

Analysis of Absorbing Times of Quantum Walks

Tomohiro Yamasaki* Hirotada Kobayashi*[†] Hiroshi Imai^{†‡}
yamasaki@is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp hirotada@qci.jst.go.jp imai@is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

*Department of Information Science
Graduate School of Science
The University of Tokyo
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[†]Quantum Computation and Information Project
Exploratory Research for Advanced Technology
Japan Science and Technology Corporation
5-28-3 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[‡]Department of Computer Science
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology
The University of Tokyo
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

28 April 2003

Abstract

Quantum walks are expected to provide useful algorithmic tools for quantum computation. This paper introduces absorbing probability and time of quantum walks and gives both numerical simulation results and theoretical analyses on Hadamard walks on the line and symmetric walks on the hypercube from the viewpoint of absorbing probability and time.

1 Introduction

Random walks, or often called Markov chains, on graphs have found a number of applications in various fields, not only in natural science such as physical systems and mathematical modeling of life phenomena but also in social science such as financial systems. Also in computer science, random walks have been applied to various problems such as 2-SAT, approximation of the permanent [10, 11], and estimation of the volume of convex bodies [6]. Schöning's elegant algorithm for 3-SAT [15] and its improvement [9] are also based on classical random walks. Moreover, one of the most advantageous points of classical random walks as a useful algorithmic tool is that they use only simple local transitions to obtain global properties of the instance.

Thus, it is natural to consider quantum generalization of classical random walks, which may be very useful in constructing efficient quantum algorithms, for which only a few general algorithmic tools have been developed, including Fourier sampling and amplitude amplification. There have been considered two types of quantum walks: one is a discrete-time walk discussed by Watrous [16], Ambainis, Bach, Nayak, Vishwanath, and Watrous [2], Aharonov, Ambainis, Kempe, and Vazirani [1], and Moore and Russell [14], and the other is a continuous-time one by Farhi and Gutmann [7], Childs, Farhi,

and Gutmann [5], and Moore and Russell [14]. All of these studies demonstrate that the behaviors of quantum walks are quite different from classical ones. In the theory of classical random walks, one of the important measures is the *mixing time*, which is the time necessary to have the probability distribution of the particle be sufficiently close to the stationary distribution. Unfortunately, Aharonov, Ambainis, Kempe, and Vazirani [1] showed a rather negative result that discrete-time quantum walks can be at most polynomially faster than classical ones in view of mixing time. As for continuous-time quantum walks, Farhi and Gutmann [7] and Childs, Farhi, and Gutmann [5] claimed that quantum walks propagate exponentially faster than classical ones. Furthermore, a recent result by Child, Cleve, Farhi, Deotto, and Spielman [4] has shown an example of a black-box problem in which continuous time quantum walks can provide an exponential speedup to all classical algorithms (not necessarily limited to random walks).

This paper focuses on the discrete-time type of quantum walks and introduces two new criteria for propagation speed of discrete-time quantum walks: *absorbing probability* and *absorbing time*. A number of properties of quantum walks are investigated in view of these criteria. In particular, the behaviors of Hadamard walks on the line and symmetric walks on the hypercube are discussed through the results of numerical simulation experiments. In our simulations, quantum walks on the hypercube appear exponentially faster than classical ones in absorbing time under certain situations. More precisely, such a speedup would happen in the case the absorbing vertex is located “near” to the antipodal vertex of the initial vertex. Several theoretical analyses are also given on classical and quantum symmetric walks on the hypercube.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the formal definition of (discrete-time) quantum walks, and introduces new criteria for propagation speed of quantum walks. Section 3 and Section 4 deal with a number of numerical simulations on Hadamard walks on the line and symmetric walks on the hypercube, respectively. Section 5 gives several theoretical analyses on symmetric walks on the hypercube. Finally, we conclude with Section 6, which summarizes our results.

2 Definitions

In this section, we give a formal definition of quantum walks. As mentioned in the previous section, there exist two types of quantum generalizations of classical random walks: discrete-time quantum walks and continuous-time quantum walks. Here we only give a definition of discrete-time ones, which this paper treats. We also introduce new criteria of absorbing probability and absorbing time for propagation speed of quantum walks.

