

Topological decomposition of composite quantum state spaces

Scott N. Walck

*Department of Physics, Lebanon Valley College, Annville, PA 17003
717-867-6153, fax 717-867-6075, walck@lvc.edu*

Abstract: We present a two-part program for state space decomposition. States are classified into entanglement classes based on local unitary transformations, and then characterized as elements of topological spaces using the language of fibre bundles.

© 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (000.3860) Mathematical methods in physics; (270.0270) Quantum optics

Much effort has been spent in attempting to assign a single real number to a quantum state as a measure of entanglement. [1, 2, 3] Here we take precisely the opposite approach. We desire a description of every quantum state a system can possess in a way that makes the entanglement properties of the state explicit. We want to classify and characterize quantum states based on entanglement. Since there is a notion of “closeness” of quantum states that comes from transition probabilities between states, we focus on the topological structure of the state space.

Our general scheme for classification of quantum states is based on the following property of composite quantum systems. Not all states of a composite quantum system can be obtained by performing local unitary operations on the system. Rather, the group of local state transformations G acts on the composite system state space S to partition the state space into orbits. (The group G is the group of local unitary transformations with redundancy removed. For example, $G = SO(3)$ for a single qubit rather than $SU(2)$ since the latter has a two-fold redundancy.) These orbits are equivalence classes of states with two states regarded as equivalent if they are related by a local state transformation. Each equivalence class can be regarded as an entanglement class. Under the quotient topology, the set of orbits becomes the space of entanglement classes \tilde{S} . There is a natural map $p : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ which associates with each state its entanglement class.

That was the classification program. The second part is a full characterization of each quantum state starting with its entanglement class. What additional information is required? We must come up with a way to differentiate states related by a local state transformation. For this it is convenient to pick a standard state in each entanglement class, and give the local state transformation which takes the standard state into the desired state. So, for each class in \tilde{S} we pick a state in S to be the standard representative of that entanglement class. This gives us a map $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$. Naturally, this map must be such that $p \circ f = i_{\tilde{S}}$, where $i_{\tilde{S}}$ is the identity map on \tilde{S} . In general, there will be many distinct local state transformations which take the same standard state into the same desired state, so we also need a way to get rid of this redundancy. Getting rid of this redundancy is a different job for different entanglement classes. For each class e in \tilde{S} we proceed as follows. The characterization problem amounts to finding a description for the space of states $p^{-1}(e)$. (The topology for this space is the subspace topology inherited from S .) These are all of the states in the same entanglement class as e . We identify the stabilizer, or little group, of $f(e)$, $L_{f(e)}$. This is the subgroup of G which maps $f(e) \in S$ to itself in the action of G on S . The space of cosets $G/L_{f(e)}$ is then homeomorphic to $p^{-1}(e)$.

In principle, this program could be carried out for any composite quantum state space, including spaces of pure states or mixed states.

As an example, we look at both the classification and characterization parts of the program for the simplest situation: pure states of a pair of qubits. Here, the state space $S = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$, the complex projective space of three complex dimensions (six real dimensions) formed as the projective space of the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^4 by removing the physically unimportant overall amplitude and phase. The group of local state transformations $G = SO(3) \times SO(3)$. We can view these transformations as pairs of rotations, one for each qubit. The space of entanglement classes is the closed unit interval, $\tilde{S} = [0, 1]$. This comes about because of the Schmidt decomposition theorem[4, 5], and says that the single real number measure of entanglement is complete for pure states of a pair of qubits. We take this single real number measure of entanglement to be the

concurrence[2, 3], C . For an arbitrary pure state,

$$c_{++} |++\rangle + c_{+-} |+-\rangle + c_{-+} | -+\rangle + c_{--} |--\rangle, \quad (1)$$

the concurrence is given by

$$C = 2 |c_{++}c_{--} - c_{+-}c_{-+}| = \sin \eta. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) effectively gives the map $p : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$, and has also been used to define the angle η , which is an alternate way of specifying the entanglement. The end points $C = 0$ and $C = 1$ correspond to unentangled and fully entangled states, respectively, and the points in between represent partially entangled states.

