

General realization of $N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics and its applications

Dong Ruan*

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China, Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics, National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator, Lanzhou, 730000, P. R. China The Key Laboratory of Quantum Information and Measurements of Ministry of Education, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China, and

Weicheng Huang

Institute of Applied Chemistry, Xingjiang University, Urumqi 830046, P. R. China

arXiv:quant-ph/0204048v1 9 Apr 2002

Typeset by LaTeX

*Email: dongruan@tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

Based upon the general supercharges which involve not only generators C_j of the Clifford algebra $C(4,0)$ with positive metric, but also operators of third order, $C_j C_k C_l$, the general form of $N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SSQM), which brings out the richer structures, is realized. Then from them, an one-dimensional physical realization and a new multi-dimensional physical realization of $N = 4$ SSQM are respectively obtained by solving the constraint conditions. As applications, $N = 4$ dynamical superconformal symmetries, which possess both the $N = 4$ supersymmetries and the usual dynamical conformal symmetries, are studied in detail by considering two simple superpotentials k/x and ωx , and their corresponding superalgebraic structures, which are spanned by eight fermionic generators and six bosonic generators, are established as well.

PACS: 11.30.Pb, 03.65.Fd

Keywords: supersymmetric quantum mechanics, supersymmetry, Clifford algebra, superconformal symmetry

I INTRODUCTION

Since the idea of supersymmetry was applied to quantum mechanical systems [1, 2] to discover dynamical supersymmetry in ordinary quantum mechanics in order to explain the degeneracies of energy spectra extensively studies have been undertaken over the last twenty years in many aspects such as atomic physics, [3, 4, 5] nuclear physics, [6] many-body systems, [7, 8] and so on. According to Witten, [2] a supersymmetric quantum mechanical system is characterized by the existence of N Hermitian supercharges Q^α which, together with the supersymmetric Hamiltonian H of this system, satisfy the following superalgebraic structure

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q^\alpha, Q^\beta\} &= 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}H, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ (Q^\alpha)^\dagger &= Q^\alpha, \quad [H, Q^\alpha] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\{, \}$ and $[,]$ denote an anticommutator and a commutator respectively. We call Eq. (1) a supersymmetric quantum mechanical algebra, denoted by $SS(N)$. When $N = 2$, the simplest non-trivial realization of $SS(2)$ was first given by Witten [2]

$$\begin{aligned} Q^1 &= \frac{1}{2}\{p\sigma_1 + U(x)\sigma_2\}, \\ Q^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\{p\sigma_2 - U(x)\sigma_1\}, \\ H^W &= \frac{1}{2}\{p^2 + [U(x)]^2 + U'(x)\sigma_3\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $p = -i\frac{d}{dx}$, $U'(x) \equiv \frac{d}{dx}U(x)$, $U(x)$ is generally called a superpotential, and σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the usual Pauli matrices. [9] This supersymmetric Hamiltonian H^W describes a quantum mechanical system of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle moving on a line (x -axis).

While $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SSQM) has drawn much attention [10, 11] due to its simpler mathematical structure, however, there were only a few attempts at studying $N = 4$ SSQM, [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] which possesses the higher degeneracies than the $N = 2$ SSQM. For example, in one dimension, four-fold degeneracies of energy spectrum may typically occur in the $N = 4$ SSQM, whereas double degeneracies in the $N = 2$ SSQM. All the supercharges considered in Refs. [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] have the following form

$$Q^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^r A_j^\alpha C_j, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N = 4, \quad (3)$$

where A_j^α are first-order differential operators with respect to, ‘bosonic’ degrees of freedoms, the Cartesian coordinates $\{x_n | n = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and the corresponding momentum operators $\{p_n = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \equiv -i\partial_n | n = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ in d -dimensional space, and C_j , ‘fermionic’ degrees of freedoms, are generators of the Clifford algebra $C(r, 0)$ with positive metric in r -dimensional flat carrier space. [17, 18] They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} [x_n, p_m] &= i\delta_{nm}, \quad n, m = 1, 2, \dots, d; \\ \{C_j, C_l\} &= 2\delta_{jl}, \quad C_j^\dagger = C_j, \quad j, l = 1, 2, \dots, r; \\ [x_n, C_j] &= [p_n, C_j] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Obviously, for arbitrary N , the supercharges (3), being linear combinations of the fermionic operators C_j multiplied by the bosonic operators A_j^α , are natural generalizations of the supercharges in Witten’s realization (2) of $N = 2$ SSQM.

In fact, when $r \geq 4$, the Clifford algebra $C(r, 0)$, after a graded structure introduced, may yield a superalgebra. [19, 20] The generators C_j of $C(r, 0)$, together with operators of odd orders in C_j , span the ‘odd’ space of this superalgebra, in which anticommuting operations among all these ‘odd’ elements are allowed. For example, the odd space of the superalgebra associated with $C(4, 0)$ is spanned by the odd elements C_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $C_j C_k C_l$ ($1 \leq j < k < l \leq 4$). The purpose of this paper is to realize the general form of $N = 4$ SSQM in arbitrary dimension starting from the general supercharges in which the fermionic degrees of freedoms include all the odd elements of the superalgebra associated with $C(4, 0)$. As we shall see below, this realization brings out the richer structures.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, the general form of $N = 4$ SSQM is studied in detail by means of the Clifford algebras $C(4, 0)$ and $C(0, 3)$. In Sec. III, an one-dimensional physical realization and a new multi-dimensional physical realization for the $N = 4$ SSQM are respectively obtained by solving the constraint conditions. In Sec. IV, as applications, $N = 4$ superconformal quantum mechanics in one dimension, which is expanded from the one-dimensional realization of $N = 4$ SSQM obtained in Sec. III, is discussed in detail by considering two simple superpotentials k/x and ωx , and their corresponding superalgebraic structures are established. A simple summary is given in the final section.

Throughout this paper we shall adopt units wherein $\hbar = m = 1$, the symbol $[x]$ means taking an integer part of the real number x , and T in the expression A^T is referred to as transpose of the matrix A .

II GENERAL FORM OF $N = 4$ SSQM

For $N = 4$, the four supercharges take the following general form

$$Q^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_j A_j^\alpha C_j + \frac{i}{3!} \sum_{jklm} \epsilon_{jklm} D_j^\alpha C_k C_l C_m \right), \quad (5)$$

$\alpha, j, k, l, m = 1, 2, 3, 4,$

where ϵ_{jklm} is a four-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol, C_j are the generators of the Clifford algebra $C(4, 0)$, A_j^α and D_j^α are the Hermitian first-order differential operators of the d -dimensional coordinates $\{x_n\}$ and momentum operators $\{p_n\}$. Clearly, the supercharges (3) are the special cases of those given by Eq. (5) with setting $D_j^\alpha = 0$.

Substituting Eq. (5) into the first equation of Eq. (1), we may obtain the corresponding supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(U + VC_1C_2C_3C_4) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_l^q \sum_{jk} \wp_{jk}^l B_l \Gamma_{jk}, \quad (6)$$

where

1. $U = \sum_j [(A_j^\alpha)^2 + (D_j^\alpha)^2]$, for any α ;
2. $V = i \sum_j [A_j^\alpha, D_j^\alpha]$, for any α ;
3. $i[A_j^\alpha, A_k^\alpha] + i[D_j^\alpha, D_k^\alpha] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mn} \epsilon_{jkmn} (\{A_m^\alpha, D_n^\alpha\} - \{A_n^\alpha, D_m^\alpha\}) = - \sum_{l=1}^q \varphi_{jk}^l B_l$, for any α ;
4. $\sum_j (\{A_j^\alpha, A_j^\beta\} + \{D_j^\alpha, D_j^\beta\}) = 0$, $\alpha \neq \beta$;
5. $[A_j^\alpha, A_k^\beta] - [A_k^\alpha, A_j^\beta] + [D_j^\alpha, D_k^\beta] - [D_k^\alpha, D_j^\beta] = -i \sum_{mn} \epsilon_{jkmn} (\{A_n^\alpha, D_m^\beta\} + \{A_n^\beta, D_m^\alpha\})$, $\alpha \neq \beta$;
6. $\sum_j ([A_j^\alpha, D_j^\beta] + [A_j^\beta, D_j^\alpha]) = 0$, $\alpha \neq \beta$;
7. $\Gamma_{jk} \equiv \frac{i}{4} [C_j, C_k]$.