2.1 Discrete-Time Walks

In direct analogy to classical random walks, one may try to define quantum walks as follows: at each step, the particle moves in all directions with equal amplitudes. However, such a walk is impossible for a discrete-time model since the evolution of whole quantum system would not be always unitary. Indeed Meyer [13] proved the impossibility of such discrete-time quantum walks on a lattice. Here we review the definition of discrete-time quantum walks on graphs according to [1].

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph where V is a set of vertices and E is a set of edges. For theoretical convenience, we assume that G is d -regular (i.e. each vertex of the graph G is connected to exactly d edges). For each vertex $v \in V$, label each edge connected to this vertex with a number between 1 and d such that, for each $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the directed edges labeled a form a permutation. In other words, for each vertex $v \in V$, not only label each outgoing edge $(v, w) \in E$ with a number between 1 and d but also label each incoming edge $(w, v) \in E$ with a number between 1 and d , where w is a vertex in V and $w \neq v$. In the case that G is a Cayley graph, the labeling of a directed edge simply corresponds to the generator associated with the edge. An example of a Cayley graph and its labeling are in Figure 1.




Figure 1: An example of a Cayley graph and its labeling ($g^4 = e, h^2 = e, g \circ h = h \circ g$).

Let \mathcal{H}_V be the Hilbert space spanned by $\{|v\rangle \mid v \in V\}$, and let \mathcal{H}_A be the auxiliary Hilbert space spanned by $\{|a\rangle \mid 1 \leq a \leq d\}$. We think of this auxiliary Hilbert space as a ‘‘coin space’’.

Definition 1 ([1]) Let C be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H}_A which we think of as a ‘‘coin-tossing operator’’, and let a shift operator S on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_V$ be defined as $S|a, v\rangle = |a, w\rangle$ where w is the a th neighbor of vertex v . One step of transition of the quantum walk on the graph G is defined by a unitary operator $W = S(C \otimes I_V)$ where I_V is the identity operator on \mathcal{H}_V . We call such a walk a discrete-time quantum walk.

More generally, we relax the restriction on the exact form of the quantum walk operator W and define general quantum walks such that W respects only the structure of the graph G . In other words, we require that, in the superposition $W|a, v\rangle$ for each $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $v \in V$, only basis states $|a', v'\rangle$ for $a' \in \{1, \dots, d\}, v' \in \text{neighbor}(v) \cup \{v\}$ have non-zero amplitudes, where $\text{neighbor}(v)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to v . Thus the graph G does not need to be d -regular and the particle at v in the quantum walk moves to one of the vertices adjacent to v or stays at v in one step.

2.2 Criteria of Propagation Speed

One of the properties of random or quantum walks we investigate is how fast they spread over a graph. In order to evaluate it, a criterion ‘‘mixing time’’ has been considered traditionally. However, Aharonov, Ambainis, Kempe, and Vazirani [1] showed that, in view of mixing time, discrete-time quantum walks can be at most polynomially faster than classical ones. To seek the possibility of quantum walks being advantageous to classical ones, this paper introduces another two new criteria of propagation speed of quantum walks: *absorbing probability* and *absorbing time*. Let us consider the set of *absorbing vertices* such that the particle is absorbed if it reaches a vertex in this set. This is done by considering a measurement that is described by the projection operators over $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_V$: $P = I_A \otimes \sum_{v \in \text{absorb}(V)} |v\rangle\langle v|$ and $P' = I_A \otimes \sum_{v \notin \text{absorb}(V)} |v\rangle\langle v|$, where $\text{absorb}(V) \subset V$ is the set of the absorbing vertices and I_A is the identity operator over \mathcal{H}_A .

By using density operators, one step of transition of a discrete-time quantum walk can be described by a completely positive (CP) map Λ as follows:

$$\Lambda : \rho \mapsto \rho' = IP\rho PI + WP'\rho P'W^\dagger,$$

where ρ and ρ' are density operators over the entire system of the quantum walk, W is a unitary operator corresponding to one step of transition of the quantum walk, and I is the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_V$.

Now, we give a formal definition of absorbing probability.

Definition 2 *Absorbing probability of a quantum walk is the probability that the particle which starts at the initial vertex eventually reaches one of the absorbing vertices. More formally, it is defined as*

$$Prob = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p(t),$$

where $p(t)$ is the probability that the particle reaches one of the absorbing vertices at time t for the first time.

