

Coherent control of spin squeezing

C. K. Law, H. T. Ng, and P. T. Leung

*Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong*

(November 12, 2018)

We report an interaction that controls spin squeezing in a collection of spin 1/2 particles. We describe how spin squeezing can be generated and maintained in time. Our scheme can be applied to control the spin squeezing in a Bose condensate with two internal spin states.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 42.50.Dv, 42.50.Lc

Squeezed spin states (SSS) of atoms have reduced quantum fluctuations that are useful in enhancing sensitivity in precision spectroscopy [1]. Since the early work by Kitagawa and Ueda [2], there have been several proposals of generating SSS in different configurations [1,3–7]. In the original proposal, Kitagawa and Ueda [2] have identified two fundamental types of nonlinear spin interactions that lead to spin squeezing:

$$H_1 = 2\kappa J_z^2, \quad (1)$$

$$H_2 = i\kappa(J_+^2 - J_-^2). \quad (2)$$

Here J_s 's are collective angular momentum operators and κ describes the interaction strength. The physical realization of these interactions is still a challenge, but Milburn *et al.* have pointed out that H_1 can naturally be found in a weakly interacting Bose condensates [8]. More recently, Sorensen *et al.* [6] and Raghavan *et al.* [7] made use of H_1 to predict spin squeezing in a spinor Bose condensated gas.

In this report we study squeezing effects generated by the Hamiltonian ($\hbar = 1$),

$$H_3 = 2\kappa J_z^2 + \Omega J_x. \quad (3)$$

This Hamiltonian generalizes H_1 by adding a linear interaction term ΩJ_x , where the interaction strength Ω (assumed positive) can be controlled by an external field. We note that Milburn *et al.* [8] first proposed the model H_3 to study the dynamics of a Bose condensate in a double-well potential. In their work, they have shown that the presence of ΩJ_x can significantly affect the mean-field dynamics. In this paper, we focus on the issue of spin fluctuations. We shall show that H_3 can generate *strong squeezing in an extended period of time*.

To be definite, we consider a J -spin system that can be regarded as a collection of $2J$ spin 1/2 particles. Following Kitagawa and Ueda's criteria of spin squeezing, we introduce the squeezing parameter,

$$\xi_s = \frac{\sqrt{2} \langle (\Delta J_\perp)_{min} \rangle}{J^{1/2}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle (\Delta J_\perp)_{min} \rangle$ is the smallest uncertainty of an angular momentum component perpendicular to the mean angular momentum $\langle \mathbf{J} \rangle$. A state is said to be a squeezed spin state if $\xi_s < 1$.

Let us first examine the exact numerical solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation governed by the Hamiltonian H_3 . We consider that the system starts from the lowest eigenvector of J_x , $|J, m_x = -J\rangle$. Such an initial state is favorable in generating spin squeezing because of the twisting effect due to the nonlinear interaction $2\kappa J_z^2$ along the z -axis. In Fig. 1 we show the typical behavior of the squeezing parameter as a function of time. In the case of $\Omega = 0$ (i.e., the H_1 model) shown in Fig. 1a, we see that ξ_s reaches a minimum after a characteristic time. However, such a squeezing can only be maintained in a certain time period. As the time increases, the system is less squeezed and eventually becomes unsqueezed.

The key advantage of the model H_3 ($\Omega \neq 0$) is the maintenance of squeezing in an extended period of time. This is clearly shown in Fig. 1b-d. We see that ξ_s can be kept below unity in a much longer period of time than that for the $\Omega = 0$ case. Indeed, for the choice of Ω used in Figs. 1b-d, the system exhibits squeezing most of the time. The interaction strength Ω affects the minimal ξ_s that the system can reach. Fig. 1c represents a near optimal choice of Ω for $J = 100$. A closer look at the minimal value of ξ_s indicates that it is less than (i.e., more squeezing) than in Fig. 1a.




FIG. 1. Typical time dependence of ξ_s for the system starting from the initial state $|J, m_x = -J\rangle$. Here $J = 100$ is used.

Another advantage of the interaction model H_3 is the maintenance of large coherent (mean) component of the collective spin. This feature is shown in Fig. 2 where the expectation values $\langle J_x \rangle$ are plotted against time for various values of Ω . We remark that for the system starts from $|J, m_x = -J\rangle$, the only nonvanishing spin component is J_x because $\langle J_y \rangle = \langle J_z \rangle = 0$ at all times. In Fig. 2, we see that for a sufficiently large Ω (for example the $\Omega = 25\kappa$ curve), $\langle J_x \rangle$ changes slightly in the course of time. This is in contrast to the $\Omega = 0$ case in which $\langle J_x \rangle$ vanishes after some time. Since a strong coherent spin component is often needed in increasing the sensitivity of precision measurement (such as in Ramesy spectroscopy [1]), H_3 is more desirable in this regard.




FIG. 2. Time dependence of the expectation value of J_x , same parameters as in Fig. 1

Although exact analytic solutions of the nonlinear problem are not available, the squeezing behavior produced by H_3 can be understood when Ω is sufficiently larger than κ , i.e., $\Omega \gg \kappa$. First we recall that the system is prepared to start from the lowest eigenstate of J_x , $|J, m_x = -J\rangle$, i.e.,

$$J_x |J, m_x = -J\rangle = -J |J, m_x = -J\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Such a state minimizes the energy associated with the interaction ΩJ_x . If $\Omega \gg \kappa$, the external field forces the total spin to remain polarized in the -ve x -direction because it costs energy to change the spin vector. This explains why a large coherent component of $\langle J_x \rangle$ can be maintained. Now we look at the Heisenberg's equation of motion of the angular momentum operators in the y - and z - directions,

$$\dot{J}_z = \Omega J_y, \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{J}_y = -\Omega J_z + 2\kappa (J_z J_x + J_x J_z). \quad (7)$$

Based on the fact that J_x remains unchanged approximately, it is justified to make an approximation: Replacing J_x by $-J$. We call such an approximation as a *frozen spin approximation* [9]. In this way, we have

$$\ddot{J}_z \approx -(\Omega^2 + 4\kappa\Omega J) J_z, \quad (8)$$

which permits harmonic solutions,

$$J_z(t) \approx J_z(0) \cos \omega t + \Omega J_y(0) \sin \omega t / \omega \quad (9)$$

$$J_y(t) \approx -\omega J_z(0) \sin \omega t / \Omega + J_y(0) \cos \omega t, \quad (10)$$

where the frequency $\omega \equiv \sqrt{\Omega^2 + 4\kappa\Omega J}$ is defined.

