

CAUSALITY

The Nature of Everything

N. T. Anh*[†]

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Hanoi National University,
High College of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Hanoi , Vietnam.*

(1991)

Abstract

We pursue research leading towards the nature of causality in the universe. We establish the equation of the universe's evolution from the universe-state function and its series expansion, in which causes and effects connect together to construct a linked chain of causality. And the equation of causality [1] is rederived. Therefrom, our theory informs the progress of the universe and, simultaneously, a law that presents everywhere. Furthermore, we lay some foundations for a new mathematical phrasing of the movement of physics. That is the life-like mathematics - a mathematics full of life.

*Present address: *Institute for Nuclear Science and Technique, Hanoi, Vietnam.*

[†]Email: anhnt@vol.vnn.vn

1 INTRODUCTION

To pursue the idea of the previous article [1] a question arises that if the law of causality is the most general law, then about what will it inform us in the whole of the universe, in the birth, expansion and conclusion of the universe, as well as in some concrete phenomenon and process in the universe?

The transformation chain “*Difference* → *Contradiction* → *Solving of contradiction*” is also the expansion chain of the universe. The expansion chain of the universe starts from the absolute infinite and homogeneous space. In the absolute space there is a Nothing, but itself is the one, is the unique: the content is zero, the form is one. Thus as acting negatively all the absolute space acts negatively just its unique existence. Therefrom the immanent contradiction of this state of the universe is infinitely great, and the universe self-solves by expanding into infinite series of smaller contradictions and solving them. The consequence is that our world was born.

In order to describe quantitatively all processes of the birth, expansion and conclusion of the universe, we establish a universal equation from the universe-state function in Sec. 2. And the equation of causality is anew devised exactly in Sec. 3. Our conclusion is given in Sec. 4. In Appendices A and B we present a few foundations for functor and life-like mathematics.

2 UNIVERSAL EQUATION

1! At the debut of each expansion of the universe, the f function characterizing for the early state of the universe is equal gradually to zero everywhere and forming the absolute vacuum-state function $f(\cdot)$.

$$f = f(\cdot).$$

Continuously, in this expansion, the vacuum state of the universe becomes the absolute space state but still is always equal to zero everywhere, because in the vacuum of the universe there contain infinitely many absolute spaces

$$f(\cdot) = f(K),$$

where $f(K)$ is called absolute space-state function of the set of absolute spaces in the universe’s vacuum, with K being absolute space set $K = \{\dots k \dots\}$.

Therefore, the absolute space-state function satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{cases} f(K) = 0, & \forall k \in K \\ \int f(K) dk = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the notation “0” is the “nothing” in the universe’s vacuum, and “1” symbolizes the unique existence of the universe’s vacuum.

$f(K)$ is equal to zero everywhere, but its integral with respect to space is unique, or the whole of $f(K)$ over space is unique. (Right in whole integral region, $f(K)$ still is equal to zero - The absolute vacuum has nothing, but has uniquely that “nothing”.)

$f(K)$ is limitless and homogeneous everywhere, is determined in every neighborhood of point spaces κ_i in a set of absolute point spaces $\mathcal{K}(\kappa_i \in \mathcal{K} = \{\dots\kappa_i\dots\})$, is continuous with $k_i = \kappa_i$, and exists the limit $\lim_{k_i \rightarrow \kappa_i} f(K) = f(\mathcal{K})$. $f(K)$ is continuously differentiable on the set of point spaces \mathcal{K} , in which all direct and reverse partial derivatives to all orders exist and are continuous due to the existence of limits, respectively.

2! The state functions of the absolute vacuum as well as of the absolute space determine the states of the homogeneous state vacuum and the homogeneous space, in which as transferring with respect to all degrees of freedom there cannot be any arbitrary immanent difference.

But, the absolute vacuum is a unique and homogeneous state in which there is nothing. As self-acting negatively, it leads to self-acting positively itself and vice versa. In the unique state there contains nothing but still exists a “nothingness”! For that reason, in overall the absolute vacuum still contains a difference: between the “nihility” and the unique state of this “nihility”. That difference is infinitely great, and therefore the immanent contradiction of this state is also infinitely great. It is easy to be identified this contradiction in the relation (1.1).

