

# Integrable Lattice Systems and Markov Processes

Sergio Albeverio <sup>1</sup> and Shao-Ming Fei <sup>2</sup>

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bonn, D-53115, Bonn.

## Abstract

Lattice systems with certain Lie algebraic or quantum Lie algebraic symmetries are constructed. These symmetric models give rise to series of integrable systems. As examples the  $A_n$ -symmetric chain models and the  $SU(2)$ -invariant ladder models are investigated. It is shown that corresponding to these  $A_n$ -symmetric chain models and  $SU(2)$ -invariant ladder models there are exactly solvable stationary discrete-time (resp. continuous-time) Markov chains with transition matrices (resp. intensity matrices) having spectra which coincide with the ones of the corresponding integrable models.

PACS numbers: 02.50.-r, 64.60.Cn, 05.20.-y

---

<sup>1</sup>SFB 256; BiBoS; CERFIM(Locarno); Acc. Arch.; USI(Mendriso)

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Applied Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing

# 1 Introduction

Integrable lattice models such as quantum chain and ladder models have played significant roles in statistical and condensed matter physics. Many of these models can be exactly solved in terms of an algebraic or coordinate “Bethe Ansatz method” [1], see e.g., [2] for chain models with periodic boundary conditions and fixed boundary conditions, and [3, 4] for 2-leg ladder models with open boundary conditions. The intrinsic symmetry of these integrable chain models plays an essential role in finding complete sets of eigenstates of the systems.

Stochastic models like stochastic reaction-diffusion models describing coagulation-decoagulation, birth-death processes, pair-creation and pair-annihilation of molecules on a chain, have attracted considerable interest due to their importance in many physical, chemical and biological processes [5]. E.g., the simplest models for diffusion-reaction processes describe particles stochastically hopping on a lattice [6]. These diffusion-reaction models have been studied in various ways [7]. In particular, they have been connected to spin-1/2 Heisenberg quantum spin chains [8], and then further developed and generalized to  $SU(2)$  symmetric spin- $s$  chains [9]. It was shown in [10] that the  $U_qSU(p/m)$  invariant models [11] also naturally appear as time-evolution operators of chemical systems and the  $U_qSU(3/0)$ ,  $U_qSU(1/2)$  and  $U_qSU(2/1)$  symmetric chains were discussed from a similar point of view in [12]. In [13] an exact solution of a reaction-diffusion process with three-site interactions (with a special next to nearest neighbour interaction) is presented.

The stochastic reaction-diffusion systems are studied in terms of the “master equation” which describes the time evolution of the probability distribution function [10, 14]. This equation has the form of a heat equation with potential (a Schrödinger equation with “imaginary time”). For some reaction-diffusion processes the “Hamiltonians” in the “master equation” coincide with the generators of the Hecke algebra [15]. If an integrable system with open boundary condition can be transformed into a stochastic reaction-diffusion system, e.g., by a unitary transformation between their respective Hamiltonians, looked upon as self-adjoint operators acting in the respective Hilbert spaces, then the stochastic model so obtained is exactly solvable with the same energy spectrum as the one of the integrable system [10, 15, 16].

We have discussed the integrable chain models in [17] and ladder models in [3]. In this

paper, we give a systematic description of these models and extend the results to general square lattice models having a certain Lie algebra or quantum Lie algebra symmetry and their corresponding stationary discrete-time and continuous-time integrable stochastic lattice models.

We consider  $M \times L$  square lattices and give the construction of Lie algebraic (resp. quantum Lie algebraic) invariant lattice models in section 2 (in section 3). In section 4 we discuss the  $A_n$  symmetric integrable chain models and the  $SU(2)$  symmetric integrable ladder models in the fundamental representation. In section 5 we prove that these  $A_n$  (resp.  $SU(2)$ ) symmetric integrable chain (resp. ladder) models can be transformed into both continuous-time and discrete-time Markov chains. Some conclusions and remarks are given in section 6.

## 2 Lattice Systems with Lie-Algebraic Symmetry

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a bi-algebra with linear operators multiplication  $m$  and coproduct  $\Delta$  such that  $m : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathbf{id}$  denote the identity transformation,  $\mathbf{id} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $p$  the transposition operator,  $p : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ ,  $p(a \otimes b) = (b \otimes a)$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . The multiplication  $m$  is associative,  $m(m \otimes \mathbf{id}) = m(\mathbf{id} \otimes m)$ , but not commutative in general,  $m \circ p \neq m$ . The coproduct operator  $\Delta$  is an algebraic homomorphism,  $\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b)$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\Delta(a)$  and  $\Delta(b)$  belong to  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . The multiplication of tensors is defined by  $(a_1 \otimes a_2)(b_1 \otimes b_2) = a_1 b_1 \otimes a_2 b_2$ ,  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ . The coproduct is associative  $(\Delta \otimes \mathbf{id})\Delta = (\mathbf{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ , but in general not co-commutative  $p \circ \Delta \neq \Delta$ . The operation  $\Delta$  preserves all the algebraic relations of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . It gives a way to find representations of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in the direct product of spaces. If a bi-algebra has in addition unit, counit and antipode operators, it is called a Hopf algebra. Lie algebras are Hopf algebras with  $\Delta$  co-commutative. Quantum algebras are Hopf algebras that are not co-commutative, see e.g. [18] and references therein.

A Lie-algebra  $A$  is a bi-algebra. Let  $e = \{e_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the basis of  $A$ , satisfying the Lie commutation relations

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma, \tag{1}$$

where  $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$  are the structure constants with respect to the base  $e$ .

Let  $\Delta$  (resp.  $C(e)$ ) be the coproduct operator (resp. Casimir operator) of the algebra  $A$ . We have

$$[C(e), e_\alpha] = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

The coproduct operator action on the Lie algebra elements is given by

$$\Delta e_\alpha = e_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes e_\alpha, \quad (3)$$

$\mathbf{1}$  stands for the identity operator. It is easy to check that

$$[\Delta e_\alpha, \Delta e_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Delta e_\gamma.$$

From the properties of the coproduct,  $\Delta C(e)$  is a two-fold tensor satisfying

$$[\Delta C(e), \Delta e_\alpha] = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4)$$

We consider  $M \times L$  square lattice systems. To each point at the  $i$ -th rung,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ , and  $\theta$ -th leg,  $\theta = 1, \dots, M$ , of the lattice we associate a (finite dimensional complex) Hilbert space  $H_i^\theta$ . Let  $H_i = H_i^1 \otimes H_i^2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_i^M$ . We can then associate to the whole lattice the tensor product  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_L$ . The generators of the algebra  $A$  acting on this Hilbert space associated with the above lattice are given by  $E_\alpha = \Delta^{ML-1} e_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where we have defined

$$\Delta^m = (\underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{m \text{ times}} \otimes \Delta) \dots (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta) \Delta, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

$E_\alpha$  also generates the Lie algebra  $A$ :  $[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma E_\gamma$ .

Let  $\Delta_n^m$  be an  $m$ -fold tensor operator with operator  $\Delta$  on the  $n$ -th,  $1 \leq n \leq m$ , tensor space and identity on the rest. For instance,  $\Delta_1^1 = \Delta$ ,  $\Delta_1^2 = \Delta \otimes \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\Delta_2^2 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta$ ,  $\Delta_1^3 = \Delta \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\Delta_2^3 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\Delta_3^3 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta$ . Set

$$h = \sum_{i_M=1}^M \dots \sum_{i_2=1}^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^1 a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} \Delta_{i_M}^M \dots \Delta_{i_2}^2 \Delta_{i_1}^1 C(e), \quad (6)$$

where  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $h$  is hermitian. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote a real entire function defined on the  $ML$ -fold tensor space  $A \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A$  of the algebra  $A$ . We call

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{F}(h)_{i, i+1} \quad (7)$$

the (quantum mechanics) Hamiltonian associated with the lattice. Here  $\mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1}$  means that the  $2M$ -fold tensor element  $\mathbb{F}(h)$  is associated with the  $i$  and  $i+1$ -th rungs (columns) of the lattice and acts on the space  $H_i \otimes H_{i+1}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1} = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}(h) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_i^M$  is the identity operator acting on the  $i$ -th rungs of the lattice.

