

Master Equation for Light emitted by correlated Atoms

V.N. Gorbachev, A.I. Zhiliba, A.I. Trubilko

Abstract

A master equation for the light, generated by atoms, which states are prepared by a pumping mechanism produced the atomic correlation, is derived in the Fokker - Planck approximation. It has been found, that two- particle correlations play only the role under this approximation. The obtained equation can describe a micromaser operations, which the light noise is discussed for example. We consider the correlated atomic states, that can be prepared by the telecloning protocols.

1 Introduction

When atoms emit light, statistics of radiation depends on the atomic noise, that comes from the correlation of atoms. To generate light, atomic ensemble can be prepared by the various pumping mechanisms. As well known, in the case of a regular pumping atoms may generate light, which statistics of photons is subpoissonian as for the laser [1], [2] and the micromaser [3] operation. In the case of micromaser it can be achieved, if atoms are injected into cavity in such a way, that only N atoms, where N is a small and not random value, interact with the cavity mode and the contributions of atoms are assumed to be independent. Indeed, the quasistationary micromaser operation by N independent atoms has a nontrivial dynamics [4].

The main aim of this paper is to obtain master equation for radiation, when one cavity mode interacts with atoms which state is prepared by a pumping, that creates correlations between atoms. As for example, we consider how statistics of light generated depends from the atomic correlation. We derive master equation for the Glauber-Sudarshan quasiprobability of the field in the Fokker - Planck approximation to describe interaction of one cavity mode with N two-level atoms. It is not the case for using the standard Scully-Lamb approach [5], that is the base of the first micromaser theories [6], because it starts from the single atom prob-

lem and don't permit solving the multiatom problems properly.

The states of the correlated atoms can be prepared by different ways. Indeed, any pumping mechanism can be considered as a cloning process, which output is a set of N copies of an initial atomic state, in which there is an inversion of population of the working levels. In quantum information processing it is well known some protocols how to obtain N copies of quantum states from the M originals. It is a transformations $M \rightarrow N$, where $M \leq N$. First of all the task can be achieved by a set of unitary transformations, known as Universal Quantum Cloning Machines (UQCM) [7], [8], [9]. Next, combining UQCM and teleportation, it has been proposed telecloning [10], that allows producing copies spatially separated from originals by the teleportation protocol. Let $M = 1$, then for a pure state of a qubit with the help of UQCM or telecloning one can obtain the N - particle entangled state of the GHZ (Greenberger - Horne - Zrilingler) class

$$\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \rightarrow \alpha|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + \beta|1\rangle^{\otimes N} \quad (1)$$

where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, $|b\rangle^{\otimes N}$ is the tensor product $|b\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |b\rangle$, $b = 0, 1$. In the same time copies of some quantum states can be created by teleportation without UQCM, when a multiparticle quantum channel is permitted [11]. By the multiparticle channel, also named the multiuser channel, an initial state is distributed with N parties, each of which has an optimal copy of the original in his hand. It is kind of telecloning. If the original is a mixed state, such type telecloning allows preparing the N - particle mixture [12]

$$\lambda_0|0\rangle\langle 0| + \lambda_1|1\rangle\langle 1| \rightarrow \lambda_0(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes N} + \lambda_1(|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes N} \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 = 1$. In contrast to (1), the obtained N -particle state is separable.

According to the no-cloning theorem [13] all copies are not independent, except the case $\alpha = 0$ or $\lambda_0 = 0$,

when the state of N independent particles of the form $|1\rangle^{\otimes N}$ is obtained. Let $|0\rangle$, and $|1\rangle$ be the lower and the upper atomic level, then by telecloning one obtains the states of N atoms to be correlated. The collection of these atoms can generate light, if they have inversion of the population of the working levels, when $|\beta|^2 > |\alpha|^2$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$. Both states, given by (1) and (2), are quite different, but with respect to any set of the $K < N$ particles their features are similar, if $N > 2$. The K -particle density matrix has the form $f(12\dots K) = r(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes K} + (1-r)(|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes K}$, where $r = \lambda_0/|\alpha|^2$. It means, that any atom has no coherence on the working transition, its state is mixed, and atomic correlations are purely classical.

