

\mathcal{PT} -invariant one-dimensional Coulomb problem

Anjana Sinha *

*Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Calcutta
92 A.P.C.Road, Kolkata - 700 009
INDIA*

and

Rajkumar Roychoudhury §

*Physics & Applied Mathematics Unit
Indian Statistical Institute
Kolkata - 700 108
INDIA*

e-mail :

* a.sinha@cucc.ernet.in, anjana23@rediffmail.com

§ raj@isical.ac.in

Abstract

The one-dimensional Coulomb-like potential with a real coupling constant β , and a centrifugal-like core of strength $G = \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}$, viz., $V(x) = \frac{\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x-ic)^2} + \frac{\beta}{|x-ic|}$, is discussed in the framework of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. The \mathcal{PT} -invariant exactly solvable model so formed, is found to admit a double set of real and discrete energies, numbered by a quasi-parity $q = \pm 1$.

Key words : \mathcal{PT} - symmetry, non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, Coulomb potential.

I. Introduction

Ever since it was conjectured by Basis, Bender and Boettcher, and others [1-3] that Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian is not essential for the reality of the spectrum, non-Hermitian Hamiltonians have attracted a lot of attention. The main reason for this is that a large number of one-dimensional complex potentials, invariant under the simultaneous actions of space- and time-reflection operators \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} respectively, have been found to admit real and discrete energies. Though this unusual behaviour was at first thought to be because of the so-called \mathcal{PT} -invariance, this condition is neither necessary nor sufficient to ensure the reality of the spectrum. Some authors have pointed out that the necessary, but not sufficient, condition for the spectrum to be real and discrete is the η -pseudo-Hermiticity, $\eta\mathcal{H}\eta^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger$, where η is a Hermitian linear automorphism [4-7]. However, no general condition has been found for the breakdown of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry either. Several authors have studied many one-dimensional non-Hermitian models, constrained by their \mathcal{PT} -invariance [2-12], and shown that such Hamiltonians exhibit 2 types of behaviour —

(i) In the unbroken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry phase, the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} are also eigenfunctions of \mathcal{PT} , and the energy spectrum is real and discrete.

(ii) When \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is spontaneously broken, though the potential retains \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, the corresponding wavefunctions cease to be the eigenfunctions of the operator \mathcal{PT} , and the energy eigenvalues are arranged as complex conjugate pairs.

The interesting fact observed about \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is that the non-Hermitian, \mathcal{PT} -invariant models admit some of the properties of the usual Hermitian ones, *viz.*, supersymmetry, potential algebra, quasi-solvability, etc. This has motivated many authors to study such non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, especially because of their applications in many areas of theoretical physics — nuclear physics, quantum field theories, scattering problems, localization-delocalization transitions in superconductors, defraction of atoms by standing light waves, as well as the study of solitons on a complex Toda lattice [13].

In this note we study the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric one-dimensional Coulomb-like potential, with a centrifugal-like core, at a real coupling β :

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x - ic)^2} + \frac{\beta}{|x - ic|} \quad (1)$$

The motivation for investigating such a system arises from the fact that the Harmonic oscillator and the Coulomb potentials are the most widely studied of all quantum mechanical systems. Though the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric version of the one-dimensional Harmonic oscillator was explored by Znojil [14] three years ago, the one-dimensional analogue of the Coulomb potential is yet to be investigated. It is worth mentioning here that Znojil has regularized the Coulomb potential at an imaginary coupling, and developed it from the Harmonic oscillator by the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Kustaanheimo-Steifel (KS) transformation [15]. However, the KS-type mappings also change the dimensions and angular momenta and the energies of one system are related to the coupling constants of the other and vice versa. Thus the Harmonic oscillator in D dimension is mapped into the d -dimensional Coulomb problem.

The approach used in the present work is totally different. The Coulomb potential is treated in one dimension, with a real coupling constant.

The organization of the study is as follows. To make the work self-contained, a brief review of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is given in Section II. In Section III, the one-dimensional Coulomb problem is developed in the framework of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum mechanics. The wave functions are normalized in Section IV. Section V is kept for conclusions and discussions.

II. \mathcal{PT} -symmetry

Before proceeding further, let us briefly recapitulate the idea of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. The Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} for a particle of mass m , in a complex potential $V(x) = V_R(x) + iV_I(x)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \quad (2)$$

\mathcal{H} is said to be \mathcal{PT} -symmetric when

$$\mathcal{PT}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{PT} \quad (3)$$

Here \mathcal{P} is the *Parity operator* acting as spatial reflection, and \mathcal{T} stands for *Time Reversal*, acting as the complex conjugation operator. Their action on the position and momentum operators are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} : x &\rightarrow -x, & p &\rightarrow -p \\ \mathcal{T} : x &\rightarrow x, & p &\rightarrow -p, & i &\rightarrow -i \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in explicit form, the condition for a potential to be \mathcal{PT} -symmetric is

$$[V(-x)]^* = V(x) \quad (4)$$

so that $V_R(x)$ must be an even function of x , whereas $V_I(x)$ has to be odd. The commutation relation

$$[x, p] = i\hbar \quad (5)$$

remains invariant under \mathcal{PT} for both real as well as complex x and p .

