

Fermi Gas in Harmonic oscillator potentials

X.X. Yi^{1,3} J.C.Su²

¹*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China*

²*Department of Physics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130023, China*

³*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Peking 100080, China*

Abstract

Assuming the validity of grand canonical statistics, we study the properties of a spin-polarized Fermi gas in harmonic traps. Universal forms of Fermi temperature T_F , internal energy U and the specific heat per particle of the trapped Fermi gas are calculated as a *function* of particle number, and the results compared with those of infinite number particles.

PACS numbers:03.75.Fi,05.30.Fk

The ideal Fermi gas is an old and well-understood problem since the non-interaction Fermi gas is a good zeroth-order approximation for many familiar systems. Like the trapped degenerate atomic gases [1-3] that provide exciting opportunities for the manipulation and quantitative study of quantum statistical effects, the behavior of trapped Fermi gas also merits attention, both as a degenerate quantum system in its own right and as a possible precursor to a paired Fermi condensate at low temperature[4] though it perhaps is not as dramatic as the phase transition associated with bosons.

The trapped atomic gases reported in Ref.[1-3] are dilute. The effects of predominantly short-range atom-atom interactions are therefore weak. For dilute spin-polarized Fermi gases, the s-wave scattering amplitude vanishes due to the antisymmetry of the many-

Fermi wave function, and the p-wave scattering is small at low energy. Therefore the dilute Fermi gas is usually treated as an ideal Fermi gas.

Unlike the usual system in most textbooks of statistical mechanics, e.g.[5], however, the number of trapped atoms in Ref.[1-3] is finite (about 10^9). For finite number of trapped fermions, *the applicability of the usual thermodynamical calculations and the neglect of the ground energy are no longer available*. For these relatively low numbers (compared to 10^{23}), the effects caused by the above approximation are nonvanishing.

Harmonic traps provide a particularly simple realization of the confined Fermi system. In this trap, Butts and Rokhsar[6] calculated the spatial and momentum distributions for trapped fermions using Thomas-Fermi approximation. The spatial distribution of the trapped cloud provides an explicit visualization of a real-space "Fermi sea" and the momentum distribution, unlike the spatial one, is isotropic.

In this paper, we calculate the Fermi temperature, internal energy and the specific heat per particle of the finite number trapped Fermi gas. We indeed find marked differences from the usual treatments: a correction to the Fermi temperature, internal energy and the specific heat per particle of the finite number trapped fermions, a constraint to the number of trapped fermions for given trap frequencies.

Consider N spin-polarized fermions of mass m moving in anisotropic oscillator potentials. The single-particle levels are familiar

$$E_N = E(n_x, n_y, n_z) = \sum_{i=x,y,z} \hbar\omega_i(n_i + \frac{1}{2}), \quad (1)$$

where ω_i ($i = x, y, z$) is the trap frequencies in the i direction, n_i is non-negative integer. *Note that each oscillator state is assumed to be filled with a single fermion, since only one spin orientation is confined by the magnetic trap*. Under real experimental conditions of trapped atomic gases, the temperature is high on the scale of the trap level spacing, namely, $k_B T \gg \hbar\omega_i$ ($i = x, y, z$). Therefore, within the canonical ensemble, the partition function

$$Q(\beta) = \sum_N e^{-\beta E_N} = \sum_{n_x, n_y, n_z=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta(n_x\omega_x + n_y\omega_y + n_z\omega_z)} = \prod_{i=x,y,z} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i}} \quad (2)$$

of such a system without interactions can be expanded as follows:

$$Q(\beta) \simeq a_2\beta^{-3} + a_1\beta^{-2} + a_0\beta^{-1} + a_{-1} + O(\beta) \quad (3)$$

with

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{\omega_x\omega_y\omega_z} \quad (4)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_2(\omega_x + \omega_y + \omega_z)$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{12}a_2(\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2 + 3\omega_x\omega_y + 3\omega_y\omega_z + 3\omega_z\omega_x)$$