Also we consider the state

$$|Z\rangle = \alpha|bb\rangle + \beta|\Psi^+\rangle \quad (3)$$

where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, $\Psi^+ = (|01\rangle + |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is one of the Bell states, $b = 0, 1$. This is entangled state, for which the one-particle density matrix reads $f(1) = |\alpha|^2|b\rangle\langle b| + |\beta|^2 \cdot 1/2 + [\alpha\beta^*(|b\rangle\langle 1|\delta_{b0} + |b\rangle\langle 0|\delta_{b1}) + h.c.]/\sqrt{2}$. Indeed, there is the atomic coherence, or polarization, that results in some new features as, for example, the laser and micromaser operation without inversion of population on the working levels [14].

What is a difference between the presented correlated states, prepared by telecloning, from the states, produced by any "usual" pump? As for the simplest model of pump, one can consider a collection of N independent atoms, say in the state $f(1\dots N) = f(1)^{\otimes N}$, $f(1) = \lambda_0|0\rangle\langle 0| + \lambda_1|1\rangle\langle 1|$. Let atoms are illuminated by a strong coherent field. This interaction can be described by an unitary transformation, that results in inversion of population of the working level. But one finds, that any correlation between atoms can't be produced. Then atoms become active, but independent, its entropy has the form $S = NH_1$, where $H_1 = -\lambda_0 \log \lambda_0 - \lambda_1 \log \lambda_1$. Entropy of the pure states (1) and (3) is equal to zero, entropy of the mixed state (2) is $S = H_1$. Because under unitary transformation entropy does not change, the correlated states, we consider, can't be prepared by a simple way, when independent atoms interact with a classical field.

To derive the master equation, we use formalism presented in [15], adjusted to considers interaction of N atoms with the quantized electromagnetic field. This approach gives a procedure how to obtain master equation for field, also known as kinetic equation, by illuminating of the atomic variables, that are assumed to be

fast. It results in the Fokker - Planck equation (FPE) for the Glauber - Sudarshan quasiprobability, that is suitable for the quantum optics problems. In spite of the states (1), (2) and (3), we discuss here, describe entangled and correlated atoms, in fact it is not an actual interaction between atoms. Then atoms can be treated as a non interacting set of particles. So that the correlation gives no additional difficulties in deriving of the FPE. One of the main feature of the used approximation is that all diffusion coefficients of the FPE, described the noise of the field, involves the atomic variances and two-particle correlations only. Then for our purpose it needs consider not less then 2 atoms, interacted with the cavity modes. In the same time we assume the number of atoms be not such large, to consider the scheme close to micromaser. This is in accordance with the standard approximation of small fluctuation of the field, we also use. The reason is that, the diffusion coefficients of the FPE have the contribution proportional to $N(N-1)$, due from the multiatoms problem, we consider here. Under the small fluctuation approximation the diffusion coefficients must be small, that is a restriction on the number of atoms.

Using the found FPE, we calculate the Mandel parameter of the light and its spectrum of noise for the micromaser operation. We find, that atomic correlations increase the noise of the light, but in particular case the noise can be reduced up to zero, if all atoms are prepared in the upper states $|1\rangle^{\otimes N}$. Indeed, perfect reduction of the micromaser noise can be achieved by regular pumping as it has been demonstrated in ref. [3], when the pump results in the Fock state of the light. This state has no well defined phase because of its diffusion. However, if atoms are prepared in the state, given by (3), we found the light, that can be generated without inversion of population of atomic levels particularly, it has steady phase and noise suppressed up to zero.

2 Initial equations

Let consider a collection of N similar two level atoms interacting with one cavity mode, which frequency is equal to the atomic transition frequency. This system is described by the density matrix F , that obeys the

following equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F &= [\vartheta, F] \\ \vartheta &= -i\hbar^{-1}V \\ V &= -i\hbar g(S_{10}a - S_{01}a^\dagger)\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where $g = d\hbar^{-1}\sqrt{(\hbar\omega)/(2L^3\epsilon_0)}$ is the coupling constant, d is the dipole moment of the working transition, $a^\dagger, a$ are the photon operators, $[a; a^\dagger] = 1$, the atomic operators S_{xy} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}S_{xy} &= \sum_{k=1}^N s_{xy}(k) \\ s_{xy}(k) &= |x\rangle_k \langle y| \\ x, y &= 0, 1\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where $|0\rangle_k$ is a lower and $|1\rangle_k$ is a upper state of the atom k . The relaxation processes can be taken into account by adding appropriate terms in (4).

