

How to teach statistical thermal physics in the introductory physics course

Koo-Chul Lee

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea

(November 26, 2024)

We report several simulation programs¹ which can be used to teach the statistical foundation of thermal physics in the introductory college physics courses. These programs are simple applications of a technique of generating random configurations of many dice with fixed total value. By simulating dice throwing only we can demonstrate all the important principles of classical thermodynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The laws of thermodynamics were formulated long before we knew the existence of atoms and molecules.

The formal thermodynamics curriculum based on the empirical laws have been replaced by courses based on physical and statistical foundation of the subject in the intermediate courses in undergraduate curriculum for some time now. In these courses they show how the properties of macroscopic system are simple consequences of the behaviors of their elementary constituents. Unfortunately, however, in the introductory physics courses the “traditional” exposition of the subject following the historical sequence of events is still prevailing.

In the age when a single atom can be trapped and manipulated teaching thermal physics starting from the empirical laws of thermodynamics is a pedagogical scandal. It is a high time to reform the style of teaching the thermal physics in the introductory college physics course.

In most of introductory college physics text books authors introduce the laws of thermodynamics before going on some brief exposition on the statistical basis of subject if they mention it at all. They introduce the concept of entropy nearly at the end of thermal physics part using Clausius’ definition

$$dS = dQ/T \quad (1)$$

in conjunction with the Carnot cycle. On the other hand Boltzmann’s definition of the entropy

$$S = k \ln W \quad (2)$$

is usually appear as if a passing remark with brief comments. Almost none makes any attempts to relate two expressions. The Clausius’ definition of entropy is one of the most difficult subject to teach. First of all T in the above expression (1) is the absolute temperature. If it were not the absolute temperature in the expression (1) the Clausius’ definition is meaningless. However the concept of the absolute temperature has never been fully explained previously in textbooks that follow traditional

style. The temperature is usually introduced through operational definition which can not explain the significance of the absolute temperature without any inkling of statistical nature of the absolute temperature.

Secondly dQ is usually introduced in conjunction with the first law of thermodynamics where it is implied an arbitrary infinitesimal quantity of heat. However in the Clausius’ definition (1) the left side is an exact or total differential of a state function S . How can we expect students understand meaning of the Clausius’ expression if we do not explain them carefully and clearly the physical and mathematical circumstances which let us connect an arbitrary infinitesimal quantity to an exact differential of a some function, S . This subject is not an easy topic even in the intermediate thermal physics courses, where we devote much more time for the discussion of the subject.

In many cases instructors bypass all these details of the Clausius’ expression and proceed to apply the formula to thermodynamic processes.

On the other hand Boltzmann’s definition is simple and clear enough. Only problem is how to relate the Boltzmann’s expression to thermodynamic functions in a simple and clear manner. It is the task of statistical mechanics but statistical mechanics is generally considered too difficult subject to teach in the introductory physics courses.

In this paper I propose that teaching statistical mechanics in the introductory college physics courses is not at all difficult if we employ a proper method. The proper method I propose in this paper is a simulation of dice throwing on computers. Dice are familiar objects in our daily life and any students have an experience of throwing dice. Students can learn how thermodynamic principles emerge if they throw a large number of dice, say tens of thousands of dice. Computers came of age to let students throw many dice. With the aid of computer simulations students can easily understand the statistical foundation of thermal physics.

A Chinese proverb says²:

“ I hear, I forget; I see, I remember; I do, I understand.”

There is no better way than letting students run the simulations themselves and understand them. I wrote all the programs discussed in this paper in JAVA applet and can be viewed and run at the web site referenced in the Ref.1 with a JAVA enabled browser such as Netscape (version 4.04 with patches or later version) or MS Internet Explorer (4.0 or later version).