So the self-solving is performed by expanding $f(K)$ into series in the whole of space,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \dots & A^{(0)}(k) & \sum_h A_h^{(+1)}(k) & \dots \\ \dots & \sum_h A_h^{(-1)}(k) & A^{(0)}(k) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \dots & A^{(0)}(k) & \sum_h A_h^{(+1)}(k) \Big|_{\kappa} & \dots \\ \dots & \sum_h A_h^{(-1)}(k) \Big|_{\kappa} & A^{(0)}(k) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \dots & 1 & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^-}^{k_h^-} d\mathcal{X}_h^- & \dots \\ \dots & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^+}^{k_h^+} d\mathcal{X}_h^+ & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{pmatrix},$$

or in the general form,

$$f(K) = f(K)|_{\mathcal{K}} S_{\mathcal{K}}^K \quad (1.2)$$

under the space reflection, where $f(K)$, $f(K)|_{\mathcal{K}}$, and $S_{\mathcal{K}}^K$ contain infinitely many variable elements.

Conversely, if there is a linear transfer variation from K space to \mathcal{K} space, then

$$f(K)|_{\mathcal{K}} = f(K)S_{\mathcal{K}}^K,$$

where $S_{\mathcal{K}}^K$ is different from $S_K^{\mathcal{K}}$ by permuting of superior and inferior limits of integrals.

For that reason, if doing an action $f(K)|_{\mathcal{K}}$ onto $S_{\mathcal{K}}^K$, then

$$S_{\mathcal{K}}^K S_K^{\mathcal{K}} = S_K^{\mathcal{K}} S_{\mathcal{K}}^K = I \quad (1.3)$$

with I unit.

3! Now, we return to consider Eq. (1.2). If instead of K we let $(K - \mathcal{K})$, then $f(K)$ becomes

$$f(K - \mathcal{K}) = f(K - \mathcal{K})|_{(K-\mathcal{K})=0} S_{(K-\mathcal{K})=0}^{(K-\mathcal{K})}, \quad (1.4)$$

And if we define $\int_{\kappa_i}^{k_i} d\mathcal{X}_i = M_i$, then $S_{\mathcal{K}}^K = \mathcal{M}$, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & 1 & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^-}^{k_h^-} d\mathcal{X}_h^- & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^+}^{k_h^+} d\mathcal{X}_h^+ & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & 1 & \sum_h \frac{M_h^-}{-1!} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h \frac{M_h^+}{+1!} & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, Eq. (1.4) will be

$$f(\mathcal{M}) = f(\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{M}=0} \mathcal{M} \quad (1.5)$$

where each element equation has the form as a functor

$$A(M) = e^{\Sigma M \hat{A}} A(M)|_{M=0}.$$

From calculating $S_{\mathcal{K}}^K$, we are convenient to consider the condition (1.3). After we define $\int_{\kappa_i}^{\kappa_i} d\mathcal{X}_i = -\int_{\kappa_i}^{k_i} d\mathcal{X}_i = -M_i$, then $S_K^{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}$, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & 1 & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^-}^{\kappa_h^-} d\mathcal{X}_h^- & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h \int_{\kappa_h^+}^{\kappa_h^+} d\mathcal{X}_h^+ & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & 1 & \sum_h \frac{-M_h^-}{-1!} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h \frac{-M_h^+}{+1!} & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, in order for $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M} = I$ then

$$M^+M^- = M^-M^+ = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where M^+ and M^- are some contradictions which belong to the two reflective worlds, and notice here the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-)^i}{(n-i)!i!} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^n (-)^i \binom{n}{i} = 0,$$

with meaning that the sum of generation and annihilation quantities is constant (conservation of quanta).

4! From the second in the condition (1.1) of the absolute space-state function, we consider in the set of point-spaces \mathcal{K} ,

$$1 = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{f}(K) d\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{f}(K) A^{(0)}(k) \Big|_{\kappa},$$

or can write

$$1 = \mathfrak{f}(K - \mathcal{K}) A^{(0)}(k - \kappa) \Big|_{(k-\kappa)=0}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$1 = \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{M}) A^{(0)}(M) \Big|_{M=0}. \quad (1.7)$$

And consider in an interval of integration from the set of point-spaces \mathcal{K} to the set of absolute spaces K

$$1 = \int_{\kappa}^k \mathfrak{f}(K) d\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{f}(K - \mathcal{K}) [k - \kappa]^{(0)}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$1 = \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{M}) M^{(0)}. \quad (1.8)$$

