

SYMMETRY OF DISTRIBUTION FOR THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL HADAMARD WALK

NORIO KONNO *

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University

Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

norio@mathlab.sci.ynu.ac.jp

TAKAO NAMIKI

Division of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University

Kita, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

nami@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

TAKAHIRO SOSHI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University

Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

soshi@lam.osu.sci.ynu.ac.jp

Abstract. In this paper we study a one-dimensional quantum random walk with the Hadamard transformation which is often called the Hadamard walk. We construct the Hadamard walk using a transition matrix on probability amplitude and give some results on symmetry of probability distributions for the Hadamard walk.

1 Introduction

Recently, quantum versions of classical random walks have been investigated by a number of groups, for examples, see refs. [1-10], [13-22], [24], [26-29]. A more general setting including quantum cellular automata can be found in ref. [23]. It is well known that classical random walks have found practical applications in many fields including physics, chemistry, biology, astronomy, and computer science. The hope is that quantum random walks might lead to applications unavailable classically. In fact, quantum random walks have many suggested applications in physics and quantum information. For examples, quantum walks have the potential to give various new tools for quantum algorithm design [3]. It is also believed that quantum walks can provide a benchmarking protocol for ion trap quantum computers

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: norio@mathlab.sci.ynu.ac.jp

Abbr. title: One-Dimensional Hadamard walk.

Key words and phrases. Quantum random walk, Hadamard walk.

[28]. Childs *et al.* [9] shows query complexity of some problems is speed up exponentially by quantum walk. Kempe [13] and Yamasaki *et al.* [29] are the first which showed exponential speed up of hitting time in discrete time walk, while Childs *et al.* [9] treats continuous time model. (Yamasaki *et al.* [29] conjectured the speed up by numerical computation, and the conjecture was proven by Kempe [13].) So to clarify some properties of the distribution for the quantum random walk can be considered as important work.

In the present paper, we focus on the one-dimensional Hadamard walk whose time evolution is given by the Hadamard transformation (see p.19 in ref. [25]):

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hadamard matrix H is unitary. The Hadamard walk considered here is a quantum generalization of a classical symmetric random walk in one dimension with an additional degree of freedom called the chirality. The chirality takes values left and right, and means the direction of the motion of the particle. The evolution of the Hadamard walk is given by the following way. At each time step, if the particle has the left chirality, it moves one step to the left, and if it has the right chirality, it moves one step to the right.

More precisely, the Hadamard matrix H acts on two chirality states $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} |L\rangle &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|L\rangle + |R\rangle) \\ |R\rangle &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|L\rangle - |R\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

where L and R refer to the right and left chirality state respectively. In fact, define

$$|L\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |R\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} H|L\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|L\rangle + |R\rangle) \\ H|R\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|L\rangle - |R\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Here we introduce P and Q matrices as follows:

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$H = P + Q$$

Here P (resp. Q) represents that the particle moves to the left (resp. right) with equal probability. We should remark that P and Q are useful tools in the study of the iterates of H . However, they cannot be interpreted as dynamical evolution operators since they are not

unitary. By using P and Q , we define the dynamics of the Hadamard walk in one dimension. To do so, we introduce the next $(2N + 1) \times (2N + 1)$ matrix $\overline{H}_N : (\mathbf{C}^2)^{2N+1} \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^2)^{2N+1}$:

$$\overline{H}_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & Q \\ Q & 0 & P & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & P & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & Q & 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & Q & 0 & P \\ P & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & Q & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{C} is the set of complex numbers and

