Realization of a resonant non-linear phase flip in cavity quantum electrodynamics

Holger F. Hofmann a,b , Kunihiro Kojima b , Shigeki Takeuchi a,b , and Keiji Sasaki b

^a PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST)

^bResearch Institute for Electronic Science,

Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0812

Tel/Fax: 011-706-2648

e-mail: h.hofmann@osa.org

Abstract

Optical nonlinearities sensitive to individual photons may be extremely useful as elements in quantum logic circuits for photonic qubits. A much cited example is the work of Turchette et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4710 (1995)], in which a phase shift of about 10 degrees was reported. To improve this result, we propose a single sided cavity geometry with minimal cavity losses. It should then be possible to achieve a nonlinear phase shift of 180 degrees.

Keywords: quantum level nonlinearity, cavity quantum electrodynamics

1 Introduction

One of the fundamental challenges in realizing optical quantum computation and similar applications of quantum information encoded in photonic qubits is the relative weakness of nonlinear interactions that could couple individual photons. Among the many proposals made to overcome this limitation, some of the most impressive results have been obtained in cavity quantum electrodynamics[[1\]](#page-3-0). In particular, the experimental observation of a nonlinear phase shift of 10 degrees reported by Turchette et al. has attracted a lot of attention [\[2](#page-3-0)]. The mechanism by which this phase shift is obtained is the saturation of a single two level atom confined in a single mode cavity. Therefore, the phase shift depends on a non-vanishing excitation of the atom and the results obtained for the magnitude of the phase shift should increase as the pump light approaches resonance. The main limitation of this resonant improvement of the nonlinear phase shift is set by the sensitivity of the cavity transmission and the transversal losses to the atomic resonance. We therefore propose a reflection geometry with negligible transversal losses as an optimized device for obtaining a nonlinear phase shift sensitive to individual photons[[3\]](#page-3-0). With such a device, it should be possible to achieve nonlinear phase shifts of 180 degrees.

2 Optical confinement and the purpose of a bad cavity

The source of the strong nonlinearity observed in cavity quantum electrodynamics is the two level atom that changes its optical properties drastically in its transition from ground state to excited state. In principle, this nonlinear change of the optical response is found in any individual two level system. However, it is difficult to isolate a single two level atom in such a way that the optical input and output fields can be focussed efficiently on this individual atomic system. For this purpose, it is convenient to use a resonant cavity with a length equal to half a wavelength. In such a cavity, it is possible to suppress the coupling of the atom with any mode other than the single resonant cavity mode. As a result, all of the light entering the cavity will interact with the atom, and most of the light radiated by the atom will be emitted through the cavity mode. Effectively, it is the purpose of the cavity to focus all the input light on the atom and to guide all the output light to the detectors. The longitudinal confinement given by the cavity lifetime is not relevant for this purpose. It is therefore possible to achieve very good results in the bad cavity regime, where the cavity decay rate κ is much faster than the coupling rate g of the field-atom interaction.

Figure [1](#page-1-0) illustrates a one sided cavity setup with negligible transversal losses ($\gamma \ll \kappa, g$). In this setup, the two level atom inside the cavity only interacts with the one dimensional fields on the left side of the cav-

Figure 1: Illustration of the dissipation free realization of an atomic nonlinearity. R_F and R_B denote the reflectivities of the two mirrors, b_{in} and b_{out} represent the external field interacting with the atom through the cavity.

ity. These fields, represented by the input b_{in} and the output b_{out} , have a transversal profile defined by the cavity mode. If the input light and the detectors match this mode profile, maximal interaction with the two level atom is obtained.

The conventional cavity parameters characterizing the field-atom interaction are the cavity damping rate κ , the atom-field coupling rate g, and the loss rate γ describing the spontaneous emission into non-cavity modes. However, in the bad cavity regime, the field dynamics can be adiabatically eliminated. The cavity damping rate and the atom-field coupling then define the rate of emission through the cavity as $2g^2/\kappa$. A bad cavity therefore divides the total spontaneous emission of the atom into two parts, one guided by the cavity into a well focussed beam, the other spread out over all directions in three dimensional space.

