

Quantum nonlocality and applications in quantum information processing of hybrid entangled states

Zeng-Bing Chen,¹ Guang Hou,¹ and Yong-De Zhang^{2,1}

¹*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230027, P.R. China*

²*CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P.R. China*

(Received 12 March 2001)

The hybrid entangled states generated, e.g., in a trapped-ion or atom-cavity system, have exactly one ebit of entanglement, but are not maximally entangled. We demonstrate this by showing that they violate, but in general do not maximally violate, Bell's inequality due to Clauser, Horne, Shimony and Holt. These states are interesting in that they exhibit the entanglement between two distinct degrees of freedom (one is discrete and another is continuous). We then demonstrate these entangled states as a valuable resource in quantum information processing including quantum teleportation, entanglement swapping and quantum computation with "parity qubits". Our work establishes an interesting link between quantum information protocols of discrete and continuous variables.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.65.Ta

In the burgeoning field of quantum information theory [1,2], many practical applications heavily depend on quantum entanglement [3,5] as a necessary resource. Initially most of the concepts (e.g., quantum teleportation [6,7], quantum error correction [8], entanglement swapping [6,9] and quantum computation [10]) in quantum information theory were developed for qubit systems with discrete quantum variables. Quantum information protocols (including quantum teleportation [11], quantum error correction [12], quantum computation [13] and entanglement swapping [14]) for continuous quantum variables have also been proposed very recently in parallel.

Another issue closely related to quantum entanglement is quantum nonlocality. Starting from local realism, Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen (EPR) argued the incompleteness of quantum mechanics. Based on Bohm's [4] version of the EPR entangled states Bell derived his famous inequalities [15–17], enabling to test quantum mechanics against local reality [18]. However, further studies of quantum nonlocality used mainly Bohm's version [4] of the EPR states instead of the original EPR states with continuous degrees of freedom. In recent years, quantum nonlocality for position-momentum variables associated with the original EPR states was analyzed [19–23]. In particular, violations of the Bell-type inequalities by the "regularized" EPR states produced in a pulsed nondegenerate optical parametric amplifier was experimentally observed by using homodyning with weak coherent fields and photon counting [22].

In connection with the applicability of quantum superposition principle on a macroscopic scale, Schrödinger [5] described a *gedanken* experiment, in which a cat is placed in a quantum superposition of being dead and alive while entangled with a single radioactive atom. The mesoscopic equivalents of the Schrödinger-cat states [called hybrid entangled states (HES) in the subsequent discussion] have been experimentally realized for a ⁹Be⁺ ion

in traps [24] and atoms in high-*Q* cavities [25]. Particularly, in the trapped ion experiment [24], the HES were generated by entangling ion's internal states ($|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle$ in the terminology of spin-1/2 particles) with discrete spectrum and motional states with continuous spectrum:

$$|\text{HES}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle |x_1\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle |x_2\rangle), \quad (1)$$

where the motional states $|x_1\rangle$ and $|x_2\rangle$ of the ion are two distinguishable wave packets of a harmonic oscillator and thus denote quantum states with continuous variables. For the atom-cavity system, the entanglement of the type (1) occurs between a microwave cavity field and an atom [25]. These HES are of great theoretical interest in addressing some fundamental issues, such as decoherence and the quantum/classical boundary [24–26]. The trapped-ion system is a strong candidate for quantum computation [1,27,28]. In this paper we demonstrate the HES as a valuable resource in quantum information processing, building an interesting link between quantum information protocols of discrete and continuous variables. Quantum nonlocality of the HES is also analyzed by using the recently developed formulation [23].