Following the life-like mathematics, let (1.7) and (1.8) sink into together we obtain an equivalent relation

$$A^{(0)}(M) \Big|_{M=0} = M^{(0)}. \quad (1.9)$$

After we differentiate partially (in direct and reverse orientations) to all orders with respect to components in the two elements $A^{(0)}(M) \Big|_{M=0}$ and $M^{(0)}$, respectively, then (1.9) may be written as

the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & A^{(0)}(M)|_{M=0} & \sum_h A_h^{(+1)}(M)|_{M=0} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h A_h^{(-1)}(M)|_{M=0} & A^{(0)}(M)|_{M=0} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & M^{(0)} & \sum_h a_h^+ M_h^{(+1)} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \sum_h a_h^- M_h^{(-1)} & M^{(0)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Namely,

$$f(\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{M}=0} = \mathcal{M}', \quad (1.10)$$

where the factors a are degree-of-freedom transfer coefficients.

Therefrom, we have the following equation, from Eq. (1.5),

$$f(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}'\mathcal{M}. \quad (1.11)$$

This equation is named universal equation, where each element is of the form

$$A(M) = e^{\Sigma a M \widehat{M}} M.$$

The two spaces k_i and κ_i in the respective sets K and \mathcal{K} are different from each other, but the κ_i space lies in the k_i space. Id est, these two spaces differ mutually but they concern directly with each other through limiting of integral sum, so they annihilate mutually generating the contradiction between them

$$[k_i - \kappa_i] = M_i,$$

where “ $-$ ” is signed annihilation operation between two spaces k_i and κ_i . The dimension of contradiction depends on the dimension of spaces k_i and κ_i which contribute to create that contradiction.

Thus, (1.9) means that $A^{(0)}(k)|_{\kappa}$ at the point space κ of the set \mathcal{K} is just the contradiction $[k - \kappa] = M$ between the absolute space k of the set K and the point space κ of the set \mathcal{K} contained in K . For the reason that $A^{(0)}(k)|_{\kappa}$ reflects right the contradiction between the κ space for which this function characterizes and the k space, characterized by $A(k)$ that is rather conservative than $A(k)|_{\kappa}$ (in immanent contradiction).

Hence, partial derivatives of $A(k)|_{\kappa}$ to all orders with respect to all possible quantities in point spaces κ_i of the set \mathcal{K} are just partial derivatives of the contradiction M to all orders with respect to all possible degrees of freedom in spaces (i.e. with respect to degrees of transfer of contradiction), with

a being the degree-of-freedom transfer coefficients. And then, the derivatives \mathcal{M}' of contradiction with respect to contradiction-transfer facilities become the expedients for solving of contradiction $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

In \mathcal{M} , the unit elements in the main diagonal form a reflecting mirror demarcating the two worlds. When an element is generated in one world, a some element in the other is simultaneously annihilated, and conversely. (they are conserved of degree). The two reflecting worlds seem to be alike but if an arbitrary element of the one unites some arbitrary element of the other, they both will annihilate mutually to zero, Eq. (1.6). The annihilation to zero from two some elements of the two reflecting worlds is to satisfy the condition (1.3).

Each element of one world will become a some element of the other only after it has passed the reflecting mirror, the reflecting mirror has annihilated all natures of old world in this element so that it can receive new natures when it enter into a new world.

5! Let us now generalize all above concepts. If the universe comprises a set of actions, thereat we can regard that K is set of actions which exist in all absolute spaces and \mathcal{K} is the set of actions which exist in all point spaces. $f!()$ is the state function of the zero action, $f!(K)$ is the action function of absolute spaces, and $f!(K)|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the action function of point spaces. They satisfy the condition (1.1), with “0” being “zero action”, and “1” being the action of its unique existence.

A some contradiction, thereat, will be defined as the simultaneous coexistence of two mutual annihilation actions,

$$M_i = [k_i - \kappa_i], \quad k_i \in K \text{ and } \kappa_i \in \mathcal{K},$$

and satisfies Eq. (1.6).

From the condition of the uniqueness of the zero action, we obtain the universal equation (1.11) where \mathcal{M}' contains elements as derivatives of contradictions with respect to all possible degrees of freedom. On the other hand, they act onto contradictions making contradictions to vary with respect to some degrees of freedom – i.e. making contradictions to be solved over some facilities for transfer of contradiction, so derivatives of contradictions with respect to all degrees of freedom are just contradiction-solving expedients over all contradiction-transfer facilities.

The universal equation manifests the birth, evolution and conclusion of the universe, beginning from the absolute vacuum and then its expanding out the whole of the universe to infinitely many repeat period chains. The universal equation expresses just solving an infinitely great immanent contradiction of the absolute vacuum state by dividing into infinitely many small contradictions to solve them.