[Theorem 1]. The Hamiltonian  $H$  is a self-adjoint operator acting in  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_L$  and is invariant under the algebra  $A$ .

[Proof]. That  $H$  is self-adjoint is immediate from the definition. To prove the invariance of  $H$  it suffices to prove  $[H, E_\alpha] = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

From the formula for the coproduct we have

$$E_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (e_\alpha)_i, \quad (9)$$

where  $(e_\alpha)_i = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes \Delta^{M-2} e_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L$ ,  $\Delta^{M-2}$  as defined in (5). For  $M = 1$ ,  $\Delta^{-1}$  is understood as identity operator.

From (4) it is direct to prove that  $[h, \Delta^{2M-2} e_\alpha] = 0$ . Obviously  $[\mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1}, (e_\alpha)_j] = 0$ ,  $\forall j \neq i, i+1$ . Therefore we have, for all  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [H, E_\alpha] &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (e_\alpha)_j + \sum_{k=i+2}^{L-1} (e_\alpha)_k + (e_\alpha)_i + (e_\alpha)_{i+1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} [\mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1}, (e_\alpha)_i + (e_\alpha)_{i+1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} [\mathbb{F}(h)_{i,i+1}, (\Delta^{2M-2} e_\alpha)_{i,i+1}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

■

### 3 Lattice Models with Quantum Lie Algebraic Symmetry

Let  $e = \{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the Chevalley basis of a Lie algebra  $A$  with rank  $n$ . Let  $e' = \{e'_\alpha, f'_\alpha, h'_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the corresponding elements of the quantum (q-deformed) Lie algebra  $A_q$ . We denote by  $r_\alpha$  the simple roots of the Lie algebra  $A$ . The

quantum algebra generated by  $\{e'_\alpha, f'_\alpha, h'_\alpha\}$  is defined by the following relations [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} [h'_\alpha, h'_\beta] &= 0, & [h'_\alpha, e'_\beta] &= a_{\alpha\beta} e'_\beta, \\ [h'_\alpha, f'_\beta] &= -a_{\alpha\beta} f'_\beta, & [e'_\alpha, f'_\beta] &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} - q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha}}{q^{d_\alpha} - q^{-d_\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

together with the quantum Serre relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^\gamma \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{\alpha\beta} \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_\alpha}} (e'_\alpha)^\gamma e'_\beta (e'_\alpha)^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-\gamma} &= 0, & i \neq j, \\ \sum_{\gamma=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^\gamma \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{\alpha\beta} \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_\alpha}} (f'_\alpha)^\gamma f'_\beta (f'_\alpha)^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-\gamma} &= 0, & i \neq j, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where for  $m \geq n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[n]_q! [m-n]_q!}, \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q, \quad [n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$(a_{\alpha\beta})$  is the Cartan matrix,

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{d_\alpha} (r_\alpha \cdot r_\beta), \quad d_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (r_\alpha \cdot r_\alpha),$$

$q$  is a complex quantum parameter such that  $q^{d_\alpha} \neq \pm 1, 0$ .

The coproduct operator  $\Delta'$  of the quantum algebra  $A_q$  is given by

$$\Delta' h'_\alpha = h'_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes h'_\alpha, \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta' e'_\alpha = e'_\alpha \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} + q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes e'_\alpha, \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta' f'_\alpha = f'_\alpha \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} + q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes f'_\alpha. \quad (15)$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\Delta'$  preserves all the algebraic relations in (11) and (12).

Let  $C_q(e')$  be the Casimir operator of  $A_q$ , i.e.,  $[C_q(e'), a] = 0, \forall a \in A_q$ . For any entire function  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $C_q(e')$ , we have

$$[\mathcal{F}(C_q(e')), a] = 0, \quad \forall a \in A_q \quad (16)$$

and

$$[\Delta' \mathcal{F}(C_q(e')), \Delta' a] = 0, \quad \forall a \in A_q. \quad (17)$$

Especially, by formula (13) one gets

$$\Delta' q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha} = q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha}. \quad (18)$$

Hence

$$[\Delta' \mathbb{F}(C_q(e')), \Delta' q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha}] = [\Delta' \mathbb{F}(C_q(e')), q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes q^{\pm d_\alpha h'_\alpha}] = 0. \quad (19)$$

[Theorem 2]. The lattice model defined by the following Hamiltonian acting in  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_L$  is invariant under the quantum algebra  $A_q$ :

$$H_q = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{F}(h_q)_{i,i+1}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$h_q = \sum_{i_M=1}^M \dots \sum_{i_2=1}^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^1 a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} \Delta'_{i_M}{}^M \dots \Delta'_{i_2}{}^2 \Delta'_{i_1}{}^1 C_q(e'),$$

with  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $h_q$  is hermitian.

[Proof]. The generators of  $A_q$  on the lattice are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\alpha &= \Delta'{}^{ML-2} h'_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes (\Delta'{}^{2M-2} h'_\alpha)_{i,i+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L, \\ E'_\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes (\Delta'{}^{2M-2} e'_\alpha)_{i,i+1} \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha}, \\ F'_\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes (\Delta'{}^{2M-2} f'_\alpha)_{i,i+1} \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From (17) and (18) we have  $[h_q, \Delta'{}^{2M-2} h'_\alpha] = [h_q, \Delta'{}^{2M-2} e'_\alpha] = [h_q, \Delta'{}^{2M-2} f'_\alpha] = 0$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [H_q, E'_\alpha] &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{F}(h_q)_{i,i+1}, \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{L-1} \right) \left( q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes (\Delta'{}^{2M-2} e'_\alpha)_{j,j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + q^{d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes (\Delta'{}^{2M-2} e'_\alpha)_{i,i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes q^{-d_\alpha h'_\alpha} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ \mathbb{F}(h_q), \Delta'{}^{2M-2}(e'_\alpha) \right]_{i,i+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$[H_q, F'_\alpha] = 0$  is obtained similarly.  $[H_q, H'_\alpha] = 0$  can be proved like (10). Hence  $H_q$  commutes with the generators of  $A_q$ . ■

The Hamiltonian system (20) is expressed by the quantum algebraic generators  $e' = (h'_\alpha, e'_\alpha, f'_\alpha)$ . Assume now that  $e \rightarrow e'(e)$  is an algebraic map from  $A$  to  $A_q$  (we remark that

for algebras with three generators like  $A_1$ , both classical and quantum algebraic maps can be discussed in terms of the two dimensional manifolds related to the algebras, see [19]).

We then have

$$H_q = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{F}(h_q(e')_{i,i+1}). \quad (22)$$

In this way we obtain lattice models having quantum algebraic symmetry but expressed in terms of the usual Lie algebraic generators  $\{e_\alpha\}$  with manifest physical meanings.

## 4 Integrable Lattice Models with Lie algebraic Symmetry

### 4.1 Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation

The quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) [20] is the “master equation” for integrable models in statistical mechanics. It plays an important role in a variety of problems in theoretical physics such as the study of exactly solvable models like the six and eight vertex models in statistical mechanics [21], of integrable model field theories [22], of exact S-matrix theoretical models [23], as well as in the investigation of two dimensional field theories involving fields with intermediate statistics [24], in conformal field theory and in the study of quantum groups [18]. In the following we investigate the integrability of lattice models having a Lie algebraic symmetry constructed in section 2. We also present a series of solutions of the QYBE from the construction of integrable models.

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space and  $R$  the solution of QYBE without spectral parameters, see e.g. [18]. Then  $R$  takes values in  $End_{\mathbb{C}}(V \otimes V)$ . The QYBE is

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}. \quad (23)$$

Here  $R_{ij}$  denotes the matrix on the complex vector space  $V \otimes V \otimes V$ , acting as  $R$  on the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th components and as the identity on the other components.