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{L,k}^{(n)}(\varphi) \\ \Psi_{R,k}^{(n)}(\varphi) \end{bmatrix} = \Psi_{L,k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|L\rangle + \Psi_{R,k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|R\rangle \in \mathbf{C}^2$$

be the two component vector of amplitudes of the particle being at site k and at time n with the chirality being left (upper component) and right (lower component), and

$$\Psi^{(n)}(\varphi) = {}^t[\Psi_{-N}^{(n)}(\varphi), \Psi_{-(N-1)}^{(n)}(\varphi), \dots, \Psi_N^{(n)}(\varphi)] \in (\mathbf{C}^2)^{2N+1}$$

be the qubit states at time n where t means transposed operator. Here the initial qubit state is given by

$$\Psi^{(0)}(\varphi) = {}^t[\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^N, \varphi, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^N] \in (\mathbf{C}^2)^{2N+1}$$

where

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|z|$ is the absolute value of $z \in \mathbf{C}$. The following equation defines the time evolution of the Hadamard walk:

$$(\overline{H}_N \Psi^{(n)}(\varphi))_k = Q\Psi_{k-1}^{(n)}(\varphi) + P\Psi_{k+1}^{(n)}(\varphi)$$

where $(\Psi^{(n)}(\varphi))_k = \Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)$. Note that P and Q satisfy

$$PP^* + QQ^* = P^*P + Q^*Q = I, \quad PQ^* = QP^* = Q^*P = P^*Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $*$ means the adjoint operator. The above relations imply that \overline{H}_N becomes also unitary matrix.

For initial state $\bar{\varphi} = {}^t \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^N, \varphi, \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^N$, we have

$$\overline{H}_N \bar{\varphi} = {}^t \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-1}, P\varphi, 0, Q\varphi, \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-1}$$

$$\overline{H}_N^2 \bar{\varphi} = {}^t \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-2}, P^2\varphi, 0, (PQ + QP)\varphi, 0, Q^2\varphi, \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-2}$$

$$\overline{H}_N^3 \bar{\varphi} = {}^t \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-3}, P^3\varphi, 0, (P^2Q + PQP + QP^2)\varphi, 0, (Q^2P + QPQ + PQ^2)\varphi, 0, Q^3\varphi, \overbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}^{N-3}$$

This shows that expansion of $H^n = (P + Q)^n$ for the Hadamard walk corresponds to that of $2^n = (1 + 1)^n$ for symmetric classical random walk, that is, Pascal's triangle.

Define the set of initial qubit states as follows:

$$\Phi = \left\{ \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^2 : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

Now we define probability distribution of the Hadamard walk X_n^φ starting from initial qubit state $\varphi \in \Phi$ by

$$P(X_n^\varphi = k) = |\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2$$

The purpose of this paper is to study some properties of distribution of X_n^φ , for example, the symmetry of distribution. To do so, we introduce the following classes of initial qubit states:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\perp &= \left\{ \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \Phi : |\alpha| = |\beta|, \alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0 \right\} \\ \Phi_s &= \{ \varphi \in \Phi : P(X_n^\varphi = k) = P(X_n^\varphi = -k) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{Z} \} \\ \Phi_0 &= \{ \varphi \in \Phi : E(X_n^\varphi) = 0 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \} \end{aligned}$$

and \mathbf{Z} (resp. \mathbf{Z}_+) is the set of (resp. non-negative) integers. We should remark that if $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, then $\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0$ implies that there is a $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\theta_\alpha = \theta_\beta + (\pi/2) + k\pi$, where \bar{z} is conjugate of $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and θ_z is the argument of $z \in \mathbf{C}$, that is, α and β are orthogonal. For $\varphi \in \Phi_s$, the distribution of X_n^φ is symmetric for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

In this setting, we have the next result (Theorem 2 in Section 2):

$$\Phi_\perp = \Phi_s = \Phi_0$$

This result can be generalized to a general quantum random walk in one dimension (see Konno [17, 18], for example). However here we give other two proofs which appear in Sections 2 and 3 respectively.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the necessary and sufficient conditions of the symmetry of distribution of X_n^φ for any time step n . Section 3 treats another approach to the study of the symmetry by using a quantum version of Pascal's triangle (see Theorem 3). Section 4 is devoted to a conjecture on the expectation of the Hadamard walk. Appendix A gives a proof of Theorem 3. In Appendix B, we compute the m th moment of a limit distribution for the rescaled Haramard walk in the symmetric case.