This situation is also reflected by the equations of motion for the atomic system obtained from the standard equations of cavity quantum electrodynamics by adiabatic elimination of the cavity field. Due to the adiabatic elimination, these equations are now equivalent to the Bloch equations of a single two level atom,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle \hat{\sigma}_-\rangle = -\Gamma\langle \hat{\sigma}_-\rangle + 2\sqrt{2\beta\Gamma} b_{\rm in}(t) \langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle = -2\Gamma\left(\langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

$$
-\sqrt{2\beta\Gamma} \left(b_{\rm in}^*(t) \langle \hat{\sigma}_-\rangle + b_{\rm in}(t) \langle \hat{\sigma}_-\rangle^*\right). (1)
$$

In this formulation, the atom dynamics itself depends only on the dipole relaxation rate Γ given by

$$
\Gamma = \frac{g^2}{\kappa} + \frac{\gamma}{2}.
$$
 (2)

Since this dipole relaxation rate is entirely due to spontaneous emission, the total spontaneous emission rate is equal to 2Γ and the two contributions to Γ can be identified with spontaneous emission through the cavity (g^2/κ) and with emission into non-cavity modes $(\gamma/2)$, respectively. The only other coefficient describing the cavity geometry is the spontaneous emission factor β that describes the fraction of the total spontaneous emission emitted through the cavity, such that the coupling between the atom and the cavity input field b_{in} is given by

$$
\beta \Gamma = \frac{g^2}{\kappa}.\tag{3}
$$

Note that the input field b_{in} is normalized in such a way that $|b_{\text{in}}|^2$ is equal to the photon current in the coherent input beam.

With the coefficients given above, it is also possible to determine the output field. According to inputoutput theory [\[4](#page-3-0)], it is given by a sum representing interference between the reflected light and the dipole emission,

$$
b_{\text{out}}(t) = b_{\text{in}}(t) + \sqrt{2\beta \Gamma} \langle \hat{\sigma}_-\rangle.
$$
 (4)

Again, the spontaneous emission factor β modifies the coupling between the atom and the field. It is therefore interesting to investigate how a variation of β affects the nonlinear response of the atom.

3 Nonlinear response and phase shift optimization

For a continuous input field of frequency ω and amplitude b_{in} , the steady state solution of equation (1) is given by

$$
\langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle = -\frac{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2}{2(\Gamma^2 + \omega^2 + 4\beta \Gamma |b_{\text{in}}|^2)}
$$

$$
\langle \hat{\sigma}_- \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{2\beta \Gamma}(\Gamma - i\omega)}{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2 + 4\beta \Gamma |b_{\text{in}}|^2} b_{\text{in}}.
$$
(5)

The output field reflected from the cavity is then obtained from equation (4). It reads

$$
b_{\text{out}} = \left(1 - \frac{2\beta \Gamma(\Gamma - i\omega)}{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2 + 4\beta \Gamma |b_{\text{in}}|^2}\right) b_{\text{in}}
$$

$$
= \frac{((1 - 2\beta)\Gamma + i\omega)(\Gamma - i\omega) + 4\beta \Gamma |b_{\text{in}}|^2}{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2 + 4\beta \Gamma |b_{\text{in}}|^2} b_{\text{in}}.
$$

(6)

This nonlinear response function characterizes the transition from weak fields to strong fields. Close to

Figure 2: Dependence of the nonlinear phase shift $\Delta\phi$ on the detuning frequency ω for different spontaneous emission factors β .

resonance, these regimes can be defined with respect to the dipole relaxation rate as $|b_{\text{in}}|^2 \ll \Gamma/\beta$ and $|b_{\text{in}}|^2 \gg \Gamma/\beta$, respectively. In other words, Γ/β defines the intensity of the input light at which the nonlinear effect becomes significant.

