b_l are real parameters, which can be both positive or negative. The DT (2.9) combines the solutions $\psi_l(r, k)$ and a solution $\varphi_l(r, i b_l)$ that corresponds to another eigen value $k^2 = -b_l^2$. Repeating the procedure we obtain a chain of the integrable potentials $u_l^{(n)}$. In general, dressed potential $u_l^{(1)}$ is real for real function $\varphi_l(r, i b_l)$.

The next step in the dressing procedure of the zero-range potential ($u_l = l(l+1)/2r^2$) is a definition of the free parameters of the solutions $\varphi_l(r, i b_l)$. Suppose the prop functions $\varphi_l(r, i b_l)$ satisfy the boundary conditions (2.5) with $\alpha_l = e_l$. In the simplest case of $l = 0$ we have

$$\varphi_0(r, i b) = \sinh(br) - (b/e) \cosh(br), \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$s_0 \stackrel{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -e + (b^2 - e^2)r.$$

The DT (2.9) gives rise to the following requirement on dressed wavefunction

$$D\psi_0^{(1)} = \left(\frac{b^2 + k^2}{\alpha - e} + e \right) \psi_0^{(1)}.$$

The dressed potential $u_0^{(1)}$ is given by

$$u_0^{(1)} = -\frac{b^2(b^2 - e^2)}{(b \cosh(br) - e \sinh(br))^2},$$

It is regular on semiaxis only if $(b/e) \in [0, 1)$. In the limiting case at $b \rightarrow 0$ we obtain long-range interaction $\propto (1 - er)^{-2}$, which can be regular on semiaxis only if $e < 0$. Assuming $e = \pm b$ we get $u_0^{(1)} = 0$ (trivial transformation), and boundary condition can be obtained by the substitution:

$$\alpha \rightarrow \frac{b^2 + k^2}{\alpha \mp b} \pm b.$$

To dress free wave ($\alpha = \infty$) we obtain ZRP at the coordinate origin. Thus, ZRP can be also introduced in terms of DT. To consider transformation with parameter $e = \alpha$ we obtain regular solution $\psi_0^{(1)}$ and tangent of phase shift is

$$\tan \eta_0^{(1)} = \frac{(e - b)k}{be + k^2}.$$

In the other cases asymptotic of the functions s_l at zero is given by

$$s_l \underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \begin{cases} -\frac{l}{r} - \frac{b_l^2 r}{(2l-1)}, & e_l \neq \infty, l > 0 \\ \frac{l+1}{r} + \frac{b_l^2 r}{(2l+3)}, & e_l = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the each DT introduces short-range core of centrifugal type (which depends on angular momentum l) in the potential. In this situation the boundary conditions on the dressed wavefunctions $\psi_l[1]$ require modification. Thus, in the case $e_l \neq \infty$, $l > 0$ the boundary conditions become

$$D^{2l-1} \left(r^{l-1} \psi_l^{(1)} \right)_{r=0} = -\frac{\alpha_l (2l-1)!}{(k^2 - b_l^2)(2l+1)!!(2l-3)!!} \left(r^{l-1} \psi_l^{(1)} \right)_{r=0}$$

and in the case $e_l = \infty$ we obtain

$$D^{2l+3} \left(r^{l+1} \psi_l^{(1)} \right)_{r=0} = -\frac{\alpha_l (2l+3)!}{(k^2 - b_l^2)(2l+3)!!(2l-1)!!} \left(r^{l+1} \psi_l^{(1)} \right)_{r=0}.$$

In the generalized case, ZRP with angular momentum l generates also $2l$ complex poles of the S -matrix, which correspond the quasi-stationary states (resonances). The DTs (2.9) with the parameters b_l results in the S -matrix elements for dressed GZRP

$$\exp(2i\eta_l^{(1)}) = \frac{\alpha_l - ik^{2l+1}}{\alpha_l + ik^{2l+1}} \left(\frac{b_l - ik}{b_l + ik} \right).$$

We can use Darboux transformation in order to add (or remove) poles of the S -matrix.

