

# On the possible types of equations for zero-mass particles

published in *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, 1973, **7**, N 11, P. 439–442.

*Wilhelm I. FUSHCHYCH and Anatoly G. NIKITIN*

*Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,  
3 Tereshchenkivska Street, 01601 Kyiv-4, UKRAINE*

<http://www.imath.kiev.ua/~appmath/wif.html>

<http://www.imath.kiev.ua/~nikitin>

E-mails: [symmetry@imath.kiev.ua](mailto:symmetry@imath.kiev.ua), [nikitin@imath.kiev.ua](mailto:nikitin@imath.kiev.ua)

A number of papers dedicated to the description of free particles and antiparticles with zero mass and spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  has recently appeared [1–6].

A great many equations with different  $C$ ,  $P$ ,  $T$  properties have been proposed and the impression could be formed that there are many nonequivalent theories for zero-mass particles. The purpose of this paper is to show that it is not the case and to describe all nonequivalent equations.

1. First we shall formulate the result [1] obtained for a particle of spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  in such a form that all principal assertions will be valid for massless particles of arbitrary spin. It has been shown [1] that for a particle of spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  three types of nonequivalent two-component Poincaré-invariant equations exist. These three of equations are equivalent to the Dirac equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \mathcal{H} \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{H} = \gamma_0 \gamma_a p_a, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, \quad (1)$$

with one out of three (actually, one out of six) subsidiary conditions imposed on a wave function

$$P_1^+ \Psi = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P_1^- \Psi = 0, \quad P_1^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\gamma_4), \quad \gamma_4 = -\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3, \quad (2)$$

$$P_2^+ \Psi = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P_2^- \Psi = 0, \quad P_2^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\gamma_4 \hat{\varepsilon}), \quad \hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{E}, \quad (3)$$

$$P_3^+ \Psi = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P_3^- \Psi = 0, \quad P_3^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \hat{\varepsilon}), \quad E = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2}. \quad (4)$$

Conditions (2)–(4) are Poincaré invariant since the projection operators  $P_a^\pm$  commute with the generators of the Poincaré group  $P(1, 3)$

$$P_0 = \mathcal{H} = \gamma_0 \gamma_a p_a, \quad P_a = p_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}, \quad J_{0a} = t p_a - \frac{1}{2}(x_a P_0 + P_0 x_a), \quad (5)$$

$$J_{ab} = x_a p_b - x_b p_a + S_{ab}, \quad S_{ab} = \frac{i}{4}(\gamma_a \gamma_b - \gamma_b \gamma_a).$$

It should be emphasized that only the operator  $P_1^\pm$  is local in co-ordinate space. If we introduce the four-component (as a matter of fact, two-component) modes

$$\chi_a^\pm = P_a^\pm \Psi, \quad (6)$$

equations (1) with subsidiary conditions (2)–(4) can be written in the form

$$i \frac{\partial \chi_a^\pm}{\partial t} = (\gamma_0 \gamma_b p_b \pm \varkappa_a \gamma_0 P_a^\mp) \chi_a^\pm, \quad (7)$$

where  $\varkappa_a$  are arbitrary constants. The wave functions  $\chi_a^\pm$  satisfy conditions (2)–(4) automatically. One of the equations (7), namely the equation for  $\chi_1^+$  (or  $\chi_1^-$ ), is equivalent, as is well known, to the two-component Weyl equation. Subsidiary conditions (2)–(4) have been generalized in [7] to massless particles of arbitrary spin starting from the  $2(2s + 1)$ -component equation.

These results are almost evident from the group-theoretical point of view. Indeed, on the set  $\{\Psi\}$  of solutions of the equation (1) the following direct sum of irreducible representations of the group  $P(1, 3)$  is realized:

$$D^+(\lambda = 1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = -1) \oplus D^+(\lambda = -1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = 1), \quad (8)$$

where  $D^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  is the one-dimensional irreducible representation of the  $P(1, 3)$  group characterized by the eigenvalue  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  of the sign energy operator  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  and by the eigenvalue  $\lambda = \pm 1$  of the helicity operator

$$\hat{\Lambda} = 2 \frac{J_{12} P_3 + J_{23} P_1 + J_{01} P_2}{E} = i \gamma_4 \hat{\varepsilon}. \quad (9)$$

Two-dimensional subspaces of representations

$$D^+(\lambda = 1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = -1) \quad \text{or} \quad D^+(\lambda = -1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = 1), \quad (10)$$

$$D^+(\lambda = 1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = 1) \quad \text{or} \quad D^+(\lambda = -1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = -1), \quad (11)$$

$$D^+(\lambda = 1) \oplus D^+(\lambda = -1) \quad \text{or} \quad D^-(\lambda = 1) \oplus D^-(\lambda = -1), \quad (12)$$

are selected by subsidiary conditions (2)–(4) from  $\{\Psi\}$  in a Poincaré-invariant manner.

