

TIME-LOCAL MASTER EQUATIONS: INFLUENCE FUNCTIONAL AND CUMULANT EXPANSION

Heinz-Peter Breuer^{1,2}, Andrea Ma^{2,3}, Francesco Petruccione^{2,4}

¹ *Fachbereich Physik, Carl von Ossietzky Universität, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany*

² *Fakultät für Physik, Universität Freiburg, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*

³ *Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, Prince Consort Road, London, England*

⁴ *Istituto Italiano per gli Studi Filosofici, Via Monte di Dio 14, I-80132 Napoli*

breuer@marvin.physik.uni-oldenburg.de, andrea@www.physik.uni-freiburg.de

petruccione@physik.uni-freiburg.de

Abstract The non-Markovian behaviour of open quantum systems interacting with a reservoir can often be described in terms of a time-local master equation involving a time-dependent generator which is not in Lindblad form. A systematic perturbation expansion of the generator is obtained either by means of van Kampen's method of ordered cumulants or else by use of the Feynman-Vernon influence functional technique. Both expansions are demonstrated to yield equivalent expressions for the generator in all orders of the system-reservoir coupling. Explicit formulae are derived for the second and the fourth order generator in terms of the influence functional.

Keywords: open quantum system, non-Markovian quantum processes, influence functional, time-convolutionless projection operator technique, cumulant expansion, stochastic wavefunction method

1. Introduction

The theory of open quantum systems [1] is concerned with the elimination of the degrees of freedom of the environment in order to get an equation of motion for the density matrix of the reduced system. For an appropriate description of an open system's dynamics that exhibits non-Markovian features a primary goal is to derive exact representations for the reduced density matrix. A closed expression for the density matrix can be obtained for a few analytically solvable models, such as for the damped harmonic oscillator and for free Brownian motion [2, 3, 4]. In

many interesting cases, however, exact representations for the reduced density matrix serve as starting points of a perturbation expansion in the system-environment coupling and of the development of numerical integration schemes.

One possibility of carrying out this program is to derive a time-local master equation for the open system's density matrix $\rho_S(t)$ which takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_S(t) = \mathcal{K}(t)\rho_S(t). \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{K}(t)$ is a time-dependent generator, a super-operator in the reduced system's Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_S . As can be shown with the help of the time-convolutionless (TCL) projection operator technique [5, 6] a master equation of the form (1) indeed exists for small and intermediate couplings in the case of factorizing initial conditions. Note that Eq. (1) is local in time, i. e. that it does not involve an integration over the past history of the reduced system. Due to the explicit time-dependence of the TCL generator $\mathcal{K}(t)$, however, it does not lead to a quantum dynamical semigroup and, therefore, the generator need not be in Lindblad form.

A perturbation expansion of the TCL generator $\mathcal{K}(t)$ may be found in two different ways. One way is to start from the formal solution of the von Neumann equation of the total system and to use van Kampen's technique of the ordered cumulant expansion [7, 8]. Another way is to invoke the Feynman-Vernon influence functional representation of the reduced density matrix [2] and to obtain an expansion of the generator directly in terms of the influence phase. Both strategies will be compared in this paper and shown explicitly to yield identical expansions of the TCL generator.

To be specific we consider a system-reservoir model in which the reservoir consists of a collection of harmonic oscillators with frequencies ω_n and masses m_n . The corresponding coordinates and momenta are denoted by x_n and p_n , respectively. The reservoir Hamiltonian is therefore given by

$$H_B = \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2m_n} p_n^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_n \omega_n x_n^2 \right). \quad (2)$$

The system-reservoir coupling is described by the interaction picture Hamiltonian

$$H_I(t) = -\alpha X(t)B(t). \quad (3)$$

α represents an overall coupling constant, $X(t)$ is an interaction picture system operator (not necessarily the position coordinate) and $B(t)$ the

interaction picture reservoir variable given by

$$B(t) = \sum_n \kappa_n \left(x_n \cos \omega_n t + \frac{p_n}{m_n \omega_n} \sin \omega_n t \right). \quad (4)$$

The constants κ_n describe the strength of the coupling of the reservoir mode n to the reduced system. The dynamics of the total system in the interaction picture is then determined by the von Neumann equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(t) \rho(t), \quad (5)$$

where we have introduced the Liouville super-operator $\mathcal{L}(t)$. It is defined by the relation

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \rho = i [X(t)B(t), \rho], \quad (6)$$

where ρ is any operator of the combined system.