To derive master equation for field with the use of the formalism presented in [15], let introduce a representation of the density matrix F over the coherent states of the field

$$F = \int d^2\alpha \Phi(\alpha)|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \quad (6)$$

where $a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$. The matrix Φ is an operator with respect to the atomic variables, but a c-function of the field ones. When Φ is averaged over the atomic variables, one finds the well-known Glauber-Sudarshan quasiprobability $P(\alpha) = Sp_A\Phi$. Equation for Φ can be obtained from (4), taking into account the correspondence $[\vartheta, F] \leftrightarrow [\vartheta_0, \Phi] + \partial_\alpha(D\Phi)$, where the field operators a and $a^\dagger$ are presented by the complex number α, α^* , we shell associate with the field amplitudes, and by the derivatives over these amplitudes as $\partial_\alpha, \partial_{\alpha^*}$. The Hamiltonian ϑ_0 represents the interaction of the atoms with a field of the given complex amplitude α and has the form $\vartheta_0 = g(S_{01}\alpha^* - c.c.)$, the term involved the derivatives reads $\partial_\alpha(D\Phi) = -g\partial(S_{01}\Phi)/\partial\alpha + h.c.$

Introduce the representation for Φ

$$\Phi = P \otimes f + \Pi \quad (7)$$

where f is the atomic density matrix for which $Sp_A f = 1$, where subscript A denotes spur over the atoms, Π is

a correlation matrix, $Sp_A \Pi = 0$. The field quasiprobability $P = Sp_A \Phi$ obeys the following equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P = -g\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}[\langle S_{01}\rangle P + Sp_A(S_{01}\Pi)] + h.c. \quad (8)$$

where the angle brackets here and later denote the average of the atomic operators over the density matrix f as $\langle S_{xy}\rangle = Sp(S_{xy}f)$ $x, y = 0, 1$. It is worth paying attention, that for f we get the closed problem, in which the interaction of atoms with field described by the Hamiltonian ϑ_0 , where the field is given by its complex amplitude only. It looks as the problem of evolution of the atomic ensemble in a classical field

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f = [\vartheta_0, f] \quad (9)$$

Using (4) and taking into account (8) and (9), one finds the precise equation for the correlation matrix Π . However we wish the FPE for the field quasiprobability P . Therefore it needs a solution for Π in a perturbation theory over derivations to α, α^* up to the first derivatives only, because it gives to that the equation for P (8) will have derivates of the order not higher then two. It results in the following problem for Π

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Pi = [\vartheta_0, \Pi] - g\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}(S_{01} - \langle S_{01}\rangle)f + h.c.\right]P \quad (10)$$

To derive a closed equation equation for P , we assume that the atomic system evolves more faster then the field and introduce a rough time scale. Let T be a typical time of changing of the field and consider the rough derivative over T as $(P(T+t) - P(t))/T = \partial P/\partial t$. Integrating equation (8) for P over time from t till $T+t$, and taking into account the fact, that the field will be slowly changing in T , we find the desired equation for P

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P(t) &= \\ &= -\frac{g}{T} \int_t^{T+t} dt' \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}(\langle S_{01}(t')\rangle P(t) + Sp_A(S_{01}\Pi(t'))) \\ &\quad + h.c. \quad (11)\end{aligned}$$

This is the master equation for field. Its coefficients can be found from the the problem for f and Π , where the field is presented by its quasiprobability P and is assume to be constant in time. Also it needs to denote the initial matrices $f(0)$ and $\Pi(0)$ at an initial moment of time, say $t = 0$. However it is a way to introduce the

pumping mechanism. As for example, consider atoms placed into cavity, which Q-quality is modulated periodically, and atoms interact with the high Q cavity mode during time T . Then we can describe pumping as initial state of atoms, prepared at time t as $f(t)$, and for an appropriate choose of the time intervals we set $\Pi(t) = 0$. Also our scheme can be considered as micro-maser, when a beam of atoms, that states are given by pumping, is injected into cavity, in which N atoms interacts with one mode during time T and leave the cavity. As result, the master equation describes the field behaviour, arisen from summing over the all changing of the field in time T . Indeed, all contributions are independent.