In the absolute vacuum there are infinitely many point spaces, solving contradictions between the vacuum and point spaces makes point spaces concentrate and crystallized together (accretion) forming early matters. They combine together, and continuous so, gradually forming the world in a unity entity of the nature.

If speaking in the geometrical language, contradictions between the zero curvature of the absolute vacuum (or of a sphere with an infinitely large radius) and infinitely high curvatures of point spaces (or of spheres with infinitely small radii) must vary to reach states with lowest immanent contradictions, i.e. curvatures must vary to reach and conclude some curvatures (following tendency). The curvature of the absolute vacuum continuously conserves and is equal to zero, so these contradictions always are solved with respect to the tendency of decreasing curvatures of point spaces to zero (i.e. increasing their radii to infinity) so as to coincide with the curvature of the absolute vacuum. As curvatures of point spaces decrease just as point spaces concentrate and crystallize together to form new spaces with lower curvatures (i.e. with larger radii).

Concreting that at each point in the absolute vacuum there is an appearance of infinitely many centripetal flows (inflow). Isophasic flows force to appear an impulse at this point, immediately, that impulse causes an immanent contradiction and the contradiction is solved directly by emitting backward flows (outflow) to decrease strength of inward flows. Then after that, emitter is weak gradually and a new cycle will begin, etc.

In the absolute vacuum such infinitely many point spaces create a global motion of the universe generating infinitely many thermal currents everywhere in the universe. The universe becomes full of life. Motion of infinitely many point spaces leads to the formation of groups separated by isophasic current points. (Maybe the opposite phase groups would create the positive and negative extrema or mutually symmetrical extrema. And points which have intermediary phases would disperse to form different groups then move gradually to one of extrema). Each group creates one proper wave of flows giving rise to the universe to “breathe” lively.

In the universal equation, the sign “=” has the meaning that: at the debut of each expansion process, the equation performs generally in the forward direction (rightwards), after that the equation is in relative equilibrium when there are new contradictions generated and there also are contradictions solved, finally the equation performs generally in the backward direction (leftwards) when contradictions are solved more and more – at that time the expansion series converges uniformly to $f(K) = f(\) = f$.

Each numerical value under contradictions is determined by reflecting them onto the quantity 0! of the absolute vacuum, and as contradictions vary the numerical values also alter after. The state function thus expands into chains in the reversible process, contradictions are generated gradually,

and quantities also gradually appear more and more. In element equations, when expanding sums there will be chains of terms, each chain is a period, later chain is in higher development degree than sooner chain.

In a few real concrete cases, the expansion of the equation requires strictly to determine superior limits of sums – i.e. to obey the rule of filling “reservoirs” of the universe. If the equation expands from small contradictions to great contradictions, then when a some contradiction which corresponds with a superior limit index of a sum is greater than other contradiction which corresponds with an inferior limit index of a successive sum, the smaller contradiction will be expanded foremost. This is similar to the expansion with respect to levels of energy minimization of elements in the periodic system.

Thus, all phenomena in the universe seem to proceed in an accomplished order and the whole universe continuously obeys a law. A some term of series does not really open out yet but it has denoted a progress orientation of future expansion.

About application, above all the universal equation is used for deriving directly the equation of causality, after that for building equations of many quantity sets in each scope of a researched space and for researching constructions and systems of worlds in the universe.

3 EQUATION OF CAUSALITY

We now research the reciprocal reflection from the space world or the world of actions to the time world. The time world stands of a fundamental background or field, onto which other worlds all reflect as a whole. Therefore the time world is relatively independent, and other worlds seem to be timeworld-dependent. In the time world the process of variation and transformation also is performed and formed from the functions \mathcal{f} and $\mathcal{f}(\)$ and expanded into series, finally reaches the homogeneous state and closes a reflection period.

As the world of actions reflects on the time world, the set of actions K is dependent on the set of times $T = \{\dots\tau\dots\}$ which exist in absolute spaces, and \mathcal{K} is dependent on $\mathcal{T} = \{\dots\tau\dots\}$ in point spaces. Thereby, $\mathcal{f}(\)$ reflects on time forming the zero-action function of the absolute vacuum in the non-time state, $\mathcal{f}(K)$ becomes the function $\mathcal{f}(K_{(T)})$; and $\mathcal{f}(K)|_{\mathcal{K}}$ grows $\mathcal{f}(K_{(T)})|_{\mathcal{K}_{(T)}}$.