Students should be aware of the fact that the numbers we deal in statistical mechanics are huge and are not even comparable with astronomical numbers as we demonstrate in the conclusion of this paper. This fact is intimately connected to a core principle of the thermodynamics, namely the irreversibility. This fact is also important mathematically. For example if we throw N dice simultaneously the number of possible outcome is $W = N^6$. Students who are accustomed to the linear quantity, a property of an exponential quantity, W for a large N such as

$$W \cong NW \cong \frac{W}{N} \quad (3)$$

may look like paradoxical. However the validity of an approximate relationship (3) within the error of $O(1/N)$ for a quantity $\ln W/N$ is easily tested for the thermodynamic system where a typical N is of order of 10^{23} . However for small numbers such as $N = 3$ or 4 the approximation (3) is certainly a nonsense. The validity of statistical mechanical argument begins at the point where the size of our system becomes large enough to tolerate the approximate relationship (3). Students can learn this fact through the computer simulations.

The dice system is a good analogy of a physical system made of N paramagnetic atoms of spin $J = 5/2$ in the external magnetic field³. Atoms assume $2J + 1 = 6$ equally spaced energy states. We can make the spacing exactly unity by controlling the external magnetic field. Students need not know the detail of paramagnetic atom. It suffices to say that dice represent atoms that assume only 6 equally spaced energy levels. Therefore the total value of dice is the total energy of the system. In all our simulation programs except the first one we label the value of dice from 0.

In this simulation programs I emphasize that there are only two principles involved; the conservation of energy which is inherited from mechanics and the "principle of equal a priori probability" of accessible microscopic states consistent with the macroscopic specification. We will put the conservation of energy by hand. This means we are considering the microcanonical ensemble.

Therefore the first law is not a new thing but a simple application of the conservation of energy to the thermal system. In order to implement the "principle of equal a priori probability" we use the microcanonical Monte Carlo (MC) technique first introduced by M. Creutz⁴ and further elaborated by myself⁵.

The microcanonical MC technique is a simple device which allows us to scan uniformly all accessible microscopic states of fixed total value or total energy.

We generalize common die to a die of ν faces where ν can be any integer numbers, $2, 3, \dots, 6, \dots$. Although dice of arbitrary faces do not exist in the real world, one can imagine dice in the shape of tetrahedron ($\nu = 4$) octahedron ($\nu = 8$) etc. Since dice of polyhedron shape are analogous to atoms of arbitrary number of equally spaced energy levels.

Other parameters of the system we can control in the simulation programs are the number of dice N and the total value of dice T which is the total energy E for the corresponding analogous physical system.

The number N is restricted to a square of an integer L for programming convenience. L ranges from 2 to any number restricted only by the computer resources. However for L value larger than 200 ($N > 40000$) the dice configurations will not be shown.

Noninteracting atoms can not relax to thermal equilibrium state like an ideal gas. We need to introduce a weak interaction. The microcanonical MC technique used in the simulation program introduces a mechanism which effectively amounts to physical interaction between magnetic atoms. Suppose the spins of the magnetic atom couple to the phonons of the underlying lattice so weak that only a single phonon interact with one magnetic atom at a time. In the program a single atom is randomly selected and exchange energy with the phonon either by taking in or giving off energy according to a specified rule.

In the next section we will explain the microcanonical MC technique by way of simulating rolling of dice. In order to make students feel at home we throw only dice in the simulation programs and a phonon is represented by the Maxwell's demon⁴ who carries a bag of energy.

Following three sections we present dynamical entropy simulation, statistical temperature simulation, Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution simulation. In all these simulations we throw only dice and watch the consequences. In the final section we make a summary and concluding remarks.

II. PRINCIPLE OF EQUAL A PRIORI PROBABILITY AND MICROCANONICAL MONTE CARLO TECHNIQUE

The fundamental postulate of equilibrium statistical mechanics is the principle of equal a priori probabilities.

To college freshmen it suffice to say that the internal molecular interaction is complex enough that all microscopic states consistent with the macroscopic specification are equally likely to occur. This is analogous to the simple postulate of assigning equal probabilities to head and tail in an experiment of tossing a coin. The ultimate validity of the postulate can only be established by checking its predictions against experimental observations.

