

Quantum time-of-flight measurements: kick clock versus continuous clock.

Daniel Alonso

*Departamento de Física Fundamental y Experimental,
Electrónica y Sistemas. Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

R. Sala Mayato

Departamento de Física Fundamental II. Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

J. G. Muga

Departamento de Química-Física, Universidad del País Vasco, Apdo. 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

The measurement of time durations or instants of occurrence of events has been frequently modelled “operationally” by coupling the system of interest to a “clock”. According to several of these models the operational approach is limited at low energies because the perturbation of the clock does not allow to reproduce accurately the corresponding ideal time quantity, defined for the system in isolation. We show that, for a time-of-flight measurement model that can be set to measure dwell or arrival times, these limitations may be overcome by extending the range of energies where the clock works properly using pulsed couplings rather than continuous ones.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Xp, 03.65.Ta, 03.65.-w

In the standard formulation of quantum mechanics the measurements are assumed to take place at one instant of time. Correspondingly, the expectation values are calculated for particular instants. Within this theoretical scheme it is not obvious how to obtain averages or, more generally, distributions for durations of processes, or for the instants when a particular event occurs [1, 2]. The approaches to formalize time observables may be classified in two groups: those which rely on the system of interest alone to produce “ideal quantities”; and “operational approaches” where the system of interest is coupled to an auxiliary system or additional degree(s) of freedom which act as a clock or stopwatch. The coupling and auxiliary system may be more or less realistic, but even simple “toy models” have been useful to gain insight into the peculiarities of time measurements. Several of these models show the limitations of the operational route to reproduce accurately either the corresponding ideal time quantity, or the reference of the classical time for low particle energies, due to the perturbation induced by the clock [3, 4, 5]. In this letter we show that these limitations may be overcome by extending the range of energies where the clock works properly using pulsed couplings rather than continuous ones. Our study case is the time-of-flight gedanken experiment put forward by Peres [3], based on the Salecker-Wigner clock [6]. With a minor modification this is essentially the same model used in [5] for a time-of-arrival measurement.

Consider first the clock in isolation, described by the Hamiltonian [6] $\hat{H}_c = \omega \hat{J} = -i\hbar\omega\partial_\theta$, where $\hat{J} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta$, ω is the angular frequency and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the angle. This Hamiltonian has normalized eigenfunctions

$$u_n(\theta) = \exp(in\theta)/(2\pi)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where n is any integer. Let us choose now the following

initial state,

$$v(\theta, t = 0) = \sum_n u_n(\theta)/\sqrt{N}, \quad (2)$$

where $-j \leq n \leq j$ and $N \equiv 2j + 1$. $v(\theta, t = 0)$ is peaked at $\theta = 0$ with an “angle uncertainty” $2\pi/N$ (This is defined as the angle necessary to obtain an orthogonal state by rigid displacement, see also Eq. (5) and the discussion below.) Since the evolution of this function with $\exp(-i\hat{H}_c t/\hbar)$ during a time t is simply a rigid displacement along θ by ωt ,

$$v(\theta, t) = v_0(\theta - \omega t, 0), \quad (3)$$

the state acts as the hand of the clock. Between 0 and 2π its peak indicates the time (between $t = 0$ and $t = 2\pi/\omega$) as $t = \theta_{peak}/\omega$. Alternatively,

$$t = \langle \hat{\theta} \rangle / \omega. \quad (4)$$

A single measurement of θ does not provide $\langle \hat{\theta} \rangle$ or θ_{peak} because of the hand width, so it is useful to define

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{N\omega} \quad (5)$$

as the time resolution of the clock for a given hand and frequency ω . This is the time required to clearly separate two successive hand positions; more precisely, it is the time required to make two states orthogonal. Note that, at variance with ref. [3], we do not restrict the Hilbert space of the possible clock states by a finite basis set, so that θ or functions of θ may be considered observables here. A consequence is that there is no need to introduce a discretized clock time operator whose average provides the correct time only at multiples of τ , nor there is any

need to perform a calibration to improve its performance [7, 8]. Eq. (4) provides the correct parametric time t at all times, modulo $2\pi/\omega$.