In Eq. (6), q antisymmetric matrices φ^l ($\varphi_{jk}^l = -\varphi_{kj}^l$) and Hermitian operators B_l have to be determined by the third equation in Eq. (7).

Now introduce the following linear transformations

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^{\bar{\alpha}} &= \Xi_{ij}^{\bar{\alpha}} A_j^4, & A_j^4 &\equiv A_j, & \bar{\alpha} &= 1, 2, 3; \\ D_i^{\bar{\alpha}} &= \Xi_{ij}^{\bar{\alpha}} D_j^4, & D_j^4 &\equiv D_j, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In order to satisfy the first, second, fourth and sixth equations in Eq. (7), $\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}$ should be real antisymmetric,

$$(\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}})^T = (\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1} = -\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (9)$$

and satisfy

$$\{\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}, \Xi^{\bar{\beta}}\} = -2\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}, \quad (10)$$

that is, $\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}$ constitute the Clifford algebra $C(0,3)$ with negative metric. The third and fifth equations in Eq. (7) require further that $\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}$ and φ^l satisfy

$$\Xi_{jk}^{\bar{\alpha}} \epsilon_{jkmn} = -2\Xi_{mn}^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (11)$$

$$[\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}, \varphi^l] = 0. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (12) shows that the number of antisymmetric matrices φ^l is three, i.e., $l = 1, 2, 3$. It follows that matrix representations of $\Xi^{\bar{\alpha}}$ and φ^l that satisfy Eqs. (9), (10) and (12) may be taken as [12, 18]

$$\Xi^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_3 \\ -\sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ -\sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (13)$$

$$\varphi^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_2 \\ i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

With the help of Eqs. (8) and (13), the four supercharges (5), in which the differential operators A_j and D_j now are independent of the index α , read

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[A_2C_1 - A_1C_2 + A_4C_3 - A_3C_4 \\
&\quad + i(D_2C_2C_3C_4 + D_1C_3C_4C_1 + D_4C_1C_2C_4 + D_3C_1C_2C_3)], \\
Q^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[A_3C_1 - A_4C_2 - A_1C_3 + A_2C_4 \\
&\quad + i(D_3C_2C_3C_4 + D_4C_3C_4C_1 - D_1C_1C_2C_4 - D_2C_1C_2C_3)], \\
Q^3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[A_4C_1 + A_3C_2 - A_2C_3 - A_1C_4 \\
&\quad + i(D_4C_2C_3C_4 - D_3C_3C_4C_1 - D_2C_1C_2C_4 + D_1C_1C_2C_3)], \\
Q^4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[A_1C_1 + A_2C_2 + A_3C_3 + A_4C_4 \\
&\quad + i(D_1C_2C_3C_4 - D_2C_3C_4C_1 + D_3C_1C_2C_4 - D_4C_1C_2C_3)].
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By making use of Eqs. (8), (13) and (14), we can obtain from the third equation in Eq. (7) constraint conditions that A_j and D_j need satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= -i[A_1, A_4] - i[D_1, D_4] - \{A_2, D_3\} + \{A_3, D_2\} \\
&= +i[A_2, A_3] + i[D_2, D_3] + \{A_1, D_4\} - \{A_4, D_1\}, \\
B_2 &= -i[A_2, A_4] - i[D_2, D_4] + \{A_1, D_3\} - \{A_3, D_1\} \\
&= -i[A_1, A_3] - i[D_1, D_3] + \{A_2, D_4\} - \{A_4, D_2\}, \\
B_3 &= -i[A_3, A_4] - i[D_3, D_4] - \{A_1, D_2\} + \{A_2, D_1\} \\
&= +i[A_1, A_2] + i[D_1, D_2] + \{A_3, D_4\} - \{A_4, D_3\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Correspondingly, the supersymmetric Hamiltonian (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 [A_j^2 + D_j^2] + [B_1(\Gamma_{14} + \Gamma_{32}) + B_2(\Gamma_{24} + \Gamma_{13}) \\
&\quad + B_3(\Gamma_{34} + \Gamma_{21})] + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 [A_j, D_j]C_1C_2C_3C_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Similar to $N = 2$ SSQM, [10] we may further rewrite the four supercharges (15) in the raising/lowering form, which are closely related to the factorization method, [21]

$$Q_1^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_4 \mp iQ_1), \quad Q_2^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_2 \mp iQ_3), \tag{18}$$

and satisfy $Q_\mu^\mp = (Q_\mu^\pm)^\dagger$ ($\mu = 1, 2$), after redefining the four generators C_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of $C(4,0)$ as

$$C_1^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C_1 \pm iC_2), \quad C_2^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C_3 \pm iC_4). \tag{19}$$

Thus, the four supercharges (15) and the supersymmetric Hamiltonian (17) can be written

respectively in the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1^+ &= (A_1 - iA_2)C_1^+ + (A_3 - iA_4)C_2^+ - i(D_1 - iD_2)[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^+ \\
&\quad + i(D_3 - iD_4)[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^+, \\
Q_1^- &= (A_1 + iA_2)C_1^- + (A_3 + iA_4)C_2^- + i(D_1 + iD_2)[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^- \\
&\quad - i(D_3 + iD_4)[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^-, \\
Q_2^+ &= (A_3 - iA_4)C_1^- - (A_1 - iA_2)C_2^- - i(D_3 - iD_4)[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^- \\
&\quad + i(D_1 - iD_2)[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^-, \\
Q_2^- &= (A_3 + iA_4)C_1^+ - (A_1 + iA_2)C_2^+ + i(D_3 + iD_4)[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^+ \\
&\quad - i(D_1 + iD_2)[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^+,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 [A_j^2 + D_j^2] + \left\{ -B_1(C_1^+ C_2^- - C_1^- C_2^+) + iB_2(C_1^+ C_2^- + C_1^- C_2^+) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} B_3([C_1^+, C_1^-] - [C_2^+, C_2^-]) \right\} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 [A_j, D_j][C_1^+, C_1^-][C_2^+, C_2^-].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It is easy to check that Eqs. (20) and (21) satisfy SS(4) [see Eq. (1)], which now becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_\mu^+, Q_\nu^-\} &= 2\delta_{\mu\nu} H, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, 2, \\
\{Q_\mu^\pm, Q_\nu^\pm\} &= 0, \quad [H, Q_\mu^\pm] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

III PHYSICAL REALIZATIONS OF $N = 4$ SSQM

By virtue of the results obtained in Sec. II, we shall discuss in this section two physical realizations of $N = 4$ SSQM through choosing the concrete forms for A_j and D_j in Eqs. (20) and (21) to ensure the kinetic energy and potential energy terms appear in the corresponding $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian.