Let $|\psi_0\rangle$ be the initial state of the system, and let $\rho_0 = |\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|$. Then $p(t) = \text{tr}(\Lambda^t(\rho_0)P) - \text{tr}(\Lambda^{t-1}(\rho_0)P)$ since $\text{tr}(\Lambda^t(\rho_0)P)$ and $\text{tr}(\Lambda^{t-1}(\rho_0)P)$ are the probabilities that the particle is absorbed by the time t and $t - 1$, respectively. Here we allowed a little abuse of a notation Λ such that $\Lambda^2(\rho) = \Lambda(\Lambda(\rho))$, $\Lambda^3(\rho) = \Lambda(\Lambda^2(\rho))$, \dots , $\Lambda^t(\rho) = \Lambda(\Lambda^{t-1}(\rho))$, and so on.

Next, we give a formal definition of *nominal absorbing time*.

Definition 3 *Nominal absorbing time of a quantum walk is the expected time to have the particle which starts at the initial vertex eventually reach one of the absorbing vertices. More formally, it is defined as*

$$Time_{\text{nom}} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} tp(t),$$

where $p(t)$ is the probability that the particle reaches one of the absorbing vertices at time t for the first time.

Even though nominal absorbing time is bounded polynomial in the size of the graph instance, the quantum walk may not be efficient from a viewpoint of computational complexity if absorbing probability is exponentially small, because we need repeating the walk $O(1/p)$ times to have a total absorption probability of $O(1)$ in the case the probability of absorption is p . Thus we cannot regard nominal absorbing time as a criterion of how fast a quantum walk spreads over a graph. Instead, we use the following *real absorbing time* as a criterion of the propagation speed of quantum walks.

Definition 4 *Real absorbing time of a quantum walk is defined as*

$$Time_{\text{real}} = \frac{Time_{\text{nom}}}{Prob} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} tp(t)}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p(t)},$$

where $p(t)$ is the probability that the particle reaches one of the absorbing vertices at time t for the first time.

In what follows, we may refer to this real absorbing time as absorbing time in short, when it is not confusing.

3 Hadamard Walks on the Line

3.1 The Model

We start with the model of discrete-time quantum walks on the line, which was introduced and discussed by Ambainis, Bach, Nayak, Vishwanath, and Watrous [2]. Note that the line can be regarded as a Cayley graph of the Abelian group \mathbb{Z} with generators $+1$ and -1 , denoted by “R” and “L”, respectively. Thus, the shift operator S is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S|\text{R}, v\rangle &= |\text{R}, v + 1\rangle, \\ S|\text{L}, v\rangle &= |\text{L}, v - 1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: Absorbing probability r_m for Hadamard walks.

where $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the vertex at which the particle is located.

Recall that the particle doing a classical random walk determines a direction to move randomly at each step. In the quantum case, therefore, it is quite natural to set a coin-tossing operator C as the Hadamard operator

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We call this quantum walk an *Hadamard walk on the line*. A number of properties were shown in [2] on this model, including several different aspects of Hadamard walks from their classical counterparts.

This paper considers the following process for some fixed m [17], which is slightly different from the model above.

1. Initialize the system in the state $|R, 0\rangle$, that is, let the particle be located at vertex 0 at time 0, with the chirality being R.
2. (a) Apply $W = S(H \otimes I_{\mathbb{Z}})$.
 (b) Measure the system according to $\{\Pi_m, \Pi'_m\}$ such that $\Pi_m = I_2 \otimes |m\rangle\langle m|$ and $\Pi'_m = I_2 \otimes (I_{\mathbb{Z}} - |m\rangle\langle m|)$, where I_2 is the two-dimensional identity operator (i.e. measure the system to observe whether the particle is located at the vertex m or not).
3. If the particle is observed to be located at the vertex m after the measurement, the process terminates, otherwise it repeats Step 2.

3.2 Numerical Simulations

Let r_m be absorbing probability that the particle is eventually absorbed by the boundary at the vertex m . Figure 2 illustrates the relation between m (in x -axis) and r_m (in y -axis). From Figure 2, we conjecture that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = 1/2$.