Let us next consider a particle of mass m moving freely in one dimension with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_s = \hat{p}_x^2/2m$. In a certain region of length d the particle is coupled to the clock as follows [3, 7, 8, 9, 10],

$$\hat{H} = \hat{p}_x^2/2m + \chi_d(\hat{x})\omega\hat{J} = \hat{p}_x^2/2m - i\omega\hbar\chi_d(\hat{x})\partial/\partial\theta, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\chi_d(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, d] \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

is the characteristic function indicating that the clock runs only when the particle ‘‘is’’ within the interval $[0, d]$.

Noting that \hat{H} commutes with \hat{J} , the time dependent wave function with initial state $\psi(x)v(\theta, t=0)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \sum_n \psi_n(x, t) e^{-in\omega t/\hbar} u_n(\theta), \quad (8)$$

where $\psi_n(x, t)$ is the (partial) wave that evolves from $\psi(x)$ with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_s + \hat{V}_n = \hat{p}_x^2/2m + n\hbar\omega\chi_d(\hat{x}), \quad (9)$$

which represents a particle that collides with a rectangular barrier (well) of height (depth) $V_n = n\hbar\omega$ and width d . For an incident particle with energy $E = p_x^2/2m$ and wavenumber $k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$, the wavenumber inside the barrier is $k' = \sqrt{2m(E - V_n)}/\hbar$ so that the phase shift caused by the barrier is approximately given by

$$(k' - k)d \sim -n\omega t_f, \quad (10)$$

where $t_f = d/(2E/m)^{1/2}$ is the classical time of flight. The right hand side in Eq. (10) is a good approximation if $E \gg |V_n|$, which means that the disturbance caused by the measurement is negligible. If the incident wave packet is very much peaked (in wave number) around

$$\psi_i(x, \theta) = e^{ikx} v_0(\theta) = e^{ikx} \sum_{n=-j}^j u_n(\theta) / \sqrt{2j+1}, \quad (11)$$

the outgoing one after the barrier for the particle plus the clock system will be very much peaked around

$$\psi_f(x, \theta) \sim e^{ikx} v(\theta - \omega t_f(k)), \quad (12)$$

so that the hand points at the expected classical time-of-flight through the region of interest. In the clock, $|V_n|$ can be as large as $j\hbar\omega \sim \pi\hbar/\tau$ so that the condition of negligible disturbance is given by [3, 4]

$$\tau \gg \frac{\pi\hbar}{E} \quad \text{or} \quad E \gg \frac{\pi\hbar}{\tau}. \quad (13)$$

The same result may be obtained by imposing that the transmission probability should be close to one [5].

Eq. (13) imposes a lower limit on the time resolution of the clock. Equivalently, it imposes a lower bound on the incident energy of the particle such that a clock with resolution τ can be considered as a small disturbance to the incident particle during the measuring process. It is worth noticing that this limitation affects equally the measurement of dwell times in the region of length d [3], or arrival times at $x = d$ [5], which are obtained respectively by locating the initial wave packet outside or inside the selected interval $[0, d]$.

Let us now work out a pulsed version of the particle-clock system to avoid the excessive disturbance of the continuous clock. The simplest realization of a pulsed interaction is a succession of instantaneous kicks separated by a time T . (For examples of kicked systems see [11, 12, 13, 14]. An experimental realization of a *kicked rotor* can be found in [15]. We refer the interested reader to [11] for further details.) The Hamiltonian for the kicked Peres-Salecker-Wigner clock is [10]

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{p}_x^2}{2m} + \mathsf{T}\delta_{\mathsf{T}}(t)\omega\chi_d(\hat{x})\hat{J}, \quad (14)$$

where we have defined $\delta_{\mathsf{T}}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(n\mathsf{T} - t)$, and the evolution operator of the kicked clock right before two kicks is

$$\hat{U}^{\mathsf{T}} = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathsf{T}\hat{p}_x^2/2m} e^{-\mathsf{T}\omega\chi_d(x)\partial/\partial\theta} = \hat{U}_s^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{U}_{c-s}^{\mathsf{T}}. \quad (15)$$

If $\mathsf{T} \rightarrow 0$, the infinitesimal evolution operator, $\hat{U}^{\mathsf{T} \rightarrow 0}$, of the kicked system coincides with the infinitesimal evolution operator of the continuous-coupling clock up to $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{T}^2[\hat{H}_s, \hat{H}_{c-s}])$, which goes to zero with T . The difference between the pulsed and continuous-coupling clocks will therefore be seen for larger values of T . These larger values may also avoid an excessive perturbation, but the time interval between kicks cannot be arbitrarily large. If we want to extract a characteristic time scale of the particle, T must be smaller than the time scale we want to measure.

