

# Scheme for preparation of W class states based on the interaction between optical beams and atomic ensembles

Peng Xue\*, and Guang-Can Guo†

Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China

We describe an experimental scheme of preparing multipartite W class of maximally entangled states. The scheme is based on laser manipulation of atomic ensembles and single-photon detection, and well fits the status of the current experimental technology. In addition, we show one of the applications of the kind of W class states, teleporting an unknown state to two distant parties with the same probability.

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a, 42.50.Gy, 42.50.-p

Quantum entanglement is one of the most striking features of quantum mechanics. The recent surge of interest and progress in quantum information theory allows one to take a more positive view of entanglement and regard it as an essential resource for many ingenious applications such as quantum computation [1–3], quantum teleportation [4,5], superdense coding [6], and quantum cryptography [7–9]. The technology of generation and manipulation of bipartite entangled states has been realized in some systems [10–13]. Recently, there has been much interest in using quantum resource to get more and more subsystems entangled [14–17] for more useful applications [18,19]. In most of the above schemes, the subsystems are taken as single-particle. Remarkably, Duan *et al.* have proposed some schemes [20–22] for preparation of entanglement which use atomic ensembles with a large number of identical atoms as the basic system. For example, one can use atomic ensembles for generation of substantial spin squeezing [23] and continuous variable entanglement [20,24], and for efficient preparation of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) [21] and Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) type of maximally entangled states [22]. The schemes have some special advantages compared with other quantum information schemes based on the control of single particles [25]. However, there is not any scheme for experimental realization of W class states in this system.

In this report, we describe an experimental scheme of preparing multipartite W class of maximally entangled states. The scheme involves laser manipulation of atomic ensembles, beam splitters, and single-photon detection, and well fits the status of the current experimental technology. In addition, we show one of the applications of the kind of W class states, teleporting an unknown state

to two distant parties with the same probability.

Let us have a look at the generalized form  $|W_M\rangle$  of the W class state in multi-qubit systems. In Ref. [26], the state is defined as

$$|W_M\rangle = (1/\sqrt{M}) |M-1, 1\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $|M-1, 1\rangle$  denotes the totally symmetric state including  $M-1$  zeros and 1 ones. For example, we obtain  $M=3$ ,

$$|W_3\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3}) (|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle). \quad (2)$$

The basic element of this scheme is an ensemble of many identical alkali atoms with a Raman type  $\Lambda$ -level configuration showed as Fig. 1, whose experimental realization can be either a room-temperature dilute atomic gas [24,27] and a sample of cold trapped atoms [28,29]. We continue to use the symbols and corresponding definitions shown in Ref. [21,22]. The collective atomic operator is defined as

$$s = (1/N_a) \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} |g\rangle_i \langle s|, \quad (3)$$

where  $N_a \gg 1$  is the total atom number. The Raman transition  $|g\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$  is coupled by the classical laser and the forward scattering Stokes light comes from the transition  $|e\rangle \rightarrow |s\rangle$  [21]. The atoms in the ensembles are initially prepared to the ground state  $|g\rangle$  through optical pumping. The scheme for preparation of W class of entangled states works in the following way (seeing Fig 2):

1 The first step is to share an EPR type of entangled state between two distant ensembles 1 and 2 using the scheme shown in Ref. [21]. The ensembles are illuminated by the synchronized classical laser pulses. There are two pulses with the Rabi frequencies  $\Omega$  and  $\omega$ , respectively, which correspond to the pumping and repumping process. Here two pumping laser pulses excite both ensembles simultaneously and with probability  $p_c$  the projected state of the ensembles 1 and 2 is an EPR state with the form

$$|\psi\rangle_{12} = (s_1^+ + e^{i\phi_{12}} s_2^+) / \sqrt{2} |vac\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where  $\phi_{12} = \phi_2 - \phi_1$  is a difference of the phase shift which is fixed by the optical channel connecting the two

\*Email address: xuepeng@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†Email address: gcguo@ustc.edu.cn

ensembles, and  $|vac\rangle$  denotes that both ensembles are in the ground state  $|g\rangle$ .

2 We then connect the other two distant ensembles 2 and 3. Since the ensemble 3 is prepared to the ground state  $|g\rangle$ , the whole system is described by the state  $|\psi\rangle_{12} \otimes |vac\rangle_3$ . Here two pumping pulses excite both ensembles simultaneously and the forward scattered Stokes light from both ensembles is combined at the beam splitter (BS) after some filters which filter out the pumping laser pulses with the outputs detected by the two single-photon detectors D1 and D2, respectively. If one photon is detected by either of the detectors, we obtain the state

$$|\psi\rangle_{123} = (s_2^+ + e^{i\phi_{23}} s_3^+) / \sqrt{2} |\psi\rangle_{12} \otimes |vac\rangle_3. \quad (5)$$

Otherwise, we need to manipulate repumping pulses to the transition  $|s\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$  on the three ensembles and set them back to the ground state. Then, we repeat the steps 1 and 2 until finally we obtain a click in either of the two detectors.