Next, let us consider a more general model of Hadamard walks whose coin-tossing operator is defined as the matrix

$$H_p = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p} & \sqrt{1-p} \\ \sqrt{1-p} & -\sqrt{p} \end{pmatrix},$$

instead of H . Figure 3 illustrates the relation between p (in x -axis) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_m$ (in y -axis) for these generalized Hadamard walks. Although we have not yet derived a closed form for r_m , we conjecture the following.




Figure 3: Relation between p and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} r_m$ for generalized Hadamard walks.




Figure 4: The 3-dimensional hypercube. Each vertex can be regarded as a binary representation of length 3 (e.g. $g_1 \simeq (100)_2$ or $g_2g_3 \simeq (011)_2$).

Conjecture 1 For a generalized Hadamard walk with a coin-tossing operator H_p ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = \frac{\sin^{-1}(2p-1)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark This conjecture was proved very recently by Bach, Coppersmith, Goldschien, Joynt, and Watrous [3].

4 Symmetric Walks on the n -Dimensional Hypercube

4.1 The Model

Next we consider the discrete-time quantum walks on the graph G of the n -dimensional hypercube, which was introduced and discussed by Moore and Russell [14]. Note that the n -dimensional hypercube can be regarded as a Cayley graph of the Abelian group \mathbb{Z}_2^n with generators g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n such that $g_1^2 = g_2^2 = \dots = g_n^2 = e$. Thus, the shift operator S is defined as $S|a, v\rangle = |a, v \circ g_a\rangle$, where $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a label and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is a vertex at which the particle is located. Figure 4 illustrates the case with the 3-dimensional hypercube.

A coin-tossing operator C is set as the Grover's diffusion operator [8]:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{n} - 1 & \frac{2}{n} & \cdots & \frac{2}{n} \\ \frac{2}{n} & \frac{2}{n} - 1 & \cdots & \frac{2}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{2}{n} & \frac{2}{n} & \cdots & \frac{2}{n} - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 5: Absorbing time of quantum symmetric walks on the n -dimensional hypercube. The solid line “quantum” is the case that absorbing vertex is located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The dashed line “quantum[average]” is the case that absorbing vertex is located at random. The dotted line represents the function 2^n .

Notice that coin-tossing operators in this model should obey the permutation symmetry of the hypercube. Among such operators, the Grover’s diffusion operator is the one farthest away from the identity operator under the standard operator norm (see [14] for detailed discussions). We call this quantum walk a *symmetric walk on the n -dimensional hypercube*. A number of properties were shown in [14] on the mixing time of this model in comparison with the classical case.

The process of this quantum walk is defined as follows:

1. Initialize the system in the state $|1, 0\rangle$. That is, let the particle be located at the vertex 0 at time 0, with the labeling being 1.
2. For every chosen number t of steps, apply W^t to the system, where $W = S(D \otimes I_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n})$, and then observe the location of the particle.

4.2 Numerical Simulations

Figure 5 illustrates the relation between the dimension n of the hypercube (in x -axis) and absorbing time of quantum walks (in y -axis). One can see that, if the absorbing vertex is located at random, absorbing time averaged over all choices of the absorbing vertex increases exponentially with respect to n . This is similar to the classical case for which the absorbing time is theoretically analyzed in the next section. However, if the absorbing vertex is located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, absorbing time seems to increase polynomially (quadratically, more precisely) with respect to n . This may suggest a striking contrast between quantum and classical symmetric walks on the n -dimensional hypercube that propagation between a particular pair of vertices is *exponentially* faster in the quantum case.

Table 1 shows the relation between (nominal and real) absorbing time of the quantum walk on the 8-dimensional hypercube and Hamming distance between the initial vertex and the absorbing vertex. One can see the following:

- absorbing probability is large if the absorbing vertex is located near the initial vertex or is located far from the initial vertex, while it is small if the absorbing vertex is located in the “middle” of the hypercube relative to the initial vertex,
- nominal absorbing time has values of almost the same order except for the trivial case that Hamming distance is 0,

Hamming distance	Absorbing time (real)	=	Absorbing time (nominal)	/	Absorbing probability
0	0.0000	=	0.0000	/	1.0000
1	29.0000	=	29.0000	/	1.0000
2	59.0000	=	16.8571	/	0.2857
3	97.2444	=	13.8921	/	0.1429
4	115.5175	=	13.2020	/	0.1143
5	95.7844	=	13.6835	/	0.1429
6	56.3111	=	16.0889	/	0.2857
7	26.5603	=	26.5603	/	1.0000
8	22.3137	=	22.3137	/	1.0000

Table 1: Absorbing time and absorbing probability of a quantum symmetric walk on the 8-dimensional hypercube.