III.1 ONE-DIMENSIONAL REALIZATION

Take

$$A_4 = p = -i \frac{d}{dx}; \quad D_{\bar{n}} = 0, \quad \bar{n} = 1, 2, 3, \tag{23}$$

and the other components $A_{\bar{n}}$ and D_4 are real functions of x . Substituting them into the constraint conditions (16) gives rise to

$$D_4 = \frac{A'_1}{2A_1} = \frac{A'_2}{2A_2} = \frac{A'_3}{2A_3}, \tag{24}$$

where the symbol “ \prime ” means derivation with respect to x . In order to satisfy Eq. (24), we may choose for simplicity

$$A_{\bar{n}} = k_{\bar{n}} W, \tag{25}$$

where $k_{\bar{n}}$ are constants, and W , here referred to as a superpotential, is an ‘arbitrary’ real function of x . Accordingly, we have

$$D_4 = \frac{W'}{2W}. \tag{26}$$

It follows by inserting Eqs. (25) and (26) into Eqs. (20) and (21) that the four supercharges and $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian in one dimension have respectively the following forms

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^+ &= (-ip + k_3W)C_2^+ + k^-WC_1^+ + \frac{W'}{2W}[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^+, \\ Q_1^- &= (+ip + k_3W)C_2^- + k^+WC_1^- + \frac{W'}{2W}[C_1^+, C_1^-]C_2^-, \\ Q_2^+ &= (-ip + k_3W)C_1^- - k^-WC_2^- - \frac{W'}{2W}[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^-, \\ Q_2^- &= (+ip + k_3W)C_1^+ - k^+WC_2^+ - \frac{W'}{2W}[C_2^+, C_2^-]C_1^+, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $k^\pm \equiv k_1 \pm ik_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}k^2W^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)^2 + \left\{-k_1W'(C_1^+C_2^- - C_1^-C_2^+) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ik_2W'(C_1^+C_2^- + C_1^-C_2^+) + \frac{1}{2}k_3W'([C_1^+, C_1^-] - [C_2^+, C_2^-])\right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}[C_1^+, C_1^-][C_2^+, C_2^-]\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)', \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2}p^2 + V(x; C_j), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with $k^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2$. Obviously, the Hamiltonian (28) possesses a usual kinetic energy term $\frac{1}{2}p^2$ and a potential function $V(x; C_j)$, so this resulting realization, Eqs. (27) and (28), may be applied to the real quantum mechanical systems provided that W and C_j are appropriately taken.

For the sake of convenient applications, let us further discuss the explicit matrix form for the one-dimensional $N = 4$ SSQM given by Eqs. (27) and (28). In fact the Clifford algebra $C(4,0)$ is isomorphic to the well-known Dirac algebra in the relativistic quantum mechanics, [22] here we may take the following matrix representation for C_j

$$C_{\bar{m}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{\bar{m}} \\ -i\sigma_{\bar{m}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{m} = 1, 2, 3, \quad C_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2 \times 2} \\ I_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where $I_{2 \times 2}$ is a 2×2 unit matrix, then the four supercharges (27) and the supersymmetric Hamiltonian (28) read respectively

$$Q_1^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \eta^+ & \varepsilon^- \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon^- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^+ & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta^+ & \varepsilon^- \\ \varepsilon^- & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\zeta^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

where $\eta^\pm \equiv p \pm i(k_3W + \frac{W'}{2W})$, $\zeta^\pm \equiv p \pm i(k_3W - \frac{W'}{2W})$, $\varepsilon^\pm \equiv \mp ik^\pm W$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}k^2W^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' & & & \\ & -\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' & & \\ & & \left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' + 2k_3W' & 2k^-W' \\ & & 2k^+W' & \left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' - 2k_3W' \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

A comparison between Eq. (31) and the third equation in Eq. (2) shows that in one dimension the matrix form of $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian is quasi-diagonal, whereas the one of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian is completely diagonal [The quasi-diagonal form of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian appear in multi-dimension only, for example, see Ref. [23].]

Especially, when $k^\pm = 0$, it follows from Eq. (31) that we may obtain a completely diagonal $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{H} &= \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3^2W^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' & & & \\ & -\left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' & & \\ & & \left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' + 2k_3W' & \\ & & & \left(\frac{W'}{2W}\right)' - 2k_3W' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \text{diag}[\ddot{H}_1, \ddot{H}_2, \ddot{H}_3, \ddot{H}_4]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Note that in Eq. (32) the first and second diagonal component Hamiltonians \ddot{H}_1 and \ddot{H}_2 are identical, but neither of them can be abandoned because of requirement of SS(4). The corresponding supercharges \ddot{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$) may be directly obtained by setting $k^\pm = 0$ in Eq. (30). Since \ddot{H} commutes with \ddot{Q}_μ^\pm , the energy spectra of \ddot{H}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are identical except for the ground state, which is also an elementary property of SSQM. Consequently, \ddot{H}_i are called superpartner Hamiltonians

Let four-component spinor eigenfunction of \ddot{H} be $\ddot{\psi} = [\ddot{\psi}_1, \ddot{\psi}_2, \ddot{\psi}_3, \ddot{\psi}_4]^T$, in which $\ddot{\psi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are respectively eigenfunctions of \ddot{H}_i belonging to energy eigenvalues \ddot{E}_i . In terms of the third equation in Eq. (22), the four eigenfunctions $\ddot{\psi}_1, \ddot{\psi}_2, \ddot{\psi}_3, \ddot{\psi}_4$ may be related by the four supercharges \ddot{Q}_μ^\pm , or, more concretely, by the four first-order differential operators η^\pm and ζ^\pm given in Eq. (30)

$$\ddot{\psi}_3 \xrightarrow{\eta^+} \ddot{\psi}_1 = \ddot{\psi}_2 \xrightarrow{\zeta^+} \ddot{\psi}_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{\psi}_3 \xleftarrow{\eta^-} \ddot{\psi}_1 = \ddot{\psi}_2 \xleftarrow{\zeta^-} \ddot{\psi}_4. \quad (33)$$

Similar to $N = 2$ case, [10] the $N = 4$ supersymmetry of some $N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanical system is broken if this system has no zero-energy ground state, and is unbroken if this system has a zero-energy ground state. A typical structure of the four-fold degenerate energy spectrum of \ddot{H} is illustratively depicted in Fig. 1, which $N = 4$ supersymmetry is broken.

Furthermore, introduce

$$X_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} [C_{\mu}^+, C_{\mu}^-], \quad X^\pm = \mp C_1^\pm C_2^\pm, \quad (34)$$

which satisfy

$$[X_3, X^\pm] = \pm 2X^\pm, \quad [X^+, X^-] = X_3, \quad (35)$$

that is, X_3 and X^\pm span an internal $\text{SO}(3)$ algebra. Due to the fact $[\ddot{H}, X_3] = 0$, we may use the values of X_3 to label the energy spectra of \ddot{H}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). With the help of Eq.

(29), the matrix representation of X_3 is

$$X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Denoting the values of X_3 by $\beta = 1, -1, 0$ and 0 , then the energy spectrum of \ddot{H}_1 belongs to the $\beta = 1$ sector, that of \ddot{H}_2 to the $\beta = -1$ sector and so on. Hence, though the first and second superpartner Hamiltonians \ddot{H}_1 and \ddot{H}_2 are identical, their energy spectra belong to the different sectors respectively; though the third and fourth superpartner Hamiltonians \ddot{H}_3 and \ddot{H}_4 are different, their energy spectra belong to the same $\beta = 0$ sector.