Similarly, in the time world the function $\mathcal{f}(K_{(T)})$ also is expanded into series by linear transformation from the time \mathcal{T} to T ,

$$\mathcal{f}(K_{(T)}) = \mathcal{f}(K_{(T)})|_{\mathcal{K}_{(T)}} S_{\mathcal{T}}^T, \quad (2.1)$$

or may be written (in the time inflection)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & A^{(0)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & \sum_h A_{\tau_h}^{(+1)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & \dots & \\ \dots & \sum_h A_{\tau_h}^{(-1)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & A^{(0)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & A^{(0)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & \sum_h A_{\tau_h}^{(+1)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) \Big|_{\kappa(\tau)} & \dots & \\ \dots & \sum_h A_{\tau_h}^{(-1)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) \Big|_{\kappa(\tau)} & A^{(0)}(k_{(\mathcal{T})}) & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & 1 & \sum_h \int_{\tau_h^-}^{\tau_h^+} dt_h^- & \dots & \\ \dots & \sum_h \int_{\tau_h^+}^{\tau_h^-} dt_h^+ & 1 & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

If instead of $K_{(\mathcal{T})}$ we write $[K_{(\mathcal{T})} - \mathcal{K}_{(\mathcal{T})}]$, and after putting $\int_{\tau_i^-}^{\tau_i^+} dt_i = \Delta t_i$,

$$S_{\mathcal{T}}^T = \Upsilon,$$

with $S_{\mathcal{T}}^T S_{\mathcal{T}}^T = \Upsilon \Upsilon^{-1} = I$ where

$$\Delta t^+ \Delta t^- = \Delta t^- \Delta t^+ = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & 1 & \sum_h \int_{\tau_h^-}^{\tau_h^+} dt_h^- & \dots & \\ \dots & \sum_h \int_{\tau_h^+}^{\tau_h^-} dt_h^+ & 1 & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & 1 & \sum_h \frac{\Delta t_h^-}{-1!} & \dots & \\ \dots & \sum_h \frac{\Delta t_h^+}{+1!} & 1 & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

then Eq. (2.1) will be

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\mathcal{T})}) = f(\mathcal{M}_{(\mathcal{T})}) \Big|_{\mathcal{M}_{(\mathcal{T})}=0} \Upsilon, \quad (2.3)$$

where each element has the functor form,

$$A(M_{(t)}) = e^{\Sigma \Delta t \hat{A}} A(M_{(t)}) \Big|_{M_{(t)}=0}.$$

From the unique condition of the zero action, similar to the previous section, we obtain

$$A^{(0)}(M_{(t)}) \Big|_{M_{(t)}=0} = M_{(t)}^{(0)} \quad (2.4)$$

in the time world.

After direct and reverse partial differentiating to all orders both of the side hand of this element with respect to time, respectively, we obtain

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)})|_{\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}=0} = \dot{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (2.5)$$

And we can express it under the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \dots & A^{(0)}(M_{(t)}) & \sum_h A_{t_h}^{(+1)}(M_{(t)})|_{M_{(t)}=0} & \dots \\ \dots & \sum_h A_{t_h}^{(-1)}(M_{(t)})|_{M_{(t)}=0} & A^{(0)}(M_{(t)}) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \dots & M^{(0)} & \sum_h (-)^{+1} M_{t_h}^{(+1)} & \dots \\ \dots & \sum_h (-)^{-1} M_{t_h}^{(-1)} & M^{(0)} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

where coefficients $(-)$ arise from the reason that contradiction varies inversely as time.

Thus, we have

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}) = \dot{\mathcal{M}} \Upsilon. \quad (2.6)$$

This equation is named time-world equation, where each element is of the form

$$A(M_{(t)}) = e^{\Sigma(-)\Delta t \widehat{M}} M_{(t)},$$

with the contradiction between the action $k_{(\Upsilon)}$ of the set $K_{(\Upsilon)}$ and the action $\kappa_{(\Upsilon)}$ of the set $\mathcal{K}_{(\Upsilon)}$ being M and also dependent on the time t : $M_{(t)}$ in the set $\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}$.

Therefore, all-order partial derivatives of the function $A^{(0)}(k_{(\Upsilon)})|_{\kappa_{(\Upsilon)}}$ with respect to all times in point spaces are also just all-order partial derivatives of contradiction with respect to all times, with coefficients $(-)$ generated by reflecting from the world of actions to the time world. Therefrom, the derivative $\dot{\widehat{M}}$ of contradiction with respect to time becomes just the contradiction-variation rapidity (violence) $\dot{\mathcal{M}}$.