In order to realize the postulate we adopt the microcanonical Monte Carlo technique⁴ which has been suc-

cessfully used for calculating thermodynamic functions of more complex system with precision and efficiency⁵.

We can do this by simulating a throw of N dice on computers. The total value designated by T of dice which is the sum of the value of individual dice. We throw dice for a fixed T . Since T is the total energy of the analogous physical system we are generating a microcanonical ensemble.

How can we throw N dice whose total value matching a some predetermined value T ? In other words how can we generate a random dice configuration of a given T ? We can think of throwing dice randomly until we get a throw whose total value matches T . This is impractical unless either the number of dice is very small or T is close $3.5N$. (If we throw N dice randomly we will most likely get T values which is close to $3.5N$.) The microcanonical MC technique is one efficient method of generating random configurations of dice for a given fixed value of T .

For the purpose of illustration let us consider a system of $N = 100$ dice and generate a microcanonical ensemble of $T = 260$.

Our system consists of 100 dice and a demon who carries a bag of maximum capacity of 10 units of value or energy. There are 5 units of energy in the demon's bag initially as in the Fig.1. Therefore the total value of dice+demon system is 265 and this number remains fixed throughout the simulation. In other words the system made of dice and the demon is a closed system.

Fig.1

FIG. 1. The initial configuration of the applet 1. if you "Select & Roll" button you will get a randomly selected dice on the margin to the right side and the new face as a result of the roll. The amount of increment or decrement in value as a result of the roll is indicated. Examining the state of bag, the decision whether accept the new configuration or not is also indicated by "Yes" or "No". By clicking "Replace or Put it back" button you get a new configuration or retain the old one.

Thermalization or MC step consists of following steps: (1) The demon selects one die out of 100 dice randomly and rolls it. (2) The new configuration generated by the rolled die makes the total value of dice system either increase or decrease. If the increment can be covered by the energy units in the bag or decrement can be accommodated by enough vacancy in the bag the new configuration is accepted. Otherwise the move is rejected and the die retains the old value and no new configuration is generated. (3) The demon repeats the same procedure, steps (1) and (2).

This MC procedure amounts to the random walk in the configurational space(analogous to the phase space for the Hamiltonian system) bounded by the energy band

$254 < T < 266$ which is analogous to the energy shell for the continuous Hamiltonian system. We define one thermalizing MC step by whole procedure until the new configuration of the given $T(= 260)$ is generated. This procedure satisfies the condition of detailed balance and can be made ergodic⁴.

It should be noted that the capacity of the bag is the minimum size to keep the successive two configurations of the given T from being the same one. At $T = 260$ the value in the bag is 5 units and there are 5 units of vacancy. Therefore any change in the value of a single die can be accepted always. Some times the rolled dice turn up the old value again but it is still considered as a fresh configuration although the configuration is unchanged. On the other hand if the bag size is larger than 10 , it will take much longer time to return to the given $T = 260$ state while for a bag of capacity smaller than 10 there is a chance that the successive two configurations might be the same one by rejecting the move in the step (2) making the statistics deteriorated⁵.

Fig.2

FIG. 2. A random dice configuration with $T = 260$ which is obtained from the initial configuration of Fig.1 after performing several MC steps.

Fig.2 is a typical configuration obtained after a few MC steps. In the following and subsequent chapters all the simulations use this thermalizing MC steps and the demon will be hidden in the background and configurations of $T \neq 260$ states will also be suppressed and hidden.

III. THERMAL RELAXATION AND CHANGE OF ENTROPY

Starting from the initial configuration given by the dice arrangement shown in Fig.1 of the last section we thermalize the system by performing the MC steps and watch how the configuration changes in time. The time is the number of MC steps described in the last section.

Now we calculate the entropy by the Boltzmann's celebrated formula given by the eq. (2). W in the eq. (2) is the number of configurations and the k is the Boltzmann's constant which defines the unit of thermodynamic entropy. In this paper we set $k = 1$.