III.2 NEW MULTI-DIMENSIONAL REALIZATION

The $N = 4$ SSQM obtained in Sec. II itself is valid for the arbitrary dimensions. In this subsection we shall put forward a new multi-dimensional physical realization of $N = 4$ SSQM by taking the following matrix forms for the Hermitian operators A_4 and D_4 in Eq. (20)

$$A_4 = \sum_{j=1}^d (p_j + L_j) \tau_j, \quad D_4 = \sum_{j=1}^d F_j \tau_j, \quad (37)$$

and the other components, $D_{\bar{n}} = 0$ ($\bar{n} = 1, 2, 3$), $A_{\bar{n}}$, together with L_j and F_j in Eq. (37), are the real functions of the coordinates $\{x_n\}$ in d -dimensional space. Here, τ_j are a set of Hermitian matrices which we assume to commute with p_j , L_j , F_j and C_j . The fact that τ_j commute with C_j implies that they should be respectively considered as

$$\tau_j \sim I_{4 \times 4} \otimes \tau_j, \quad C_j \sim C_j \otimes I_{t \times t}, \quad (38)$$

where the subscript 4 is the dimension of the matrix representation of $C(4,0)$, and the subscript t stands for the order of the matrices τ_j . Note that in the present realization the number of matrices τ_j is equal to the dimensions of space. In order to produce the usual kinetic energy term, we may, after substituting Eq. (37) into Eq. (21), take τ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) so that

$$\{\tau_j, \tau_l\} = 2\delta_{jl}, \quad (39)$$

that is, τ_j constitute the Clifford algebra $C(d, 0)$ as well.

The constraint conditions (16) require that

$$2A_{\bar{n}}F_j = \partial_j A_{\bar{n}}, \quad \bar{n} = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, d. \quad (40)$$

Similar to the one-dimensional case [see Eq. (25)], a simple choice for Eq. (40) is

$$A_{\bar{n}} = k_{\bar{n}} W, \quad (41)$$

where $k_{\bar{n}}$ are constants, the superpotential W is a real function of $\{x_n\}$. In consequence, we have

$$F_j = \frac{\partial_j W}{2W}. \quad (42)$$

It follows by substituting Eqs. (37), (41) and (42) into Eq. (21) that we have the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian in d -dimensional space

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_j^d (-i\partial_j + L_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k^2 W^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j^d \left(\frac{\partial_j W}{2W} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k<l}^d \mathcal{F}_{kl} \tau_{kl} \\ & + \sum_j^d \tau_j (\partial_j W) \left\{ -k_1 (C_1^+ C_2^- - C_1^- C_2^+) + ik_2 (C_1^+ C_2^- + C_1^- C_2^+) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} k_3 ([C_1^+, C_1^-] - [C_2^+, C_2^-]) \left. \right\} - \frac{1}{2} [C_1^+, C_1^-] [C_2^+, C_2^-] \left\{ \sum_j^d \left(\partial_j \frac{\partial_i W}{2W} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k<l}^d \left(\{-i\partial_k, \frac{\partial_l W}{2W}\} + 2L_k \frac{\partial_l W}{2W} \right) \tau_{kl} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $\tau_{kl} \equiv \frac{i}{2} [\tau_k, \tau_l]$, and $\mathcal{F}_{kl} \equiv \partial_k L_l - \partial_l L_k$. The vector potential L_i naturally generates a gauge field interaction structure in d -dimensional space so that \mathcal{F}_{kl} may be seen as the strength of vector field. The terms $\mathcal{F}_{kl} \tau_{kl}$ and $\{-i\partial_k, \frac{\partial_l W}{2W}\} \tau_{kl}$ generalize the Pauli coupling and the orbit-spin coupling interactions respectively. For the simple three-dimensional case, these interpretations are more distinct. We take conveniently τ_j as the Pauli matrices

$$\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

then the supersymmetric Hamiltonian (43) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p} + \vec{L})^2 + \frac{1}{2} k^2 W^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla W}{2W} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla W}{2W} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -I_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla W}{2W} \right) \times (-i\nabla + \vec{L}) \cdot \vec{\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where ∇ is a three-dimensional gradient operator, $\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\tau} \equiv \nabla \times \vec{L} \cdot \vec{\tau}$ and $\frac{\nabla W}{2W} \times (-i\nabla) \cdot \vec{\tau}$ are the usual Pauli coupling term and the orbit-spin coupling interaction respectively. [9] It can be seen that in three dimension the new realized $N = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonian (45) is an eight-by-eight matrix, whereas the original one (17) or (21), in which A_j and D_j are taken as some appropriate first-order differential operator functions of the three-dimensional coordinates and momentum operators, is a four-by-four matrix.

Of course, in Eq. (37), we may also take $A_4 = \sum_j (ip_j + \tilde{L}_j) \tilde{\tau}_j$, $D_4 = \sum_j \tilde{F}_j \tilde{\tau}_j$, and $A_{\bar{n}}$, \tilde{L}_j and \tilde{F}_j are the functions of $\{x_n\}$ as well. Thus, the Hermiticities of the supercharges Q_α^\pm require that \tilde{L}_j and \tilde{F}_j should be pure imaginary, and $\tilde{\tau}_i$ should be anti-Hermitian. The convenient choices $\tilde{L}_i = iL_j$, $\tilde{F}_j = iF_j$ and $\tilde{\tau}_j = i\tau_j$ [i.e., $\tilde{\tau}_j$ constitute the Clifford algebra $C(0, d)$ with negative metric] will lead to the same results as Eq. (43).

IV APPLICATIONS

In this section, using the diagonal matrix realization (32) of $N = 4$ SSQM in one dimension, we shall study in detail $N = 4$ SCQM, which, discussed first by Fubini *et al.*, [24] is a generalization of $N = 4$ SSQM, by considering two simple superpotentials k/x and ωx . Here the main task is to find a set of special exactly solvable potentials which can be brought into the framework of $N = 4$ SCQM and the corresponding superalgebraic structures.

1. The first example is a one-dimensional superpotential

$$W(x) = \frac{k}{x}, \quad (46)$$

where k is a real constant, and $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Substituting Eq. (46) into Eq. (30) combined with $k^\pm = 0$ and $k_3 = 1$ and Eq. (32), we may obtain respectively the supercharges

$$\bar{Q}_1^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \bar{\eta}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\zeta}^+ & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{Q}_2^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\eta}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\zeta}^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

where $\bar{\eta}^\pm = p \pm i(k - \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{x}$, $\bar{\zeta}^\pm = p \pm i(k + \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{x}$, and the supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$H^{\text{SC}} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2x^2} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 - \frac{1}{4} & & & \\ & k^2 - \frac{1}{4} & & \\ & & k^2 - 2k + \frac{3}{4} & \\ & & & k^2 + 2k + \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

$$\equiv \text{diag}[H_1^{\text{C}}, H_2^{\text{C}}, H_3^{\text{C}}, H_4^{\text{C}}].$$

It may be further verified that H^{SC} , together with the dilatation generator D and the conformal generator K , which are given explicitly by

$$D = -\frac{1}{4}\{p, x\}, \quad K = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \quad (49)$$

fulfills the same commutation relations of the conformal algebra $\text{SO}(2,1)$ [25, 26, 27]

$$[D, H^{\text{SC}}] = -iH^{\text{SC}}, \quad [D, K] = iK, \quad [H^{\text{SC}}, K] = 2iD, \quad (50)$$

as its four superpartner Hamiltonians H_i^{C} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Hence, H^{SC} given by Eq. (48) is the so-called superconformal Hamiltonian, [24] which possesses not only the $N = 4$ supersymmetry but also the dynamical conformal symmetry. Different from the results of Fubini *et al.*, [24] here we realize successfully a $N = 4$ superconformal quantum mechanics (SCQM) in one dimension. However, the realization of $N = 4$ SCQM obtained in Ref. [24], holding uniquely in two dimension, can not be reduced to the one-dimensional or extended to more than two-dimensional cases. Furthermore, in the quartet structure of H^{SC} , three superpartner Hamiltonians $H_1^{\text{C}} (= H_2^{\text{C}})$, H_3^{C} , H_4^{C} are different, whereas in the quartet structure in Ref. [24] only two different superpartner Hamiltonians, $H_1^{\text{C}} (= H_2^{\text{C}})$ and $H_3^{\text{C}} (= H_4^{\text{C}})$, appear.

