

Dense coding and safety of quantum communications

A.Ya. Kazakov
Laboratory of Quantum Information,
State University of AeroSpace Instrumentation,
190000, Bol'shaya Morskaya, 67, S.-Petersburg, Russia
e-mail: a_kazak@mail.ru

November 4, 2018

1 Introduction

Safety of quantum communications is, in the first place, the result of the quantum nature of the signal, sending by the sender Alice to the receiver Bob [1], [2], [3]. But when we discuss the safety of the quantum communications as a whole we have to take into account all objects taking place in the process of creation and communication of information. One of such object is the sending station, which is classical one. As such it can be eavesdropped by classical means and this fact can reduce sharply the safety of quantum channel. In this note we demonstrate, that dense coding and quantum properties of the channel give the possibility to raise the safety of classical sending station against the eavesdropping.

2 Main considerations

We discuss in what follows the next situation. We consider a quantum channel, which contains a quantum system $L = L_A \otimes L_B$, $\dim L_A = n$, $\dim L_B = p$, where quantum system L_A is initially in the hands of Alice. There is an *initial* quantum state $\Psi_0 \in L$, which is distributed between Alice and Bob. Alice influences on her part of wave function and Bob gets *outcome* state $(U \otimes I_B)\Psi_0$, where U is an unitary matrix describing the interaction of Alice with quantum system L_A . This unitary matrix corresponds to the state

of the classical sending station and plotter can use classical means in order to get some information about U .

At first we consider the following question arising in this situation: how large is the set of the outcome states generated by Alice, $\hat{L} = \{(U \otimes I_B)\Psi_0\}$, where U - all possible unitary matrices acting in L_A . The unitary matrix does not change the norm of wave function, so $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{L} \leq \dim_{\mathbf{R}}L - 1 = 2np - 1$. When the equality is realized it is said that there is the *dense coding*. Evidently, that equality can not be realized for any initial state Ψ_0 . If, for instance, $\Psi_0 = |\phi\rangle|\varphi\rangle$, $|\phi\rangle \in L_A$, $|\varphi\rangle \in L_B$, then $\hat{L} = L_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle$. So, we describe at first such states Ψ_0 , that condition

$$\dim_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{L} = \dim_{\mathbf{R}}L - 1 = 2np - 1. \quad (1)$$

is valid.

Further, let us discuss the relation between the dimensions of our varieties. Unitary matrix U acts in the linear space L_A , whose complex dimension is n , so $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}\{U\} = n^2$. It is possible situation, when

$$K = n^2 - 2np > 0. \quad (2)$$

In this case the unitary matrices $E(\kappa)$, $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}^K$ exist, which do not change the outcome state,

$$(E(\kappa) \otimes I_B)\Psi_0 = \Psi_0. \quad (3)$$

for all κ . Such unitary matrices we call *conserving matrices*. If unitary matrix U_1 corresponds to some sending information, we conclude, that the same communication can be created by unitary matrix $U_1E(\kappa)$ for any κ . Choosing this parameter arbitrarily, for instance, by chance, we can reduce the value of information about state of classical sending station, in other words, we raise the safety of channel of communication as a whole.

In what follows we discuss the structure of the initial state Ψ_0 , and describe on this base the set of conserving matrices. It will be shown below, that condition (2) can be softened.

3 The structure of the initial state

Consider now initial states Ψ_0 , when condition (1) holds. Let set $|\varphi_k\rangle$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ is a basis in L_B . Then

$$\Psi_0 = \sum_k |\phi_k\rangle|\varphi_k\rangle, \quad (4)$$

and $|\phi_k\rangle \in L_A$ for all k . We prove here, that vectors $|\phi_k\rangle$ are linear independent. Really, let for some k_0 and constants λ_k $|\phi_{k_0}\rangle = \sum_{k \neq k_0} \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle$. Then

$$\Psi_0 = \sum_{k \neq k_0} |\phi_k\rangle [|\phi_k\rangle + \lambda_k |\phi_{k_0}\rangle], \quad (5)$$

The set of vectors $|\phi_k\rangle + \lambda_k |\phi_{k_0}\rangle, k \neq k_0$, is not a basis in the space L_B , because there are $p-1$ such vectors in number. We conclude, that set $\{(U \otimes I_B)\Psi_0\}$ does not coincide with L/R , so condition (1) is not valid.

It follows from this consideration, that initial state Ψ_0 contains in its expansion $|\phi_k\rangle$ at any $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

So, dense coding realizes only in the case, when initial state for Ψ_0 is *entangled* one.

4 Set of the conserving matrices

Here we get the explicit description of conserving matrices, which satisfy the condition (3). It follows from this condition, that

$$E(\kappa)|\phi_k\rangle = |\phi_k\rangle, k = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (6)$$

Let $H \subset L_A$ is a linear span of vectors $|\phi_k\rangle, k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, H^\perp is its orthogonal addition. Then $E(\kappa)|_H = I|_H, E(\kappa)H^\perp = H^\perp$. So, the set of unitary operators satisfying condition (3) coincides with the set of unitary operators acting on H^\perp . The dimension of the last set of operators equals $(n-p)^2$. Here we suppose, that condition (2) is changed by the more soft variant: $n > p$.