Let  $\check{R} = Rp$  ( $p$  is the transposition operator). Then the QYBE (23) becomes

$$\check{R}_{12}\check{R}_{23}\check{R}_{12} = \check{R}_{23}\check{R}_{12}\check{R}_{23}, \quad (24)$$

where  $\check{R}_{12} = \check{R} \otimes \mathbf{1}_V$ ,  $\check{R}_{23} = \mathbf{1}_V \otimes \check{R}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_V$  is the identity operator on  $V$ .



In the following we say that a lattice model with nearest neighbours interactions having a (quantum mechanical) Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\mathcal{H})_{i,i+1} \quad (25)$$

is integrable in the sense that the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the QYBE relation (24), i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12} = (\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}, \quad (26)$$

where  $(\mathcal{H})_{12} = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbf{1}_V$  and  $(\mathcal{H})_{23} = \mathbf{1}_V \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}$  is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation without spectral parameters. After “baxterization” the Hamiltonian system (25) satisfying relation (26) can in principle be exactly solved in terms of algebraic Bethe Ansatz method, see e.g. [1]. Here the vector space  $V$  is taken to be the Hilbert spaces associated with one rung of the lattice.

## 4.2 Integrable $A_n$ Symmetric Chain Models

The integrability of the models having a Lie algebraic symmetry presented in section 2 depends on the detailed representation of the corresponding symmetry algebra. In this section we investigate the integrability of chain models with nearest neighbours interactions and Lie algebraic symmetry  $A_n$ .

Let  $(a_{\alpha\beta})$  be the Cartan matrix of the  $A_n$  algebra. In the Chevalley basis the algebra  $A_n$  is spanned by the generators  $\{h_\alpha, e_\alpha, f_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , with the following algebraic relations:

$$[h_\alpha, h_\beta] = 0, \quad [h_\alpha, e_\beta] = a_{\alpha\beta}e_\beta, \quad [h_\alpha, f_\beta] = -a_{\alpha\beta}f_\beta, \quad [e_\alpha, f_\beta] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}h_\alpha, \quad (27)$$

together with the generators with respect to non simple roots,

$$e_{\alpha\dots\beta\gamma} = [e_\alpha, \dots, [e_\beta, e_\gamma]\dots], \quad f_{\alpha\dots\beta\gamma} = [f_\alpha, \dots, [f_\beta, f_\gamma]\dots]. \quad (28)$$

Let  $E_{\alpha\beta}$  be an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix such that  $(E_{\alpha\beta})_{\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\delta}$ , i.e., the only non zero element of the matrix  $E_{\alpha\beta}$  is 1 at row  $\alpha$  and column  $\beta$ . Hence

$$E_{\alpha\beta}E_{\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\beta\gamma}E_{\alpha\delta}, \quad [E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = \delta_{\beta\gamma}E_{\alpha\delta} - \delta_{\delta\alpha}E_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (29)$$

For the fundamental representation we take the basis of the algebra  $A_n$  as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} h_\alpha &= E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ e &= \{E_{\alpha\beta}\} \\ f &= \{E_{\beta\alpha}\} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \beta > \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (30)$$

Both  $\{e_\alpha\}$  and  $\{f_\alpha\}$  have a total of  $n(n+1)/2$  generators.

With respect to the basis (30), the Casimir operator of the algebra  $A_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A_n} &= (n+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(n+1)/2} (e_\alpha f_\alpha + f_\alpha e_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha) h_\alpha^2 \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} 2\alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta) h_\alpha h_{\alpha+\beta} - a, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary real constant.

The coproduct operator  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{1}) &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \Delta(h_\alpha) = h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes h_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \Delta(e_\beta) &= e_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes e_\beta \\ \Delta(f_\beta) &= f_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes f_\beta \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n(n+1)/2, \quad (32)$$

where the identity operator  $\mathbf{1}$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  identity matrix.

By (31) and (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta C_{A_n} &= C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes C_{A_n} - a \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ &+ (n+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(n+1)/2} (e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha + f_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha) h_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} \alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta) (h_\alpha \otimes h_{\alpha+\beta} + h_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes h_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

It is easy to check that under the representation (30)  $C_{A_n}$  is equal to  $n(n+2)\mathbf{1}$ . Therefore the sum of the first two terms on the right hand side of (33) is  $2n(n+2)\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{1}$ . In the following we take  $a$  in (33) to be  $2n(n+2)$  so that the terms that are proportional to the  $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$  identity matrix will disappear in (33).

From (30) and (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta C_{A_n} &= (n+1) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta=1}^{n+1} E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes E_{\beta\alpha} \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha)(E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}) \otimes (E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}) \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} \alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta)[(E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}) \otimes (E_{\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta} - E_{\alpha+\beta+1,\alpha+\beta+1}) \\
&+ (E_{\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta} - E_{\alpha+\beta+1,\alpha+\beta+1}) \otimes (E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1})].
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$\Delta C_{A_n}$  in (34) is an  $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$  matrix. Its matrix representation is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}[(n+1)\delta_{\alpha,l(n+1)+l+1} - 1] \\
&+ (n+1)[\delta_{\alpha,j(n+2)+k+2}\delta_{\beta,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&+ \delta_{\beta,j(n+2)+k+2}\delta_{\alpha,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}],
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^2$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-j-1$ ,  $\delta_{\alpha,j(n+2)+k+2} = 0$  if  $\alpha \neq j(n+2) + k + 2$  for all possible values of  $j$  and  $k$ . For example,

$$\Delta C_{A_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

[Lemma 1].  $\Delta C_{A_n}$  satisfies the following relation

$$(\Delta C_{A_n})^2 + 2\Delta C_{A_n} - n(n+2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = 0. \tag{37}$$

[Proof]. From (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\Delta C_{A_n})^2]_{\alpha\gamma} &= \sum_{\beta=1}^{(n+1)^2} (\Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} (\Delta C_{A_n})_{\beta\gamma} \\
&= \delta_{\alpha\gamma}[(n+1)^2\delta_{\alpha,l(n+1)+l+1}\delta_{\gamma,l'(n+1)+l'+1}] \\
&\quad - (n+1)(\delta_{\alpha,l(n+1)+l+1} + \delta_{\gamma,l'(n+1)+l'+1}) + 1] \\
&\quad - 2(n+1)[\delta_{\alpha,j(n+2)+k+2}\delta_{\gamma,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} + \delta_{\gamma,j(n+2)+k+2}\delta_{\alpha,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \\
&\quad + (n+1)^2[\delta_{\alpha,j(n+2)+k+2}\delta_{\gamma,j(n+2)+k+2} + \delta_{\alpha,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}\delta_{\gamma,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \\
&= -2(\Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha\gamma} + (n+1)^2\delta_{\alpha\gamma}[\delta_{\alpha,j(n+2)+k+2} + \delta_{\alpha,(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \\
&\quad + (n+1)^2\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\alpha,l(n+1)+l+1} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \\
&= -2(\Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha\gamma} + n(n+2)\delta_{\alpha\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

where the identity

$$\delta_{\alpha, l(n+1)+l+1} + \delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} + \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} = 1, \quad (38)$$

$l = 0, 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-j-1$ , has been used.  $\blacksquare$

[Lemma 2]. The coproduct of the  $A_n$  Casimir operator  $\Delta C_{A_n}$  has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ & - n[(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})] \\ & + (n^2 - 1)(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + n^2(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + n(1 - n^2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) \\ & - n[(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})] \\ & + (n^2 - 1)(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + n^2(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + n(1 - n^2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

[Proof]. By using the representation of  $\Delta C_{A_n}$  in (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})_{\alpha\beta} &= (\Delta C_{A_n})_{(\alpha-\gamma)/(n+1)+1, (\beta-\gamma)/(n+1)+1} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} [(n+1)\delta_{\alpha-\gamma, l(n+1)(n+2)} - 1] \\ &\quad + (n+1)[\delta_{\alpha-\gamma, (n+1)(j(n+2)+k+1)} \delta_{\beta-\gamma, (n+1)(j(n+2)+(k+1)(n+1))} \\ &\quad + \delta_{\beta-\gamma, (n+1)(j(n+2)+k+1)} \delta_{\alpha-\gamma, (n+1)(j(n+2)+(k+1)(n+1))}] \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} &= (\Delta C_{A_n})_{\alpha-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), \beta-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1)} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} [(n+1)\delta_{\alpha-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), l(n+1)+l+1} - 1] \\ &\quad + (n+1)[\delta_{\alpha-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\ &\quad + \delta_{\beta-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha-(n+1)^2(\gamma'-1), (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}], \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, (n+1)^3, l = 0, 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-j-1$  as in formula (35),  $\gamma = 1, \dots, n+1$  such that  $(\alpha - \gamma)/(n+1)$  and  $(\beta - \gamma)/(n+1)$  in (41) are integers and  $\gamma' = 1, \dots, n+1$  in (42).