For usual two-qubit (qubit-1 and qubit-2) systems, one can introduce the following Bell-basis spanned by the two-qubit states

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{1,2}^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_1 |\downarrow\rangle_2 \pm |\downarrow\rangle_1 |\uparrow\rangle_2), \\ |\Phi_{1,2}^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_1 |\uparrow\rangle_2 \pm |\downarrow\rangle_1 |\downarrow\rangle_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The pairs of qubits are maximally entangled when they are in these states. An analogous Bell-basis spanned by four HES

$$|\psi_{1,2}^{\pm}(z)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_1 |z\rangle_{o2} \pm |\downarrow\rangle_1 |z\rangle_{e2}),$$

$$|\phi_{1,2}^\pm(z)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1 |z\rangle_{e2} \pm |\downarrow\rangle_1 |z\rangle_{o2}), \quad (3)$$

where $|z\rangle_e$ ($|z\rangle_o$) is the even (odd) coherent state defined in terms of the number states $|n\rangle$

$$|z\rangle_e = (\cosh |z|^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n}}{\sqrt{(2n)!}} |2n\rangle, \\ |z\rangle_o = (\sinh |z|^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{\sqrt{(2n+1)!}} |2n+1\rangle. \quad (4)$$

The HES $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ and $|\phi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ can be created, e.g., by properly tailoring laser pulses for the trapped-ion system [24]. A crucial property of $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ and $|\phi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ is that they have precisely the same amount of the entanglement entropy (one ebit) as the four Bell-basis states $|\Psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ for any z , as can be easily checked. Nevertheless, the HES are generally not maximally entangled for $z \neq 0$.

To uncover quantum nonlocality of the HES, one needs to consider whether or not they violate Bell's inequalities [15–17]. Here we show the violation of Bell's inequality due to Clauser, Horne, Shimony and Holt (CHSH) by the HES [16]. For this purpose, we can introduce the following “pseudospin” operators for a harmonic oscillator [23]

$$s_z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [|2n\rangle \langle 2n| - |2n+1\rangle \langle 2n+1|], \\ s_+ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |2n\rangle \langle 2n+1| = (s_-)^\dagger, \quad (5)$$

The operator s_z is the parity operator $(-1)^N$ (N is the number operator), while s_+ and s_- are the “parity-flip” operators. Then the commutation relations

$$[s_z, s_\pm] = \pm 2s_\pm, \quad [s_+, s_-] = s_z \quad (6)$$

immediately follow from Eq. (5) and are identical to those of the spin-1/2 systems. Therefore pseudospin operators $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (s_x, s_y, s_z)$, where $s_x \pm is_y = 2s_\pm$, have the same algebra as the spin operator $\hat{\sigma}$. Let us define the following operator (the “Bell operator” [29])

$$\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \otimes (\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \otimes (\mathbf{b}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) \\ + (\mathbf{a}' \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \otimes (\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) - (\mathbf{a}' \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \otimes (\mathbf{b}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}). \quad (7)$$

Here \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}' , \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' are four unit vectors, e.g., $\mathbf{a} = (\sin \theta_a, 0, \cos \theta_a)$ with θ_a being the “polar” angle of \mathbf{a} . Obviously, $(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\sigma})^2 = (\mathbf{a}' \cdot \hat{\sigma})^2 = I_{2 \times 2}$ and $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})^2 = (\mathbf{b}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})^2 = I$, where $I_{2 \times 2}$ (I) is the identity operator in the Hilbert space of the discrete variable (continuous variable) states. This fact implies that eigenvalues of $\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\sigma}$ and $\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}$ are ± 1 , similarly to the usual spin-1/2 systems. Then local realistic theories impose the following Bell-CHSH inequality [16]:

$$|\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle| \leq 2, \quad (8)$$

where $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ is the expectation value of \mathcal{B} with respect to a given quantum state of the present Schrödinger-cat-like system. Quantum mechanically, $|\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle|$ is bounded by $2\sqrt{2}$, known as the Cirel'son bound [29,30].

Now we can calculate $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ with respect to $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ and $|\phi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$. For example,

$$\langle \phi_{1,2}^+ | \mathcal{B} | \phi_{1,2}^+ \rangle = \cos \theta_a \cos \theta_b + K \sin \theta_a \sin \theta_b \\ + \cos \theta_a \cos \theta_{b'} + K \sin \theta_a \sin \theta_{b'} \\ + \cos \theta_{a'} \cos \theta_b + K \sin \theta_{a'} \sin \theta_b \\ - \cos \theta_{a'} \cos \theta_{b'} - K \sin \theta_{a'} \sin \theta_{b'}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$K(z) \equiv {}_2e \langle z | s_{2+} | z \rangle_{o2} \\ = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2z^2 \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{4n+1}}{\sqrt{(2n)!(2n+1)!}} < 1, \quad (10)$$

and we have chosen z to be positive without loss of generality. Setting $\theta_a = 0$, $\theta_{a'} = \pi/2$ and $\theta_b = \tan^{-1} K = -\theta_{b'}$, we have

$$\langle \phi_{1,2}^+ | \mathcal{B} | \phi_{1,2}^+ \rangle = 2\sqrt{1+K^2}. \quad (11)$$