We shall next show that the kicked clock can be successfully used for energies which are smaller than $\pi\hbar/\tau$. Note first that

$$\hat{U}_{c-s}^{\mathsf{T}} u_n(\theta) = \exp(-i\mathsf{T}\omega n) u_n(\theta). \quad (16)$$

If the phase, $\mathsf{T}\omega n$, is written as

$$\mathsf{T}\omega n = 2\pi s + \nu_n, \quad s \in \mathcal{N}, \quad \nu_n \in \mathcal{R} \quad (17)$$

then

$$\hbar\omega n = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathsf{T}} s + \frac{\hbar}{\mathsf{T}} \nu_n, \quad (18)$$

and Eq. (16) takes the form

$$\hat{U}_{c-s}^{\mathsf{T}} u_n(\theta) = \exp(-i\nu_n) u_n(\theta). \quad (19)$$

For the different energies of the clock, $\hbar\omega n$, Eq. (18) provides a set of ν_n ,

$$\frac{\hbar\nu_n}{\mathbb{T}} = \text{Mod}[\hbar\omega n, \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}]. \quad (20)$$

Provided

$$\hbar\omega j > \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (21)$$

or equivalently, $T > (2j + 1)\tau/j$, and if the clock is to produce a small disturbance to the system, the incident energy of the particle E must satisfy

$$E \gg \{\text{Maximum value of } \frac{\hbar\nu_n}{\mathbb{T}}, \forall n\} = \left(\frac{\hbar\nu_n}{\mathbb{T}}\right)_{max}, \quad (22)$$

but, by definition of ν_n ,

$$\left(\frac{\hbar\nu_n}{\mathbb{T}}\right)_{max} \leq \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (23)$$

which together with Eq. (22) leads to

$$E \gg \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (24)$$

However, for sufficiently small \mathbb{T} the kick clock behaviour resembles the one of the continuous-coupling clock and Eq. (13) holds instead of Eq. (24). When

$$\hbar\omega j < \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (25)$$

then,

$$\text{Mod}[\hbar\omega j, \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mathbb{T}}] = \hbar\omega n, \quad (26)$$

and Eq. (13) is recovered. Since we must also have $t_f > \mathbb{T}$, the proper working regime of the pulsed apparatus is defined by the conditions

$$t_f > \mathbb{T} > \frac{2j+1}{j}\tau. \quad (27)$$

We may in addition set $2\pi/\omega$ as the maximum time to be measured by the apparatus, to avoid the possibility of multiple times corresponding to a single θ . A measurement of θ at an asymptotically large time well after the particle-clock interaction will not tell us the number of 2π cycles that have occurred, so that the time read is only known modulo $2\pi/\omega$. This ambiguity may be avoided by substituting the periodic hand motion by a linear one as in [5].

From our previous considerations it is clear that the energy of the particle may violate the inequality in Eq. (13) and still lead to a successful time-of-flight measurement for $T > (2j + 1)\tau/j$, as we shall illustrate below with numerical examples in which a minimum uncertainty

product Gaussian wave packet with negligible negative momenta is prepared at $t = 0$ outside the region where the clock (continuous or kicked) is activated. Of course, because of the momentum width we should not expect a single time but a distribution. Well after the packet collision with the interaction region the probability to find the value θ is calculated and the corresponding (operational) time of flight distribution is obtained from the scaling $t = \theta/\omega$.

Fig. 1A shows the ideal distribution of flight times obtained for the system in isolation, \mathcal{P}_d , and the operational distributions obtained from a kicked clock and from the continuous clock. (Incidentally, note that the continuous-coupling-clock distribution may also be obtained using D. Sokolovski's Feynman-path based theory [16]). The parameters are chosen so that the classical time of flight for the average momentum of the wave packet is 10 a.u., and in such a way that the inequality in Eq. (13) is not obeyed, i.e., the continuous-coupling clock does not work correctly: note the large early peak denoting an important reflection in its distribution, and the displacement of the second peak with respect to \mathcal{P}_d to shorter times because of the filtering effect of the more energetic barriers and wells that hinder the passage of slower components and allows a dominant contribution of faster components [5].