The set of conserving unitary matrices can be described in the following way. Assuming, that matrix $E(\kappa)$ can be differentiating on κ and using the described above structure of initial state Ψ_0 , we obtain:

$$D|\phi_k\rangle = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (7)$$

where skew-Hermitian matrix $D = [dE/d\kappa](\kappa = 0)$ acts in space L_A . This $n \times n$ -matrix can be described as

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} i\delta_1 & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \dots \\ -\overline{\alpha_{12}} & i\delta_2 & \alpha_{23} & \dots \\ -\overline{\alpha_{13}} & -\overline{\alpha_{23}} & i\delta_3 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Transferring to the real parameters $|\phi_k^{(r)}\rangle, |\phi_k^{(i)}\rangle, \alpha_{st}^{(r)}, \alpha_{st}^{(i)}$, so that $|\phi_k\rangle = |\phi_k^{(r)}\rangle + i|\phi_k^{(i)}\rangle, \alpha_{st} = \alpha_{st}^{(r)} + i\alpha_{st}^{(i)}$, we obtain the following relations for $\alpha_{kn}^r, \alpha_{kn}^i, \delta_k$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_{12}^{(r)} & \alpha_{13}^{(r)} & \dots \\ -\alpha_{12}^{(r)} & 0 & \alpha_{23}^{(r)} & \dots \\ -\alpha_{13}^{(r)} & -\alpha_{23}^{(r)} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} |\varphi_k^{(r)}\rangle - \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \alpha_{12}^{(i)} & \alpha_{13}^{(i)} & \dots \\ \alpha_{12}^{(i)} & \delta_2 & \alpha_{23}^{(i)} & \dots \\ \alpha_{13}^{(i)} & \alpha_{23}^{(i)} & \delta_3 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} |\varphi_k^{(i)}\rangle = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \alpha_{12}^{(i)} & \alpha_{13}^{(i)} & \dots \\ \alpha_{12}^{(i)} & \delta_2 & \alpha_{23}^{(i)} & \dots \\ \alpha_{13}^{(i)} & \alpha_{23}^{(i)} & \delta_3 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} |\varphi_k^{(r)}\rangle + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_{12}^{(r)} & \alpha_{13}^{(r)} & \dots \\ -\alpha_{12}^{(r)} & 0 & \alpha_{23}^{(r)} & \dots \\ -\alpha_{13}^{(r)} & -\alpha_{23}^{(r)} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} |\varphi_k^{(i)}\rangle = 0, \quad (10)$$

As was shown before, this system of $2np$ real linear algebraic equations for n^2 real unknown parameters $\alpha_{kl}^r, \alpha_{kl}^i, \delta_k$ has $S = (n-p)^2$ fundamental solutions. In accordance with (8) we can construct skew-Hermitian matrix for any fundamental solution, $D_s, s = 1, 2, \dots, S$. Then unitary matrix $E_s(\gamma_s) = \exp[\gamma_s D_s]$, where γ_s is an arbitrary real number, is the conserving matrix. So, matrix $U = \prod_{s=1}^S E_s(\gamma_s)$ depending on S real parameters $\gamma_s, s = 1, 2, \dots, S$ is the general conserving unitary matrix, where ordering on s is arbitrary but fixed. Note, that for the construction of the conserving unitary matrix U it is necessary to find the set of fundamental solutions for system (9,10), using the linear independent vectors $|\phi_k\rangle, k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (which are components of expansion of the initial entangled state Ψ_0).

5 Conclusion

We have shown, that dense coding under condition (2) between the dimensions of the quantum systems L_A and L_B leads to the existence of the unitary matrix conserving the outcome states. This fact results in the ambiguity between the states of the classical sending station and outcome state - there are different states of the sending station for the same outcome state. The presence of such "additional" degrees of freedom for the sending station gives the possibility to "hide" the sending information and to raise the safety of the quantum channel as a whole. Let us note the next features.

1. The described possibility to increase the safety of channel is connected with its quantum properties.

2. The construction of the conserving matrices depends on the information about the initial entangled state Ψ_0 . In a certain sense this quantum state is a key, distributed between Alice and Bob, for the set of conserving matrices.

At the realization of quantum systems L_A and L_B with help of set of qubits the number of additional degrees of freedom for the conserving matrices can be enough large for the moderate numbers of qubits. Really, let L_A contains m qubits whose dimension is d , L_B contains q the same qubits, so $n = d^m, p = d^q, S = (d^m - d^q)^2$. If $d = 2, m = 3, q = 1$, then $S = 36$.

I thank V. Gorbachev, N. Shekhunova and A. Trubilko for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] C.H.Bennett, S.J.Wiesner. Phys.Rev.Lett., 69, 2881(1992).
- [2] K.Mattle, H.Weifurter, P.G.Kwait, A.Zeilinger. Phys.Rev.Lett., 76, 4656(1996).
- [3] A. Steane. arXiv: quant-ph/9708022.