Similar results can be obtained for $|\phi_{1,2}^-\rangle$ and $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$, indicating that the HES always violate the Bell-CHSH inequality (8). However the violation, which depends on the overlap between $s_{2+} |z\rangle_{o2}$ and $|z\rangle_{e2}$, is not maximal ($K < 1$) unless $z = 0$ [$K(z=0) = 1$]. It is interesting to compare our result with Ref. [31], where a similar problem has been considered in a different route.

Now some remarks are in order. The above discussion on quantum nonlocality of the HES is applicable when the two parties involved in the HES are space-like separated. For the atom-cavity system, the requirement of the space-like separation between a microwave cavity field and an atom can be easily imposed. However, this is not the case for the trapped-ion system, where entanglement occurs between two different degrees of freedom of a single ion and as such, the space-like separation can not be achieved. Thus for the latter system, it will be more appropriate to consider quantum contextuality [32–34]. Non-contextual hidden variable theories predict that the value of an observable is predetermined and thus independent on the experimental context, i.e., what other co-measurable observable is simultaneously measured, and whether or not the space-like separation condition is fulfilled. In fact, the HES for the trapped-ion system might be an alternative single-particle state that is suitable for testing the non-contextual hidden variable theories versus quantum mechanics. Other single-particle states for this purpose have been proposed in Ref. [34]. There is also an issue on how to measure the pseudospin operators $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ experimentally. In Ref. [23], a generic, yet feasible, approach has been suggested for achieving this, though it is experimentally challenging.

As is now well known, the nonlocal correlations, as uncovered here for the HES, can be exploited to perform classically impossible tasks in the context of quantum information theory. But for the trapped-ion realization of the HES, quantum contextuality, rather than quantum nonlocality, might also be of practical importance for the quantum information tasks, as will become clear later.

Quantum teleportation is a process that transmits an unknown quantum state from a sender (Alice) to a receiver (Bob) via a quantum channel with the help of some classical information. For transmitting the unknown qubit state with fidelity 1, the quantum channel is a maximally entangled state (e.g., $|\Psi_{1,2}^+\rangle$) [6]. It can be the HES realized with either the atom-cavity system or the trapped-ion one in the present case. Here we propose a protocol using $|\phi_{2,3}^+\rangle$ (one of the HES of the trapped-ion system) hold by Alice as the quantum channel to “teleport” an unknown spin state of another ion (initially hold also by Alice)

$$|\varphi\rangle_1 = \alpha |\uparrow\rangle_1 + \beta |\downarrow\rangle_1 \quad (12)$$

with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. The initial state of the whole system before teleportation is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle_1 |\phi_{2,3}^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} [|\Phi_{1,2}^+\rangle (\alpha |z\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z\rangle_{o3}) \\ &+ |\Phi_{1,2}^-\rangle (\alpha |z\rangle_{e3} - \beta |z\rangle_{o3}) \\ &+ |\Psi_{1,2}^+\rangle (\alpha |z\rangle_{o3} + \beta |z\rangle_{e3}) \\ &+ |\Psi_{1,2}^-\rangle (\alpha |z\rangle_{o3} - \beta |z\rangle_{e3})]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now, similarly to the original proposal [6], Alice performs the spin Bell-state measurement with four measurement outcomes ($\Phi_{1,2}^\pm$ and $\Psi_{1,2}^\pm$, each with probability 1/4). According to the standard quantum measurement theory, after her measurement, the motional state in the quantum channel must be one of the following four states