- nominal absorbing time is small, but real absorbing time is large, in the case of small absorbing probability.

In the classical case, the shorter Hamming distance between the initial vertex and the absorbing vertex is, the sooner the particle reaches the absorbing vertex, which meets our intuition. In the quantum case, however, our simulation result above is quite counter-intuitive.

From our simulation results illustrated by Figure 5 and Table 1, we conjecture the following for the quantum case.

Conjecture 2 *Absorbing probability of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube is $\min\left\{1, \frac{n}{\binom{n}{i}}\right\}$, where i represents Hamming distance between the initial vertex and the absorbing vertex.*

Conjecture 3 *Nominal absorbing time of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube is $O(n^2)$ independent of the location of the absorbing vertex, except for the trivial case that the initial vertex is the absorbing vertex.*

Conjecture 4 *Real absorbing time of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube is $\frac{n^2-n+2}{2}$ if Hamming distance between the initial vertex and the absorbing vertex is 1, $O(n^2)$ if Hamming distance is n , and $\Theta(2^n)$ if Hamming distance is close to $\frac{n}{2}$.*

Remark Kempe [12] recently showed that real absorbing time of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube is bounded polynomial in n if Hamming distance between the initial vertex and the absorbing vertex is n .

5 Theoretical Analyses of Symmetric Walks

5.1 Classical Symmetric Walks

First we state a property on the absorbing time of classical symmetric walks on the n -dimensional hypercube.

Proposition 5 *Absorbing time of classical symmetric walks on the n -dimensional hypercube is $\Theta(2^n)$ independent of the location of the absorbing vertex, except for the trivial case that the initial vertex is the absorbing vertex.*




Figure 6: Absorbing time of quantum walks on higher dimensional hypercubes with the absorbing vertex located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The dotted line represents the function $n^{1.5}$.

Then, the shift operator is applied to this vector to have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(C \otimes I)|f_i\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \left(\frac{2(n-i)}{n} \sum_{(a,v) \in F_{i-1}} |a,v\rangle + \frac{n-2i}{n} \sum_{(a,v) \in B_{i+1}} |a,v\rangle \right) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{|F_{i-1}|}{|F_i|}} \cdot \frac{2(n-i)}{n} |f_{i-1}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{|B_{i+1}|}{|F_i|}} \cdot \frac{n-2i}{n} |b_{i+1}\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{4ni - 4i^2}}{n} |f_{i-1}\rangle + \frac{n-2i}{n} |b_{i+1}\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Similarly, the coin-tossing operator and shift operator are applied in sequence to the state $|b_i\rangle$ to have

$$S(C \otimes I)|b_i\rangle = -\frac{n-2i}{n} |f_{i-1}\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{4ni - 4i^2}}{n} |b_{i+1}\rangle. \tag{2}$$

The lemma holds immediately from (1) and (2). \square

The following is immediate from Lemma 6.

Corollary 7 *One step of transition of symmetric quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube with the absorbing vertex located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is described by a CP map $\Lambda : \rho_t \mapsto \rho_{t+1} = U_n P'_n \rho_t P'_n U_n^\dagger + I_n P_n \rho_t P_n I_n$, where ρ_t and ρ_{t+1} are density operators of the system at time t and $t+1$, respectively, I_n is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix, P_n is a $2n \times 2n$ projection matrix whose $(2n, 2n)$ -element is 1 and all the others are 0, and $P'_n = I_n - P_n$.*

By this corollary, we can do numerical simulations for much larger n , say $n = 500$. Figure 6 illustrates the relation between the dimension n of the hypercube (in x -axis) and absorbing time of quantum walks (in y -axis) for larger n . One can see that absorbing time is close to $1.25n^{1.5}$.