Let the four-component spinor eigenfunction of H^{SC} be $\psi^{\text{SC}} = [\psi_1^{\text{C}}, \psi_2^{\text{C}}, \psi_3^{\text{C}}, \psi_4^{\text{C}}]^{\text{T}}$, where ψ_i^{C} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are respectively the eigenfunctions of H_i^{C} belonging to the energy eigenvalues E_i^{C} . According to the transformation property (33), ψ_i^{C} are related by $\bar{\eta}^{\pm}$ and $\bar{\zeta}^{\pm}$ given in Eq. (47). In order to look for the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues of H^{SC} , we check first whether or not a zero-energy ground state exists by solving the following four first-order differential equations

$$\bar{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm} \psi_0^{\text{SC}} = 0, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \quad (51)$$

where $\psi_0^{\text{SC}} \equiv [\psi_{0,1}^{\text{C}}, \psi_{0,2}^{\text{C}}, \psi_{0,3}^{\text{C}}, \psi_{0,4}^{\text{C}}]^{\text{T}}$ stands for a zero-energy eigenfunction. It is clear that neither of four solutions to Eq. (51)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{0,1}^{\text{C}} &\sim x^{-(2k-1)/2}, \\ \psi_{0,2}^{\text{C}} &\sim x^{+(2k+1)/2}, \\ \psi_{0,3}^{\text{C}} &\sim x^{+(2k-1)/2}, \\ \psi_{0,4}^{\text{C}} &\sim x^{-(2k+1)/2} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

is normalizable on $(-\infty, \infty)$ so that neither of H_i^{C} has zero-energy level. Hence, the supersymmetry of the $N = 4$ superconformal quantum mechanical system described by H^{SC} is broken. From the SSQM point of view, we know that either of H_i^{C} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) has the same energy spectrum as the other three superpartner Hamiltonians, with E_i^{C} being larger than zero. Consider the special case of $k = 1/2$, it follows immediately from Eq. (48) that the conformal Hamiltonian $\check{H}_4^{\text{C}} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}$ is the superpartner of the Hamiltonian $\check{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2}p^2$ ($= \check{H}_2 = \check{H}_3$) of a free particle. Therefore, the normalizable eigenfunctions of H_i^{C} in Eq. (48) corresponding to some positive definite energy $E_i^{\text{C}} > 0$ are the normalizable wave plane eigenfunctions, [30] i.e., the Bessel functions, $\psi_i^{\text{C}} = \sqrt{x} J_{\lambda_i}(x\sqrt{2E_i^{\text{C}}})$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), with $\lambda_i = k + (-)^i \left[\frac{i}{3} \right]$.

Now let us establish a superalgebra that governs the above $N = 4$ superconformal quantum mechanical system described by H^{SC} , in which both $\text{SS}(4)$ and $\text{SO}(2,1)$ should be contained. Direct calculations show that the five generators of $\text{SS}(4)$ and three generators of $\text{SO}(2,1)$ are not closed under the anticommutation and commutation relations, for example, commuting the generators \bar{Q}_{μ}^{\pm} of $\text{SS}(4)$ and the generator K of $\text{SO}(2,1)$ yields new operators

$$S_{\mu}^{\pm} = xC_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad \mu = 1, 2. \quad (53)$$

Thus, we obtain the following closed superalgebraic structure

1. $\{\bar{Q}_\mu^+, \bar{Q}_\nu^-\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}H^{\text{SC}}, \quad \{\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, \bar{Q}_\nu^\pm\} = 0, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, 2;$
2. $\{S_\mu^+, S_\nu^-\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}K, \quad \{S_\mu^\pm, S_\nu^\pm\} = 0;$
3. $\{\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, S_\nu^\mp\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}(k + (-)^\mu X_3 \pm 2iD) + 2(\delta_{\mu\nu} - 1)(\delta_{\mu 1}X^\pm + \delta_{\mu 2}X^\mp),$
 $\{\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, S_\nu^\pm\} = 0;$
4. $[D, H^{\text{SC}}] = -iH^{\text{SC}}, \quad [D, K] = iK, \quad [H^{\text{SC}}, K] = 2iD;$
5. $[X_3, X^\pm] = \pm 2X^\pm, \quad [X^+, X^-] = X_3;$
6. $[H^{\text{SC}}, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm] = 0, \quad [D, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm] = -\frac{1}{2}i\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, \quad [K, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm] = \pm 2S_\mu^\pm;$
 $[H^{\text{SC}}, S_\mu^\pm] = \pm 2\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, \quad [D, S_\mu^\pm] = \frac{1}{2}iS_\mu^\pm, \quad [K, S_\mu^\pm] = 0;$
7. $[H^{\text{SC}}, X_3] = 0, \quad [D, X_3] = 0, \quad [K, X_3] = 0;$
 $[H^{\text{SC}}, X^\pm] = 0, \quad [D, X^\pm] = 0, \quad [K, X^\pm] = 0;$
8. $[X_3, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm] = \pm(-)^{\mu+1}\bar{Q}_\mu^\pm, \quad [X^\pm, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm] = \pm(1 - \delta_{\mu 1})\bar{Q}_1^\pm,$
 $[X^\pm, Q_\mu^\mp] = \mp(1 - \delta_{\mu 2})Q_2^\mp; \quad [X_3, S_\mu^\pm] = \pm(-)^{\mu+1}S_\mu^\pm$
 $[X^\pm, S_\mu^\pm] = \pm(1 - \delta_{\mu 1})\bar{S}_1^\pm, \quad [X^\pm, S_\mu^\mp] = \mp(1 - \delta_{\mu 2})\bar{S}_2^\mp.$

We denote the above superalgebra by $\text{SC}(4)_1$, which is spanned by eight fermionic generators $S_\mu^\pm, \bar{Q}_\mu^\pm$ ($\mu = 1, 2$) and six bosonic generators H^{SC}, D, K, X_3 and X^\pm . The first equation in the second set of equations in Eq. (54) indicates that the fermionic generators S_μ^\pm may be seen as square roots of the conformal generator K , which is similar as the supercharges are the square roots of the supersymmetric Hamiltonian. Similar to $\text{SO}(2,1)$, the superconformal symmetry described by $\text{SC}(4)_1$ is dynamical since H^{SC} does not commute with S_μ^\pm, D and K . It is obvious that besides $\text{SS}(4), \text{SO}(2,1)$, and $\text{SO}(3)$ [see the fifth set of equations in Eq. (54)], $\text{SC}(4)_1$ contains a Lie superalgebra $\text{OSp}(2,1)$ as its subalgebra, [19] which, spanned by either $\{S_1^\pm, \bar{Q}_1^\pm, H^{\text{SC}}, D, K, X_3\}$ or $\{S_2^\pm, \bar{Q}_2^\pm, H^{\text{SC}}, D, K, X_3\}$, has been used to study $N = 2$ SCQM. [24, 28] Since the generators of $\text{SO}(2,1)$ commute with those of $\text{SO}(3)$ [see the seventh set of equations in Eq. (54)], $\text{SC}(4)_1$ contains a maximum Lie subalgebra $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(2,1)$. Consequently, we have the following two canonical group chains

$$\text{SC}(4)_1 \supset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OSp}(2,1) \supset \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2,1) \\ \text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(2,1) \end{array} \right\} \supset \begin{array}{cc} \text{SO}(2) & \times & \text{SO}(2) \\ X_3 & & H^{\text{SC}} \end{array}. \quad (55)$$