3 The atomic averages

According to (11), evolution of the electromagnetic field depends on the atomic observables of two types. They are based on the atomic matrix f and the correlation matrix Π , like $\langle S_p(t) \rangle = Sp_A(S_p f(t))$ and $Sp_A(S_p \Pi(t))$, where $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$ or $p = 00, 01, 10, 11$, if the binary notation of p is used. Because atoms are assumed to be identical, one finds, that $\langle S_p(t) \rangle = N \langle s_p(t; 1) \rangle$, and the one-particle operator $f(t; 1) = Sp_{2 \dots N} f(t; 1 \dots N)$ is needed. The matrix f can be obtained by solving (9), that looks as a problem of atoms in the field of the given complex amplitude α , in other hand it can be treated as a task of interaction of atoms with "classical" field. The matrix f , as well as Π , can't be factorized, because of the pumping, we consider, prepares the correlated atoms, in contrast the case of independent particles, discussed in ref [15], when both f and Π are product of the one-particle operators. It is important, that there is no any interaction between atoms, in spite of the atomic correlations created by pumping. For the problem (9) it results in operator evolution has the factorized form and one finds

$$f(t; 1 \dots N) = U^{\otimes N} f(t = 0; 1 \dots N) (U^\dagger)^{\otimes N} \quad (12)$$

$$U = \mu + \nu s_{10}(1) - \nu^* s_{01}(1)$$

where $\mu = \cos(g|\alpha|t)$, $\nu = -(\alpha/|\alpha|) \sin(|\alpha|t)$.

There is another situation for calculating observables associated with the correlation matrix Π . Integrating (10), one finds that all values of the type $Sp_A(S_p \Pi(t))$ depend from the atomic variances, involved pair of the

atomic operators

$$Sp_A(S_p \Pi(t)) = -g \int_0^t dt' \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} D_{p \ 01}(t, t') + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*} D_{10p}(t', t) \right] P(t) \quad (13)$$

where variances $D_{pq}(t, t')$, $p, q = 0, 1, 2, 3$ have the form

$$D_{pq}(t, t') = \langle S_p(t) S_q(t') \rangle - \langle S_p(t) \rangle \langle S_q(t') \rangle \quad (14)$$

where the Heisenberg operators are introduced as $S_p(t) = (U^\dagger)^{\otimes N} S_p U^{\otimes N}$ and averaging is taken over the initial state of atoms $f(t = 0; 1 \dots N)$. Note, that in (13) we suggest, that the atomic operators commute with all derivatives over α . That is a typical approximation for the FPE [15]. The variances, given by (14), consist of two parts, where first is the one-particle contribution proportional to N , and second is the two-particle contribution proportional to $N(N - 1)$

$$D_{pq}(t, t') = N [\langle s_p(t; 1) s_q(t'; 1) \rangle - \langle s_p(t; 1) \rangle \langle s_q(t'; 1) \rangle] \quad (15)$$

$$+ N(N - 1) [\langle s_p(t; 1) s_q(t'; 2) \rangle - \langle s_p(t; 1) \rangle \langle s_q(t'; 1) \rangle] \quad (16)$$

$$- \langle s_p(t; 1) \rangle \langle s_q(t'; 1) \rangle] \quad (17)$$

Note, in the standard Lamb-Scully approach one term from (15) $N \langle s_p(t; 1) s_q(t'; 1) \rangle$ takes place. For calculating the atomic variances, the Heisenberg picture is suitable, it results in evolution of the one-atom operator in the form

$$s_p(t; 1) = \sum_q R_{pq}(t) s_q(1) \quad (18)$$

where $p, q = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and the unitary matrix R_{pq} reads

$$R_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 & -\mu\nu & -\mu\nu^* & |\nu|^2 \\ \mu\nu & \mu^2 & -\nu^2 & -\mu\nu \\ \mu\nu^* & -\nu^{*2} & \mu^2 & -\mu\nu^* \\ |\nu|^2 & \mu\nu & \mu\nu^* & \mu^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

Then all variances take the form

$$D_{pq}(t, t') = \sum_{PQ} R_{pP}(t) R_{qQ}(t') D_{PQ} \quad (20)$$

where $p, q, P, Q = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $D_{PQ} = D_{PQ}(0, 0)$ is an initial correlation function, given by (14), in which $t = t' = 0$. It can be seen, that the two-particle density matrix $f(t = 0; 12)$ is needed to find these variances. It means, that the two-atomic correlation only is required to specify the field behaviour under the Fokker - Planck approximation.