Using the formulae (41) and (42) one can get (39) and (40) from straightforward calculations.  $\blacksquare$

From Theorem 1 we know that the following Hamiltonian is invariant under  $A_n$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{F}(\Delta C_{A_n})_{i, i+1}. \quad (43)$$

For the given representation (30) of  $A_n$  the integrability of (35) depends on the form of the entire function  $\mathcal{F}$ . Due to the relation (37) in Lemma 1,  $(\Delta C_{A_n})^l$ ,  $l \geq 2$ , can be expressed as  $c\Delta C_{A_n} + c'\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$  for some real constants  $c$  and  $c'$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta C_{A_n})$  is a polynomial in  $\Delta C_{A_n}$  up to powers of order one.

[Theorem 3]. The following  $A_n$  invariant Hamiltonian is integrable

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{A_n} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\mathcal{H})_{i,i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\Delta C_{A_n} + 1)_{i,i+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ (n+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(n+1)/2} ((e_\alpha)_i (f_\alpha)_{i+1} + (f_\alpha)_i (e_\alpha)_{i+1}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha) (h_\alpha)_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} \alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta) ((h_\alpha)_i (h_{\alpha+\beta})_{i+1} + (h_{\alpha+\beta})_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1}) \right] + L - 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where  $\mathcal{H} = \Delta C_{A_n} + 1$  and the number 1 should be understood as the identity operator,  $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ , on the tensor space  $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_L$ .

[Proof]. What we have to prove is that  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the QYBE (26), i.e.,  $(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12} = (\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}$ , where  $(\mathcal{H})_{12} = (\Delta C_{A_n} + 1) \otimes \mathbf{1}$  and  $(\mathcal{H})_{23} = \mathbf{1} \otimes (\Delta C_{A_n} + 1)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12} &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\
&\quad + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) \\
&\quad + 2\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23} &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\
&\quad + (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) \\
&\quad + 2(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12} - (\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23} = I + II + III, \tag{45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) - (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}), \\
II &= (\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) - (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}), \\
III &= \Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (\Delta C_{A_n})^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes (\Delta C_{A_n})^2 \\
&= -(2\Delta C_{A_n} - n(n+2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes (2\Delta C_{A_n} - n(n+2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\
&= -2(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I + III = \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n} - \Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}. \quad (46)$$

By Lemma 2 we get

$$II = \Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}.$$

Therefore

$$(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12} - (\mathcal{H})_{23}(\mathcal{H})_{12}(\mathcal{H})_{23} = I + II + III = 0.$$

■

Related to the integrable chain model (44), there is a Temperley-Lieb (TL) algebraic structure in the sense that the model gives a representation of the TL algebra. An  $(L-1)$ -state TL algebra is described by the elements  $e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$ , satisfying the TL algebraic relations [25],

$$e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i, \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2, \quad (47)$$

and

$$e_i^2 = \beta e_i, \quad (48)$$

where  $\beta$  is a complex constant and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$ .

We suppose that the representation of an  $(L-1)$ -state TL algebra on an  $L$  chain is of the following form,

$$e_i = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes E \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L, \quad (49)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  identity matrix as in section 3.2 and  $E$  is a  $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$  matrix. According to formulae (48) and (47)  $E$  should satisfy

$$E^2 = \beta E. \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(E \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes E)(E \otimes \mathbf{1}) &= E \otimes \mathbf{1}, \\
(\mathbf{1} \otimes E)(E \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes E) &= \mathbf{1} \otimes E.
\end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

From the representations of the TL algebra one can construct integrable chain models (for the construction of the TL algebraic representations associated with the quantum  $A_1$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  algebras, see [26]). It is straightforward to check that for a given representation of the TL algebra of the form (49) with  $E$  satisfying (50) and (51),

$$\check{R} = E + \frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4}}{2} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

is a solution of the QYBE (24). However in general for a given solution  $\check{R}$  of the QYBE (24), there does not necessarily exist a TL algebraic representation of the form (49) with  $E = a\check{R} + b$  satisfying (50) and (51) for any constants  $a$  and  $b$ . Nevertheless the solutions  $\mathcal{H}$  of the QYBE in our  $A_n$  symmetric integrable model (44) do give rise to TL algebraic representations in the following sense:

[Theorem 4]. The following  $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$  matrix

$$E = -\frac{\mathcal{H}}{n+1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \quad (52)$$

gives the  $(L-1)$ -state TL algebraic representation (49) with  $\beta = 2$ .

[Proof]. What we should check is that  $E$  in (52) satisfies equations (50) and (51). By Lemma 1 we have

$$E^2 = \left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{n+1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}\right)^2 = \frac{(\Delta C_{A_n})^2 - 2n\Delta C_{A_n} + n^2\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}}{(n+1)^2} = \beta E = 2E,$$

i.e.,  $\beta = 2$ .

From Lemma 1 and (39) in Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (E \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes E)(E \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \frac{-1}{(n+1)^3} [(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ & \quad - n((\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})) \\ & \quad + 2n(n+1)\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} + n^2\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n} - 2(n^3 + n^2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}] \\ &= \frac{-1}{(n+1)^3} [(n+1)^2\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} - n(n+1)^2\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}] = E \otimes \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1 and formula (40) in Lemma 2 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{1} \otimes E)(E \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes E) \\
&= \frac{-1}{(n+1)^3} [(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\
&\quad - n((\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n}) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n})(\Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1})) \\
&\quad + 2n(n+1)\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n} + n^2 \Delta C_{A_n} \otimes \mathbf{1} - 2(n^3 + n^2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}] \\
&= \frac{-1}{(n+1)^3} [(n+1)^2 \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta C_{A_n} - n(n+1)^2 \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}] = \mathbf{1} \otimes E.
\end{aligned}$$

■

From (52) we see that the Hamiltonian of the  $A_n$  symmetric integrable chain model (44) can be expressed by the TL algebraic elements

$$H_{A_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\mathcal{H})_{i,i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (n+1)e_i + (n+1)(L-1), \quad (53)$$

with  $e_i$  as in (49) and  $E$  as in (52). Hence instead of the algebraic Bethe Ansatz method, the energy spectrum of  $H_{A_n}$  can also be studied by using the properties of the TL algebra [27] (for the case of Heisenberg spin chain model,  $n = 1$ , see [28]).