Conforming to the life-like mathematics, after sinking the universal equation into the time-world equation we obtain a general universal equation,

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}) = \mathcal{M}' \mathcal{M} = \dot{\mathcal{M}} \Upsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

Elements of the universal equation unite respective elements of the time-world equation, where each functor element has the form

$$A(M_{(t)}) = e^{\Sigma a M_{(t)} \widehat{M}} M_{(t)} = e^{\Sigma(-)\Delta t \widehat{M}} M_{(t)}.$$

Consider an arbitrary contradiction in \mathcal{M} , we obtain

$$aM'M = - \dot{M} \Delta t, \quad (2.8)$$

with $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $\dot{M} \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$.

From this equation, we identify that a is not only to be a degree-of-freedom transfer coefficient but also to be a world transfer coefficient. In this case it is from the space world to the time world.

Supposing that $(M'M)$ reflects from the space world to the time world,

$$(M'M)_k \sim (M'M)_t.$$

If considering Δt as a non-variable contradiction or a varied but invariable contradiction, then as $(M'M)_t = - \dot{M} \Delta t$, the equation $aM'M = - \dot{M} \Delta t$ is evident due to the result of reflection

$$M'M \sim - \dot{M} \Delta t.$$

And therefore a are generated to transfer worlds.

When taking Δt into a then we will obtain the equation of causality,

$$aM'M = - \dot{M}. \quad (2.9)$$

In the case the contradiction is characterized by itself, namely $M = M_{(M)}$, then

$$M = M_0 e^{-a(t-t_0)},$$

where M_0 is the contradiction at the time $t = t_0$.

We next consider a case that if $\Delta t = [\top - \tau] = 0$ – i.e. $\top = \tau$, the time in the universe is identical in all spaces – thereat the time includes homogeneousness: it has an identical value everywhere at an arbitrary point time of the universe, in the universe there is no deflection of time at one place and other, at one space and other.

According to this significance, the time is a relatively independent world, so whenever there is a time deflection then this deflection will must be solved to reach a lowest possible deflection – i.e. following the tendency $\Delta t = [\top - \tau] \rightarrow 0$.

The time world stands of a background or a field, in which everything, every system, every phenomenon and every state, etc. all reflect, and through which to “know” differences in their motive process, to “know” differences between them and others and to find ways and tendencies for solving.

Thus, if $\Delta t = [\top - \tau] = 0$, in the time world there is no contradiction, then Υ will be equal to the unit I . Therefrom,

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}) = \dot{\mathcal{M}} I.$$

And after soaking the universal equation into it,

$$f(\mathcal{M}_{(\Upsilon)}) = \mathcal{M}' \mathcal{M} = \dot{\mathcal{M}} I,$$

with each functor element,

$$A(M_{(t)}) = e^{\Sigma a M_{(t)} \widehat{M}} M_{(t)} = M_{(t)}. \quad (2.10)$$

4 CONCLUSION

We have answered almost very difficult and mysterious questions of the universe. Why do everything, every phenomenon, every system, every state, every process and so on all perform and exhibit as what we know but not perform and exhibit otherwise? Because, in very deep of things, phenomena and processes there are different elements to annihilate mutually appearing contradictions between them. Elements annihilate mutually leading gradually to do not annihilate any more. This requirement makes them appear expedients for solving of contradiction and facilities for transfer of contradiction in the tendency of decreasing gradually contradictions between mutual annihilation elements. And owing to variation of these contradictions as well as of different elements in things, phenomena and processes, in the universe there is an appearance of laws, in which everything, phenomenon, process and so on all perform and exhibit just as that we see in the nature, and anywhere in the universe. Then, why do only different elements play an important role for performance and exhibition of everything, phenomenon and process but do not any other elements? Because the difference is the first axiom of the universe. If there were no existence of difference, then in the universe everywhere all would be identical, and therefore none of anything would exist. For that reason, only different elements are the first elements and play an important role for exhibition and variation of things, phenomena and processes in the universe. If so, then how is the universe in variation and in motion?

Variation processes in the universe could not arise from condensed matter and then create a big-bang generating particles, material bodies, etc. and making the universe expand... Because the outset state of the universe must be a state without immanent difference, or more exactly, with a least quantity of immanent differences. If matter were condensed, then it would comprise infinitely many different elements, therefore condensed state is impossible to be the basic state. And if condensed matter is identical, then in the universe there will not be the existence of a big-bang but matter will

must be varied in the expansion phase of the universal equation. In reality, in the early universe infinitely many point spaces create infinitely many “big-bangs” which do not explode out but burst into center of each point.