4 The Fokker-Planck equation and noise

Introduce the polar coordinates $I = |\alpha|^2$, $\varphi = \arg \alpha$, then the master equation for field (11) takes the FPE form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P = \left[\sum_{u,v=I,\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} A_u + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial v} Q_{uv} \right] P \quad (21)$$

All coefficients A_μ at the first derivatives are denoted by the one-particle atomic polarization $\langle s_{01}(t; 1) \rangle$

$$A_I = -N \frac{g\sqrt{I}}{T} \int_0^T dt \exp(-i\varphi) \langle s_{01}(t; 1) \rangle + h.c. \quad (22)$$

$$A_\varphi = N \frac{g}{2\sqrt{IT}} \int_0^T dt i \exp(-i\varphi) \langle s_{01}(t; 1) \rangle + h.c.$$

At this step the field relaxation has to be taken into account, because the light must leave the cavity. For the simple model of the Lindblad type, it results in $A_I \rightarrow A_I + CI$, where $C \ll 1/T$ is a rate at which photons come out of the cavity. Indeed, C depends on the output mirror transmitting. The diffusion coefficients are based on the atomic variances and involve the two-particle correlations

$$Q_{uv} = \theta_{uv} \frac{g^2}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_0^t dt' q_{uv}(t, t') \quad (23)$$

where $\theta_{uv} = \theta_{vu}$, $\theta_{I\varphi} = 1$, $\theta_{\varphi\varphi} = 1/(4I)$, $\theta_{II} = I$,

$$q_{I\varphi} = q_{\varphi I} = -i \exp(-2i\varphi) D_{11}(t, t') + c.c. \quad (24)$$

$$q_{\varphi\varphi} = D_{21}(t, t') - \exp(-2i\varphi) D_{11}(t, t') + c.c.$$

$$q_{II} = D_{21}(t, t') + \exp(-2i\varphi) D_{11}(t, t') + c.c.$$

To describe noise of the light, introduce the Mandel parameter ξ , that indicates how much statistics of photons deviates from the poissonian statistics: $\langle n^2 \rangle - \langle n \rangle^2 = \langle n \rangle (1 + \xi)$, where $n = a^\dagger a$ is the photon number operator. In the presented representation $\xi = \langle \epsilon^2 \rangle / \langle I \rangle$, where $\epsilon = I - \langle I \rangle$ is a fluctuation, that is the difference between "intensity" of the light I and its average value $\langle I \rangle = \int d^2 P(\alpha) |\alpha|^2$. Assuming, that fluctuation of intensity is small and does not depend on the phase fluctuation, then it follows from (21) immediately, that the Mandel parameter is proportional to the diffusion coefficient Q_{II} as $\xi = Q_{II}(\langle I \rangle \Gamma)^{-1}$, where $\Gamma = C + (\partial A_I / \partial I)_{I=\langle I \rangle}$ is the decay rate of the intensity fluctuations. The considered approximation of small fluctuation is the standard one for analysis the FPE and it needs $\xi \ll \langle I \rangle$.

5 Noise of micromaser operation

To illustrate the obtained FPE consider the micromaser operation, when the atomic relaxation can be neglected. It is true, if the decay rates of atomic levels γ such that $1/T \gg \gamma \gg C$. Assume fluctuations of intensity be small in a sense, that their deviation $\epsilon = I - \langle I \rangle \ll \langle I \rangle$ slightly change near a steady state solution $\langle I \rangle$.

First consider the pump, produced classically correlated atoms in the state, given by (1), if $N > 2$ and (2). Then from (21) it follows, that $\langle I \rangle$ is the steady state of the semiclassical micromaser equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle I \rangle = -C \langle I \rangle + \frac{N}{T} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \sin^2 B \quad (25)$$

where $B = g\sqrt{\langle I \rangle} T$. For steady state it needs an inversion of population $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ and a condition $(1 - B/\tan B) > 0$ due from the requirement of the stable equilibrium.