2 Symmetry of Distribution

First we present the following useful lemma to prove Theorem 2. Let

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 1 (i) *We suppose that initial qubit state is*

$$\varphi = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi) = (-1)^n i J \Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)$$

for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

(ii) *We suppose that initial qubit state is*

$$\varphi = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi) = (-1)^n (-i) J \Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)$$

for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Proof. We show parts (i) and (ii) by induction on time step n . The proof of part (ii) is essentially the same as that of part (i), so we omit it. For simplicity, we write $\Psi_k^{(n)} = \Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)$.

First we consider $n = 0$ case. For any $k \neq 0$, the initial condition gives $\Psi_k^{(0)} = 0$, so we can check Eq. (2.1). As for $k = 0$, we have

$$(-1)^0 i J \Psi_0^{(0)} = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \Psi_0^{(0)}$$

Therefore Eq. (2.1) is correct for $n = 0$.

Next we assume that Eq. (2.1) holds for time $n = m$. We should remark that the definition of the Hadamard walk gives

$$(2.3) \quad \Psi_k^{(m+1)} = Q \Psi_{k-1}^{(m)} + P \Psi_{k+1}^{(m)}$$

for any k . Moreover note that

$$(2.4) \quad QJ = -JP, \quad PJ = -JQ$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k^{(m+1)} &= Q\Psi_{k-1}^{(m)} + P\Psi_{k+1}^{(m)} \\
&= Q(-1)^m i J \Psi_{-(k-1)}^{(m)} + P(-1)^m i J \Psi_{-(k+1)}^{(m)} \\
&= (-1)^m i \{ Q J \Psi_{-(k-1)}^{(m)} + P J \Psi_{-(k+1)}^{(m)} \} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} i J \{ P \Psi_{-(k-1)}^{(m)} + Q \Psi_{-(k+1)}^{(m)} \} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} i J \Psi_{-k}^{(m+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The first and fifth equalities are given by Eq. (2.3). The second equality comes from the induction hypothesis. The fourth equality is obtained by Eq. (2.4). So it is shown that Eq. (2.1) is also correct for time $n = m + 1$. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

The following three classes were given in Introduction.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\perp} &= \left\{ \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \Phi : |\alpha| = |\beta|, \alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0 \right\} \\
\Phi_s &= \left\{ \varphi \in \Phi : |\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)| = |\Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)| \text{ for any } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \\
\Phi_0 &= \left\{ \varphi \in \Phi : E(X_n^{\varphi}) = 0 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

As we mentioned in Introduction, it is noted that $\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ implies that α and β are orthogonal. For $\varphi \in \Phi_s$, the probability distribution of X_n^{φ} is symmetric for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. By using Lemma 1, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 2 *For the Hadamard walk, we have*

$$\Phi_{\perp} = \Phi_s = \Phi_0$$

Proof. First we see that the definitions of Φ_s and Φ_0 give

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_s \subset \Phi_0$$

Next we should remark that

$$\Phi_{\perp} = \left\{ \frac{e^{i\theta_1}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \frac{e^{i\theta_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} : \theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

By the above remark and Lemma 1, for any $\varphi \in \Phi_{\perp}$, we have

$$|\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2 = (-1)^{2n} |i|^{2n} |\Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2 = |\Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2$$

Therefore if $\varphi \in \Phi_{\perp}$, then

$$|\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)| = |\Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|$$

for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, so we have

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi_{\perp} \subset \Phi_s$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1) &= E(X_2) = -(\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta) \\ E(X_3) &= \frac{1}{2}(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2) - (\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta) \end{aligned}$$

The above equations imply that if $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ then $\varphi \in \Phi_\perp$. So we have

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi_0 \subset \Phi_\perp$$

Combining Eqs. (2.5)-(2.7) gives

$$\Phi_\perp \subset \Phi_s \subset \Phi_0 \subset \Phi_\perp$$

so the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

3 Quantum Pascal's Triangle

In this section, we take another approach to the study on symmetry of distribution of X_n^φ , more precisely, distribution of $P(X_n^\varphi = k)$ for $n + k = \text{even}$. To do so, we need to consider the following expression. For fixed l and m with $l + m = n$ and $m - l = k$,

$$\Xi(l, m) = \sum_{l_j, m_j \geq 0: m_1 + \dots + m_n = m, l_1 + \dots + l_n = l} P^{l_1} Q^{m_1} P^{l_2} Q^{m_2} \dots P^{l_n} Q^{m_n}$$