Therefore, the universe varies and transforms in the process

$$f \rightarrow f(\cdot) = 0 \rightarrow f(K) \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow (1) \rightarrow f.$$

In the debut, f is equal gradually to zero everywhere forming itself and $f(\cdot)$, thereby the time world also is gradually formed and contributes to crystallize the space world. The process from f to infinity is performed as in the universal equation. After that, because every contradiction solved all reach a state without difference – i.e. everywhere, everything are all identical, and finally lead to a homogeneous state. At that time the process from infinity to (1) is performed. The end is the process of f -zing everywhere, closing a motion period.

Briefly, the whole universe is a unity entity in causal connections, all phenomena seem to progress on intrinsic order following the universal equation and the whole universe continually obeys a law – the law of causality. From observations in the microcosm as well as in the macrocosm science always discovers deterministic “disorders” of the universe which are controlled by a some “intelligence” – due to contradiction.

Appendices

A. Functor

We identify that a function $f(K)$ expanded into a Taylor series has the following form (with $\frac{d}{dK}|_k \equiv \hat{f}$)

$$f(K) = e^{(K-k)\hat{f}} f(k),$$

or

$$f(K) = e^{\int_k^K \hat{f}(\kappa) d\kappa} f(k).$$

This function is called functor.

It is clear that the functor may be sought from the equation of causality

$$\hat{f}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial K}.$$

For the multiplet series the functor $f(K_1, K_2, \dots)$ has the form as

$$f(K_1, K_2, \dots) = e^{\sum_i (K_i - k_i) \hat{f}_i} f(k_1, k_2, \dots),$$

or as a functional

$$f(K_{(z)}) = e^{\int dz \delta K_{(z)} \hat{f}(k, z)} f(k_{(z)}).$$

This function also may be sought from the equation of causality

$$\hat{f}f(K) = \frac{\delta f(K)}{\delta K_{(z)}}.$$

Briefly, an arbitrary function can expand into the Taylor convergent series then also can be written as a functor and it satisfies the equation of causality. In reality, every function can expand into the Taylor series if we recognize the existence of all zero-valued, finite-valued and infinite-valued derivatives. At that time, in the series there is no remainder and the series has infinite order number. Thus, every phenomenon can be described in the form of functor, and variation and motion (i.e. every process) of phenomena then can be expressed in the equation of causality.

B. Life-like Mathematics

The life-like mathematics is a new mathematical phrasing, in which objects and quantities, etc. always are in motion. The life-like mathematics describes relationships of equation-of-causality plurality and “living” of the universal equation, etc. Therewithal, the life-like mathematics comprises not only pictures of the nature but also abstract quantities with copious proper lifetimes reflecting mutually in a unified common lifetime.

1! In the space of actions \mathcal{K} there exists a set of free actions

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n, \dots\}.$$

a. If actions have not any common thing and they are alike, then they are independent mutually

$$K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n, \dots \equiv K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n, \dots$$

b. If actions have one element or many common elements, alike elements between them, then they connect mutually by annihilation operations “–”. If those actions are different from each other, then they annihilate mutually generating contradictions:

1. $K_i - K_i - \dots - K_i \equiv K_i$.
2. If actions annihilate directly, then

$$K_1 - K_2 - \dots - K_n = M,$$

with n being less than number of actions in the space \mathcal{K} .

2! In the operator space \mathcal{M}' there exists a set of free action operators:

$$\mathcal{M}' = \{M'_1, M'_2, \dots, M'_n, \dots\}$$

so that:

- a. In the case where contradiction is characterized by itself $M = M_{(M)}$, then

$$M'_{(M)} \equiv M^{(0)} = 1.$$

- b. As operators act simultaneously onto contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} M'_i + M'_i + \dots + M'_i &\equiv M'_i, \\ M'_1 + M'_2 + \dots + M'_n &\equiv M', \end{aligned}$$

with n being less than number of operators in the space \mathcal{M}' .

$$\text{If } M'_2 = M'_{-1}, \quad \text{then } M'_1 + M'_2 = M'_1 + M'_{-1} = (0),$$

(because they eliminate mutually.)