The operators  $P$ ,  $T$ ,  $C$  (their definitions see e.g. in [8]) and  $\hat{\Lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  satisfy the relations

$$[P^{(1)}, \hat{\Lambda}]_+ = [P^{(1)}, \hat{\varepsilon}]_- = [T^{(2)}, \hat{\Lambda}]_- = [T^{(2)}, \hat{\varepsilon}]_- = [C, \hat{\Lambda}]_- = [C, \hat{\varepsilon}]_+ = 0. \quad (13)$$

Taking into account (13) one obtains the relations

$$P^{(1)} P_j^\pm = P_j^\mp P^{(1)}, \quad P^{(1)} P_3^\pm = P_3^\pm P^{(1)}, \quad T^{(2)} P_a^\pm = P_a^\pm T^{(2)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (14)$$

$$C P_1^\pm = P_1^\mp C, \quad C P_2^\pm = P_2^\pm C, \quad C P_3^\pm = P_3^\pm C. \quad (15)$$

From (14), (16) it follows that

- 1) the system of equations (1), (2) is  $T^{(2)}$ ,  $P^{(1)}$ ,  $C$ -invariant but  $P^{(1)}$ ,  $C$ -noninvariant,
- 2) the system of equations (1), (3) is  $T^{(2)}$ ,  $C$ -invariant but  $P^{(1)}$ -noninvariant,
- 3) the system of equations (1), (4) is  $T^{(2)}$ ,  $P^{(1)}$ -invariant but  $C$ -noninvariant.

To obtain these result we have used only the relations (13) which are valid for massless particles of arbitrary spin. The above discussion is followed by tins conclusion: *if the particle (and antiparticle) of zero mass is characterized by helicity and by the sign of energy only (without additional quantum numbers) three and only three types of two-component Poincaré-invariant essentially different (in respect to  $C, P, T$  proprietis) equations exist.* It is interesting to note that the hypothesis of Lee and Yang and Landau on  $CP$ -parity conservation is not valid for the equations (1), (3); (1), (4). Moreover the system of equations (1), (3) is  $CP^{(1)}T^{(2)}$ - and  $CP^{(1)}T^{(1)}$ -noninvariant.

**Note 1.** Equation (1) with subsidiary conditions

$$P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{\varepsilon'} \Psi = 0, \quad \varepsilon, \varepsilon' = \pm 1, \quad (16)$$

$$P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{\varepsilon'} \Psi = \Psi, \quad (17)$$

is equivalent to three- and one-component equations

$$(\gamma_\mu p_\mu + \bar{\varkappa}_0 P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{\varepsilon'}) \varphi^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} = 0, \quad \varphi^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{\varepsilon'}) \Psi, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (18)$$

$$(\gamma_\mu P_\mu + \bar{\varkappa}_1 P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{-\varepsilon'} + \bar{\varkappa}_2 P_2^{-\varepsilon} P_3^{\varepsilon'} + \varkappa_3 P_2^{-\varepsilon} P_3^{-\varepsilon'}) \bar{\varphi}^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} = 0, \quad \bar{\varphi}^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} = P_2^\varepsilon P_3^{\varepsilon'} \Psi, \quad (19)$$

respectively, where  $\bar{\varkappa}_\mu$  are arbitrary constants. It is not difficult to calculate that there are fifteen equations (2)–(4), (16), (17) exhausting all possible nonequivalent Poincaré-invariant subsidiary conditions which can be imposed on  $\{\Psi\}$ .