In what follows, we focus on the case that the absorbing vertex is at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Since both absorbing probability and nominal absorbing time are defined as power series, and time evolution of the quantum walk is described by a CP map (hence by a linear operator), it is significant to investigate the properties of the matrix corresponding to time evolution operator and its eigenvalues in order to study behaviors of absorbing time with respect to the dimension n . First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8 *Every eigenvalue of $U_n P'_n$ has its absolute value of less than 1, where $P'_n = I_n - P_n$.*

Proof. It is obvious that every eigenvalue of $U_n P'_n$ has its absolute value of at most 1.

Suppose that there exists an eigenvalue λ of $U_n P'_n$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$. Let \mathbf{e} be the eigenvector of $U_n P'_n$ corresponding to λ . Then the $2n$ th element of \mathbf{e} is 0 and $P'_n \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}$, since applying the projection operator P'_n changes the $2n$ th element of \mathbf{e} into 0 and $|\lambda| = 1$. Thus we have $U_n \mathbf{e} = \lambda \mathbf{e}$, which implies that \mathbf{e} is an eigenvector of U_n . However, it is easy to check by calculation that, in every eigenvector of U_n , the $2n$ th element of it is not 0, which is a contradiction. \square

By using Lemma 8, exact values of absorbing probability and absorbing time can be described for quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube with the absorbing vertex located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Proposition 9 *Let X_n be the $2n \times 2n$ symmetric matrix satisfying $X_n - U_n P'_n X_n P'_n U_n^\dagger = \rho_0$, where $P'_n = I_n - P_n$ and ρ_0 is the initial density operator of a $2n \times 2n$ matrix whose $(1, 1)$ -element is 1 and all the other elements are 0. Then the absorbing time of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube with the absorbing vertex located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is $\text{tr} X_n - 1$.*

Proof. Let $p(t)$ denote the probability that the particle reaches the absorbing vertex $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ at time t for the first time. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \text{tr}((U_n P'_n)^t \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^t P_n) \\ &= \text{tr}((U_n P'_n)^t \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^t - (U_n P'_n)^{t+1} \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^{t+1}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 8, both absorbing probability and nominal absorbing time are convergent series. Thus we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob} &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p(t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}((U_n P'_n)^t \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^t - (U_n P'_n)^{t+1} \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^{t+1}) \\ &= \text{tr} \rho_0 = 1, \\ \text{Time}_{\text{nom}} &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t p(t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t \text{tr}((U_n P'_n)^t \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^t - (U_n P'_n)^{t+1} \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^{t+1}) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (U_n P'_n)^{t+1} \rho_0 (P'_n U_n^\dagger)^{t+1} \right) = \text{tr}(U_n P'_n X_n P'_n U_n^\dagger) = \text{tr} X_n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that real absorbing time is $\text{tr} X_n - 1$. \square

Another characterization of values of the absorbing time is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 10 *Let $f_n(x)$ be a power series of x defined as*

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} a_t x^t = \frac{2^{n-1} (n-1)!}{n^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{x^{n+1}}{\det(xI_n - U_n P'_n)}.$$

Then the absorbing time of quantum walks on the n -dimensional hypercube with the absorbing vertex located at $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t |a_t|^2$.

Proof. Let $\langle b_n | = (0 \cdots 0 1)$ and $|f_0\rangle = (1 0 \cdots 0)^T$. Then $\langle b_n | (U_n P'_n)^t |f_0\rangle$ gives the amplitude that the particle reaches the absorbing vertex $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ at time t for the first time. Consider a power series of x , $g_n(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \langle b_n | (U_n P'_n)^t |f_0\rangle x^t$. We prove that this $g_n(x)$ is equivalent to $f_n(x)$.

Note that $g_n(x) = (0 \cdots 0 1) (\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (x U_n P'_n)^t) (1 0 \cdots 0)^T$, which is equal to the $(2n, 1)$ -element of $(I_n - x U_n P'_n)^{-1}$. Thus we have for $x \neq 0$,

$$g_n(x) = \frac{x^{2n}}{\det(xI_n - U_n P'_n)} (-1)^{2n+1} \Delta_{1,2n},$$

where $\Delta_{1,2n}$ is a minor of $xI_n - U_n P'_n$ with respect to $(1, 2n)$.