In the limit of high intensity input fields, $|b_{\text{in}}|^2 \gg$ Γ/β, the atom is completely saturated $(\langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle = 0)$, and the response is that of 100% reflection at an empty cavity,

$$
b_{\text{out}} \approx b_{\text{in}}.\tag{7}
$$

The nonlinear phase shift obtained by this saturation effect is therefore equal to the phase shift caused by the single atom in the linear limit of weak fields, $|b_{\text{in}}|^2 \ll$ Γ/β). In this case, the response of the atomic system is given by

$$
b_{\text{out}} \approx \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\beta) + i\omega}{\Gamma + i\omega} b_{\text{in}}.\tag{8}
$$

The phase shift caused by this linear limit of the response function can then be defined by

$$
|\Delta \phi| = \arccos\left(\frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\beta)}{\sqrt{\Gamma^2(1 - 2\beta)^2 + \omega^2}}\right)
$$

$$
-\arccos\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2}}\right). \tag{9}
$$

As noted above, this phase shift then describes the total nonlinear phase shift that can be obtained by varying the input intensity.

Figure 2 shows the spectra of nonlinear phase shifts obtained for various spontaneous emission factors β . Note that the maximal phase shifts obtained off resonance are significantly smaller than 180 degrees. Specifically, the maximal phase shift at $\beta = 0.2$ is about 14.5 degrees at $\omega = 0.78\Gamma$, and the maximal phase shift at $\beta = 0.4$ is about 42 degrees at $\omega = 0.45\Gamma$. For both $\beta = 0.6$ and $\beta = 0.8$, the phase shift reaches its maximum value of 180 degrees at $\omega = 0$. The increase from $\beta = 0.6$ to $\beta = 0.8$ only increases the off-resonant phase shifts.

4 Amplitude nonlinearity and switching efficiency

The nonlinear change from the weak field response described by equation (8) to the strong field response given by equation([7\)](#page-2-0) also includes an increase of the reflected amplitude. For weak fields, only a fraction η of the input intensity is reflected. This fraction is given by

$$
\eta = \frac{\Gamma^2 (1 - 2\beta)^2 + \omega^2}{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2}.
$$
\n(10)

For strong fields, all of the input light is reflected. The amplitude nonlinearity is therefore characterized by a change of reflectivity from η to one. In order to realize a loss free phase nonlinearity, it is desireable to improve the efficiency η to be close to one. This can be achieved by varying the frequency or by varying the spontaneous emission factor β . However, the previous discussion has shown that high phase shifts can only be achieved close to resonance at high β . The only way to improve efficiency in this regime is to improve the value of β by avoiding spontaneous emission into non-cavity modes.

At resonance, the efficiency is given by $\eta = (1-2\beta)^2$. For the values of β shown in figure [2,](#page-2-0) the efficiencies at resonance are therefore equal to 0.04 for $\beta = 0.6$, and equal to 0.36 for $\beta = 0.8$. The suppression of spontaneous emission to non-cavity modes is therefore important for the realization of a maximally sensitive optical phase switch.

5 Conclusions

In order to realize a nonlinear phase shift of 180 degrees using the optical properties of a single atom in a cavity, it is necessary to reduce the fraction of spontaneous emission emitted into non-cavity modes below $1 - \beta =$ 0.5. It is then possible to obtain the desired phase shift at resonance with the atom. However, losses make a further improvement towards $\beta = 1$ desireable. In principle, a completely loss free nonlinear phase flip of 180 degrees can be achieved if all the light emitted from the atom is confined by the cavity at $\beta = 1$ [3].

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was supported by the program "Research and Development on Quantum Communication Technology" of the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications of Japan.

References

- [1] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2000), p. 297 ff.
- [2] Q.A. Turchette, C.J. Hood, W. Lange, H. Mabuchi, and H.J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4710 (1995).
- [3] H.F. Hofmann, K.Kojima, S. Takeuchi, and K. Sasaki, [quant-ph/0208029](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0208029) (2002).
- [4] D.F. Walls and G.J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995), p. 121.