### 4.3 Integrable $SU(2)$ -Symmetric Ladder Models

We consider ladder models ( $M = 2$ ) with  $SU(2)$  symmetry. Let  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $C$  be the generators of the algebra  $SU(2)$  and the Casimir operator respectively. The coproduct of the algebra is given by  $\Delta S_i = \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + S_i \otimes \mathbf{1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Accounting to that  $\Delta_i^j \mathcal{F}(e) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta_i^j e)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $\forall e \in SU(2)$ , the generic  $h$  is of the form  $\mathcal{F}(C_1, C_2, C_3)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes S_i), \\
C_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + S_i \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1}), \\
C_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i + S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes S_i \otimes S_i \otimes \mathbf{1}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  representation of the algebra  $SU(2)$ , the solutions of the QYBE (26) are



16 × 16 matrices. For instance, it is direct to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_0 = & \frac{108d-55f}{108}C_{111} + \frac{-72d+104f}{288}C_{112} + \frac{-486d+211f}{270}C_{113} + \frac{-756d+370f}{216}C_{121} \\
& - \frac{29f}{108}C_{122} + \frac{90d-31f}{36}C_{123} + \frac{2d-f}{2}C_{131} + \frac{-54d+26f}{108}C_{132} \\
& + \frac{-108d+43f}{540}C_{133} + \frac{-216d+80f}{864}C_{211} + \frac{11f}{108}C_{212} + \frac{216d-119f}{108}C_{213}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

satisfies (26) for all  $d, f \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $C_{ijk} \equiv C_i \cdot C_j \cdot C_k$ ,  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ .

The corresponding solution related to the  $SU(2)$ -symmetric integrable ladder model in [3] can also be expressed in the form  $\mathcal{H}(C_1, C_2, C_3)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} = & -\frac{5}{48}C_{111} - \frac{11}{32}C_{112} - \frac{61}{30}C_{113} - \frac{41}{48}(C_{121} - C_{122}) + \frac{21}{16}C_{123} \\
& + \frac{3}{4}C_{131} - \frac{17}{12}C_{132} + \frac{173}{240}C_{133} + \frac{55}{96}C_{211} - \frac{5}{3}C_{212} + \frac{131}{48}C_{213}.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Through baxterization,  $\mathcal{H}(x) = (x-1)\mathcal{H} + 16\mathbb{I}_{16 \times 16}$  satisfies the QYBE with spectral parameters:  $\mathcal{H}_{12}(x)\mathcal{H}_{23}(xy)\mathcal{H}_{12}(y) = \mathcal{H}_{23}(y)\mathcal{H}_{12}(xy)\mathcal{H}_{23}(x)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{12}(\cdot) = \mathcal{H}(\cdot) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{4 \times 4}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{23}(\cdot) = \mathbb{I}_{4 \times 4} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\cdot)$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$  denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. The model can be exactly solved using algebraic Bethe Ansatz method. It describes a periodic spin ladder system with both isotropic exchange interactions and biquadratic interactions:

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{1,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{1,i+1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{2,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2,i+1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{1,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2,i+1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{2,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{1,i+1} \right) \\
& + \frac{5}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{1,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2,i} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbf{S}_{1,i+1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2,i+1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{\theta,i} = (\sigma_{\theta,i}^x, \sigma_{\theta,i}^y, \sigma_{\theta,i}^z)/2$ ,  $\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z$  are Pauli matrices.  $\mathbf{S}_{1,i}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{S}_{2,i}$ ) is the spin operator on the first (resp. second) leg of the  $i$ -th rung of the ladder.

It is also easy to see that for a more general form of (55),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}' = & \frac{-45+23a-4b-28c}{432}C_{111} + \frac{-99-3a-3b-c}{288}C_{112} + \frac{-1098-91a-118b-16c}{540}C_{113} \\
& + \frac{-369-97a-70b+50c}{432}C_{121} + \frac{396+4a+31b+25c}{432}C_{122} + \frac{189+29a+20b-4c}{144}C_{123} \\
& + \frac{3}{4}C_{131} + \frac{-306-2a-29b-14c}{216}C_{132} + \frac{1557-71a+172b+124c}{2160}C_{133} \\
& + \frac{495-a+53b+47c}{864}C_{211} + \frac{-720-22a-49b-43c}{432}C_{212} + \frac{1179+91a+118b+16c}{432}C_{213}
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the corresponding ladder model  $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{H}'_{i,i+1}$  can also be exactly solved by the coordinate Bethe ansatz [3].

# 5 Integrable Models and Stationary Markov Chains

## 5.1 Stationary Markov Chains

Let us first briefly recall some concepts of the theory of Markov chains (for a detailed mathematical description of Markov chains, we refer to [29]). Let  $\Omega$  denote the sample space (the set of all possible outcomes of an experiment), which we assume to be finite or countably infinite. Let  $P$  be a probability measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . Thus any subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  is an event with probability  $P(A)$ .

Any function  $X \equiv X(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , that maps the sample space into the real numbers is then a random variable. A stochastic process is a family  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$ ,  $I$  a certain index set, of random variables defined on some sample space  $\Omega$ . If  $I$  is countable, i.e.,  $I \in \mathbb{N}$ , the process is denoted by  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  and called a discrete-time process. If  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$ , then the process is denoted by  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and called a continuous-time process.

The range of  $X$  (a subset of real numbers) is called the state space. In what follows we consider the case where the state space  $S$  is countable or finite. In this case the related stochastic process is called a (stochastic or random) chain.

Let  $E, F$  be two subsets of  $\Omega$ . We denote by  $P(E|F)$  the (conditional) probability of  $E$  given that  $F$  has occurred. A discrete-time stochastic process  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  with state space  $S = \mathbb{N}$  is said to satisfy the Markov property if for every  $l$  and all states  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l$  it is (a.s.) true that

$$P[X_l = i_l | X_{l-1} = i_{l-1}, X_{l-2} = i_{l-2}, \dots, X_1 = i_1] = P[X_l = i_l | X_{l-1} = i_{l-1}],$$

i.e., the values of  $X_{l-2}, \dots, X_1$  in no way affect the value of  $X_l$ , given the value of  $X_{l-1}$ . Such a discrete-time process is called a Markov chain. It is said to be stationary if the probability of going from one state to another is independent of the time at which the transition is being made. That is, for all states  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$P[X_l = j | X_{l-1} = i] = P[X_{l+k} = j | X_{l+k-1} = i]$$

for  $k = -(l-1), -(l-2), \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In this case we set  $p_{ij} \equiv P[X_l = j | X_{l-1} = i]$  and call  $p_{ij}$  the transition probability for going from state  $i$  to  $j$ .

For a discrete time stationary Markov chain  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , with a finite state space  $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$ , there are  $m^2$  transition probabilities  $\{p_{ij}\}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .  $P = (p_{ij})$

is called the transition matrix corresponding to the discrete-time stationary Markov chain  $\{X_i\}$ . The transition matrix  $P$  has the following properties:

$$p_{ij} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m p_{ij} = 1, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (57)$$

Any square matrix that satisfies condition (57) is called a stochastic matrix.

A continuous-time stochastic process,  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is said to satisfy the Markov property if for all times  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_l < t$  and for all  $l$  it is true that

$$P[X_t = j | X_{t_0} = i_0, X_{t_1} = i_1, \dots, X_{t_l} = i_l] = P[X_t = j | X_{t_l} = i_l].$$

Such a process is called a continuous-time Markov chain. It is said to be stationary if for every  $i$  and  $j$  the transition function,  $P[X_{t+h} = j | X_t = i]$ , is independent of  $t$ . In this case  $P(t) = P(X_{t=j} | X_0 = i)$  is a semigroup (e.g. on  $l^2(S)$ ), called transition semigroup associated with the Markov chain. Its generator  $Q = (q_{ij})$  has the properties:

$$q_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad q_{ii} = -\sum_{i \neq j} q_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (58)$$

and is called an intensity matrix. Vice versa, any  $Q$  (satisfying (58) and properly defined as a closed operator when  $S$  is infinite) gives rise to a unique continuous-time transition semigroup,  $P(t) = e^{Qt}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , which can be interpreted as transition semigroup associated to a certain Markov chain (with state space  $S$ ) [29].

The properties of Markov chains are determined by the transition matrix  $P$  resp. intensity matrix  $Q$ . If the eigenvalues and eigenstates of  $P$  resp.  $Q$  are known, then exact results related to the stochastic processes, such as time-dependent averages and correlations, can be obtained.