For example, in the case of $P(X_4 = -2)$, we need to know the expression:

$$\Xi(3, 1) = P^3 Q + P^2 Q P + P Q P^2 + Q P^3$$

We remark the next useful relation:

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P, \quad Q^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Q$$

By using these, $\Xi(3, 1)$ becomes

$$\Xi(3, 1) = \frac{1}{2} P Q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P Q P + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P Q P + \frac{1}{2} Q P$$

Here we introduce other useful matrices:

$$R = \sqrt{2} P Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \sqrt{2} Q P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In general, we obtain the next table of computations with P, Q, R and S :

	P	Q	R	S
P	P	R	R	P
Q	S	$-Q$	Q	$-S$
R	P	$-R$	R	$-P$
S	S	Q	Q	S

where we omit $1/\sqrt{2}$ factor, for example, $PQ = R/\sqrt{2}$. Since P, Q, R and S are a basis for the set of 2×2 matrices with complex valued components, we have

$$\Xi(l, m) = p_n(l, m)P + q_n(l, m)Q + r_n(l, m)R + s_n(l, m)S$$

Next problem is to obtain explicit forms of $p_n(l, m), q_n(l, m), r_n(l, m)$ and $s_n(l, m)$. In the above example of $n = l + m = 4$ case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(4, 0) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 P, & \Xi(3, 1) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 (2P + R + S), & \Xi(2, 2) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 (-P + Q), \\ \Xi(1, 3) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 (-2Q + R + S), & \Xi(0, 4) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 Q \end{aligned}$$

For a general $\Xi(l, m)$, the following result is obtained.

THEOREM 3 *We consider the Hadamard walk. Suppose that $l, m \geq 0$ with $l + m = n$, then we have*

(i) for $l \wedge m (= \min\{l, m\}) \geq 1$,

$$\Xi(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} \left[\frac{l-\gamma}{\gamma} P - \frac{m-\gamma}{\gamma} Q + R + S \right]$$

(ii) for $l (= n) \geq 1, m = 0$,

$$\Xi(l, 0) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-1} P$$

(iii) for $l = 0, m (= n) \geq 1$,

$$\Xi(0, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-1} (-1)^{m+1} Q$$

Theorem 3 can be obtained as a special case of Lemma 1 in Konno [18]. However for the convenience of readers, we give the proof in Appendix A.

From now on we will give an application of this theorem as follows. By Theorem 3, we can get $\Phi_\perp \subset \Phi_s$. For $l \wedge m \geq 1$,

$${}^t \Xi(l, m) \Xi(l, m)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} \sum_{\delta=1}^{l \wedge m} (-1)^{\gamma+\delta} \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{l-1}{\delta-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\delta-1} \\
&\quad \times {}^t \left[\frac{l-\delta}{\delta} P - \frac{m-\delta}{\delta} Q + R + S \right] \left[\frac{l-\gamma}{\gamma} P - \frac{m-\gamma}{\gamma} Q + R + S \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} \sum_{\delta=1}^{l \wedge m} \frac{(-1)^{\gamma+\delta}}{\gamma\delta} \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{l-1}{\delta-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\delta-1} \\
&\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} l^2 + (m-2\gamma)(m-2\delta) & l(l-2\gamma) - m(m-2\delta) \\ l(l-2\delta) - m(m-2\gamma) & m^2 + (l-2\gamma)(l-2\delta) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