The aim is to eliminate the variables of the reservoir to obtain an exact representation for the reduced density matrix $\rho_S(t)$ of the open system. The starting point is the following formal equation which relates the reduced density matrix $\rho_S(t)$ at time t to the density matrix $\rho(0)$ of the total system at the initial time 0,

$$\rho_S(t) = \text{tr}_B \left\{ T_{\leftarrow} \exp \left[\alpha \int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}(t') \right] \rho(0) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where tr_B stands for the trace over the degrees of freedom of the environment and T_{\leftarrow} denotes the chronological time-ordering operator.

Let us restrict ourselves here to an initial low-entropy state which is given by a product state of the form

$$\rho(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B. \quad (8)$$

Here, $\rho_S(0)$ is the density matrix at the initial time and ρ_B is the density matrix of the reservoir describing a thermal equilibrium state of temperature T which is given by the Gibbs state

$$\rho_B = \frac{1}{Z_B} \exp(-\beta H_B), \quad (9)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ denotes the temperature and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The normalization factor Z_B represents the reservoir partition function.

2. Time-local master equations and ordered cumulants

We are looking for an appropriate expansion of the TCL generator of the master equation (1) with respect to the coupling constant α ,

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^n \mathcal{K}_n(t). \quad (10)$$

A general formula for the n th-order contribution $\mathcal{K}_n(t)$ to the generator of the TCL master equation can be derived by employing a technique which was developed by van Kampen for the perturbation expansion of stochastic differential equations. To explain briefly this method we define for any super-operator \mathcal{R} of the combined system a corresponding super-operator $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ of the reduced system through the relation

$$\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \rho_S \equiv \text{tr}_B \{ \mathcal{R} (\rho_S \otimes \rho_B) \}. \quad (11)$$

The formal representation (7) may thus be written in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(t) &= \left\langle \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} \exp \left[\alpha \int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}(t') \right] \right\rangle \rho_S(0) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \left\langle \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} \left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}(t') \right]^n \right\rangle \rho_S(0), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have expanded the time-ordered exponential in powers of α . Explicitly, the first few terms of this expansion take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(t) &= \left[1 + \alpha \int_0^t dt_1 \langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle + \alpha^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha^3 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle + \dots \right] \rho_S(0). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to time we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(t) &= \left[\alpha \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \rangle + \alpha^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha^3 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \rangle + \dots \right] \rho(0)_S. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The strategy is now to invert the expansion on the right-hand side of Eq. (13) with the aim to express $\rho_S(0)$ in terms of $\rho_S(t)$, and to substitute the result into Eq. (14). As was shown by van Kampen this procedure can be carried out in a systematic fashion to yield an expansion for the

equation of motion in powers of the coupling. The result is a time-local master equation of the form (1), where the n th-order contribution to the TCL generator (10) is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_0^{t_{n-2}} dt_{n-1} \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_{n-1}) \rangle_{\text{oc}}. \quad (15)$$

The quantities

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_{n-1}) \rangle_{\text{oc}} \\ & \equiv \sum (-1)^{(q-1)} \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_i) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_j) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_k) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_l) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_m) \rangle \langle \dots \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

are called ordered cumulants. They are defined by the following rules. First, one writes down a string of the form $\langle \mathcal{L} \dots \mathcal{L} \rangle$ with n factors of \mathcal{L} in between the brackets. Next one partitions the string into an arbitrary number of q substrings ($1 \leq q \leq n$) of the form $\langle \mathcal{L} \dots \mathcal{L} \rangle$ by inserting angular brackets between the \mathcal{L} s, whereby each substring contains at least one factor of \mathcal{L} . The resulting expression is multiplied by a factor $(-1)^{(q-1)}$ and all \mathcal{L} s are furnished with a time argument in the following way. The first factor is always $\mathcal{L}(t)$. The remaining \mathcal{L} s carry any permutation of the time arguments t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1} with the only restriction that the time arguments in each substring must be ordered chronologically. In Eq. (16) we thus have

$$t \geq \dots \geq t_i, \quad t_j \geq \dots \geq t_k, \quad t_l \geq \dots \geq t_m, \quad \dots \quad (17)$$

Finally, the ordered cumulant is obtained by a summation over all possible partitions into substrings and over all allowed distributions of the time arguments.