Now we consider a square lattice (in the algebraic sense of sections 2-4) with  $ML$  sites. To every site at the  $i$ -th rung and  $\theta$ -th leg of the lattice we associate  $N$  states described by the variable  $\tau_{i,\theta}$  taking  $N$  integer values,

$$\tau_{i,\theta} \equiv (\tau_{i,\theta}^0 = 0, \tau_{i,\theta}^1 = 1, \tau_{i,\theta}^2 = 2, \dots, \tau_{i,\theta}^N = N). \quad (59)$$

We associate to any lattice site a Hilbert space of dimension  $N$  ( $\dim H_i^\theta = N$ ). The state space of the algebraic lattice is then finite and has a total of  $(N)^{ML}$  states.

For a given integrable lattice model with Hamiltonian  $H$ , the model remains integrable if one adds to  $H$  a constant term  $c$  and multiplies  $H$  by a constant factor  $c'$ . Moreover

the eigenvalues of  $H$  will not be changed if one changes the local basis, i.e., the following Hamiltonian, defined by

$$BHB^{-1}, \quad (60)$$

where  $B = \otimes_{i=1}^{ML} B_i$ ,  $B_i \equiv \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $N \times N$  non singular matrix, has the same eigenvalues as  $H$ . Therefore if an integrable lattice model with Hamiltonian  $H$  can be transformed by  $B$  (modulo constants  $c, c'$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) into a matrix  $M = P$  resp.  $Q$ , in the sense that

$$M = B(c'H + c\mathbb{1})B^{-1}, \quad (61)$$

where  $\mathbb{1}$  is the  $N^{ML} \times (N)^{ML}$  identity matrix,  $B$  as in (60), and  $P$  resp.  $Q$  as in (57) resp. (58) (with  $m = (N)^{ML}$ ), then  $P$  resp.  $Q$  defines a discrete-time resp. continuous-time Markov chain and the related stochastic process can be studied by using the properties of the corresponding integrable model with Hamiltonian  $H$ .

In the following we discuss the question of whether the integrable lattice models obtained in the way presented in this paper can be transformed into some stationary Markov chains through transformations of the forms (61). We remark that in general  $P$  and  $Q$  have different spectra, hence it is necessary to discuss the cases  $M = P$  and  $M = Q$  separately.

## 5.2 Discrete-time Markov Chains Related to $A_n$ Symmetric Integrable Models

We first note that for an integrable chain model with Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h_{i,i+1}$  and  $(n+1)$  states at every site  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , if the sum of the elements in any row of the  $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$  matrix  $h$  is  $1/(L-1)$ , then the sum of the elements in any row of the matrix  $H$  is 1. Hence if under the following transformation  $h \rightarrow h'$  given by

$$h' = (\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B})(c'h + c\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{-1}), \quad (62)$$

the sum of the elements in any row of  $h'$  is  $1/(L-1)$  and  $(h')_{\alpha,\beta} \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^2$ , for some real constants  $c', c$  and a non singular  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $P = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h'_{i,i+1}$  defines a stationary discrete-time Markov chain.  $P$  has the same eigenvalue spectrum (shifted by a constant) as the spectrum of the integrable model with Hamiltonian  $H$ . If  $P$  is invariant under a certain algebra  $A$ , we call the Markov chain  $A$ -symmetric.

[Theorem 5]. The following matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{A_n} &= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} H_{A_n} = \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\Delta C_{A_n} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})_{i,i+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ (n+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(n+1)/2} ((e_\alpha)_i (f_\alpha)_{i+1} + (f_\alpha)_i (e_\alpha)_{i+1}) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha) (h_\alpha)_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1} \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} \alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta) ((h_\alpha)_i (h_{\alpha+\beta})_{i+1} + (h_{\alpha+\beta})_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1}) \right] + \frac{1}{(n+1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

defines a stationary discrete-time  $A_n$  symmetric Markov chain.

[Proof]. I. Set  $h' \equiv \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} (\Delta C_{A_n} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ . Then

$$P_{A_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h'_{i,i+1}. \tag{64}$$

From formula (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(h')_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} (\Delta C_{A_n} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} [(n+1)\delta_{\alpha, l(n+1)+l+1} - 1] \\
&\quad + (n+1) [\delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&\quad + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] + \delta_{\alpha\beta}] \\
&= \frac{1}{L-1} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha, l(n+1)+l+1} + \delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&\quad + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Therefore  $(P_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^2$ .

II. By using the identity (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta=1}^{(n+1)^2} (h')_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} \sum_{\beta=1}^{(n+1)^2} [(n+1)\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha, l(n+1)+l+1} \\
&\quad + (n+1) [\delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&\quad + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}]] \\
&= \frac{1}{(L-1)(n+1)} (n+1) = \frac{1}{L-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the sum of the elements of any row of the matrix  $P_{A_n}$  is one, i.e.,  $\sum_{\beta=1}^{(n+1)^L} (P_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{(n+1)^L} (\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h'_{i,i+1})_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ .

III. As  $H_{A_n}$  is invariant under  $A_n$ ,  $P_{A_n} = \frac{H_{A_n}}{(L-1)(n+1)}$  is obviously invariant under  $A_n$  and has the same spectrum as  $H_{A_n}$ .

By the definition (57)  $P_{A_n}$  is the transition matrix of a stationary discrete-time  $A_n$  symmetric Markov chain. ■

The state space of this stationary discrete-time  $A_n$  symmetric Markov chain associated with the stochastic matrix  $P_{A_n}$  is  $S = (1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^L)$ , which corresponds to  $(n+1)^L$  states,

$$(\tau_0 \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \tau_n), \quad (66)$$

$\tau_i \equiv \tau_{i,1}$  as in (59) with  $\theta = 1$ , of the algebraic chain with  $L$  lattice sites. This stationary discrete-time  $A_n$  symmetric Markov chain model describes a chain with  $L$  sites and  $n+1$  possible states, say,  $\tau_i^1, \dots, \tau_i^{n+1}$ , at site  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ . By calculation the allowed dynamics for any nearest neighbour pair is the interchange of their states:  $(\tau_i^\alpha, \tau_{i+1}^\beta) \rightarrow (\tau_i^\beta, \tau_{i+1}^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ . For  $n = 1$ , there are two possible states at every site: empty or occupied by one particle. The  $A_1$  Markov chain describes then stochastic hopping of particle into left and right vacancies, which is the well known  $SU(2)$  random chain. For  $n = 2$ , i.e. the  $SU(3)$  case, there are three possible states at every site, say, empty, one spin up and one spin down particle states. This model describes stochastic hopping of spin up and down particles into left and right vacancies, interchanging of one spin up and one spin down particle at the nearest neighbours.

The properties of a Markov chain are determined by the transition matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$ . A subset  $C$  of the state space  $S$  is called closed if  $p_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ . If a closed set consists of a single state, then that state is called an absorbing state. A Markov chain is called irreducible if there exists no nonempty closed set other than  $S$  itself. A non irreducible Markov chain is said to be reducible.

From formula (65) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h')_{\alpha\alpha} &= (h')_{(n+1)^2, (n+1)^2} = \frac{1}{L-1}, & (h')_{\alpha\beta} &= (h')_{\beta\alpha} = 0, & \beta &\neq \alpha, \\ \alpha &= l(n+1) + l + 1, & l &= 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Let

$$S_0 = \left( \alpha | \alpha = l \frac{(n+1)((n+1)^{L-1} - 1) + n}{n} + 1 \right), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (68)$$

be a subset of the state space  $S$ . From formula (64), with

$$(h')_{i,i+1} = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes h' \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{L+1},$$

we get

$$(P_{A_n})_{\alpha\alpha} = 1, \quad (P_{A_n})_{\beta\alpha} = (P_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \beta \neq \alpha, \quad \alpha \in S_0. \quad (69)$$

Therefore the  $n + 1$  states in  $S_0$  are absorbing states of the Markov chain  $P_{A_n}$ . This chain is by definition reducible. For a reducible Markov chain the “long time” probability distribution, if it exists, may depend on the initial conditions, i.e.,  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (P_{A_n})_{\gamma\beta}^l$  may depend on  $\gamma$ . From the properties (69) of  $P_{A_n}$ , we see that if the Markov chain  $P_{A_n}$  is initially in one of the states  $\alpha \in S_0$ , it will remain in that state  $\alpha$  forever. These  $n + 1$  absorbing states correspond to the states of the algebraic chain through (66). For instance, the states 1 and  $(n + 1)^L$  in  $S$  correspond to the states  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  (all the sites of the algebraic chain are at state 0) and  $(n, n, \dots, n)$  (all the sites of the algebraic chain are at state  $n$ ).