From this point onwards we relabel the face of dice as $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and use different colors to represent these values instead of "dots". This will make the total value T of the last section change to $T - N$.

If we take a view that the total value T is the only macroscopic parameter that specifies our macroscopic state then $W = W(T)$, where $W(T)$ is the total number of configurations that have the total value T . Then

the *equilibrium* entropy of the system is

$$S(T) = \ln W(T). \quad (4)$$

Let us subdivide the microscopic configuration into classes of configurations having a fixed set of values $\{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\}$ which are the number of dice showing 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively. We will call these numbers “occupation numbers”. We designate the number of configurations belonging to a fixed set of occupation numbers, $\{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\}$ by $W(\{n_k\})$. Then

$$W(T) = \sum_{\{n_k\}} 'W(\{n_k\}) \quad (5)$$

where “ ’ ” in the above summation implies that the sum is carried out over all possible set of values $\{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\}$ consistent with the condition

$$\sum_{k=0}^5 n_k = N \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^5 kn_k = T \quad (7)$$

In the expression (5) the number of summand is $O(N)$ (at most N^6 in this case). This implies that at least one of the summands must be $O(\exp(N))$ if $W(T)$ is $O(\exp(N))$ in view of the approximate relations (3). This then allow us to replace the sum (5) by a single largest term. *i.e.*

$$W(T) = W(\{\tilde{n}_k\}). \quad (8)$$

$W(\{\tilde{n}_k\})$ in the above expression(8) is the largest term among the summands in the eq.(5).

Let us now generalize the equilibrium entropy into a generalized entropy which represents a nonequilibrium state by

$$S^{gen} = \ln W(\{n_k\}) . \quad (9)$$

Since the $W(n_k)$ of the generalized entropy (9) is nothing but the multinomial coefficient given by

$$W(\{n_k\}) = \frac{N!}{n_0!n_1! \dots n_5!} , \quad (10)$$

we can write the generalized entropy (9) as

$$S^{gen} = \ln N! - \sum_{k=0}^5 \ln n_k! . \quad (11)$$

In the simulation programs the entropy is calculated using the formula (11).

Fig.2a is the default initial configuration of the applet 2 which is the same dice arrangement as in the Fig.3 of

the last section and Fig.4 is the typical simulation result of the applet 2 with a different set of system parameters.

Fig.3

FIG. 3. The default initial configuration of the applet 2 which is exactly the same configuration as shown in the Fig.1. If we click the “Start/Resume” button we generate a series of configurations such as shown in the Fig.2.

We see in the Fig.4, the entropy defined by eq, (11) approaches to the equilibrium entropy as time progresses. Students can watch how the configuration changes visually and the computer calculate the entropy at every instance and plot a graph.

As the time progresses we will see the “entropy” increase monotonically and hit the maximum value eventually and remains there “forever” apart small fluctuation which tends smaller as the system size grows larger. This is the 2nd law of thermodynamics !

Fig.4

FIG. 4. The typical simulation result of the applet 2. The scale of the energy density is (0.0 - 5.0). Initially the upper region starts out with the energy density 5.0 while the lower region starts out with 0.0.

The applet 2 also calculate the energy density of the two regions, namely the upper region where the dice of value 6(now 5) were initially occupied and the remaining lower region where dice of value 1(now 0) were occupied. We will see that the MC steps randomize the configurations in both “energy” and “configurational” space. As the system relaxes to equilibrium state the energy density becomes uniform everywhere manifesting one characteristic of equilibrium state.

In the right lower corner in the applet 2, the equilibrium entropies are plotted. The graph shows the thermal fluctuation in the equilibrium state in an enlarged scale in changing colors. The zoomed-in scale is marked in the left side of the original plotting region. Students can estimate the size of the fluctuation as the size of the system grows.

On the other hand in the opposite limit where the number of dice is small, say 4, then average period of returning to the initial state is less than $64 = 1296$ which students can observe during the experiment. By estimating these probabilities students can understand the meaning of irreversibility.