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha |z\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z\rangle_{o3}, \quad \alpha |z\rangle_{e3} - \beta |z\rangle_{o3}, \\ \alpha |z\rangle_{o3} + \beta |z\rangle_{e3}, \quad \alpha |z\rangle_{o3} - \beta |z\rangle_{e3}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In the case of the first outcome $|\Phi_{1,2}^+\rangle$, the state $\alpha |z\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z\rangle_{o3}$ has already been a replica of $|\varphi\rangle_1$; but now the “parity state” $|z\rangle_{e3}$ ($|z\rangle_{o3}$) with parity +1 (−1) plays the same role as the spin state $|\uparrow\rangle_3$ ($|\downarrow\rangle_3$). In the remaining three cases, Alice needs to perform one of the unitary operations (s_{3z}, s_{3x}, s_{3y}), yielding, respectively, $\alpha |z\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z\rangle_{o3}$, $\alpha(s_{3+}|z\rangle_{o3}) + \beta(s_{3-}|z\rangle_{e3})$, and $-i[\alpha(s_{3+}|z\rangle_{o3}) + \beta(s_{3-}|z\rangle_{e3})]$. In this way Alice’s motional state in the quantum channel is converted into a replica of her spin state $|\varphi\rangle_1$ (except for an irrelevant phase factor). Note here that $s_{3+}|z\rangle_{o3}$ ($s_{3-}|z\rangle_{e3}$) has parity +1 (−1) and thus plays again the same role as the spin state $|\uparrow\rangle_3$ ($|\downarrow\rangle_3$). The remaining three HES in Eq. (3) can also be used as the quantum channel.

The present teleportation protocol can be regarded as a realization of continuous variable qubit encoded

in parity: Though the teleported state $\alpha |z\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z\rangle_{o3}$ has continuous spectrum, it carries the same information as a usual qubit when only parity measurement is performed. Such a qubit encoding for a single bosonic mode has been proposed in Ref. [35]. It is also interesting to compare the present teleportation scheme to the “two-particle scheme” for quantum teleportation [7] when the HES of the trapped-ion system are used: Here the quantum channel consists of entanglement between two different degrees of freedom of a single ion.

Similarly to teleporting the spin state (12), Alice can also teleport an unknown state $|\varphi(z'')\rangle_3 = \alpha |z''\rangle_{e3} + \beta |z''\rangle_{o3}$ of a continuous variable qubit via one of $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$ and $|\phi_{1,2}^\pm\rangle$, converting her state into (12). In this case, Alice needs to perform the “parity Bell-state measurement” collapsing her state into one of the four “parity Bell-basis” states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\phi}_{1,2}^\pm(z, z')\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|z\rangle_{e1} |z'\rangle_{e2} \pm |z\rangle_{o1} |z'\rangle_{o2}), \\ |\tilde{\psi}_{1,2}^\pm(z, z')\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|z\rangle_{e1} |z'\rangle_{o2} \pm |z\rangle_{o1} |z'\rangle_{e2}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Any of $|\psi_{1,2}^\pm(z, z')\rangle$ and $|\phi_{1,2}^\pm(z, z')\rangle$ has one ebit of entanglement for any z and z' and thus can be used to teleport one parity qubit. The parity Bell states (15) can be regarded as “entangled two-cat states” consisting of two macroscopically distinguishable wave packets. A related issue in this respect is the entangled coherent states [36].

Entanglement swapping is in fact teleportation of entanglement [6,9]. Here we consider entanglement swapping between two HES $|\phi_{1,2}^-(z)\rangle$ and $|\phi_{3,4}^-(z')\rangle$. In terms of the spin Bell-basis (2) and the parity Bell-basis (15), the initial state before entanglement swapping is

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi\rangle &= |\psi_{1,2}^-(z)\rangle |\psi_{3,4}^-(z')\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [|\Phi_{1,3}^+\rangle |\tilde{\phi}_{2,4}^+(z, z')\rangle - |\Phi_{1,3}^-\rangle |\tilde{\phi}_{2,4}^-(z, z')\rangle \\ &\quad - |\Psi_{1,3}^+\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_{2,4}^+(z, z')\rangle + |\Psi_{1,3}^-\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_{2,4}^-(z, z')\rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By performing a joint spin Bell-state measurement, the discrete-variable state is projected onto one of the Bell states (2). This measurement automatically collapses the continuous-variable states into one of the parity Bell state (15). This entanglement swapping procedure can thus be regarded as producing the parity Bell state (15).