For the probabilistic interpretation of the wave function of such a non-Hermitian quantum mechanical system, the norm and the inner product have to be redefined [16,17]. The counterpart of the scalar product of two eigenfunctions $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ is defined as

$$\langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle = \int dx \psi_2^*(-x) \psi_1(x) \quad (6)$$

and the normalization condition is replaced by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(-x) \psi(x) = \sigma \quad , \quad \sigma = \pm 1 \quad (7)$$

It is evident that the norm is not necessarily positive-definite. So it is referred to as the pseudo-norm. $\sigma = 1$ corresponds to the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase while $\sigma = -1$ corresponds to the \mathcal{PT} -antisymmetric phase.

III. One-dimensional Coulomb-like potential

We start with the radial Coulomb problem in three dimensions. The Schrödinger equation for this system (in units $\hbar = 2m = 1$) is

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + \left\{ \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \right\} \psi = E\psi \quad (8)$$

Changing its variable r to $x = r + ic$, $c > 0$, and replacing the angular momentum l by $\alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ [14], we obtain the \mathcal{PT} -invariant one-dimensional Coulomb-like potential, with a centrifugal-like core of strength $G = \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}$

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x - ic)^2} + \frac{\beta}{|x - ic|} \quad (9)$$

Here β is a real coupling constant and $\alpha > 0$. The analytic continuation of the model to one dimension makes the boundary condition at the origin redundant. The shift c avoids the singularity from the integration path, rendering the Hamiltonian exactly solvable on the entire line for any value of $\alpha > 0$. The Schrödinger equation (8) then takes the form

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \left\{ E - \frac{\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(x - ic)^2} - \frac{\beta}{|x - ic|} \right\} \psi = 0 \quad (10)$$

After some straightforward algebra, the solution to eq. (10) is obtained as

$$\psi(x) = N_{qn}^\alpha e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|x-ic|} \{ \gamma|x-ic| \}^{-q\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} L_n^{-2q\alpha} \{ \gamma|x-ic| \} \quad (11)$$

where N_{qn}^α is the normalization coefficient

$$N_{qn}^\alpha = |N_{qn}^\alpha| e^{i\nu} \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma = 2\sqrt{|E_{qn}^\alpha|} \quad (13)$$

and $L_n^{-2q\alpha}$ are the associated Laguerre polynomial [18]

$$L_n^m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n+m}{n-j} \frac{x^j}{j!} \quad (14)$$

$$L_0^m(x) = 1 \quad (15)$$

$$L_1^m(x) = m + 1 - x \quad (16)$$

From eq. (11), $\psi^*(-x) = \psi(x)$, so that this is a case of unbroken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. As expected, the spectrum turns out to be real and discrete. The eigenenergies are obtained as a double series, parametrized by a quantum number $q = \pm 1$, given by

$$E_{qn}^\alpha = - \frac{\beta^2}{(2n - 2q\alpha + 1)^2} \quad (17)$$

q may be called the *quasi-parity* of the system. In the limit $c \rightarrow 0$, the Hamiltonian gets back its hermiticity, reverting back to the ordinary radial Coulomb problem, and the quasi-parity degenerates to the ordinary parity.

IV. Normalization of the wave function

In \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum mechanics, $\psi(x)$ and $\psi^*(-x)$ both satisfy the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$- \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + V(x)\psi = E\psi \quad (18)$$

If E is real and non-degenerate, then

$$\psi^*(-x) = e^{i\phi}\psi(x) \quad , \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi \quad (19)$$

For the phase factor $\phi = 0$, $\psi(x)$ is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric, and for $\phi = \pi$, $\psi(x)$ is \mathcal{PT} -antisymmetric .

For the particular potential we are dealing with, $\phi = 0$, so that the functions $\psi(x)$ are \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. Therefore the normalization condition reads

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(-x) \psi(x) = 1 \quad (20)$$

Now

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(-x) \psi(x) = |N_{qn}^\alpha|^2 I_{qn}^\alpha \quad (21)$$

where

$$I_{qn}^\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\gamma|x-ic|} \{\gamma|x-ic|\}^{-2q\alpha+1} \left\{ L_n^{-2q\alpha}(\gamma|x-ic|) \right\}^2 \quad (22)$$

I_{qn}^α is evaluated by performing the contour integration $\int dz f(z)$ in terms of the complex variable $z = |x - ic|$, with $f(z)$ being given by

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{-\gamma z} \{\gamma z\}^{-2q\alpha+1} \left\{ L_n^{-2q\alpha} [\gamma z] \right\}^2 \quad (23)$$