For the thermal state (9) and an interaction which is linear in the reservoir coordinates and momenta, as in Eq. (3), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \dots \mathcal{L}(t_{2n+1}) \rangle = 0. \quad (18)$$

Thus, only even-order contributions survive. The above rules then lead to the following explicit expressions for the second- and the fourth-order contributions to the TCL generator:

$$\mathcal{K}_2(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_4(t) &= \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \\ & (\langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle \\ & - \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_1) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In general, one expects that a time-local master equation whose generator consists of only the first few terms of the expansion provides a good description of the reduced dynamics for weak and moderate couplings. However, it should be emphasized that an expansion of the form (10) need not exist for strong couplings. What happens in these cases is that Eq. (12) cannot be solved uniquely for $\rho_S(0)$. In other words, the initial state $\rho_S(0)$ is not uniquely determined by the state $\rho_S(t)$ at time t . Specific examples of the application of this technique to physical models and of the breakdown of the TCL expansion in the strong coupling regime are discussed in [1].

3. Influence functional approach

In each order of the cumulant expansion the TCL generator involves certain combinations of n -point correlation functions of the reservoir variables which enter the expressions (16) for the ordered cumulants. Another strategy of obtaining an expansion of the TCL generator is to eliminate first the reservoir variables completely from the expression (7). This is indeed possible for the present model since the initial state (8) is Gaussian with respect to the reservoir variables and since the system-reservoir interaction is linear in these variables. Following the procedure used in [9] one finds the following exact super-operator representation of the reduced density matrix,

$$\rho_S(t) = \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow}^X \exp \left(i\alpha^2 \Phi_t [X_c, X_a] \right) \rho_S(0), \quad (21)$$

where

$$i\Phi_t [X_c, X_a] = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' \left\{ \frac{i}{2} D(t' - t'') X_c(t') X_a(t'') - \frac{1}{2} D_1(t' - t'') X_c(t') X_c(t'') \right\}. \quad (22)$$

Equation (21) provides a complete description of the influence of the reservoir on the reduced system. The motion of the system is determined by a time-ordered exponential whose complex phase $i\Phi_t [X_c, X_a]$ is a bilinear functional of the super-operators $X_c(t)$ and $X_a(t)$. The action of these super-operators on any matrix ρ is defined through the commutator and the anti-commutator as

$$X_c(t)\rho = [X(t), \rho], \quad (23)$$

$$X_a(t)\rho = \{X(t), \rho\}. \quad (24)$$

$\mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow}^X$ denotes the time-ordering of these super-operators $X_c(t)$ and $X_a(t)$.

The time-ordered exponential function in Eq. (21) represents the super-operator analogue of the Feynman-Vernon influence functional, which is usually derived utilizing path-integral techniques [2, 3]. Note that the double time-integral in Eq. (22) is already time-ordered for the integration is extended over the region $t \geq t' \geq t'' \geq 0$. Two fundamental 2-point correlation functions enter the above expression for the influence phase, namely the commutator function

$$D(t-t') \equiv i [B(t), B(t')], \quad (25)$$

and the anti-commutator function

$$D_1(t-t') \equiv \text{tr}_B (\{B(t), B(t')\} \rho_B), \quad (26)$$

which are known as dissipation and noise kernel, respectively.