2. The second example is a linear superpotential on the half line,

$$W(x) = \omega x, \quad (56)$$

where ω is a real constant, and $x \in (0, \infty)$. Substitution into Eq. (30) combined with $k^\pm = 0$ and $k_3 = 1$ and Eq. (32) gives respectively the supercharges

$$\tilde{Q}_1^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tilde{\eta}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\zeta}^+ & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Q}_2^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\eta}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{\zeta}^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}^\pm \equiv p \pm i(\omega x + \frac{1}{2x})$, $\tilde{\zeta}^\pm \equiv p \pm i(\omega x - \frac{1}{2x})$, and the corresponding supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{8x^2} & & & \\ & \frac{3}{8x^2} & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{8x^2} + \omega & \\ & & & -\frac{1}{8x^2} - \omega \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \text{diag}[\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3, \tilde{H}_4]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Note that the set of potential functions \tilde{V}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) corresponding to the four superpartner Hamiltonians \tilde{H}_i is different from the well-known radial harmonic oscillator potential $V_{\text{ho}}(l) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{2x^2}$, [9] in which the angular momentum quantum number l must be positive integer, though, from the point of view of mathematics, \tilde{V}_i in Eq. (58) are the special cases of $V_{\text{ho}}(l)$ for l taking some special values: $\tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2 = V_{\text{ho}}(l = \frac{1}{2})$, $\tilde{V}_3 = V_{\text{ho}}(l = -\frac{1}{2}) + \omega$, and $\tilde{V}_4 = V_{\text{ho}}(l = -\frac{1}{2}) - \omega$. It may be easily inferred by using Theorem X.7 in Ref. [29] that all \tilde{H}_i are hermitian on the half line $(0, \infty)$ since either of \tilde{V}_i is in the limit point case in both zero and infinity. Let the four-component spinor eigenfunction of \tilde{H} be $\tilde{\psi} = [\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_3, \tilde{\psi}_4]^T$, where $\tilde{\psi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are respectively the eigenfunctions of \tilde{H}_i belonging to the energy eigenvalues \tilde{E}_i , and are related by the four first-order differential operators $\tilde{\eta}^\pm$ and $\tilde{\zeta}^\pm$ given in Eq. (57)

$$\tilde{\psi}_3 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}^+} \tilde{\psi}_1 = \tilde{\psi}_2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\zeta}^+} \tilde{\psi}_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_3 \xleftarrow{\tilde{\eta}^-} \tilde{\psi}_1 = \tilde{\psi}_2 \xleftarrow{\tilde{\zeta}^-} \tilde{\psi}_4. \quad (59)$$

We notice that the quantum mechanical system described by \tilde{H} has no additional symmetry that can be, together with the $N = 4$ supersymmetry, embedded in a larger supersymmetry (for example the superconformal symmetry). If we rewrite \tilde{H} as

$$\tilde{H} = \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}} + \omega^2 K + \omega Y_3, \quad (60)$$

where \dot{H}_0^{SC} is the supersymmetric Hamiltonian given by Eq. (31) combined with $k_3 = k^\pm = 0$ and $W(x) = \omega x$, and Y_3 is a constant matrix,

$$\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{8x^2} & & & \\ & \frac{3}{8x^2} & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{8x^2} & \\ & & & -\frac{1}{8x^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (61)$$

then using the same analysis employed in the last example, it is easy to find that \dot{H}_0^{SC} is a superconformal Hamiltonian since it satisfies not only $\text{SO}(2,1)$, with the dilatation generator $D = -\frac{1}{4}\{p, x\}$ and the conformal generator $K = \frac{1}{2}x^2$, but also $\text{SS}(4)$, with the four supercharges \dot{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$)

$$\dot{Q}_1^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p + \frac{i}{2x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p - \frac{i}{2x} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{Q}_2^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p + \frac{i}{2x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -p + \frac{i}{2x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

Introducing extra three operators

$$Y_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{\mu+1} [C_{\mu}^+, C_{\mu}^-], \quad Y^{\pm} = \pm C_2^{\mp} C_1^{\pm}, \quad (63)$$

which constitute $SO(3)$ as well [see the fifth set of equations in Eq. (64)], it follows that \dot{Q}_{μ}^{\pm} , S_{μ}^{\pm} , \dot{H}_0^{SC} , D , K , Y_3 and Y^{\pm} satisfy the following closed superalgebraic structure

1. $\{\dot{Q}_{\mu}^+, \dot{Q}_{\nu}^-\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu} \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, \quad \{\dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \dot{Q}_{\nu}^{\pm}\} = 0, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, 2;$
2. $\{S_{\mu}^+, S_{\nu}^-\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu} K, \quad \{S_{\mu}^{\pm}, S_{\nu}^{\pm}\} = 0;$
3. $\{\dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, S_{\nu}^{\mp}\} = \delta_{\mu\nu} (\pm 2iD + Y_3), \quad \{\dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, S_{\nu}^{\pm}\} = (-)^{\mu} (1 - \delta_{\mu\nu}) 2Y^{\mp};$
4. $[D, \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}] = -i\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, \quad [D, K] = iK, \quad [\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, K] = 2iD;$
5. $[Y_3, Y^{\pm}] = \pm 2Y^{\pm}, \quad [Y^+, Y^-] = Y_3;$
6. $[\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}] = 0; \quad [D, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}] = -\frac{1}{2}i\dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad [K, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \pm S_{\mu}^{\pm};$
 $[\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, S_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \pm \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad [D, S_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \frac{1}{2}iS_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad [K, S_{\mu}^{\pm}] = 0;$
7. $[\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, Y_3] = 0, \quad [D, Y_3] = 0, \quad [K, Y_3] = 0;$
 $[\dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}}, Y^{\pm}] = 0, \quad [D, Y^{\pm}] = 0, \quad [K, Y^{\pm}] = 0;$
8. $[Y_3, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \mp \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad [Y^{\pm}, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \pm (-)^{\mu+1} \dot{Q}_{\nu}^{\mp}, \quad [Y^{\pm}, \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\mp}] = 0;$
 $[Y_3, S_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \mp S_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad [Y^{\pm}, S_{\mu}^{\pm}] = \pm (-)^{\mu} S_{\nu}^{\mp}, \quad [Y^{\pm}, S_{\mu}^{\mp}] = 0,$

where S_{μ}^{\pm} has been given by Eq. (53). We denote the above superalgebra by $SC(4)_2$, which, different from $SC(4)_1$ defined by Eq. (54), has the same subgroup structure as $SC(4)_1$, i.e.,

$$SC(4)_2 \supset \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{OSp}(2,1) \supset \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2,1) \\ \text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(2,1) \end{array} \right\} \supset \begin{array}{cc} \text{SO}(2) & \times & \text{SO}(2) \\ & & \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}} \\ & & Y_3 \end{array}. \quad (65)$$

To determine the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues of \tilde{H} by algebraic method, it is convenient to regroup the previous operators \dot{H}_0^{SC} , D , K , \dot{Q}_{μ}^{\pm} and S_{μ}^{\pm} as