The reference (ideal) curve $\mathcal{P}_d(t)$ is the distribution of the free-particle probability distribution of dwell times. For positive-momentum states the dwell time probability distribution is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_d(t) = \int_0^\infty dp \delta(t - md/p) P(p), \quad (28)$$

where $P(p)$ is the momentum distribution. \mathcal{P}_d is both the dwell time distribution for an ensemble of classical particles with momentum distribution $P(p)$, and the quantum dwell time distribution $\langle \delta(t - \hat{\tau}_d) \rangle$, where

$$\hat{\tau}_d = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt e^{i\hat{H}_s t/\hbar} \left(\int_0^d |x\rangle dx \langle x| \right) e^{-i\hat{H}_s t/\hbar} \quad (29)$$

is the dwell-time operator [17].

Figs. 1B and 1C show the cumulative distributions for several values of \mathbb{T} and the cumulative distributions for \mathcal{P}_d and for the continuous-coupling clock. As \mathbb{T} is increased there is a passage from the continuous-like regime to the truly kicked regime, where the cumulative distributions reproduce in a step-like fashion the behaviour of the reference ideal curve. The perturbation of the kicks may be seen in the broader wings, which grow with decreasing \mathbb{T} .

In summary, it is possible to extend the energy domain where a clock coupled to the particle's motion provides its (free motion) time-of-flight by using a pulsed particle-clock coupling rather than a continuous one.

The authors thank S. Brouard and C.R. Leavens for many discussions. Support has been provided by Gobierno de Canarias (PI2000/111), Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (BFM2001-3349 y BFM2000-0816-C03-03), UPV-EHU (00039.310-13507/2001), and the Basque Government (PI-1999-28).

-
- [1] J. G. Muga and R. C. Leavens, *Phys. Rep.* **338**, 353 (2000).
- [2] *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, edited by J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato and I.L. Egusquiza (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002).
- [3] A. Peres, *Am. J. Phys.* **48**, 552 (1980).
- [4] A. Peres, *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Kluwer, New York, 1995), Ch. 12.
- [5] Y. Aharonov, J. Oppenheim, S. Popescu, B. Reznik, W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 4130 (1998).
- [6] H. Salecker and E.P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 571 (1958).
- [7] C. R. Leavens, *Sol. State Comm.* **86**, 781 (1993).
- [8] C. R. Leavens and W. R. McKinnon, *Phys. Lett.* **194**, 12 (1994).
- [9] C. R. Leavens and R. Sala Mayato, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **7**, 662 (1998).
- [10] R. Sala Mayato, D. Alonso and I.L. Egusquiza in *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, edited by J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato and I.L. Egusquiza (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002), Ch. 8
- [11] G. Casati and B. Chirikov, Eds., *Quantum Chaos* (Cambridge University Press, 1995) and references therein.
- [12] V. V. Sokolov, O. Zhirov, D. Alonso and G. Casati, *Physical Review Letters*, **84**, 3566 (2000).
- [13] V. V. Sokolov, O. Zhirov, D. Alonso and G. Casati, *Physical Review E*, **61**, 5057 (2000).
- [14] V. V. Sokolov, O. Zhirov, D. Alonso and G. Casati, *Physica E*, **9**, 554 (2001).
- [15] F.L. Moore, J.C. Robinson, C.F. Bharucha, B. Sundaram and M. G. Raizen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4598 (1995).
- [16] D. Sokolovski, in *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, edited by J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato and I.L. Egusquiza (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002), Ch. 7.
- [17] J. G. Muga, in *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, edited by J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato and I.L. Egusquiza (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002), Ch. 2.




FIG. 1: (A): in solid line a typical $\mathcal{P}_d(t)$ and a cumulative integration for $T = 1$. In dashed line the ideal time distribution, Eq. (28), and in dotted-dashed line the time distribution obtained from the continuous-coupling clock.

(B,C): Cumulative distributions for different values of T (dotted and dashed lines); cumulative distribution for the continuous-coupling clock (solid line) and for the ideal dwell time distribution (long-dashed line).

The simulations were performed for a particle of mass $m = 1$ a.u. represented initially by a minimum-uncertainty-product wave packet with width $\sigma = 1$ a.u., center at $x_0 = -30$ a.u., and average momentum $p_0 = 5.0$ a.u.. The collision region is the interval $x \in (-25, 25)$ a.u.. The method used was a Split Operator Method and we took 2^{13} plane waves for the spatial coordinate and 2^{10} plane waves for the angular coordinate.