When the quantum channel is the HES of the atom-cavity system, the above protocol is still valid. But an crucial difference is that now both Alice and Bob are involved in the teleportation, as in the original quantum teleportation protocol. Thus, the quantum state transfer may be implemented with two distinct quantum channels. For the HES of the atom-cavity system, the quantum channel possesses nonlocal correlations that are essential for succeeding in quantum teleportation. However, for the trapped-ion realization of the HES, there is

no quantum nonlocality, but quantum contextuality; in this case quantum “teleportation” is in fact the quantum state local (not remote) transfer. Actually, in the context of quantum information processing it is more important to consider the utility of quantum correlations [37], e.g., quantum nonlocality and quantum contextuality.

How practical are the present protocols on quantum teleportation and entanglement swapping? Here we consider this problem by taking the trapped-ion system as an example. For the purpose of high precision spectroscopy and frequency standard, preparation and manipulation of quantum states of the trapped ion system are a mature technology. The internal states of ions can be measured using the quantum jump technique with nearly 100% detection efficiency [1]. Recently, it has been shown [38] that we are able to deterministically generate all the spin Bell states (2), which can then be detected by resonance fluorescence shelving methods. Thus the present protocol of entanglement swapping should be realizable with current experimental technology. To implement perfect teleportation of the spin state (12) via the shared entanglement $|\phi_{2,3}^{\pm}\rangle$, one needs to perform the local unitary operations (s_{3z}, s_{3x}, s_{3y}) . But presently, how to practically realize these operations still remains an open question. Nevertheless, the teleportation protocol does succeed in teleporting (12) into the parity state $\alpha|z\rangle_{e3} + \beta|z\rangle_{o3}$ faithfully, or up to one of the local operations (s_{3z}, s_{3x}, s_{3y}) . In the latter three cases, the output states are the transformed version of the desired parity qubits. Similarly to the analysis made in Ref. [39], this feature of the protocol might be potentially useful, e.g., in realizing the difficult-to-implement logic operations (s_z, s_x, s_y) .

The ions in traps are a promising system to implement quantum computing [1,27]. In such a Cirac-Zoller quantum computer, the relevant motional states of ions are $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ only, approximately treating the harmonic oscillator as a two-level system. The teleportation protocol proposed in this work has realized the continuous variable parity qubits. This might motivate quantum computing with these parity qubits. Several essential components for this purpose have already been demonstrated in Ref. [35]. These include the unitary construction of parity qubits, one and two qubit logical operations on the parity states and error correction of the qubits. The two-qubit (one is the usual qubit and the other is the parity qubit) logical operations is also possible [35]. Thus it seems feasible that the trapped-ion system can be used to implement quantum computation on the hybrid (parity and spin) qubits.

Finally, we point out that the parity qubits, including these in quantum channels, are encoded by odd and even coherent states in our discussion. However there is, in principle, no reason to insist on such an encoding. In fact, one can also encode the parity qubits as $|p\rangle = \alpha|+\rangle + \beta|-\rangle$, where $|+\rangle$ ($|-\rangle$) denotes an arbitrary parity state with parity $+1$ (-1). The complex probabil-

ity amplitudes α and β represent quantum information when only the parity measurement is concerned; the remaining unknown quantum information in $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ is meaningful merely in continuous variable quantum protocols. When applied to the atom-cavity system, the proposed scheme might be useful in quantum information processing based on the quantum network consisting of many atom-cavities (“nodes”) connected by light field [40], whose parity states carry quantum information.