Then using (23) under a small fluctuation of intensity, one finds the Mandel parameter

$$\xi = \frac{T}{N} \frac{Q_{II}}{(2\lambda_1 - 1) \sin^2 B (1 - B \tan^{-1} B)} \quad (26)$$

where the diffusion coefficient Q_{II} has the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{II} = & \quad (27) \\ = \frac{N}{T} \{ & -\frac{1}{2} \sin^4 B + (2\lambda_1 - 1) B \sin B \cos B \\ & + (1 - \lambda_1) \sin^2 B \\ & + 2\lambda_1 (1 - \lambda_1) \sin^4 B \\ & + 2(N - 1) \lambda_1 (1 - \lambda_1) \sin^4 B \} \end{aligned}$$

In (27) the atomic correlations of both state (1) and (2) are presented by the same term $2N(N - 1)\lambda_1(1 - \lambda_1) \sin^4 B/T$ to be non negative. It means increasing of the light noise due from the initial atomic correlations. This term is equal to zero, if $N = 1$, or, if the pump creates atoms to be independent. Indeed, the phase behavior is insensitive to these atomic correlations. In accordance with the small fluctuation approximation it needs $Q_{II} \ll \Gamma \langle I \rangle^2$, that is a restriction on the number of atom N .

Consider the noise of light, denoted as the spectrum of photocurrent $i^{(2)}(\omega)$. It can be measured by a simple heterodyne scheme included a detector and spectrum

analyzer. At frequencies $\omega \approx 0$ spectrum of the light noise takes the form

$$i^{(2)}(0) = 1 + 2\xi \frac{1}{1 - B \tan^{-1} B} \quad (28)$$

where unit indicates the shot noise level or standard quantum limit, which is noise of the coherent field. The main features of the light statistics can be found from equation (26),(28). If all atoms are prepared independent in the state $|1\rangle^{\otimes N}$, then $\xi \geq -1$. The negative Mandel parameter means, that in the cavity the photon statistics is subpoissonian, and the noise of light can be suppressed below the standard quantum limit. If $\xi = -1$, the photon number variance is equal to zero, and one finds the Fock state of the light into cavity $|n\rangle$, where $n = \langle I \rangle$. However the noise reduction is small. Noise can be suppressed up to zero, when $\xi = -1/2$. These results are in agreement with results for a regular pumping, proposed in ref. [3]. For the correlated atoms, we discuss, the Mandel parameter become positive and the light noise increases.

When a pump prepares atoms in the state, given by (3), atom has an initial coherence $\langle s_{01}(0) \rangle = (\alpha\beta^* \delta_{b1} + \alpha^* \beta \delta_{b0})/\sqrt{2} = |\alpha\beta| \exp[i\varphi_0]$, where $\varphi_0 = (-1)^{(1-b)} \arg(\alpha\beta^*)$ and inversion $\langle s_{11}(0) \rangle - \langle s_{00}(0) \rangle = (-1)^{(1-b)} |\alpha|^2$. Here $b = 0, 1$, that indicates two version of the state (3). If $b = 0$ inversion of population is negative, in contrast the case when $b = 1$. Then together with the small fluctuation of intensity, assume the phase fluctuation be small in a sense, that $\mu = \varphi - \psi_0 \ll \psi_0$. Then semiclassical equations for phase φ_0 and intensity $\langle I \rangle$ take the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_0 = -\sqrt{2} \frac{g}{\sqrt{I}} |\alpha\beta| \sin(\psi_0 - \varphi_0) \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle I \rangle = & -C \langle I \rangle + \frac{2}{T} (-1)^{1-b} |\alpha|^2 \sin^2 B + \\ & + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{T} |\alpha\beta| \cos(\psi_0 - \varphi_0) \sin B \cos B \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In the steady state $\psi_0 = \varphi_0$ and this solution is stable. The initial atomic coherence is presented by the last term in the right hand side of (30). It result in the steady state solution for intensity, even the initial inversion of population, produced by the pump, is negative, when $b = 0$.