**Note 2.** If a zero-mass particle is characterized by two (but not by one) quantum numbers, there exist more than three types of nonequivalent two-component equations. Theoretically such a possibility exists due to commutativity of Dirac's Hamiltonian for a particle of spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $SO_4 \sim SU_2 \otimes SU_2$  algebra. It means that besides the mass two conserved quantum numbers  $s$  and  $\tau$  exist. For the zero-mass case the eigenvalues of helicity-type operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}_1 &= \frac{S_a p_a}{p}, & \hat{\Lambda}_2 &= \frac{\tau_a p_a}{p}, \\ S_a &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{abc} S_{bc} + S_{4a} \right), & \tau_a &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{abc} S_{bc} - S_{4a} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

are conserved. If the massless particle is characterized by cigenvalues of operators (20), the number of theoretically possible equations increases. This follows from the fact that the two-dimensional irreducible representation of the group  $P(1, 3)$  for  $m \neq 0$  is reduced in the case  $m = 0$  to the following direct sum of one-dimensional irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\pm \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) &\rightarrow D^\pm \left( 0, +\frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus D^\pm \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right), \\ D^\pm \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) &\rightarrow D^\pm \left( +\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \oplus D^\pm \left( -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We shall not analyze all possible equations in this case (it is difficult to do this using the results of paper [8]) because it is not clear from the physical point of view how one can distinguish, say, the representations  $D^\pm \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right)$  and  $D^\pm \left( -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)$ .

2. Let us now show that four- and two-component equations obtained in [4, 5] are isometrically equivalent to the Dirac equation (1) and to the Weyl equation.

Consider the four-component equation of the type [4]

$$i \frac{\partial \Phi(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \mathcal{H}_\Phi \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\alpha_a p_a + \Lambda) \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \alpha_a = \gamma_a \gamma_a, \quad (22)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is an operator satisfying the condition

$$\alpha_a p_a \Lambda = -\Lambda \alpha_a p_a, \quad \Lambda^2 = 0. \quad (23)$$

Equation (22) can be obtained from (1) with the help of the isometric transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Phi = V_1 \Psi, \quad \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Phi = V_1 \mathcal{H} V_1^{-1}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$V_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_a p_a}{E^2} \Lambda, \quad V_1^{-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_a p_a}{E^2} \Lambda. \quad (25)$$

The Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi$  is Hermitian in respect of the following scalar product:

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \Phi_1^\dagger(t, \mathbf{x}) (V_1^{-1})^\dagger V_1^{-1} \Phi_2(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (26)$$

To draw the correct conclusion about the  $C$ ,  $P$ ,  $T$  properties equation (22) it is necessary to write the algebra (5) in the  $\Phi$ -representation. We shall not do this here. We shall remark only that due to the invariance of equation (1) under  $P^{(1)}$ ,  $T^{(2)}$ ,  $C$  transformations equation (22) is invariant with respect to the transformations

$$P_\Phi^{(1)} = V_1 P^{(1)} V_1^{-1}, \quad C_\Phi = V_1 C V_1^{-1}, \quad T_\Phi^{(2)} = V_1 T^{(2)} V_1^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

One can show in an analogous manner that the two-component equation of the type [5]

$$i \frac{\partial \chi(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = (\sigma_a p_a + B) \chi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (28)$$

$$B \sigma_a p_a = -\sigma_a p_a B, \quad B^2 = 0,$$

can be obtained from the Weyl equation with the help of the operator

$$V_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_a p_a}{E^2} B, \quad V_2^{-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_a p_a}{E^2} B. \quad (29)$$

1. Fushchych W.I., *Nucl. Phys. B*, 1970, **21**, 321, [quant-ph/0206077](#);  
Fushchych W.I., *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1971, **9**, 91 (in Russian).
2. Fushchych W.I., Grishchenko A.L., *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 1970, **4**, 927, [quant-ph/0206078](#).
3. Simon M.T., *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 1971, **2**, 616.
4. Santhanam T.S., Tekumalla A.R., *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 1972, **3**, 190.
5. Tekumalla A.R., Santhanam T.S., *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 1973, **6**, 99.
6. Seetharaman T.S., Simon M.T., Mathews P.M., *Nuovo Cimento A*, 1972, **12**, 788.
7. Fushchych W.I., Grishchenko A.L., Nikitin A.G., *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1971, **8**, 192 (in Russian).
8. Fushchych W.I., *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 1973, **6**, 133, [quant-ph/0206105](#).