In order to construct a perturbation expansion for a time-local equation of motion for the reduced density matrix we can proceed in a similar way as was done for the cumulant expansion: We first expand the exponential function in Eq. (21),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^m \alpha^{2m}}{m!} \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} (\Phi_t [X_c, X_a])^m \rho_S(0) \\ &= \left[1 + i\alpha^2 \Phi_t [X_c, X_a] - \frac{\alpha^4}{2} \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} (\Phi_t [X_c, X_a])^2 + \dots \right] \rho_S(0), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and take the time derivative which leads to the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(t) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^m \alpha^{2m}}{m!} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} (i\Phi_t [X_c, X_a])^m \rho_S(0) \\ &= \left[i\alpha^2 \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_t [X_c, X_a] - \frac{\alpha^4}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} (\Phi_t [X_c, X_a])^2 + \dots \right] \rho_S(0). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

A time-local master equation may again be found by solving Eq. (27) for $\rho_S(0)$ within the desired order and by substituting the result into the right-hand side of Eq. (28). It is obvious that this procedure yields an expansion for a time-local generator of the master equation which is identical to the one obtained from the cumulant expansion: The expansions (12) and (27) are indeed identical to all orders in the coupling and, therefore, lead to one and the same expansion of the TCL generator. The comparison of the respective expansions shows that the relations

$$\mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow}^X (\Phi_t [X_c, X_a])^m = \frac{m!}{i^m (2m)!} \left\langle \mathbb{T}_{\leftarrow} \left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}(t') \right]^{2m} \right\rangle \quad (29)$$

hold for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Let us illustrate this point by an explicit determination of the second and the fourth order generator. To lowest order Eq. (27) yields $\rho_S(t) = \rho_S(0)$. To obtain a second-order equation of motion we thus have to substitute this lowest-order expression into the right-hand side of Eq. (28), keeping only the first term. This leads to the second-order master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_S(t) = \mathcal{K}_2(t)\rho_S(t) \quad (30)$$

with the generator

$$\mathcal{K}_2(t) = i\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t[X_c, X_a] = \int_0^t dt_1 \langle \mathcal{L}(t)\mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle, \quad (31)$$

where we made use of Eq. (29) for $m = 1$. Obviously, this expression coincides with (19).

The fourth-order contribution of the TCL generator is found by first inverting Eq. (27) in second order which gives

$$\rho_S(0) = (1 - i\Phi_t[X_c, X_a])\rho_S(t), \quad (32)$$

and by inserting this expression into the right-hand side of Eq. (28), keeping only fourth-order terms. The resulting fourth-order master equation is, obviously,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_S(t) = [\mathcal{K}_2(t) + \mathcal{K}_4(t)]\rho_S(t), \quad (33)$$

where the fourth-order contribution to the generator reads

$$\mathcal{K}_4(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Gamma_{\leftarrow}(\Phi_t[X_c, X_a])^2 + \Phi_t[X_c, X_a]\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t[X_c, X_a]. \quad (34)$$

Invoking Eq. (29) for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ this can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_4(t) &= \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \langle \mathcal{L}(t)\mathcal{L}(t_1)\mathcal{L}(t_2)\mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \langle \mathcal{L}(t)\mathcal{L}(t_1) \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}(t_2)\mathcal{L}(t_3) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Note that the t_2 -integral in the second term on the right-hand side of this equation extends from 0 to t . The triple time-integral of this term may be brought into time-ordered form by appropriate substitutions of the time variables. More precisely, we may write this integral as a sum of three integrals each of which extends over the region $t \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \geq t_3 \geq 0$. In this way, one easily recognizes that Eq. (35) for the fourth order generator becomes identical to Eq. (20).

By use of Eqs. (31) and (34) one easily finds explicit expressions for the second and for the fourth order contribution to the TCL generator in terms of the dissipation and the noise kernel and of the commutator and the anti-commutator super-operators, namely