Fig. 1. Contour Γ in the complex plane

Thus $f(z)$ is analytic everywhere except at the branch point $z = 0$. Enclosing the contour $\Gamma \equiv PQRSTU$ in the complex plane, avoiding the singularity at $z = 0$ by a semicircle of radius ϵ , one obtains $\int_{\Gamma} dz f(z) = 0$ from Cauchy's theorem. Detailed calculations show that in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz f(z) \rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} dx f(x)$. Also, I_{qn}^α converges for $q\alpha < 1$. Thus for $q = \pm 1$, α should be restricted in the range $0 < \alpha < 1$. After some algebra, I_{qn}^α is calculated to be [18]

$$I_{qn}^\alpha = \frac{2(-2q\alpha + 1)}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(-2q\alpha + n + 1)}{(n!)^2} \cdot \left\{ \frac{d^n}{dh^n} \left[\frac{(1-h) F\left(-q\alpha + 1, -q\alpha + \frac{3}{2}, -2q\alpha + 1, \frac{4h}{(1+h)^2}\right)}{(1+h)^{-2q\alpha+2}} \right] \right\}_{h=0} \quad (24)$$

where F are the generalised Hypergeometric functions [18]. Thus the normalization coefficient is evaluated from

$$|N_{qn}^\alpha| = \left[\frac{1}{I_{qn}^\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (25)$$

It is easy to observe that for the ground state ,

$$|N_{q0}^\alpha| = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{E_{q0}^\alpha}}{\Gamma(-2q\alpha + 2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (26)$$

and for the first excited state,

$$|N_{q1}^\alpha| = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{E_{q1}^\alpha}}{(-2q\alpha + 3) \Gamma(-2q\alpha + 2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (27)$$

V. Conclusions and discussions

The \mathcal{PT} -invariant one-dimensional Coulomb-like potential $\frac{\beta}{|x-ic|}$, together with a centrifugal core $\frac{\alpha^2-1/4}{(x-ic)^2}$, has been solved exactly at a real coupling constant β . In this respect the present analysis is different from the imaginary coupling regularization of ref. [15]. The shift c avoids the singularity from the integration path. In the limit $c \rightarrow 0$, the Hamiltonian reduces to that of the conventional Coulomb potential, and the quasi-parity degenerates to the ordinary parity.

It is observed that, analogous to the \mathcal{PT} -invariant harmonic oscillator [14], the eigenfunctions as well as the eigenenergies are numbered by a quasi-parity $q = \pm 1$, and the spectrum is found to be real and bounded. Thus the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric version gives rise to a second set of bound states. Moreover, these energies are found to depend on the value of α . $\alpha = 0$ is not allowed as the quasi-parity no longer exists in this case. Normalization of the wave functions applying the modified normalization for \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, restricts the value $q\alpha < 1$, which for $q = \pm 1$, implies $0 < \alpha < 1$. While $q = -1$ reproduces the ordinary three-dimensional Coulomb energies, viz.,

$$E_{n-}^\alpha = -\frac{\beta^2}{(2n + 2\alpha + 1)^2} \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (28)$$

$q = +1$ sector further enriches the spectrum. For this particular case, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ is not allowed for $n = 0$, as then the energy disappears from the system. Therefore,

$$E_{n+}^\alpha = -\frac{\beta^2}{(2n - 2\alpha + 1)^2} \quad , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (29)$$

In a way this may be compared with supersymmetric quantum mechanics, where the partner potentials are isospectral, with the possible exception of the ground state.

To conclude, the analysis in the present work is different from the imaginary coupling regularization in ref. [15], where one encounters unavoidable level crossings at all positive integral and half-integral values of α . Moreover, for $q = +1$, there are a plethora of *flown-away* energies at $\alpha = n + \frac{1}{2}$. However, it is shown in this study that α is restricted to lie in the range $0 < \alpha < 1$, and hence such phenomena are not observed here. The single flown-away energy at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ for $q = +1$ can be avoided if one restricts n to $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Prof. B. Bagchi for some enlightening discussions on the topic. One of the authors (A.S.) acknowledges financial assistance from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India.

References

1. D. Basis, unpublished (1992).
2. C. M. Bender & S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** 5243 (1998), J. Phys. A **31** L273 (1998).
3. C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher & P. N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. **40** 2201 (1999).
4. A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43** 205 (2002), **43** 2814 (2002).
5. Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A : **282** 343 (2001), **290** 19 (2001), **294** 287 (2002).
6. T. V. Fityo, arXiv : quant-ph/0204029.
7. Chun-Sheng Jia, Pi-Yuan Lin & Liang-Tian Sun, Phys. Lett. A *In press* (2002).
8. G. Lévai & M. Znojil, arXiv : quant-ph / 0206013, J. Phys. A **33** 7165 (2000).
9. F. Cannata, G. Junker & J. Trost, Phys. Lett. A **246** 219 (1998).
10. B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **33** L1 (2000).
11. G. Lévai, F. Cannata and A. Ventura, arXiv : quant-ph / 0206032.
12. M. Znojil, J. Phys. A **33** 4561 (2000), J. Phys. A **35** 2341 (2002), Phys. Lett. A **285** 7 (2001).
13. A. Sinha & R. Roychoudhury, Phys. Lett. A *In press* (2002), *and references therein*.
14. M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A **259** 220 (1999).
15. M. Znojil and G. Lévai, Phys. Lett. A **271** 327 (2000).
16. B. Bagchi, C. Quesne, & M. Znojil, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16** 2047 (2001).
17. G. S. Japaridze, J. Phys. A **35** 1709 (2002).
18. I. S. Gradshteyn & I. M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products, AP, New York, (1980).