3 Multi-center problem

The principal observation allows to build a zero-range potential eigen function in the multi-center problem. Let us consider n ZRPs at the points \mathbf{r}_i and interaction $v^{(1)}$. The wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ can be expressed in terms of the (outgoing-wave) Green function, defined by the equation

$$\left(-\nabla^2 + 2v^{(1)} - k^2 \right) g^+(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

The second (ingoing-wave) Green function is defined by $g^-(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = g^{+*}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$. The partial waves $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, k)$, defined by

$$\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, k) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{2ikr} \left(A_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) e^{ikr+i\eta_\lambda} + A_\lambda(-\mathbf{n}) e^{-ikr-i\eta_\lambda} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

for multi-centered target which includes n ZRPs and interaction $v^{(1)}$ can be expressed as a superposition of the Green functions

$$\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, k) = (2ik)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_{\lambda i} \left(e^{i\eta_\lambda} g^+(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_i) + e^{-i\eta_\lambda} g^-(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_i) \right) \quad (3.12)$$

in which η_λ are phase shifts, $A_\lambda(\mathbf{n})$ denote S -matrix orthonormal eigenfunctions, $c_{\lambda i}$ are real numbers. They naturally generalize the spherical partial waves $(kr)^{-1}\psi_l(r, k)Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n})$ for a non-spherical potential [8]. Expanding the partial waves $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, k)$ at the infinity we obtain the expressions for $A_\lambda(\mathbf{n})$

$$A_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{\lambda i} \Psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i, -k\mathbf{n}). \quad (3.13)$$

where $\Psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, k\mathbf{n}) \stackrel{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} e^{ik\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + F^{(1)}e^{ikr}/r$ is wavefunction for potential $v^{(1)}$, and $F^{(1)}$ is scattering amplitude. Scattering amplitude for potential $v^{(1)}$ and n ZRP is given by

$$F(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_0) = F^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_0) + \frac{4\pi}{2ik} \sum_{\lambda} \left(e^{i\eta_\lambda} - 1 \right) A_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) A_\lambda^*(\mathbf{n}_0). \quad (3.14)$$

By the imposition of the boundary conditions (2.5) the calculation of the partial waves is reduced to the solution of the following system

$$\sum_{j \neq i} c_{\lambda j} (s(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) + c(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) \tan \eta_\lambda) = -c_{\lambda i} (k + \delta k_i + (\alpha_i + \delta \alpha_i) \tan \eta_\lambda), \quad (3.15)$$

in which we use

$$g^+(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_i) \stackrel{r \rightarrow r_i}{\sim} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i|} + \delta \alpha_i + i(k + \delta k_i), \quad (3.16)$$

where $s(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \text{Im } g^+(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$, $c(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \text{Re } g^+(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$. The tangents $\tan \eta_\lambda$ can be found from compatibility condition of this system. In simplest case $v = \delta \alpha_i = \delta k_i = 0$

$$c(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \frac{\cos(kr_{ij})}{r_{ij}}, \quad s(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \frac{\sin(kr_{ij})}{r_{ij}}, \quad r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|,$$

the system (3.15) is reduced to the usual equations of ZRP theory [8]. In order to construct functions $s(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$ and $c(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$ for dressed potential, i.e. for $v^{(1)}$, we need to write down Green function as single-center expansion over spherical harmonics. In the simplest case, when the prop function is $\varphi_0(r, ib) = \sinh(br)$, the Green function is given by

$$g^+(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{\psi_0^{(1)}(r, k) f_0^{(1)}(r', k)}{krr'} - \frac{\sin(kr) e^{ikr'}}{krr'}, \quad r < r', \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$f_0^{(1)}(r, k) = \frac{b \coth(br) - ik}{\sqrt{k^2 + b^2}} e^{ikr}, \quad \psi_0^{(1)}(r, k) = \frac{b \coth(br) \sin(kr) - k \cos(kr)}{\sqrt{k^2 + b^2}}.$$