In the applet 2 students can edit 3 system variables namely the energy density, e which is T/N , the size of the system by L , which is the square root of N , and

number of energy levels, ν which is the number of faces of a die (6 for an ordinary die). “ e ” accepts a real number and is converted to a closest integer T by multiplying N with a possibility of a loss of precision.

There are two more editable variables in the applet 2: They are “time”, the number of thermalizing MC steps and the “NoDP”, which is the number of data points to display. Therefore every “time/ NoDP” MC steps generated data are displayed or plotted.

For a given T , the initial state is constructed such a way that T is made of q dice of highest value $\nu - 1$ and single die of value r , where q is the quotient and r is the remainder satisfying $T = (\nu - 1)q + r$. The priority is given such a way that dice of higher value occupy the top and leftmost positions.

Finally the applet also plot the entropy calculated using approximate formula

$$S^{Stir} = - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{n_k}{N} \ln \frac{n_k}{N}, \quad (12)$$

in green color.

S^{Stir} is calculated using the Stirling’s approximation for the factorial,

$$\ln n! = n \ln n - n$$

which is made in the same spirit as the approximation (3). We plot this to show the range of the system size where the statistical argument based on this approximation becomes valid.

The points to watch in this simulation are:

(A) The visual characteristics of thermal equilibrium state such as uniformity in various densities.

(B) The relaxation, irreversibility, 2nd law of thermodynamics. Although the algorithm described in the above is periodic (any simulation on the computer is periodic for that matter), if N is moderately large number, say $N=100$, there is absolutely no chance that we see the configuration return to the original unique arrangement or close to it. This is the irreversibility. It is sheer enormous length of the recurrence period (Poincarè cycle) which makes the reversibility impossible.

(C) The size dependence of fluctuations. Students can watch the fluctuation subsides as the system size grows keeping all other parameters intact. Students can watch the fluctuations in the entropy and local energy densities shrink proportionally to $1/\sqrt{N}$ as N grows.

(D) The entropy versus the energy. The entropy as a function of energy will be shown in the next section. However in this simulation students may try various energy densities “ e ” to see how the equilibrium entropy changes as a function of energy. Since the energy levels of this system is finite the equilibrium entropy does not always increase as the energy density increases. Some students may discover the concept of negative absolute temperature.

(E) The extensibility of the entropy. Students can watch how the entropy density change or remain as the size of the system grows.

IV. THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM AND STATISTICAL TEMPERATURE

In the last section we presented a simulation program, the applet 2 which demonstrates the process of relaxation of a single system to the equilibrium state and the characteristics of the equilibrium state. In this section we present a simulation program that demonstrates the behavior of relaxation of two systems which are thermally interacting with each other. From this point onwards we denote the total value of dice by E instead of T and T will be used to denote the absolute temperature.

Initially we separate the two systems **A** and **B** by a thermally insulating wall so that they can not exchange energy. We prepare our system in highly nonequilibrium states for both systems as described in the last section. When we thermalize two systems with insulating wall on, then the relaxation processes are just the same as in the case of a single system. When we remove the wall two systems can exchange energy. Simulation program is designed such a way that two independent microcanonical MC processes of fixed energies are performed, when two systems are insulated, alternating one MC step each for **A** and **B**. When the wall is removed we use the single microcanonical MC process. In this case we also perform MC steps alternately for **A** and **B** parts.

Fig.5

FIG. 5. The typical simulation result of the applet 3. The entropy and energy densities as well as the equilibrium canonical inverse temperatures for **A** and **B** parts are plotted in different colors in the same rectangle in upper right corner. The scales of these quantities are shown in the middle center area. The scales of the plotting area for the entropy vs. energy is the same one as the scales of the main plotting area. At every plotting instance the values of these data are also displayed during the simulation in the upper middle area. The total entropy and energy densities are simply $(S_A + S_B)/(N_A + N_B)$ and $(E_A + E_B)/(N_A + N_B)$.