$$\mathcal{K}_2(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \left\{ \frac{i}{2} D(t-t_1) X_c(t) X_a(t_1) - \frac{1}{2} D_1(t-t_1) X_c(t) X_c(t_1) \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_4(t) = & \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \\ & \{ [D_1(t-t_2)D_1(t_1-t_3) + D_1(t-t_3)D_1(t_1-t_2)] \\ & \quad \times X_c(t)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_2)X_c(t_3) \\ & - [D(t-t_2)D(t_1-t_3) + D(t-t_3)D(t_1-t_2)] \\ & \quad \times X_c(t)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_2)X_a(t_3) \\ & - i [D_1(t-t_2)D(t_1-t_3) + D(t-t_3)D_1(t_1-t_2)] \\ & \quad \times X_c(t)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_2)X_a(t_3) \\ & - i [D(t-t_2)D_1(t_1-t_3) + D_1(t-t_3)D(t_1-t_2)] \\ & \quad \times X_c(t)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_2)X_c(t_3) \\ & + D(t-t_2)D(t_1-t_3)X_c(t)X_a(t_2)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_3) \\ & - D_1(t-t_2)D_1(t_1-t_3)X_c(t)X_c(t_2)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_3) \\ & + iD(t-t_2)D_1(t_1-t_3)X_c(t)X_a(t_2)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_3) \\ & + iD_1(t-t_2)D(t_1-t_3)X_c(t)X_c(t_2)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_3) \\ & + D(t-t_3)D(t_1-t_2)X_c(t)X_a(t_3)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_2) \\ & - D_1(t-t_3)D_1(t_1-t_2)X_c(t)X_c(t_3)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_2) \\ & + iD(t-t_3)D_1(t_1-t_2)X_c(t)X_a(t_3)X_c(t_1)X_c(t_2) \\ & + iD_1(t-t_3)D(t_1-t_2)X_c(t)X_c(t_3)X_c(t_1)X_a(t_2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Conclusion

The perturbation expansion of the generator of a non-Markovian, time-local master equation may be constructed through the technique of ordered cumulants or, equivalently, by use of the exact influence functional expression for the reduced density matrix. We have made explicit the relation between both approaches, invoking the connection between time-ordered products of the influence phase and of the interaction Liouville operator.

It should be clear, however, that an expansion directly through the influence functional is only useful, of course, provided an explicit expression for the influence functional is available. This was the case in

the present study for the reservoir was assumed to be describable by a Gaussian (thermal) state. In this respect, the ordered cumulant expansion is more general since it does not rely on the Gaussian property of the environment.

An important generalization of the present investigation could be to include non-factorizing initial conditions for the density matrix of the combined system-reservoir state. The corresponding correlations in the initial state lead to an inhomogeneity in the master equation. The expansion of this inhomogeneity in powers of the system-reservoir coupling is known from the time-convolutionless projection operator technique [5, 6], while the treatment of non-factorizing initial conditions is also possible within the framework of the influence functional technique for Gaussian reservoirs [4].

Finally we emphasize that the derivation of a time-local generator may be important from the numerical point of view. Not only is a time-local master equation certainly easier to solve than a generalized master equation involving a retarded memory kernel, but it also offers the possibility of a stochastic unraveling of the master equation: To all orders in the coupling, the form of the TCL generator allows to design an appropriate stochastic process $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ for the state vector in a doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_S \oplus \mathcal{H}_S$ such that the average over the quantity $|\phi\rangle\langle\psi|$ yields the open system's density matrix [10]. The TCL form of the master equation thus gives rise to stochastic wave function algorithms for non-Markovian quantum processes.

References

- [1] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).
- [2] R. P. Feynman and F. L. Vernon, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)*, **24** (1963) 118–173.
- [3] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, *Physica*, **121A** (1983) 587–616.
- [4] H. Grabert, P. Schramm and G.-L. Ingold, *Phys. Rep.*, **168** (1988) 115–207.
- [5] F. Shibata, Y. Takahashi and N. Hashitume, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **17** (1977) 171–187.
- [6] S. Chaturvedi and F. Shibata, *Z. Phys. B*, **35** (1979) 297–308.
- [7] N. G. van Kampen, *Physica*, **74** (1974) 215–238.
- [8] N. G. van Kampen, *Physica*, **74** (1974) 239–247.
- [9] H.P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *Phys. Rev. A*, **63** (2001) 032102-1(18).
- [10] H.P. Breuer, B. Kappler and F. Petruccione, *Phys. Rev. A*, **59** (1999) 1633–1643.