The function $\psi_0^{(1)}(r, k)$ has the following asymptotic at infinity

$$\psi_0^{(1)}(r, k) \stackrel{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sin(kr + \delta), \quad \delta = -\arctan(k/|b|).$$

The integral cross section can be readily derived using the optical theorem: $\sigma = 4\pi k^{-1} \text{Im} F(\mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0)$. Thus, averaged integral cross section is given by

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sin^2(\delta) + \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sum_{\lambda} \sin^2(\eta_\lambda)^2. \quad (3.18)$$

4 X_n and XY_n structures

For purpose of illustration we consider scattering problem for a dressed multi-center potential. The multi-center scattering within the framework of the ZRP model was investigated by Demkov and Rudakov [8] (8 centers, cube), Drukarev and Yurova [9] (3 centers in line), Szmytkowski [11] (4 centers, regular tetrahedron).

4.1 Electron- X_n scattering problem

Let structure X_n contains n identical scatterers, which involve only s waves. Denote position vector of the scatterer j by the \mathbf{r}_j . Suppose, for the sake of simplicity, the distance between any two scatterers i and j is $r_{ij} \equiv R$. There are three such structures in three-dimensional space - dome X_2 , regular trihedron X_3 , regular tetrahedron X_4 . The partial waves (3.12) and phases η_λ can be classified with respect to symmetry group representation, degeneracy being defined by the dimension of the representation [8]. The structures X_2, X_3, X_4 belong to the $D_{\infty h}, C_{3v}, T_d$ point groups respectively. The equation (3.15) leads to algebraical problem

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_{\lambda j}(\sin(kR) + \tan \eta_\lambda \cos(kR)) = -c_{\lambda i}(kR + \tan(\eta_\lambda)\alpha R), \quad (4.19)$$

The phases can be readily found from compatibility condition of this system. To factorize the determinant we derive the expressions for the phases

$$\tan \eta_1 = -\frac{kR + (n-1)\sin(kR)}{\alpha R + (n-1)\cos(kR)}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\tan \eta_\lambda = -\frac{kR - \sin(kR)}{\alpha R - \cos(kR)}, \quad \lambda = \overline{2, n}. \quad (4.21)$$

Thus, assuming $n = 4$ we obtain the phases of a regular tetrahedron [11]. The partial and integral cross sections can be expressed as

$$\sigma_\lambda = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \frac{\tan(\eta_\lambda)^2}{1 + \tan(\eta_\lambda)^2}, \quad \sigma = \sigma_1 + (n-1)\sigma_n. \quad (4.22)$$

4.2 Electron- YX_n scattering problem

The structures YX_n can be used, for instance, to study a slow electron scattering by the polyatomic molecules like H_2O, NH_3, CH_4 , etc. Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ denote the position vectors of the scatterers X and \mathbf{r}_{n+1} denotes the position vector of the scatterer Y . The scatterers X are situated in vertices of a regular structure X_n , i.e. $r_{ij} \equiv R$. Suppose, for the sake of simplicity, the distance between the scatterer Y and any scatterer X is $r_{i(n+1)} \equiv D$. Therefore the position of the scatterer Y perfectly fixed only if $n = 4$ (geometric center of the tetrahedron, $R = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}D$). The partial waves are given by the equation (3.12), where the summation should be performed over the $i = \overline{1, n+1}$. The constants $c_{\lambda i}$ and phases can be derived analytically. Thus, we obtain the phases

$$\tan \eta_\lambda = -\frac{kR - \sin(kR)}{\alpha R - \cos(kR)}, \quad \lambda = \overline{3, n+1}, \quad (4.23)$$




Figure 1: Integral cross sections for electron–SiH₄ scattering. ZRP model: solid line; Experimental results of Wan et al.[12]: open circles.