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \frac{1}{2\omega} \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}} + \frac{\omega}{2} K, & T^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2\omega} \dot{H}_0^{\text{SC}} - \frac{\omega}{2} K \mp iD, \\ L_{\mu}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm} - \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{2} S_{\mu}^{\pm}, & R_{\mu}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \dot{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm} + \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{2} S_{\mu}^{\pm}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Note that R_{μ}^{\pm} ($\mu = 1, 2$) are up to normalization constants the supercharges \tilde{Q}_{μ}^{\pm} associated with \tilde{H} , i.e., $R_{\mu}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \tilde{Q}_{\mu}^{\pm}$. Owing to the fact $\tilde{H} = 2\omega(T_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_3)$, there exists a simple relation between the energy eigenvalues \tilde{E}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of \tilde{H} and the energy eigenvalues e_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of $T_{i,3}$, the i th diagonal component of T_3 ,

$$\tilde{E}_i = e_i + \Delta_i, \quad \Delta_i = (-)^{i+1} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{i}{3} \right], \quad (67)$$

and their corresponding eigenfunctions are identical. Therefore, the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues of \tilde{H} may be directly obtained provided that those of T_3 are known. With

the help of Eq. (64), the closed anticommutation and commutation relations satisfied by the six bosonic operators T_3, T^\pm, Y_3, Y^\pm and eight fermionic operators L_μ^\pm, R_μ^\pm , read

1. $[T_3, T^\pm] = \pm T^\pm, \quad [T^+, T^-] = -2T_3;$
2. $[Y_3, Y^\pm] = \pm 2Y^\pm, \quad [Y^+, Y^-] = Y_3;$
3. $\{L_\mu^+, L_\mu^-\} = T_3 - \frac{1}{2}Y_3, \quad \{L_\mu^\pm, L_\nu^\pm\} = \{L_\mu^\pm, L_\nu^\mp\} = 0;$
4. $\{R_\mu^+, R_\mu^-\} = T_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_3, \quad \{R_\mu^\pm, R_\nu^\pm\} = \{R_\mu^\pm, R_\nu^\mp\} = 0;$
5. $\{L_\mu^\pm, R_\nu^\mp\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}T^\mp, \quad \{L_\mu^\pm, R_\nu^\pm\} = (-1)^\nu(1 - \delta_{\mu\nu})Y^\mp;$
6. $[T_3, Y^\pm] = 0, \quad [T_3, Y_3] = 0, \quad [T^\pm, Y^\pm] = 0,$
 $[T^\pm, Y_3] = 0, \quad [T^\pm, Y^\mp] = 0;$
7. $[T_3, L_\mu^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2}L_\mu^\pm, \quad [T^\pm, L_\mu^\mp] = 0, \quad [T^\pm, L_\mu^\pm] = \pm L_\mu^\mp;$
 $[T_3, R_\mu^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2}R_\mu^\pm, \quad [T^\pm, R_\mu^\pm] = 0, \quad [T^\pm, R_\mu^\mp] = \mp L_\mu^\mp;$
8. $[Y_3, L_\mu^\pm] = \mp L_\mu^\pm, \quad [Y^\pm, L_\mu^\mp] = 0, \quad [Y^\pm, L_\mu^\pm] = \pm(-1)^{\mu+1}L_\nu^\mp;$
 $[Y_3, R_\mu^\pm] = \mp R_\mu^\pm, \quad [Y^\pm, R_\mu^\mp] = 0, \quad [Y^\pm, R_\mu^\pm] = \pm(-1)^{\mu+1}L_\nu^\mp,$

where $\mu, \nu = 1, 2$. We observe from Eq. (68) that (1) the first set of equations indicates that T_3, T^\pm constitute $SO(2,1)$ also, where T_3 is a compact operator with a discrete spectrum, and T^+ (T^-) raises (lowers) the energy eigenvalues of T_3 by 1 unit. (2) Similar to $SC(4)_1$, the superalgebra determined by Eq. (68) contains $SO(2,1) \times SO(3)$ as its maximum Lie subalgebra as well, moreover, the values of Y_3 [see Eq. (61)] may be used to label the energy eigenvalues e of T_3 or \tilde{E} of \tilde{H} . (3) T_3 and R_μ^\pm (or T_3 and $L_\mu^\pm, \mu = 1, 2$) do not form $SS(4)$, therefore, the energy spectrum of T_3 is not four-fold degenerate. (4) The first column of equations in the seventh and eighth sets of equations show that R_μ^+ and L_μ^+ raise the energy eigenvalues of T_3 by $\frac{1}{2}$ unit meanwhile lower the values of Y_3 by 1 unit, whereas R_μ^- and L_μ^- lower the energy eigenvalues of T_3 by $\frac{1}{2}$ unit meanwhile raise the values of Y_3 by 1 unit.

Now turn to the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues of \tilde{H} by means of the similar approach as used in the last example. Solving the following four equations

$$\tilde{Q}_\mu^\pm \tilde{\psi}_0 = 0, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \quad (69)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_0 \equiv [\tilde{\psi}_{0,1}, \tilde{\psi}_{0,2}, \tilde{\psi}_{0,3}, \tilde{\psi}_{0,4}]^T$ stands for the zero-energy eigenfunction, gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_{0,1} &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \exp(-\omega x^2/2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{0,2} &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \exp(+\omega x^2/2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{0,3} &\sim \sqrt{x} \exp(+\omega x^2/2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{0,4} &\sim \sqrt{x} \exp(-\omega x^2/2). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

It is not difficult to find by simple calculations that of the four eigenfunctions $\tilde{\psi}_{0,i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), only $\tilde{\psi}_{0,4}$ is square-integrable on the interval $(0, \infty)$, whose normalized form is

$$\tilde{\psi}_{0,4} = \sqrt{\omega x} \exp(-\omega x^2/2), \quad (71)$$

that is, only \tilde{H}_4 has a normalizable zero-energy ground state, whereas the other three superpartner Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3$ do not have. Of course, $\tilde{\psi}_{0,4}$ is also the normalizable

ground state eigenfunction of the fourth diagonal component $T_{4,3}$ of T_3 , with its corresponding energy being larger than zero. With the help of the superalgebraic relations (68), the eigenfunctions $\tilde{\psi}_{n',i}$ ($n' = 1, 2, \dots$; $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) for arbitrary excited states may be obtained from $\tilde{\psi}_{0,4}$ through two steps: first applying n times the raising operator T^+ to $\tilde{\psi}_{0,4}$ produces all the excited states $\tilde{\psi}_{n',4}$ ($n' = 1, 2, \dots$) of $T_{4,3}$, and then acting respectively once and twice on $\tilde{\psi}_{n',4}$ with \tilde{Q}_μ^- or \tilde{R}_μ^- or \tilde{L}_μ^- gives the other excited states $\tilde{\psi}_{n,i'}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$; $i' = 1, 2, 3$), i.e., all the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}_{i'}$ or $T_{i',3}$. With the help of Rodrigues' formula for the generalized Laguerre polynomial $L_n^a(x)$ of positive integer n and real parameter a in argument x , we can finally obtain by induction

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}_{n,1} &= \sqrt{2\omega x} \exp(-\omega x^2/2) L_n^0(\omega x^2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{n,2} &= \sqrt{2\omega x} \exp(-\omega x^2/2) L_n^0(\omega x^2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{n,3} &= \sqrt{\frac{2x}{n+1}}(\omega x) \exp(-\omega x^2/2) L_n^1(\omega x^2), \\ \tilde{\psi}_{n,4} &= \sqrt{\frac{2x}{n+1}}(\omega x) \exp(-\omega x^2/2) L_n^1(\omega x^2), \\ n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots\end{aligned}\tag{72}$$

Thus, the energy eigenvalues, \tilde{E}_i and e_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) related by Eq. (67), that correspond to the same normalized eigenfunctions (72), of \tilde{H}_i and $T_{i,3}$ are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}_1 &= 2\omega(e_1 + \Delta_1) = 2\omega(n + 1), & e_1 &= n + 1, \\ \tilde{E}_2 &= 2\omega(e_2 + \Delta_2) = 2\omega(n + 1), & e_2 &= n + 1, \\ \tilde{E}_3 &= 2\omega(e_3 + \Delta_3) = 2\omega(n + 1), & e_3 &= n + 1/2, \\ \tilde{E}_4 &= 2\omega(e_4 + \Delta_4) = 2\omega n, & e_4 &= n + 1/2, \\ n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots\end{aligned}\tag{73}$$

Eq. (73) shows clearly that the $N = 4$ supersymmetry of the quantum mechanical system described by \tilde{H} is unbroken since its ground state energy is zero, and the four-fold degeneracies may be observed above the second level. However, the quartet energy spectrum structure of T_3 , which is not four-fold degenerate, involves two sets of double degenerate spectra, moreover, the corresponding supersymmetry is broken since its ground state energy is $\frac{1}{2}$. The energy spectrum structures of \tilde{H} and T_3 are depicted in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. The solutions to the Schrödinger equations with the potentials $ax^2 + \frac{b}{x^2}$ for different a 's and b 's may be obtained by different approaches, see Refs. [24, 25, 30, 31, 32].