- c. As operators act onto contradiction in order:

$$M'_i M'_i \dots \equiv M_i'' \dots,$$

$$M'_2 M'_1 \dots \equiv M'_2 M'_1 \dots,$$

$$M'_2 M'_1 \dots = M'_{-1} M'_1 = (0) \quad \text{if } M'_2 = M'_{-1},$$

(because M'_1 and M'_{-1} eliminate mutually.)

3! Selection Rule.

- a. As the two spaces of actions \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 sink into each other: each action in one space of actions searches and selects actions among appropriate actions in other space in order to unite mutually when between them there are common elements (if not, they have mutually independent tendency) and then forming contradictions in a new space \mathcal{M} :

$$|\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_2| = \mathcal{M}.$$

Writing so means that it has eliminated actions which do not contribute to create contradictions.

- b. As the operator space \mathcal{M}' sink into the space \mathcal{M} : each some contradiction M_i in the space \mathcal{M} searches and selects necessary operators (e.g. M'_i) among appropriate operators in the operator space \mathcal{M}' so that those contradictions are solved with respect to transfer facilities (degrees of freedom) which chosen operators have contained (if operators are not necessary, contradictions will not choose them). These make the space \mathcal{M} have contradiction parts to be solved, that solving obeys the equation of causality and contradictions decrease gradually to reach a lowest contradiction state.

$$aM'_iM_i = -M_i.$$

Finally, the space \mathcal{M} reaches and concludes at a new space of actions \mathcal{K}_3 .

- c. As the two operator spaces \mathcal{M}'_1 and \mathcal{M}'_2 sink into each other: then operators act onto each other obeying the rule

$$M'_2M'_1 = M'_1M'_2,$$

where $M'_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_1$ and $M'_2 \in \mathcal{M}'_2$.

- d. As the two spaces $(\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{M})_1$ and $(\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{M})_2$ sink into each other: then the two spaces \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 sink into each other, and simultaneously the spaces \mathcal{M}'_1 and \mathcal{M}'_2 sink into the spaces \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 .

4! Action Group.

There exist actions interacting together under the annihilation operation \ominus and action operators under the successive action operation \cdot and simultaneous action operation \oplus . They obey the following laws:

a. In the space of actions $\{K\}$: there exist actions K^+ and anti-actions K^- ;

$$[K^\pm \ominus K^\mp] = 0, \quad (\text{existence of anti-actions})$$

$$[0 \ominus K^\pm] = K^\pm, \quad (\text{existence of unite } 0)$$

$$[K_i^\pm \ominus K_j^\pm] = K_k^\pm \in \{K\}, \quad (\text{algebra})$$

$$[K_i^\pm \ominus K_j^\pm] = [K_j^\pm \ominus K_i^\pm], \quad (\text{permutation})$$

$$[[K_i^\pm \ominus K_j^\pm] \ominus K_k^\pm] = [K_i^\pm \ominus [K_j^\pm \ominus K_k^\pm]] = [[K_k^\pm \ominus K_i^\pm] \ominus K_j^\pm].$$

b. In the space of action operators $\{\widehat{M}\}$: there exist action operators $M^{+'}$ and anti-action operators $M^{-'}$;

$$M^{+'} \cdot M^{-'} = M^{-'} \cdot M^{+'} = 1, \quad (\text{existence of anti-action operators})$$

$$M^{+'} \oplus M^{-'} = M^{-'} \oplus M^{+'} = 1,$$

$$M' \cdot M'_{(M)} = M'_{(M)} \cdot M' = M' \oplus M'_{(M)} = M'_{(M)} \oplus M' = M',$$

(existence of unite $M'_{(M)} = M^{(0)} = 1$)

$$M' \oplus M' = M'; \quad M'_i \oplus M'_j = M'_j \oplus M'_i = M'_k \in \{\widehat{M}\};$$

$$M' \cdot M' = M''; \quad M'_i M'_j = \alpha_{ji} M'_j M'_i, \quad \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}^{-1}.$$

Briefly, there are many more actions than many more such kinds of contradictions and derivatives of contradictions and also the same for the equation of causality.

Depending on concrete problem, the annihilation operation may be a vectorial product, or may be a derivative limit, etc. and the degrees of freedom may be a rotation angle, may be coordinates, or also may be the time, etc. and similar to the world transfer coefficient may be a definite value depending upon reflecting from one world to other in that concrete problem.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. D. M. Chi for useful discussions and valuable comments.

References

[1] D. M. Chi, *The Equation of Causality*, (Vietnamese, 1979), see <http://www.mt-anh.com-us.com>.