Now, let's look at the characterization part. For each class $C \in [0, 1]$, (or, equivalently, for each $\eta \in [0, \pi/2]$) we identify a Schmidt standard state $|\psi_0(\eta)\rangle = \cos(\eta/2) |++\rangle + \sin(\eta/2) |--\rangle$. Next, we identify the little group that leaves the Schmidt standard state invariant. At this point we need to treat three separate cases: the unentangled states ($C = 0$), the partially entangled states ($0 < C < 1$), and the fully entangled states ($C = 1$).

For the unentangled states, we find that rotations about the z -axis for either qubit leave the standard state invariant, and so are members of the little group $SO(2) \times SO(2)$. The unentangled state space $p^{-1}(0) = S^2 \times S^2$. This is two Bloch spheres, one for each qubit, which is what we expect in the absence of entanglement.

We treat the fully entangled states next. Here, we find that for any rotation of qubit 1, there is a corresponding rotation of qubit 2 that leaves the standard state invariant. Consequently, the little group in this case is $SO(3)$. The fully entangled state space is $p^{-1}(1) = \mathbb{R}P^3 \approx SO(3)$. This means that the space of fully entangled states is homeomorphic to the three-dimensional rotation group, which has the same topology as three-dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^3$, the space of lines through the origin in \mathbb{R}^4 . So, there is a one-to-one correspondence between three-dimensional rotations and fully entangled states. For example, we can take the fully entangled state associated with a counterclockwise rotation by an angle ϕ about a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ to be

$$e^{-i\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \phi / 2} \otimes I |\text{singlet}\rangle$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices and I is the identity operator on the second qubit. This description of fully entangled states has some nice properties. First, the four Bell basis states are the singlet and the fully entangled states associated with π rotations about the x -, y -, and z -directions. For example, the Bell basis state $1/\sqrt{2} |++\rangle - 1/\sqrt{2} |--\rangle$ is associated with a π rotation about the x -axis. Second, a state associated with a rotation about $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is invariant to real rotations about that axis carried out identically on both subsystems. For example, the Bell basis state above is left invariant by any rotations about the x -axis applied identically to both qubits. The singlet state has a special role and is associated with the identity in $SO(3)$. The singlet is the only fully entangled state (and the only pure state of two qubits) to be invariant under *all* rotations performed identically on both qubits.

Let us consider now the partially entangled states. Here we find that rotations about the z -axis for qubit 1 accompanied by rotations about the z -axis for qubit 2 by the same angle in the *opposite* direction leave the standard state invariant, so that the little group is $SO(2)$. The space of partially entangled states $p^{-1}(C)$ with a fixed concurrence $C \in (0, 1)$ is a fibre bundle[6] made up of a base space $S^2 \times S^2$ and a fibre S^1 . The base space coordinates are (θ_1, ϕ_1) and (θ_2, ϕ_2) . There are four different fibre coordinates (only one of which is required in a given situation), and a corresponding collection of four overlapping coordinate domains where each fibre coordinate is valid. The four fibre coordinates are γ_{ij} and the coordinate domains are $U_{ij} = U_i \times U_j$, where i and j each run over N (for north) and S (for south). Using Cartesian coordinates for the points on a sphere, $U_N = S^2 - (0, 0, -1)$ is the sphere with the south pole deleted and $U_S = S^2 - (0, 0, 1)$ is the sphere with the north pole deleted. Transition functions relate the four different γ_{ij} 's on the regions where the coordinate domains overlap.

$$t_{NN,SN} = t_{NS,SS} = e^{i2\phi_1} \quad (3)$$

$$t_{NN,NS} = t_{SN,SS} = e^{i2\phi_2} \quad (4)$$

$$t_{NN,SS} = e^{i2\phi_1} e^{i2\phi_2} \quad (5)$$

$$t_{NS,SN} = e^{i2\phi_1} e^{-i2\phi_2} \quad (6)$$