In the applet 3(Fig.5), we can control the size, N_A, N_B the number of energy levels ν_A, ν_B , initial energies, E_A, E_B for two systems **A** and **B** separately. There are only one length of time of simulation and a single number of data points.

During the simulation process we can toggle the insulating wall on and off by clicking the check box. This will make the system relax to the final equilibrium state in may different paths.

The equilibrium condition for two system in thermal contact where the energy exchange between two systems are allowed is given by the maximum entropy principle. For if we maximize total entropy

$$S = S_A(E_A) + S_B(E_B)$$

under the condition that the total energy of combined system

$$E = E_A + E_B = \text{constant},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{dS_A}{dE_A} = \frac{dS_B}{dE_B}. \quad (13)$$

We should emphasize the fact that the equilibrium condition for two systems in thermal contact, is that two slopes of entropy as a function of energy equal to each other. This simulation program explicitly shows that the equilibrium is reached when the slopes of two entropy functions S_A and S_B become equal.

Therefore statistical definition of the inverse temperature $1/T$ naturally follows as

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{dS}{dE}. \quad (14)$$

Of course any single valued function of the entropy slope may be defined as the temperature. However the absolute temperature was known before the statistical mechanics was discovered. In order to make the previously known absolute temperature such as the one that enters in the Clausius entropy expression (1) coincide with the statistical definition, the temperature must be defined as in the eq.(14) as we all know.

This temperature can be calculated during micro-canonical MC simulation by examining how often the system visit the energy levels $E - 1$, and $E + 1$. If in a given period of simulation the frequency of visits are recorded as f_- and f_+ , then $S_+ - S_- = \ln(f_+/f_-)$ and T can be estimated by

$$\frac{1}{T} = (\ln \frac{f_+}{f_-})/\Delta E \quad (15)$$

where $\Delta E = 2$ in our case. This is the microcanonical temperature.

However this method of estimating the temperature is time consuming since we have to collect about 1000 data for f_{\pm} 's for a reasonable statistics at every instance. This makes the simulation almost crawl. Fortunately we have an analytic expression for the temperature for large systems³.

In the applet 3 the inverse temperature $1/T(\equiv \beta)$ is the equilibrium canonical inverse temperature β calculated solving the following equation for β for a given set of variables $e(= E/N)$ and ν ,

$$e = \frac{\nu - 1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2} \cosh \frac{\nu}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2} \cosh \frac{\beta}{2}. \quad (16)$$

This is the canonical temperature for large systems. It was tested and verified that the canonical temperature agrees within the statistical error bar with the equilibrium microcanonical temperature calculated using the formula (15), if the size of the system is large enough so that the Stirling's approximation remains valid.

The Fig.?? is a typical simulation result of the applet 3. There are many steps where thermodynamic functions

remain flat. These regions are when the insulating wall is on so that each system is in thermal equilibrium state separately.

The entropy as a function of energy is traced and plotted in a small box in the lower center area. At the end of the simulation the straight line with the slope calculated by solving the equation (16) is drawn extending from the end points of two curves. Students can visually confirm that these slopes are indeed the slopes of the simulated entropy functions at the final equilibrium state.

Points to watch in this simulation program are:

(A) Zeroth law of thermodynamics.

Although we do not have 3 system in thermal contact we can do so indirectly by placing 2 different systems in the position of **B** in thermal contact with one common system, **A**.

(B) Students should watch the small box where the entropy as a function of energy is being traced during the simulation. It shows the functions are concave downward. If these two systems start at the points where their slopes are different then they reach thermal equilibrium states when their slopes become equal. If they overshoot the equilibrium point then it will make the total entropy decrease. It is a simple law of probability which keeps this from happening!

(C) Students can also make a rough estimate of the entropy change in the process of heat transfer, by calculating $dS_A = dE_A/T_A$ and $dS_B = dE_B/T_B$ together with the net change $dS = dE/(1/T_B - 1/T_A)$, where $dE = dE_B = -dE_A$.