3 A repumping laser pulse with the frequency  $\omega$  is applied to ensemble 2. If one excitation is registered from it, we succeed and go on with the next step. Otherwise, we need to repeat the above steps until we get the three ensembles in the W class of maximally entangled state  $|W\rangle_{123}$  successfully.

$$|W\rangle_{123} = s_2 (s_2^+ + e^{i\phi_{23}} s_3^+) (s_1^+ + e^{i\phi_{12}} s_2^+) / \sqrt{3} |vac\rangle_{123}. \quad (6)$$

4 Similarly, to entangle  $n$  ensembles in the W class state, we connect the ensembles  $i$  and  $i+1$  orderly, and apply a repumping pulse to ensemble  $i$  ( $i$  from 2 to  $n-1$ ). It needs to repeat the steps 2 and 3 for  $n-1$  times to obtain the  $n$ -party W class of maximally entangled state

$$|W\rangle_{1\dots n} = (1/\sqrt{n}) \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} s_j \otimes \prod_{k=1}^n (s_k^+ + e^{i\phi_{k,k+1}} s_{k+1}^+) |vac\rangle_{1\dots n} \quad (7)$$

The difference of phase shift  $\phi_{k,k+1}$  is fixed by the possible asymmetry of the setup and in principle can be measured. So we can put some suitable phase shifters with relative phase shift to counteract it.

Now, we consider the efficiency of this scheme, which is usually described by the total generation time. Since the probability for getting a click of either of two detectors is given by  $p_c$ , we entangle  $n$  ensembles in the W class state with the probability  $(p_c)^{n-1}$ . In the generation process, the dominant noise is the photon loss, which includes the contributions from the channel attenuation, the spontaneous emissions in the atomic ensembles, the coupling inefficiency of Stokes light into and out of the channel, and the inefficiency of the single-photon detectors which can no perfectly distinguish between one and two photons. All the above noise is described by an overall loss

probability  $\eta$ . Due to the noise, the total generation time is represented by  $T \sim t_0 / [(1-\eta)^n p_c^{n-1}]$ , where  $t_0$  is the light-atom interaction time. And the generation time increases with the number of ensembles exponentially by the factor  $1/[(1-\eta)p_c]$ .

Also with the noise, the state of the ensemble is actually described by

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{c_n + 1} (c_n \rho_{vac} + |W\rangle_{1\dots n} \langle W|), \quad (8)$$

where the vacuum coefficient  $c_n$  is determined by the dark count rates of the detectors, and  $\rho_{vac}$  stands for the vacuum component with no excitation in the ensembles  $n-1$  and  $n$ .

Now we would like to use this W class state in one of the communication protocols. Imagine that we need to spread an unknown state to more than one parties.

Suppose there are three parties, the sender Alice, the receivers Bob and Carol. Alice entangles the ensembles 1, 2 and 3 (4, 5 and 6) in the W class state  $|W\rangle_{123}$  ( $|W\rangle_{456}$ ). The pair of ensembles  $i$  and  $i+3$  ( $i$  from 1 to 3) are put in the same place so that the ensembles 1, 2, 3 and 4, 5, 6 can be connected through the same optical channel, which fixed the phase shifts to be the same. So the states  $|W\rangle_{123}$  and  $|W\rangle_{456}$  can be shown using Eq. (6), where  $\phi_{23} = \phi_{56}$  and  $\phi_{12} = \phi_{45}$ . The ensembles 2 and 5 are sent to Bob, 3 and 6 to Carol, 1 and 4 are left for herself.

Alice wants to teleport an atomic ‘‘polarization’’ state  $|\varphi\rangle_{un} = (\alpha s_L^+ + \beta s_R^+) |vac\rangle$  [21], with unknown coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then she connects the ensembles  $L$  and 1,  $R$  and 4 by manipulating the repumping laser pulses with frequency  $\omega$  on them synchronistically (shown in Fig 3). If the ensemble is in the metastable state after the repumping pulse, the transition  $|e\rangle \rightarrow |s\rangle$  will occur *determinately*. The forward-scattering Stokes pulses are interfered at the beam splitters after the filters. If Alice get two clicks, one in D1 or D2, and the other in D3 or D4, the process is finished and the state of the ensembles of Bob and Carol is shown as

$$[e^{i\phi_{13}} (\alpha s_3^+ + \beta s_6^+) + e^{i\phi_{12}} (\alpha s_2^+ + \beta s_5^+)] |vac\rangle_{2356}. \quad (9)$$

Otherwise, they should prepare the W class states and repeat the above steps until there are two correct clicks. Thus the unknown state is teleported to the two receivers with the same probability and similar to the scheme shown in Ref. [21], the teleportation fidelity would be nearly perfect.