Noise of the light or spectrum of photocurrent at frequencies near zero can be written as

$$i^2(0) = 1 + 2\xi \frac{C}{\Gamma} \quad (31)$$

where

$$\Gamma = C(1 - B \frac{(A \tan^{-1} B + \sqrt{2} |\alpha\beta| (\tan^{-2} B - 1))}{(A + \sqrt{2} |\alpha\beta| \tan^{-1} B)})$$

where $A = (-1)^{(1-b)} 2|\alpha|^2$, $\xi = Q_{II}/(\langle I \rangle \Gamma)$. Expression for the diffusion coefficients reads

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{II} = & \frac{1}{T} \{ 2|\beta|^2 \sin^2 B \cos^2 B \\ & + |\alpha|^2 [\sin^2 B (\sin^2 B + 2(1-b)) \\ & + (-1)^{1-b} B \sin 2B] + \sqrt{2} |\alpha\beta| [\cos 2B (B \\ & - (-1)^{1-b} \sin B \cos B) - (1-b) \sin 2B] - Q \} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & 4|\alpha\beta|^2 \sin^2 B \cos^2 B + 2|\alpha|^4 \sin^4 B \\ & - 2\sqrt{2} |\alpha|^3 |\beta| \sin B \cos B (1 - \cos 2B) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

There are two types of steady states of the micromaser operation, that can be pointed. For the first of them $b = 0$, it means, that atoms are prepared initially without inversion because $\langle s_{11}(0) \rangle - \langle s_{00}(0) \rangle = -|\alpha|^2$. If $|\alpha\beta| \approx 0.49$, then one finds light, which phase is well defined and statistics of photons is subpoissonian. Indeed, the light noise can be suppressed up to $i^2(0) \approx 0.27$, that is limit here. The second type of operation is obtained, when $b = 1$. In this case the pump creates inversion of population of the atomic levels and coherence. Atoms generate light, which the level of noise is various. Particularly, one finds the perfect noise reduction, when $i^2(0) \approx 0$, if $|\alpha|^2 \gg |\beta|^2$. The last feature is clear, because the initial state of atoms is close to a state, of two independent atoms in the upper level. Indeed, in the micromaser operation the best subpoissonian light can be achieved using a regular pumping of atoms [3], however to do it, atoms have to be pumped by the light, which statistics of photons is subpoissonian [16], for example.

This work was supported in part by the Delzell Foundation Inc. and RFBR grant committee.

References

- [1] Yu.M. Golubev, I.V. Sokolov. JETP **60**, 234 (1984).
- [2] F. Haake, S.M. Tan, D.F. Walls. Phys. Rev. **A34**, 4025, (1986).
- [3] Yu.M. Golubev. JETP **79**, 561 (1994).

- [4] G.P. Miroshnichenko, I.P. Vadeiko, A.V. Rubin, J. Timonen. JETP Lett. **72**, 449, (2000).
- [5] M.O. Scully, W.E. Lamb. Phys. Rev. **159**, 208 (1967).
- [6] P. Filipowicz, J. Javanainen, P. Meystre. Phys. Rev. **A34**, 4547 (1986).
J. Krause, M.O. Scully, H. Walther. Phys. Rev. **A34**, 2032 (1986).
L. Lugiato, M.O. Scully, H. Walther. Phys. Rev. **A36**, 740, (1987).
- [7] V. Buzek, M. Hillery. Phys. Rev. **A54**, 1844, (1996).
- [8] V. Buzek, S.L. Braunstein, M. Hillery, D. Drub. Phys. Rev. **A56**, 3446, (1997).
- [9] N. Gisin, S. Massar. Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2153 (1997).
- [10] M. Murao, D. Jonathan, M.B. Plenio, V. Vedral. Phys. Rev. **A59**, 156 (1999).
- [11] S.L. Braunstein, P. van Loock. Multiuser quantum channels for continuous variables. E-print, LANL, quant/ph 0012063 (2000).
- [12] V.N. Gorbachev, A.I. Zhiliba, A.I. Trubilko, E.S. Yakovleva. Teleportation of entangled states and dense coding using a multiparticle quantum channel. E-print, LANL, quant/ph 0011124 (2000).
- [13] W.K. Wothers, W.H. Zurek. Nature **299**, 802 (1982).
- [14] N. Lu, R. Bergou. Phys. Rev. **A40**, 237 (1989).
V.N. Gorbachev, A.I. Trubilko. JETP **88**, 882 (1999).
V.N. Gorbachev, A.I. Trubilko. Optics and Spectroscopy **89**, 766 (2000).
- [15] V.N. Gorbachev, A.I. Zhiliba. Quant. Opt. **5**, 193 (1993).
- [16] Yu.M. Golubev. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **107**, 401 (1995)