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{24}(\omega_x/\omega_y + \omega_x/\omega_z + \omega_y/\omega_z + \omega_y/\omega_x + \omega_z/\omega_x + \omega_z/\omega_y), \quad (5)$$

where, $\beta = k_B T$, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and the contribution of the ground state was singled away for special treatment. On the other hand, by making use of

$$Q(\beta) = \sum_N e^{-\beta E_N} = \int_{\varepsilon_0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E} \rho(E) dE \quad (6)$$

the partition function can be calculated equivalently, where $\rho(E)$ is the density of states, ε_0 stands for the energy of the ground state. Comparing with eq.(3), it is proved that the density of states $\rho(E)$ takes a form:

$$\rho(E) = b_0 + b_1 E + b_2 E^2 + \dots \quad (7)$$

This expansion can't contain the terms E^r with r being negative or non-integer, since they become zero after comparison with the direct calculation given by eq.(3). Substitution eq.(7) into eq.(6), one can easily find:

$$Q(\beta) = (b_0 + b_1\varepsilon_0 + b_2\varepsilon_0^2)\beta^{-1} + (b_1 - 2b_2\varepsilon_0)\beta^{-2} + 2b_2\beta^{-3} + \dots \quad (8)$$

comparison of eq.(8) with the direct calculation(3) shows that

$$b_0 = a_0 - \varepsilon_0 a_1 - \varepsilon_0^2 a_2, b_1 = a_1 + \varepsilon_0 a_2, b_2 = 0.5 a_2 \quad (9)$$

There are two additional terms b_0 and $b_1 E$ in the density of states in comparison with the result of Butts[6], as we see in following, these additional terms will result in the shift of the thermodynamic quantities.

Now, let us consider a system of N noninteracting fermions that the population $N(E_i)$ of a state with energy E_i is given by the Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$N(E_i) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_i - \mu)} + 1} \quad (10)$$

Here, we set the statistical weights corresponding the state E_i , $g_i = 1$. μ stands for the chemical potential, which is determined by the constraint that the total number of the particles in the system is N :

$$N = \sum_i^{\infty} N(E_i). \quad (11)$$

At zero temperature the Fermi-Dirac distribution factor is unity for energies less than the Fermi energy $E_F = \mu(T = 0, N)$, and zero otherwise. A straightforward integration of Eq.(11) gives:

$$E_F^3 + \frac{3b_1}{2b_2} E_F^2 + 3\frac{b_0}{b_2} E_F - \frac{3}{b_2} N' = 0 \quad (12)$$

with $N' = N + \frac{1}{3}b_2\varepsilon_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}b_1\varepsilon_0^2 + b_0\varepsilon_0$. The terms with b_0 and b_1 are corrections from finite number effects to Fermi energy. In the large number particle limit, $E_F = (\frac{3}{b_2} N')^{1/3}$. This just is the result of Ref.[6,7]. *Some words of caution are now in order. Fermi energy E_F is determined by eq.(12), i.e. E_F is a solution of eq.(12). From the mathematical point of view, the eq.(12) has a only real solution*

$$E_F - (\frac{3}{b_2} N')^{1/3} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{b_1}{b_2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b_0}{b_2} \quad (13)$$

in the situation of the number of trapped fermior satisfying

$$N' < N_{max}, N_{max} = [-(\frac{p}{3})^{3/2} + q_0]b_0/3, \quad (14)$$

where

$$p = -\frac{3b_1^2}{4b_2^2} + 3\frac{b_0}{b_2}, q_0 = \frac{b_1^3}{4b_2^3} - \frac{3b_0b_1}{2b_2^2}. \quad (15)$$

Otherwise, the eq.(12) have three real solution, but only the positive smallest one meets the requirements for Fermi energy from the physical point of view. We would like to point out that the results presented here are valid for $k_B T \gg \hbar\omega_i (i = x, y, z)$. If this condition is broken, we should make use of a numerical method[8] to study the effects of finite particles.

For finite temperature, neglecting the effect of zero-point energy, the eq.(11) gives:

$$N = b_2 k_B^3 T^3 f_3(z) + b_1 k_B^2 T^2 f_2(z) + b_0 k_B T f_1(z) \quad (16)$$

where $f_n(z)$ stands for the Fermi integral, which is given that

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1}}{z^{-1}x^n + 1} dx, \Gamma(n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{n-1} dx.$$

z is a fugacity. The last two terms in eq.(16) are due to the effects of finite particles. If one introduces a temperature

$$T_F^0 = \frac{1}{k_B} \left(\frac{N}{b_2 f_3(z_F)} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (17)$$

which denotes the Fermi temperature of infinite number fermions trapped in anisotropic oscillator potentials[6], i.e. $k_B T_F^0 = \mu$. Then the Fermi temperature T_F defined as the same as in most textbooks for finite number fermions in the case of $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ takes a form

$$T_F = T_F^0 \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{b_1}{b_2} \frac{1}{k_B T_F^0} \frac{f_2(z_F)}{f_3(z_F)} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{b_0}{b_2} \frac{1}{(k_B T_F^0)^2} \frac{f_1(z_F)}{f_3(z_F)} \right], \quad (18)$$

where $z_F = z|_{T=T_F^0}$. The last two terms in eq.(18) are correction to Fermi energy from the finite number effect, it shows that the Fermi temperature for finite trapped fermions is lower than that in the case [7] of infinite trapped fermions.