### 5.3 Continuous-time Markov Chains Related to $A_n$ Symmetric Integrable Models

For an integrable chain model with Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h_{i,i+1}$  and with  $(n + 1)$  states at every site of the chain, if the sum of the elements in any column of the matrix  $h$  is 0, the sum of the elements in any column of the matrix  $H$  is also 0. Hence if under the following transformation  $h \rightarrow h''$  with:

$$h'' = (\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B})(c'h + c\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{-1}), \quad (70)$$

the sum of the elements in any column of  $h''$  is 0 and  $(h'')_{\alpha,\beta} \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^2$ , for some real constants  $c', c$  and a non singular  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h''_{i,i+1}$  is the intensity matrix for some stationary continuous-time Markov chain.  $Q$  has the same eigenvalue spectrum (shifted by a constant) as the spectrum of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . We call the Markov chain  $A$  symmetric if  $Q$  is invariant under the algebra  $A$ .

[Theorem 6]. The following matrix  $Q$  is the intensity matrix of a stationary continuous-time Markov chain:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{A_n} &= H_{A_n} - (n+1)(L-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\Delta C_{A_n} - n\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})_{i,i+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ (n+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(n+1)/2} ((e_\alpha)_i (f_\alpha)_{i+1} + (f_\alpha)_i (e_\alpha)_{i+1}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \alpha(n+1-\alpha) (h_\alpha)_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-\alpha} \alpha(n+1-\alpha-\beta) ((h_\alpha)_i (h_{\alpha+\beta})_{i+1} + (h_{\alpha+\beta})_i (h_\alpha)_{i+1}) \right] - n(L-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

[Proof]. Set  $h'' = (\Delta C_{A_n} - n\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ . Then

$$Q_{A_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} h''_{i,i+1}. \tag{72}$$

From (35) we observe that, for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
h''_{\alpha \neq \beta} &= (n+1) [\delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&\quad + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(Q_{A_n})_{\alpha \neq \beta} \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^L$ .

Again by (35) the sum of the elements in any given column  $\beta$  of the matrix  $h''$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{(n+1)^2} h''_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{(n+1)^2} (n+1) [\delta_{\alpha\beta} (\delta_{\alpha, l(n+1)+l+1} - 1) \\
&\quad + (n+1) [\delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}]] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \neq l(n+1)+l+1}^{(n+1)^2} (n+1) [-\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\alpha, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} \\
&\quad + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} \delta_{\alpha, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}] \\
&= (n+1) (-1 + \delta_{\beta, (j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)} |_{\alpha=j(n+2)+k+2} + \delta_{\beta, j(n+2)+k+2} |_{\alpha=(j+1)(n+2)+k(n+1)}) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the sum of the elements in any given column  $\beta$ ,  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^2$ , of the matrix  $h''$  is zero. Therefore the sum of the elements in any given column  $\beta$ ,  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)^L$ , of the matrix  $Q_{A_n}$  is also zero,  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{(n+1)^L} (Q_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . At last  $Q_{A_n} = H_{A_n} - (n+1)(L-1)$  is obviously  $A_n$  symmetric with the same spectrum (shifted by a constant) as  $H_{A_n}$ . ■

The long run distribution of the Markov chain described in Theorem 6 is given by the vector  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots)$ , where  $\pi_i$  represents the ‘‘long time’’ probability of the state  $i \in S$ ,



satisfying

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{(n+1)^L} (Q_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} \pi_\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \beta \in S, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{(n+1)^L} \pi_\alpha = 1. \quad (73)$$

However as this Markov chain is reducible, the solution of the equation (73) is not unique but depends on the initial conditions. From (35) we see that

$$(h'')_{\alpha\beta} = (h'')_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall \beta, \quad \alpha = l(n+1) + l + 1, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence from (72) we get

$$(Q_{A_n})_{\alpha\beta} = (Q_{A_n})_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha \in S_0, \quad \forall \beta,$$

with  $S_0$  as in (68). Therefore if this Markov chain is initially in a given state  $\alpha \in S_0$ , it will remain in that state.

The states  $\beta \notin S_0$  form a closed subset of  $S$ . From (35) and (72) one also learns that the absolute value of all the nonzero elements of any column of the intensity matrix  $Q_{A_n}$  are equal. Let  $S'$  be a closed subset of  $S$  with  $l$  elements. If the Markov chain is initially in the closed set  $S'$ , then it will remain in  $S'$  and the long run distribution is  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{(n+1)^L+1})$ , where  $\pi_i = 1/l$  for  $i \in S'$  and  $\pi_i = 0$  if  $i \notin S'$ .

## 5.4 Discrete and Continuous-time Markov Chains Related to $SU(2)$ Symmetric Integrable Ladder Models

To every site on the  $i$ -th rung and  $\theta$ -th leg,  $\theta = 1, 2$ , of the ladder we associate states described by the variable  $\tau_{i,\theta}$  taking values 0 and 1. The state space of this algebraic ladder is then finite and has a total of  $m = 2^{2L}$  states.

[Theorem 7]. The following matrix

$$P_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{4(L-1)(18+4a+4b+c)} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{H}''_{i,i+1}, \quad (74)$$

defines a stationary discrete-time  $SU(2)$ -symmetric integrable Markov ladder for  $a + 2b \geq 16$ . The operator  $\mathcal{H}''$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}'' = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_2 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_5 & a_6 & a_6 & a_7 & a_3 & a_8 & a_8 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_6 & a_5 & a_6 & a_8 & a_4 & a_9 & a_4 & a_7 & a_8 & a_3 & a_8 & a_8 & a_4 & a_9 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_6 & a_6 & a_5 & a_8 & a_4 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 & a_4 & a_9 & a_7 & a_8 & a_8 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_7 & a_8 & a_8 & a_5 & a_2 & a_6 & a_6 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_2 & a_1 & a_2 & a_2 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_6 & a_2 & a_5 & a_6 & a_8 & a_7 & a_3 & a_8 & a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_8 & a_4 & a_9 & a_6 & a_2 & a_6 & a_5 & a_4 & a_8 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_7 & a_8 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_8 & a_7 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_8 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_2 & a_6 & a_9 & a_4 & a_8 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 & a_8 & a_3 & a_7 & a_8 & a_6 & a_5 & a_2 & a_6 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_2 & a_2 & a_1 & a_2 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_6 & a_6 & a_2 & a_5 & a_8 & a_8 & a_7 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_8 & a_8 & a_7 & a_9 & a_4 & a_4 & a_8 & a_9 & a_4 & a_4 & a_8 & a_5 & a_6 & a_6 & a_2 \\ a_4 & a_9 & a_4 & a_8 & a_8 & a_3 & a_8 & a_7 & a_4 & a_9 & a_4 & a_8 & a_6 & a_5 & a_6 & a_2 \\ a_4 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_4 & a_4 & a_9 & a_8 & a_8 & a_8 & a_3 & a_7 & a_6 & a_6 & a_5 & a_2 \\ a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_4 & a_4 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_2 & a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (75)$$

where  $a_1 = 66 + a + 4b + 4c$ ,  $a_2 = -10 + a + 2b$ ,  $a_3 = 6 + a + 2b$ ,  $a_4 = 2 + a$ ,  $a_5 = 54 + a + 4b + 4c$ ,  $a_6 = -16 + a + 2b$ ,  $a_7 = 14 + a$ ,  $a_8 = 8 + a$ ,  $a_9 = a + 2b$ .  $\mathcal{H}''_{i,i+1}$  acts on the  $i$  and  $i + 1$  rungs as defined in (8).