It may be worth mentioning that if Bob and Carol perform a measurement, then one of them can recover the state with unit fidelity in a probabilistic manner. For example, Carol measures her ensembles 3 and 6 using two repumping laser pulses (seeing Fig 3 for details), and the Stokes pulses are collected by the detectors D5 and D6. If she obtains the original state  $|\varphi\rangle_{un}$ , there will be one click in either of the two detectors. Else, one excitation

is registered from each ensembles, the original state is obtained by the other receiver Bob.

Finally, we have a brief conclusion. In this report, we describe an experimental scheme of entangling many atomic ensembles in the W class of maximally entangled states through laser manipulation. This protocol fits well the status of the current experimental technology. In addition, we show one of the applications of the kind of W class states, teleporting an unknown state to two distant parties with the same probability.

This work was funded by National Fundamental Research Program (2001CB309300), National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Innovation funds from Chinese Academy of Sciences, and also by the outstanding Ph. D thesis award and the CAS's talented scientist award entitled to Luming Duan.

---

[1] P. W. Shor, in *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science*, p. 124-133 (IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, California, 1984).

[2] L. K. Grover, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 325 (1997).

[3] A. K. Ekert and R. Jozsa, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 733 (1996).

[4] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[5] D. Bouwmeester *et al.*, Nature (London) **390**, 575 (1997).

[6] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).

[7] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).

[8] P. Xue, C.-F. Li, and G.-C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A, **64**, 032305 (2001).

[9] P. Xue, C.-F. Li, and G.-C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A, **65**, 034302 (2002).

[10] P. G. Kwiat *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4337 (1995).

[11] P. G. Kwiat, E. Waks, A. G. White, I. Appelbaum and P. H. Eberhard, Phys. Rev. A **60**, R773 (1999).

[12] A. G. White, D. F. V. James, P. H. Eberhard and P. G. Kwiat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3103 (1999).

[13] E. Hagley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1 (1997); Q. A. Turchette *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3631 (1998).

[14] D. Bouwmeester *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999).

[15] A. Rauschenbeutel *et al.*, Science **288**, 2024 (2000).

[16] C. A. Sackett *et al.*, Nature (London) **404**, 256 (2000).

[17] J. W. Pan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4435 (2001).

[18] D. Gottesman, and I. L. Chuang, Nature (London) **402**, 390 (1999).

[19] M. A. Nielsen, and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, (Cambridge University Press, UK, 2000).

[20] L.-M. Duan, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5643 (2000).

[21] L.-M. Duan, M. D. Lukin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Nature (London) **414**, 413 (2001).

[22] L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 170402 (2002).

[23] A. Kuzmich, N. P. Bigelow, and L. Mandel, Europhys. Lett. A **42** 481 (1998); J. Hald, J. L. Sorensen, C. Schori, and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1319 (1999); A. Kuzmich, L. Mandel, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1594 (2000).

[24] B. Julsgaard, A. Kozhekin, and E. S. Polzik, Nature (London) **413**, 400 (2001).

[25] L.-M. Duan, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Preprint *quant-ph/0205005*.

[26] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).

[27] D. F. Phillips *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 783 (2001).

[28] C. Liu, Z. Dutton, C. H. Behroozi, and L. V. Hau, Nature (London) **409**, 490 (2001).

[29] J. F. Roch *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 634 (1997).

Figure captions:

**Figure 1.** The relevant type A-level structure of the alkali atoms in the ensembles. A pair of metastable lower states  $|g\rangle$  and  $|s\rangle$  can be achieved, for example, in hyperfine or Zeeman sublevels of electronic ground states. and  $|e\rangle$  is the excited state.

**Figure 2.** Schematic setup for entangling three ensembles 1, 2 and 3 in the W class state. The two ensembles 1 and 2 are in the EPR state  $|\psi\rangle_{12}$ , and the ensemble 3 is prepared in the ground state  $|g\rangle$ . The ensembles 2 and 3 are illuminated by the synchronized pumping laser pulses and the forward-scattering Stokes pulses are collected after the filters. If there is a click in D1 or D2, we open the shutter and apply a repumping laser pulse to ensemble 2. The dash line represents the pumping laser pulses with the frequency  $\Omega$  and the solid line represents the Stokes pulses with the frequency  $\omega$ .

**Figure 3.** Schematic setup for the realization of quantum teleportation using the W class states. The two ensembles  $L$  and  $R$  are in an unknown state  $|\varphi\rangle_{un}$ , and the ensembles 1, 2 and 3 (4, 5 and 6) are prepared in the W class state  $|W\rangle$ . The solid line represents the repumping laser pulses with the frequency  $\omega$  and the dash line represents the Stokes pulses with the frequency  $\Omega$ .