Generally speaking, the specific heat is more interest from the experimental point of view, since the low-temperature behavior of specific heat c is generally treated as the hallmark of onset of phase transition . It is well known that the specific heat can be derived from the internal energy, which is expressed by

$$\beta U = \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{E \rho(E)}{\exp[\beta(E - \varepsilon_0)] + 1} dE \quad (19)$$

Here we dropped the ground state energy, which is less than $2\varepsilon_0$ and remains constant. Substituting eq.(7) into eq.(19), one easily finds

$$U = \frac{b_2}{\beta^4} f_4(z) + \frac{b_1}{\beta^3} f_3(z) + \frac{b_0}{\beta^2} f_2(z), \quad (20)$$

the first term remains unchanged in the limit of very large number particles, while the last two terms close to zero. The specific heat per particle of the trapped fermions is given that[6]

$$c = \left[\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right]_N, \quad (21)$$

straightforward calculation gives

$$c = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ 4b_2 k_B^4 T^3 f_4(z) + 3b_1 k_B^3 T^2 f_3(z) + 2b_0 k_B^2 T f_2(z) - \frac{3}{2} b_2 k_B^4 T^3 \frac{f_{3/2}(z) f_3(z)}{f_{1/2}(z)} - \frac{3}{2} b_1 k_B^3 T^2 \frac{f_{3/2}(z) f_2(z)}{f_{1/2}(z)} - \frac{3}{2} b_0 k_B^2 T \frac{f_{3/2}(z) f_1(z)}{f_{1/2}(z)} \right\} \quad (22)$$

It is evident that the specific heat per particle has increased due to the finite particle effects. The results are illustrated in Fig.1. As state in most textbooks of statistical mechanics, for ideal Fermi gas in a 3D box, the specific heat increases proportionally with temperature T for $T \ll T_F$, whereas it closes to a constant $1.5k_B$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$. For trapped fermions, however, the specific heat increases predominantly with T for $T \ll T_F$, and close to $3k_B$ with $T \rightarrow \infty$. *Physically, the difference between the case of 3D box and that of trapped fermions is the confinement. This leads to the difference in their energy levels. Consequently, different energy levels would result in different dependence of specific heat on temperature.*

In conclusion, we have discussed the behaviors of fermions trapped in an anisotropic oscillator potentials, it was shown that corrections due to the effect of finite fermions and the ground state energy are small, whether they are observable depends on the experimental parameters. We believe the results will be useful to them who is working experimentally in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

One of us(X.X.Yi) would like to thank prof. C.P.Sun for his helpful discussions.

References

- [1] M.H.Anderson, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.Wieman, and E.A.Cornell, Science 269(1995)198.
- [2] C.C.Bradley, C.A.Sackett, J.J.Tollett and R.G.Hulet Phys.Rev.Lett. 75(1995)1687.
- [3] K.B.Davis, M.O.Mewes, M.R.Andrews, N.J.Van Druten, D.S.Durfee, D.M.Kurn, and W.Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett.75(1995)3969.
- [4] H.T.C.Stoof, M.Houbiers, C.A.Sackett, and R.G.Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76(1996)10.
- [5] F.London, Superfluids II (Wiley, New York, 1954)
K.Huang, Statistical mechanics (Wiley, New York, 1987)
L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, volume 5: Statistical physics (pergamon, London, 1959).
- [6] D.A.Butts and D.S.Rokhsar Phys. Rev. A 55(1997)4346.
- [7] I.F.Silvera and J.T.M.Walraven J. Appl. Phys. 52(1981)2304.
- [8] J. Schneider, H.Wallis, Phys. Rev. 57(1998)1253.

Fig.1 The specific heat per particle vs. temperature. The dots show the situation for a very large number of particles($N = 10^{23}$), and the dashed line that for a smaller number(10^8). The trap frequencies are $\omega_x = 500\text{Hz}$, $\omega_y = 600\text{Hz}$, $\omega_z = 800\text{Hz}$.