To consider the symmetry, for $l \wedge m \geq 1$, we have to check

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}^t \Xi(l, m) \Xi(l, m) - {}^t \Xi(m, l) \Xi(m, l) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} \sum_{\delta=1}^{l \wedge m} \frac{(-1)^{\gamma+\delta}}{\gamma\delta} \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{l-1}{\delta-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\delta-1} \\
&\quad \times 2(l-m) \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + \delta & n - 2(\gamma + \delta) \\ n - 2(\gamma + \delta) & -(\gamma + \delta) \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $l \geq 1$ and $m = 0$, we have

$${}^t \Xi(l, 0) \Xi(l, 0) - {}^t \Xi(0, l) \Xi(0, l) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ({}^t P P - {}^t Q Q) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In any case, for any $l, m \geq 0$ except $l = m = 0$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$${}^t \Xi(l, m) \Xi(l, m) - {}^t \Xi(m, l) \Xi(m, l) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$|\Psi_k^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2 - |\Psi_{-k}^{(n)}(\varphi)|^2 = [\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}] \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = a(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) + b(\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

where $k = m - l$. From the above result, we see that if $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi_{\perp}$, then $\varphi \in \Phi_s$ immediately.

4 Discussions

In the case of the Hadamard walk, a direct computation implies that for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$,

$$E(X_n^{\varphi}) = -a_n(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) - b_n(\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 = a_2 = 0, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_4 = 1, \quad a_5 = \frac{9}{8}, \quad a_6 = \frac{5}{4}, \quad a_7 = \frac{27}{16}, \quad a_8 = \frac{17}{8}, \quad a_9 = \frac{293}{128}, \quad a_{10} = \frac{157}{64} \\
b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1, \quad b_4 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad b_5 = 2, \quad b_6 = \frac{17}{8}, \quad b_7 = \frac{9}{4}, \quad b_8 = \frac{43}{16}, \quad b_9 = \frac{25}{8}, \quad b_{10} = \frac{421}{128}
\end{aligned}$$

So we conjecture that $b_{n+1} = a_n + 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. This property would be useful for studying symmetry of distribution.

Recently Konno [17, 18] gave a new type of limit theorems for quantum random walks in one dimension. In the symmetric case, his result implies that X_n^φ/n converges weakly to Z^φ whose m th moment ($= c_m$) is given by

$$E((Z^\varphi)^{2n}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{3k}} \binom{2k}{k}, \quad E((Z^\varphi)^{2n-1}) = 0$$

for any $n \geq 1$ (a derivation of the m th moment can be seen in Appendix B). By using finite moments c_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots$), we obtain the associated orthogonal polynomials $\{P_m\}$. So the interacting Fock space corresponding to the limit distribution for our symmetric Hadamard walk can be derived from the usual way (for example, see pp.73-76 in Hashimoto, Hora and Obata [12]). To clarify the relation between the quantum random walk and the quantum decomposition is one of the future interesting problems.

Appendix A: Proof of Theorem 3

Here we give a proof of Theorem 3.

(a) $p_n(l, m)$ case : First we assume $l \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. In order to compute $p_n(l, m)$, it is enough to consider only the following case:

$$C(p, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) = \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_1} \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_2} \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_3} \cdots \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_{2\gamma}} \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_{2\gamma+1}}$$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma+1}$ with $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1} \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. For example, PQP case is $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. We should remark that l is the number of P 's and m is the number of Q 's, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} l &= w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma+1} \\ m &= w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $2\gamma + 1$ means the number of clusters of P 's and Q 's. Next we consider the range of γ . The minimum is $\gamma = 1$, that is, 3 clusters. This case is

$$P \cdots PQ \cdots QP \cdots P$$

The maximum is $\gamma = (l - 1) \wedge m$. This case is

$$PQPQPQ \cdots PQPQP \cdots P \quad (l - 1 \geq m), \quad PQPQPQ \cdots PQPQ \cdots QP \quad (l - 1 \leq m)$$

for examples. Here we introduce a set of sequences with $2\gamma + 1$ components: for fixed $\gamma \in [1, (l - 1) \wedge m]$

$$\begin{aligned} W(p, 2\gamma + 1) &= \{w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma+1} : w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma+1} = l, \\ &\quad w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} = m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}, w_{2\gamma+1} \geq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

From the next relations:

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}P, \quad Q^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Q, \quad PQ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R, \quad R^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R, \quad RP = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}P$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(p, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{w_1-1} P \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{w_2-1} Q \cdots \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{w_{2\gamma}-1} Q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{w_{2\gamma+1}-1} P \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-(\gamma+1)} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-\gamma} (PQ)^\gamma P \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-(\gamma+1)} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^\gamma R^\gamma P \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-(\gamma+1)} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\gamma-1} RP \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+\gamma} P \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in W(p, 2\gamma + 1)$. For $l \geq 2$, and $m \geq 1$, that is, $\gamma \geq 1$, we obtain

$$C(p, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+\gamma} P$$

Note that the right hand side of the above equation does not depend on $w \in W(p, 2\gamma + 1)$. Finally we compute the number of $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}, w_{2\gamma+1})$ satisfying $w \in W(p, 2\gamma + 1)$ by a standard combinatorial argument as follows:

$$|W(p, 2\gamma + 1)| = \binom{l-1}{\gamma} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1}$$

From the above observation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(l, m)P &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{(l-1)\wedge m} \sum_{w \in W(p, 2\gamma+1)} C(p, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{(l-1)\wedge m} |W(p, 2\gamma + 1)| C(p, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{(l-1)\wedge m} \binom{l-1}{\gamma} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+\gamma} P \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the desired result is obtained:

$$p_n(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{(l-1)\wedge m} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1}$$

When $l \geq 1$ and $m = 0$, this case is

$$p_n(l, 0)P = P^l = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-1} P$$

so we have

$$p_n(l, 0) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{l-1}$$

Furthermore, when $l = 1, m \geq 1$ and $l = 0, m \geq 0$, we see

$$p_n(l, m) = 0$$

(b) $q_n(l, m)$ case : As in the case of part (a), we assume $l \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$. In order to compute $q_n(l, m)$, it is sufficient to consider only the following case:

$$C(q, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) = \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_1} \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_2} \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_3} \cdots \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_{2\gamma}} \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_{2\gamma+1}}$$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma+1}$ with $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1} \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. For example, QPQ case is $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l &= w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} \\ m &= w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma+1} \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous part, the range of γ is between 1 and $l \wedge (m - 1)$. Here we introduce a set of sequences with $2\gamma + 1$ components: for fixed $\gamma \in [1, l \wedge (m - 1)]$

$$\begin{aligned} W(q, 2\gamma + 1) &= \{w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma+1} : w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} = l, \\ &\quad w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma+1} = m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}, w_{2\gamma+1} \geq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$C(q, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+\gamma+1} Q$$

for $l \geq 1, m \geq 2$ and $w \in W(q, 2\gamma + 1)$. Furthermore

$$|W(q, 2\gamma + 1)| = \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma}$$

From these, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(l, m)Q &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{(l-1) \wedge m} \sum_{w \in W(q, 2\gamma+1)} C(q, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge (m-1)} |W(q, 2\gamma + 1)| C(q, w)_n^{(2\gamma+1)}(l, m) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge (m-1)} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma} Q \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the desired result is obtained:

$$q_n(l, m) = - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge (m-1)} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma}$$

When $l = 0$ and $m \geq 1$, this case is

$$q_n(0, m) = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{m-1}$$

Furthermore, when $l \geq 1, m = 1$ and $l \geq 0, m = 0$, we see

$$q_n(l, m) = 0$$

(c) $r_n(l, m)$ case : First we assume $l \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$. In order to compute $r_n(l, m)$, we consider only the following case:

$$C(r, w)_n^{(2\gamma)}(l, m) = \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_1} \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_2} \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_3} \cdots \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_{2\gamma}}$$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma}$ with $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma} \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. For example, $PQPQ$ case is $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 1$ and $\gamma = 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} l &= w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma-1} \\ m &= w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover 2γ means the number of clusters of P 's and Q 's. Next we consider the range of γ . The minimum is $\gamma = 1$, that is, 2 clusters. This case is