V SUMMARY

In this paper, we obtained the general form of $N = 4$ SSQM in arbitrary dimension, starting from the general form of four supercharges, in which the fermionic degrees of freedoms include all the odd elements, C_j and $C_j C_k C_l$, of the superalgebra associated with the Clifford algebra $C(4,0)$. Then, from them, we gave the one-dimensional physical realization and the new multi-dimensional physical realization for the $N = 4$ SSQM by solving their respective constraint conditions. As applications, we studied in detail, on the base of the one-dimensional realization, two superconformal quantum mechanical systems with their superpotentials being k/x and ωx , which possess both the $N = 4$ supersymmetries and the

dynamical conformal symmetries, and established their corresponding superalgebraic structures, which are spanned by the eight fermionic generators and six bosonic generators. Our next work is to apply the general realizations of $N = 4$ SSQM obtained in this paper to the other possible (quasi-) exactly solvable potentials, for example, listed in Refs. [10, 33], and to discussing the $N = 4$ supersymmetries in the relativistic quantum mechanical systems such as Dirac equation, Klein-Gordon equation and so on. From the point of view of mathematics, it is also of very interest to investigate the representations of $SC(4)_1$ and $SC(4)_2$ and their relations to the classical Lie superalgebras [19, 34]. This work is now under way.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (19905005), Major State Basic Research Development Programs (G2000077400 and G2000077604) and Tsinghua Natural Science Foundation.

References

- [1] H. Nicolai, *J. Phys. A* **9**, 1497 (1976).
- [2] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **185**, 513 (1981).
- [3] A. Kostelecky and M.M. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 2285 (1984); *Phys. Rev. A* **32**, 1293, 3243 (1985); *Phys. Lett.* **56**, 96 (1986).
- [4] J.Z. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 44 (1996).
- [5] A.R.P. Rau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 95 (1986).
- [6] R.D. Amado, R. Bijker, F. Cannata, and J. Dedonder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2777 (1991).
- [7] B.S. Shastry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 164 (1992).
- [8] B.S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 4029 (1993).
- [9] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics* (Wiley, New York, 1976).
- [10] F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* **251**, 267 (1995).
- [11] G. Junker, *Supersymmetric methods in quantum and statistical physics* (Springer, Berlin 1996).
- [12] M. De Crombrugghe and V. Rittenberg, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **151**, 99 (1983).
- [13] V. Rittenberg and S. Yankielowicz, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **162**, 273 (1985).
- [14] A. Jaffe, A. Lasniewski, and M. Lewenstein, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **178**, 313 (1987).
- [15] M. Mathur, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **204**, 233 (1990).
- [16] A.M. Frydryszak and V.M. Tkachuk, quant-ph/0110049, to appear in *Czech. J. of Physics*.
- [17] R. Nepomechie, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **158**, 67 (1984).
- [18] S. Okubo, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 1657 (1991).
- [19] V.G. Kac, *Adv. Math.*, **26**, 8 (1977).
- [20] H.Z. Sun and Q.Z. Han, *Progress in Physics*, **3**, 81 (1983).
- [21] L. Infeld and T.E. Hull, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **23**, 21 (1951).
- [22] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1964).
- [23] A. Andrianov, N. Borisov, M. Eides, and M. Ioffe, *Phys. Lett. A* **109**, 143 (1985).

- [24] S. Fubini and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B **245**, 17 (1984).
- [25] B.G. Wybourne, *Classical Groups for Physicists* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974).
- [26] A.D. Barut and R. Raczka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977).
- [27] Q.Z. Han and H.Z. Sun, *Group Theory* (Peking University, Beijing, 1987).
- [28] E. D'Hoker and L. Vinet, Commun. Math. Phys. **97**, 391 (1985).
- [29] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (Academic Press, New York, 1975).
- [30] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, Nuovo Cim. A **34**, 569 (1976).
- [31] D.J. Fern'andez, J. Negro, and M.A. del Olmo, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **252**, 386 (1996).
- [32] V.G. Bagrov and B.F. Samsonov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **28**, 374 (1997).
- [33] A.G. Ushveridze, *Quasi-exact Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1994).
- [34] A. Bohm and M. Kmieciak, J. Math. Phys. **29**, 1163 (1988).

Captions

Fig. 1. Typical structure of the four-fold degenerate energy spectrum of \tilde{H} given by Eq. (32). The energy spectra of H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 correspond to the $\beta = 1, -1, 0, 0$ sectors, respectively. The eigenstate belonging to some energy level (dot) may be connected with its left or right eigenstate by the supercharges \tilde{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$), or, concretely, by the first-order differential operators η^\pm and ζ^\pm along the horizontal line.

Fig. 2. Four-fold degenerate energy spectrum of \tilde{H} given by Eq. (73), which $N = 4$ supersymmetry is unbroken since its ground state energy is zero. Each eigenstate (dot) is connected with its surrounding eigenstates (dots) by the supercharges \tilde{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$) along the horizontal line, and by the raising/lowering operators T^\pm along the vertical line.

Fig. 3. Quartet structure of the energy spectrum of T_3 related tightly to \tilde{H} [see Eq. (73)]. Different from that of \tilde{H} exhibited in Fig. 2, T_3 possesses two sets of double degenerate spectra with the corresponding supersymmetry being broken. Each eigenstate (dot) is connected with its surrounding eigenstates (dots) by the fermionic operators R_μ^\pm, L_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$) along the slanting line, and by the raising/lowering operators T^\pm along the vertical line.




Fig. 1. Typical structure of the four-fold degenerate energy spectrum of \ddot{H} given by Eq. (32). The energy spectra of H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 correspond to the $\beta = 1, -1, 0, 0$ sectors, respectively. Each eigenstate (dot) may be connected with its left or right eigenstate by the supercharges \ddot{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$), or, concretely, by the first-order differential operators η^\pm and ζ^\pm along the horizontal line.




Fig. 2. Four-fold degenerate energy spectrum of \tilde{H} given by Eq. (73), which $N = 4$ supersymmetry is unbroken since its ground state energy is zero. Each eigenstate (dot) is connected with its surrounding eigenstates (dots) by the supercharges \tilde{Q}_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$) along the horizontal line, and by the raising/lowering operators T^\pm along the vertical line.




Fig. 3. Quartet structure of the energy spectrum of T_3 related tightly to \tilde{H} [see Eq. (73)]. Different from that of \tilde{H} exhibited in Fig. 2, T_3 possesses two sets of double degenerate spectra with the corresponding supersymmetry being broken. Each eigenstate (dot) is connected with its surrounding eigenstates (dots) by the fermionic operators R_μ^\pm , L_μ^\pm ($\mu = 1, 2$) along the slanting line, and by the raising/lowering operators T^\pm along the vertical line.