It is straightforward to show that $\Delta_{1,2n} = -\frac{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}{n^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n-1}}$, and thus

$$g_n(x) = \frac{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}{n^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{x^{n+1}}{\det(xI_n - U_n P'_n)} = f_n(x).$$

By the definition of $g_n(x)$, each coefficient a_t of x^t in the power series $f_n(x)$ corresponds to the amplitude that the particle reaches the absorbing vertex $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ at time t for the first time. Since the absorbing probability is 1 from the proof of Proposition 9, the real absorbing time is $Time_{\text{real}} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t|a_t|^2$. \square

6 Conclusions

This paper focused on the absorbing probability and time of quantum walks through numerical simulations and theoretical analyses on Hadamard walks on the line and symmetric walks on the hypercube.

In our numerical simulations, quantum walks behaved in manners quite different from classical walks. In particular, quantum walks on the hypercube appeared exponentially faster than classical ones in absorbing time under certain situations. For some of our conjectures based on these numerical results, recent papers [12, 3] subsequent to ours have given theoretical proofs.

As for our theoretical analyses on symmetric walks on the hypercube, the authors believe that they are quite useful in simplifying numerical calculations of the absorbing time of them.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Jun Hasegawa and Takashi Yamada for their help in our numerical simulations. The authors would also like to thank anonymous referees for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] Dorit Aharonov, Andris Ambainis, Julia Kempe, and Umesh V. Vazirani. Quantum walks on graphs. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 50–59, 2001.
- [2] Andris Ambainis, Eric Bach, Ashwin Nayak, Ashwin Vishwanath, and John H. Watrous. One-dimensional quantum walks. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 37–49, 2001.
- [3] Eric Bach, Susan Coppersmith, Marcel P. Goldschen, Robert Joynt, and John H. Watrous. One-dimensional quantum walks with absorbing boundaries. Los Alamos e-print archive, quant-ph/0207008, 2002.
- [4] Andrew M. Childs, Richard E. Cleve, Enrico Deotto, Edward H. Farhi, Sam Gutmann, and Daniel A. Spielman. Exponential algorithmic speedup by a quantum walk. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 2003. To appear.
- [5] Andrew M. Childs, Edward H. Farhi, and Sam Gutmann. An example of the difference between quantum and classical random walks. *Quantum Information Processing*, 1(1–2):35–43, 2002.
- [6] Martin E. Dyer, Alan M. Frieze, and Ravi Kannan. A random polynomial time algorithm for approximating the volume of convex bodies. *Journal of the ACM*, 38(1):1–17, 1991. Preliminary version appeared in *Proceedings of the Twenty-First Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 375–381, 1989.

- [7] Edward H. Farhi and Sam Gutmann. Quantum computation and decision trees. *Physical Review A*, 58(2):915–928, 1998.
- [8] Lov K. Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 212–219, 1996.
- [9] Thomas Hofmeister, Uwe Schöning, Rainer Schuler, and Osamu Watanabe. A probabilistic 3-SAT algorithm further improved. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, volume 2285 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 192–202, 2002.
- [10] Mark Jerrum and Alistair Sinclair. Approximating the permanent. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 18(6):1149–1178, 1989.
Preliminary version entitled “Conductance and the rapid mixing property for Markov chains: the approximation of the permanent resolved (preliminary version)” appeared in *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 235–244, 1988.
- [11] Mark Jerrum, Alistair Sinclair, and Eric Vigoda. A polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanent of a matrix with non-negative entries. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 712–721, 2001.
- [12] Julia Kempe. Quantum random walks hit exponentially faster. Los Alamos e-print archive, quant-ph/0205083, 2002.
- [13] David A. Meyer. From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 85(5–6):551–574, 1996.
- [14] Cristopher Moore and Alexander Russell. Quantum walks on the hypercube. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science*, volume 2483 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 164–178, 2002.
- [15] Uwe Schöning. A probabilistic algorithm for k -SAT and constraint satisfaction problems. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 410–414, 1999.
- [16] John H. Watrous. Quantum simulations of classical random walks and undirected graph connectivity. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 62(2):376–391, 2001.
Preliminary version appeared in *Proceedings of the 14th Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 180–187, 1999.
- [17] Tomohiro Yamasaki. Quantum walks and two-way quantum one-counter automata. Master’s thesis, Department of Information Science, The University of Tokyo, March 2002.