Eqs. (9) and (10) are operator solutions under the frozen spin approximation. The time-dependent spin fluctuations are given by,

$$\langle (\Delta J_z(t))^2 \rangle \approx \langle J_z^2(0) \rangle \cos^2 \omega t + \frac{\Omega^2}{\omega^2} \langle J_y^2(0) \rangle \sin^2 \omega t, \quad (11)$$

$$\langle (\Delta J_y(t))^2 \rangle \approx \langle J_y^2(0) \rangle \cos^2 \omega t + \frac{\omega^2}{\Omega^2} \langle J_z^2(0) \rangle \sin^2 \omega t. \quad (12)$$

Here the cross terms $\langle J_z(0) J_y(0) \rangle$ and $\langle J_y(0) J_z(0) \rangle$ do not appear because they are identically zero with respect to the initial state (5). Now using the fact that $\omega > \Omega$ and

$$\langle J_z^2(0) \rangle = \langle J_y^2(0) \rangle = J/2, \quad (13)$$

we find that reduced spin fluctuations occurs in the z -direction, i.e., $\langle (\Delta J_z(t))^2 \rangle \leq J/2$. In other words *the system is always squeezed* except at the times $t = n\pi/\omega$. The strongest squeezing occurs at $t = t^* = (2n+1)\pi/2\omega$ with

$$\langle (\Delta J_z(t))^2 \rangle_{t=t^*} \approx \frac{\Omega^2 J}{2\omega^2}. \quad (14)$$

This corresponds to the squeezing parameter at t^* ,

$$\xi_{min} \equiv \xi_s|_{t=t^*} \approx \frac{\Omega}{\omega} < 1. \quad (15)$$

From the definition of ω above, we see that the squeezing parameter ξ_{min} is approximately $(4\kappa J/\Omega)^{-1/2}$ when $\kappa J \gg \Omega$. Therefore the system is less squeezed if Ω is large, but more squeezing can be achieved by increasing the number of particles. We should point out that the frozen spin approximation becomes less valid when Ω is comparable to κ . Nevertheless, the approximation captures the essential physical picture. We have compared the approximate analytical results with the exact numerical solutions, we found a good agreement in ξ_{min} and the oscillation frequency ω as long as $\Omega \gg \kappa$.

In order to to determine how optimal squeezing depends on Ω and particle number $2J$ beyond the frozen spin approximation, we have examined the exact numerical solutions for a wide range of J and Ω . In Fig. 3 we show the values of ξ_{min} that can be attained by our model H_3 for different J s. These values of ξ_{min} are attained by using optimal Ω for the corresponding J (see the inset of Fig. 3). For example, we have found that for $J = 500$, $\Omega \approx 10\kappa$ yields an optimal squeezing parameter $\xi_{min} \approx 0.09$. These numerical findings are consistent with the prediction from the frozen spin approximation that strong squeezing exhibits in the domain $\kappa J \gg \Omega \gg \kappa$.




FIG. 3. The minimum value of the squeezing parameter that can be attained for various J . The inset shows the optimal Ω used.

In conclusion, we have discovered how an external field can be applied to control spin fluctuations, which is expected to play a prominent role in the internal dynamics of Bose condensates. Our results indicate that a cooperation of the nonlinear self-interaction $2\kappa J_z^2$ and the external interaction ΩJ_x can generate spin squeezing in an extended period of time. In our scheme the coherent component of the collect spin can be locked in the x -direction, and the reduced fluctuations always appear in the z -direction. These advantages are not found in the standard model H_1 . Finally we remark that spinor Bose condensates have an intrinsic H_1 type self-interaction among particles. The application of an external magnetic field or Raman fields can be used to prepare the required initial state and to realize the coupling ΩJ_x [6,7].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

C.K.L. thanks discussions with H. Pu, S. Raghavan, and N. P. Bigelow. We acknowledge the support from the Chinese University of Hong Kong Direct Grant (Grant Nos: 2060148 and 2060150). C.K.L. is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship at the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

- [3] G. S. Agarwal and R. R. Puri, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4968 (1994).
- [4] A. Kuzmich, K. Molmer, and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4783 (1997); J. Hald, J. L. Sorensen, C. Schori, and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1319 (1999).
- [5] A. Sorensen and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2274 (1999).
- [6] A. Sorensen, L. M. Duan, I. Cirac, P. Zoller, quant-ph/0006111.
- [7] S. Raghavan, H. Pu, and N. P. Bigelow (private communication).
- [8] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright, and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 4318 (1997).
- [9] Although the x -component of the spin is essentially frozen by the external field, the y - and z - spin component can still evolve. It is the spin fluctuations on the $y - z$ plane that are needed to determine the squeezing parameter.

[1] D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Heinzen, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 67 (1994); D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano, F. L. Moore, and D. J. Heinzen, Phys. Rev. A **46**, R6797 (1992).

[2] M. Kitagawa and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 5138 (1993).