$$P \cdots PQ \cdots Q$$

The maximum is $\gamma = l \wedge m$. This case is

$$PQPQPQ \cdots PQP \cdots PQ \quad (l \geq m), \quad PQPQPQ \cdots PQPQ \cdots Q \quad (m \geq l)$$

for examples. Here we introduce a set of sequences with 2γ components: for fixed $\gamma \in [1, l \wedge m]$

$$\begin{aligned} W(r, 2\gamma) &= \{w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2\gamma} : w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma-1} = l, \\ &\quad w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} = m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma-1}, w_{2\gamma} \geq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of part (a), Eq. (3.9) becomes

$$C(r, w)_n^{(2\gamma)}(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^{m+\gamma} R$$

for $l \geq 1, m \geq 1$, that is, $\gamma \geq 1$, and $w \in W(r, 2\gamma)$. Furthermore

$$|W(r, 2\gamma)| = \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1}$$

From the above results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n(l, m)R &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} \sum_{w \in W(r, 2\gamma)} C(r, w)_n^{(2\gamma)}(l, m) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} |W(r, 2\gamma)| C(r, w)_n^{(2\gamma)}(l, m) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1} R
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$r_n(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1}$$

When $l \wedge m = 0$, this case is

$$r_n(l, m) = 0$$

(d) $s_n(l, m)$ case : First we assume $l \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$. In order to compute $s_n(l, m)$, it is enough to consider only the following case:

$$C(s, w)_n^{(2\gamma)}(l, m) = \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_1} \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_2} \overbrace{QQ \cdots Q}^{w_3} \cdots \overbrace{PP \cdots P}^{w_{2\gamma}}$$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2\gamma}$ with $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2\gamma} \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. For example, $QPQP$ case is $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 1$ and $\gamma = 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
l &= w_2 + w_4 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma} \\
m &= w_1 + w_3 + \cdots + w_{2\gamma-1}
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case of part (c), we obtain

$$s_n(l, m) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^m \sum_{\gamma=1}^{l \wedge m} (-1)^\gamma \binom{l-1}{\gamma-1} \binom{m-1}{\gamma-1}$$

When $l \wedge m = 0$, this case is

$$s_n(l, m) = 0$$

It should be noted that

$$r_n(l, m) = s_n(l, m)$$

for any l and m . When $l \wedge m \geq 1$, combining the above results (a) - (d) gives the proof of part (i). Proofs of parts (ii) and (iii) are trivial. So the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

Appendix B: Computation of the m th Moment

We begin with

$$E((Z^\varphi)^{2n}) = \int_{-1/\sqrt{2}}^{1/\sqrt{2}} \frac{x^{2n}}{\pi(1-x^2)\sqrt{1-2x^2}} dx = \frac{2^{(3-2n)/2}}{\pi} \times I_{2n}$$

where Z^φ is a weak limit distribution of the rescaled Hadamard walk starting from φ and

$$I_n = \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{(2-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2}} dy = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n \theta}{1+\cos^2 \theta} d\theta$$

It should be noted that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2n+2} &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\{2 - (1 + \cos^2 \theta)\} \sin^{2n} \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} d\theta \\ &= 2I_{2n} - \frac{(2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 1}{2n(2n-2)\cdots 2} \times \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_n = I_{2n}/2^n$. Then we see that for $n \geq 0$

$$J_{n+1} - J_n = -\frac{\pi}{2^{3n+2}} \binom{2n}{n}$$

where

$$J_0 = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad J_1 = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}}\pi$$

So we have

$$I_{2n} = 2^n J_n = 2^n \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{3k+2}} \binom{2k}{k} \right] \pi$$

Therefore for $n \geq 1$,

$$E((Z^\varphi)^{2n}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{3k}} \binom{2k}{k}$$