In summary, the HES have been shown to violate the Bell-CHSH inequality. Quantum nonlocality of the HES is thus uncovered. We then demonstrate the HES, each of which carries exactly one ebit of entanglement, as a valuable resource in quantum information processing, such as quantum teleportation, entanglement swapping and quantum computation over continuous variable parity qubits. Since the entanglement of the HES occurs between the states with both discrete spectrum and with continuous spectrum, our work establishes an interesting link between quantum information protocols of discrete and continuous variables.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 10104014, No. 19975043 and No. 10028406, and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

-
- [1] *The Physics of Quantum Information*, edited by D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
 - [2] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, *Nature (London)* **404**, 247 (2000).
 - [3] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.* **47**, 777 (1935).
 - [4] D. Bohm, *Quantum Theory* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1951).
 - [5] E. Schrödinger, *Naturwissenschaften* **23**, 807 (1935).
 - [6] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993); D. Bouwmeester *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **390**, 575 (1997).
 - [7] D. Boschi, S. Branca, F. De Martini, L. Hardy, and S. Popescu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1121 (1998).
 - [8] P. W. Shor, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 2493 (1995).
 - [9] M. Zukowski, A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, and A. K. Ekert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 4287 (1993); J. -W. Pan, D. Bouwmeester, H. Weinfurter and A. Zeilinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3891 (1998).
 - [10] A. Steane, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **61**, 117 (1998).
 - [11] L. Vaidman, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 1473 (1994); S. L. Braunstein and H. J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 869 (1998); A. Furusawa *et al.*, *Science* **282**, 706 (1998); G. J. Milburn and S. L. Braunstein, *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, 937 (1999).
 - [12] S. L. Braunstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4084 (1998); S. Lloyd and J. J. -E. Slotine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4088 (1998); S. L. Braunstein, *Nature (London)* **394**, 47 (1998).

- [13] S. Lloyd and S. L. Braunstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1784 (1999).
- [14] R. E. S. Polkinghorne and T. C. Ralph, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2095 (1999).
- [15] J. S. Bell, Physics (Long Island) **1**, 195 (1964).
- [16] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 880 (1969).
- [17] J. S. Bell, *Speakable and Unsayable in Quantum Mechanics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987).
- [18] A. Aspect, Nature (London) **398**, 189 (1999).
- [19] J. S. Bell, Ann. (N.Y.) Acad. Sci. **480**, 263 (1986).
- [20] P. Grangier, M. J. Potasek, and B. Yurke, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 3132 (1988).
- [21] K. Banaszek and K. Wódkiewicz, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4345 (1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2009 (1999).
- [22] A. Kuzmich, I. A. Walmsley, and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1349 (2000).
- [23] Z. B. Chen, J. W. Pan, G. Hou, and Y. D. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 040406 (2002).
- [24] C. Monroe, D. M. Meekhof, B. E., King, and D. J. Wineland, Science **272**, 1131 (1996).
- [25] M. Brune *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4887 (1996).
- [26] S. Haroche, Phys. Today **51** (no. 7), 36 (1998).
- [27] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4091 (1995); Nature (London) **404**, 579 (2000).
- [28] D. J. Wineland *et al.*, Fortschr. Phys. **46**, 363 (1998).
- [29] S. L. Braunstein, A. Mann, and M. Revzen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3259 (1992).
- [30] B. S. Cirel'son, Lett. Math. Phys. **4**, 93 (1980).
- [31] A. Dragan and K. Banaszek, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 062102 (2001).
- [32] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 59 (1967).
- [33] A. Peres, *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993).
- [34] M. Michler, H. Weinfurter, and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5457 (2000); C. Simon, M. Żukowski, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1783 (2000).
- [35] P. T. Cochrane, G. J. Milburn, and W. J. Munro, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 2631 (1999); W. J. Munro, G. J. Milburn, and B. C. Sanders, quant-ph/9910057.
- [36] B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 6811 (1992); *ibid.* **46**, 2966(E) (1992); B. F. Wielinga and B. C. Sanders, J. Mod. Opt. **40**, 1923 (1993); D. A. Rice, G. Jaeger, and B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 012101 (2000).
- [37] L. Vaidman, Phys. Lett. A **286**, 241 (2001); quant-ph/0107057.
- [38] B. E. King *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1525 (1998); E. Solano, R. L. de Matos Filho, and N. Zagury, Phys. Rev. A **59**, R2539 (1999).
- [39] D. Gottesman and I. L. Chuang, Nature (London) **402**, 390 (1999).
- [40] J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, H. J. Kimble, and H. Mabuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3221 (1997).