where α is inverse scattering length of the scatterers X. The $x_{1,2} = \tan \eta_{1,2}$ obey the quadratic equation

$$(k + \beta x) \left(x + \frac{kR + (n-1) \sin(kR)}{\alpha R + (n-1) \cos(kR)} \right) = n \frac{R(\sin(kD) + x \cos(kD))^2}{D^2(\alpha R + (n-1) \cos(kR))}, \quad (4.24)$$

where β indicates inverse scattering length of the scatterer Y. In the limiting case when the distance between X and Y scatterers is very large, i.e. $D \rightarrow \infty$, the expression for $\tan \eta_1$ becomes (4.20) and $\tan \eta_2 \rightarrow -k/\beta$. This situation corresponds to independent scattering on the structure X_n and scatterer Y. The tangent of η_1 also reduces to $\tan \eta_1$ for structure X_n in the limit $\alpha_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

4.3 Dressing of the structures X_n and discussion

The integral cross sections for SiH₄ (closed-shell ground-state ¹A₁) are plotted in fig. 1 for a number of values of α, β, R, D , which are regarded as constant in the range of interest. The present calculations were carried out with $\alpha = 0.33 a_0^{-1}$, $\beta = 0.41 a_0^{-1}$, $R = 4.51 a_0$, $D = 2.76 a_0$. Our calculations were made within the framework of the ZRP model and hence they are not expected to be correct for low impact energies (i.e for energies ~ 1 eV) where polarization effects (SiH₄ spherical polarizability $\sim 30.4 a_0^3$) are known to be important. Because induced polarization potentials are always attractive, including polarization algebraically increases the computed phases ($\eta_{1,3} < 0$ at $E \sim 0$) and therefore decreases the integral cross section. Thus, fig. 1 clearly shows that polarization effects reproduce the deep minimum (Ramsauer-Townsend minimum near 0.35 eV) seen in the experimental data [12] and numerical calculations [10], which are incomparably smaller in the our calculations. The ICS can be corrected by the ZRPs dressing. Fig. 2 show ICS for dressed YX₄ structure. In our calculation, the parameters $\alpha = 0.35$, $\beta = 0.38$ were used. In the higher collision energies our cross section (fig. 1) differs from the experimental results in size but coincides in shape. It is known that role of the higher




Figure 2: Integral cross sections for electron–SiH₄ scattering on dressed ZRPs

partial waves is important at the higher collision energies. Thus, taking into consideration the p waves for the scatterers X and Y we can add in partial waves and improve the agreement both in size at the higher energies and in the position of shape resonance.

5 Conclusion

We demonstrate the possibilities of DT in multi-center scattering problem. Thus, these transformations allow to correct the ZRP model at low energies. In the limiting case $b \rightarrow 0$ DT induces the long-range forces $\sim r^{-3}$ depending on angular momentum and leads to singularity in the cross section at zero energy.

References

- [1] Demkov Yu N, Ostrovsky V N (1988) Zero-Range Potentials and their Applications in Atomic Physics, Plenum, New York
- [2] Albeverio S, Gesztesy F, Høegh-Krohn R and Holden H (1988) Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York
- [3] Breit G (1947) Phys. Rev. 71:215
- [4] Matveev V B (1979) Lett. Math. Phys. 3:213
- [5] Matveev V B, Salle M A (1991) Darboux transformations and solitons, Springer, New York
- [6] Andrianov A, Borisov N, Ioffe M (1984) Phys. Lett. A 105:19-22
- [7] Baltenkov A S (2000) Phys. Lett. A 286:92-99

- [8] Demkov Yu N, Rudakov V S (1970) Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 59:2035-2047
- [9] Drukarev G F (1978) Adv. Quantum Chem. 11:251
- [10] Jain A K, Tripathi A K, Jain A (1987) J. Phys. B 20:389
- [11] Szmytkowski R, Szmytkowski C (1999) J. Math. Chem. 26:243-254
- [12] Wan H X, Moore J H, Tossel J A (1989) J. Chem. Phys. 91:1340
- [13] Zaitsev A, Leble S (1999) Preprint 12.01.1999 math-ph/9903005; (2000) ROMP 46:155