In particular,

$$E((Z^\varphi)^2) = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2}$$

Acknowledgment. This work is partially financed by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No.12440024) of Japan Society of the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] Aharonov, D., Ambainis, A., Kempe, J., and Vazirani, U. V., (2001), Quantum walks on graphs, In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing: 50-59.
- [2] Ambainis, A., Bach, E., Nayak, A., Vishwanath, A., and Watrous, J., (2001), One-dimensional quantum walks, In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing: 37-49.
- [3] Bach, E., Coppersmith, S., Goldschen, M. P., Joynt, R., and Watrous, J., (2002), One-dimensional quantum walks with absorption boundaries, quant-ph/0207008.
- [4] Brun, T. A., Carteret, H. A., and Ambainis, A., (2002a), The quantum to classical transition for random walks, quant-ph/0208195.
- [5] Brun, T. A., Carteret, H. A., and Ambainis, A., (2002b), Quantum walks driven by many coins, quant-ph/0210161.
- [6] Brun, T. A., Carteret, H. A., and Ambainis, A., (2003), Quantum random walks with decoherent coins, Physical Review A, **67**: 032304.
- [7] Carteret, H. A., Ismail, M. E. H., and Richmond, B., (2003), Three routes to the exact asymptotics for the one-dimensional quantum walk, quant-ph/0303105.
- [8] Childs, A. M., Farhi, E., and Gutmann, S., (2002), An example of the difference between quantum and classical random walks, Quantum Information Processing, **1**: 35-43.
- [9] Childs, A. M., Cleve, R., Deotto, E., Farhi, E., Gutmann, S., and Spielman, D. A., (2003), Exponential algorithmic speedup by quantum walk, In Proceedings 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing: 59-68.
- [10] Dür, W., Raussendorf, R., Kendon, V. M., and Briegel, H.-J., (2002), Quantum random walks in optical lattices, quant-ph/0207137.
- [11] Gudder, S. P., (1988), Quantum Probability, Academic Press Inc., CA.
- [12] Hashimoto, Y., Hora, A., and Obata, N., (2003), Central limit theorems for large graphs: Method of quantum decomposition, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **44**: 71-88.
- [13] Kempe, J., (2003), Quantum random walks hit exponentially faster, In Proceedings of 7th International Workshop on Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science (RANDOM'03): 354-369.
- [14] Kempe, J., (2003), Quantum random walks - an introductory overview, quant-ph/0303081.
- [15] Kendon, V., and Tregenna B., (2002a), Decoherence is useful in quantum walks, quant-ph/0209005.
- [16] Kendon, V., and Tregenna B., (2002b), Decoherence in a quantum walk on the line, quant-ph/0210047.
- [17] Konno, N., (2002a), Quantum random walks in one dimension, Quantum Information Processing, **1**.

- [18] Konno, N., (2002b), A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk, quant-ph/0206103.
- [19] Konno, N., (2002c), Limit theorems and absorption problems for quantum random walks in one dimension, Quantum Information and Computation, **2**: 578-595.
- [20] Konno, N., Namiki, T. Soshi, T., and Sudbury, A., (2003), Absorption problems for quantum walks in one dimension, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, **36**: 241-253.
- [21] Leroux, P., (2002), Coassociative grammar, periodic orbits and quantum random walk over \mathbf{Z}^1 , quant-ph/0209100.
- [22] Mackay, T. D., Bartlett, S. D., Stephanson, L. T., and Sanders, B. C., (2002), Quantum walks in higher dimensions, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, **35**: 2745-2753.
- [23] Meyer, D., (1996), From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases, Journal of Statistical Physics, **85**: 551-574.
- [24] Moore, C., and Russell, A., (2001), Quantum walks on the hypercubes, quant-ph/0104137.
- [25] Nielsen, M. A., and Chuang, I. L., (2000), Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [26] Severini, S., (2002a), On the pattern of a unitary matrix, math.CO/0205187.
- [27] Severini, S., (2002b), Line digraphs and coined quantum random walks, quant-ph/0210055.
- [28] Travaglione, B. C., and Milburn, G. J., (2002), Implementing the quantum random walk, Physical Review A, **65**: 032310.
- [29] Yamasaki, T., Kobayashi, H., and Imai, H., (2003), Analysis of absorbing times of quantum walks, Physical Review A, **68**: 012302.