[Proof]. For the integrable ladder model (56) with Hamiltonian  $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{H}'_{i,i+1}$ , the system remains integrable under (60). It is straightforward to prove that  $\mathcal{H}'' = \mathcal{B}H'\mathcal{B}^{-1}$ , where

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1/2 & -3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the Hamiltonian systems  $H'$  and  $H'' = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{H}''_{i,i+1}$  satisfy the relation (60) with  $B_i = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Hence  $H''$  is also  $SU(2)$ -symmetric and integrable with the same spectrum as  $H'$ .

For  $a + 2b \geq 0$ , as the entries of  $\mathcal{H}''$  are positive,  $H''_{\alpha\beta} \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{2L}$ . From (75) we also have  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{16} \mathcal{H}''_{\alpha\beta} = 4(18 + 4a + 4b + c)$ ,  $\forall \beta = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ . By the definition (57)  $P_{SU(2)}$  is the transition matrix of a stationary discrete-time  $SU(2)$ -symmetric integrable Markov processes. ■

The state space of this Markov processes associated with the stochastic matrix  $P_{SU(2)}$  is  $S = (1, 2, \dots, 2^{2L})$ . Generally there is no closed subset  $C$  of the state space  $S$  such that  $(P_{SU(2)})_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ . However in certain parameter regions for  $a, b, c$ , from (75) one can see that there can exist such closed subsets  $C$  of  $S$  (the Markov processes are by definition reducible in these cases). From (75) it can also be seen that there exists no absorbing state for this Markov process.

By using the results used in the proof of theorem 7, we have also the following integrable stationary continuous-time Markov process:

[Theorem 8]. The matrix

$$Q_{SU(2)} = H'' - 4(L-1)(18 + 4a + 4b + c) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\mathcal{H}'' - 4(18 + 4a + 4b + c))_{i,i+1} \quad (76)$$

is the intensity matrix of a stationary continuous-time Markov process.

## 6 Conclusion and Remark

Using the Casimir operators and coproduct operations of algebras, we have given a general way to construct square lattice models with a certain Lie or quantum Lie algebraic symmetry. As applications we discussed integrable  $A_n$  symmetric chain models and  $SU(2)$  invariant ladder models. We have shown that the stochastic processes correspond to both  $A_n$  symmetric integrable chain models and  $SU(2)$  invariant ladder models are exactly solvable stationary discrete-time (resp. continuous-time) Markov chains with the transition matrices (resp. intensity matrices) which coincide with those of the corresponding integrable models. Other symmetric integrable lattice models ( e.g. with  $B_n, C_n, D_n$  symmetry) and integrable Markov models can be investigated in a similar way.

## References

- [1] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.  
L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtadzhyan, Russ. Math. Surv. 34, 11 (1979).
- [2] H.J. de Vega, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4, 2371 (1989).  
N. Reshetikhin, Theor. Math. Phys. 63, 555 (1986).  
H.J. de Vega and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B280, 225 (1987).  
N. Reshetikhin and P.B. Wiegman, Phys. Lett. B18, 125 (1987). E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. A2, 2375 (1988).  
A. Foerster and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B408, 512 (1993).  
H.J. de Vega and A.G. Ruiz, J. Phys. A26, L519 (1993); Nucl. Phys. B417, 553 (1994).  
L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, Nucl. Phys. B372, 597 (1992).  
S. Artz, L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10, 1937 (1995); J. Phys. A2, 5131 (1995).
- [3] S. Albeverio, S.M. Fei and Y.P. Wang, EuroPhys. Lett. 47, 364-370(1999).
- [4] Y. Wang, P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. B 62, 3845(2000).  
Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 60, 9236 (1999).  
N. Muramoto and M. Takahashi, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 68, 2098 (1999).
- [5] For a review and an extensive literature list concerning physical aspects of the models, see e.g. J.W. Evans, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 1281 (1993).
- [6] F. Spitzer, Adv. Math 5, 246 (1970).  
T. Liggett, *Interacting Particle Systems*, New York, Springer, 1985.
- [7] , E. Carlon, M. Henkel and U. Schollwoeck, Phys. Rev. E 63, 036101 (2001).  
E. Carlon, M. Henkel and U. Schollwoeck, Eur. Phys. J. B 12 (1999) 99.
- [8] S. Alexander and T. Holstein, Phys. Rev. B 18, 301 (1978).
- [9] G. Schütz and S. Sandow, Phys. Rev. E 49, 2726 (1994).
- [10] F.C. Alcaraz, M. Droz, M. Henkel and V. Rittenberg, Ann. Phys. 230, 250 (1994).

- [11] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3795 (1975).  
 J.H.H. Perk and C.L. Schultz, *Non-Linear Integrable Systems, Classical Theory and Quantum Theory*, eds M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Singapore: World Scientific, 1981.
- [12] S.R. Dahmen, J. Phys. A28, 905 (1995).
- [13] M. Henkel and H. Hinrichsen, J. Phys. A34, 1561-1568(2001).
- [14] M. Doi, J. Phys. A9, 1465 (1976).  
 P.Grassberger and M. Scheunert, Fortschr. Phys. 28, 547 (1980).  
 L.P. Kadanoff and J. Swift, Phys. Rev. 165, 310 (1968).
- [15] F.C. Alcaraz and V. Rittenberg, Phys. Lett. B314, 377 (1993).
- [16] I. Peschel, V. Rittenberg and U. Schultze, Nucl. Phys. B430, 633 (1995).  
 H. Simon, J. Phys. A28, 6585 (1995).  
 M. Henkel, E Orlandini and G.M. Schütz, J. Phys. A28, 6335 (1995).  
 G.M. Schütz, J. Stat. Phys. A28, 243 (1995).
- [17] S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, Rev. Math. Phys. **10**, 723-750(1998).
- [18] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.  
 Z.Q. Ma, *Yang-Baxter Equation and Quantum Enveloping Algebras*, World Scientific, 1993.  
 C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1995.  
 S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.  
 K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer, 1997.
- [19] S.M. Fei, J. Phys. A 24, 5195 (1991).  
 S Albeverio and S.M. Fei, J. Phys. A **31**, Gen. and Math. (1998)1211-1218. S.M. Fei and H.Y. Guo, Commun. Theor. Phys. 20, 299 (1993).
- [20] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967).
- [21] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Physics*, Academic Press, New York 1982.

- [22] E.K.Sklyanin, J. Soviet Math. 19, (1982);  
P.P.Kulish and E.K.Sklyanin, J. Soviet Math. 19, 1956 (1982);  
P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, J. Soviet Math. 23, 2435 (1983);  
H.J. de Vega, H Eichenherr and J.M. Maillet, Nucl. Phys. B 240, 377 (1984).
- [23] A.B. and Al.B Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. 120, 253 (1979).
- [24] J. Fröhlich, Statistics of Fields, the Yang-Baxter Equation, and Theory of Knots and Links, Cargèse Lectures 1987.
- [25] H. N. V. Temperley and E. Lieb, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A, 251 (1971).
- [26] M.T. Batchelor and A. Kuniba, J. Phys. A 24, 2599 (1991).
- [27] D. Levy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 499 (1990); 67, 1971 (1991).
- [28] B.Y. Hou, B.Y. Hou and Z.Q. Ma, J. Phys. A24, 2847 (1991).
- [29] D.L. Isaacson and R.W. Madsen, *Markov Chains, Theory and Applications*, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 1976.  
K.L. Chung, *Markov Chains with Stationary Transition Probabilities*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.  
S. Ross, *Introduction to Probability Models*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.  
M. Iosifescu, *Finite Markov Processes and Applications*, J. Wiley, Chichester, 1980.
- [30] S.M. Fei, H.Y. Guo and H. Shi, J. Phys. A 25, 2711 (1992).  
J. Hietarinta, Phys. Lett. A165, 245 (1992).