This can be done by suspending the simulation just before removing the wall and after reinsert the wall. In this way students can verify that the Boltzmann's entropy is indeed the same quantity defined by Clausius(1), $dS = dQ/T$, where $dQ = dE$.

(D) Students can experiment various paths to reach to the thermal equilibrium state by drawing the curves over the old one to confirm that the final equilibrium state is indeed a single unique state.

V. MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN DISTRIBUTION

In most text books for introductory college physics courses, authors introduce Maxwell-Boltzmann (M-B) distribution and discuss its consequences. However none derives or even present any plausible argument for the origin of the distribution.

If we throw and generate a truly *random configuration* of sufficiently large number of dice keeping the total value E fixed and examine the distribution of occupation numbers $\{\tilde{n}_k\}$, we get the M-B distribution given by

$$\tilde{n}_r = A \exp(-\frac{r}{T}), \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots 5. \quad (17)$$

Why? It is not so difficult to explain the reason to students in the introductory physics courses if students un-

derstood the probability, entropy, and temperature which we discussed and demonstrated in the previous sections.

Truly random configuration can be realized when the system is in the thermal equilibrium. Let us concentrate one die say, one in the upper leftmost corner. Let us keep performing MC steps after the dice system reached the thermal equilibrium and ask how often this particular die will show a value r . This frequency f_r must be proportional to the number of configurations of the rest of dice to have total value $E - r$, *i.e.*, $W_{N-1}(E - r)$. If N is sufficiently large, $W_{N-1}(E - r)$ can be approximated by $W_N(E - r) = W(E - r)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_r &\propto W(E - r) = \exp(S(E - r)) \\ &\cong \exp\left(S(E) - \frac{dS}{dE}r\right) \\ &= A \exp\left(-\frac{r}{T}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In the above derivation we have used the definition of the temperature (14), the Taylor series approximation $S(E - r) \cong S(E) - \left(\frac{dS}{dE}\right)r$ since $r \ll E$.

This is the standard argument for deriving the canonical distribution⁶ and is not much more difficult than what we have done so far.

In the applet 4, instead of examining the frequency f_r of a single die which is time consuming we examine the distribution of occupation numbers $\{\tilde{n}_r\}$ since every die is equivalent and the outcome of other dice may be considered as the manifestation of the single die in question in the time series. Another words it is the demonstration

Fig.6

FIG. 6. The typical simulation result of the applet 4. Applet 4 is very much the same as the applet 2. We trace the change of occupation number $\{n_k\}$ in time instead of the energy densities. At the end of the simulation when the equilibrium is reached we plot the bar diagram of the $\{\tilde{n}_k\}$ using the colors representing dice values.

of the ergodic hypothesis that the time average is the ensemble average!

Fig. 4 is the typical simulation result of applet 4. In this simulation program, applet 4 we trace the distribution of occupation numbers from the highly nonequilibrium state to equilibrium state.

The curve drawn using cross mark “x” in the middle rectangle is the entropy defined by the equation (11). It can be used to determine if the system reached the equilibrium state.

The temperature used for drawing the M-B distribution curve given by eq.(17) is the canonical temperature defined in the equation (16) and the constant A is determined by the normalization condition (6).

Points to watch in this simulation program are:

(A) Students can perform the experiments for systems of small number of dice and increase the size gradually to see from what size the M-B distribution is applicable.

(B) Students can watch the fluctuation subsides as the size of the system grows.

(C) The entropy of the equilibrium state is represented by a single term of a set of occupation numbers as we saw in the eq. (8). Therefore $\{\tilde{n}_k\}$ of the eq. (8) are the M-B distribution. Students may discover that the M-B distribution can also be derived by maximizing S^{gen} (11) under the conditions (6) and (7).

VI. CONCLUSION

In this simulation programs I made the point that the statistical foundation of thermal physics is not so difficult to teach to students in the introductory physics courses if we have some simple examples to demonstrate or let students try themselves.

The postulate of equal a priori probabilities is an easy concept to teach. The energy conservation is not a new concept. The crux is the realization of the postulate. However if we use the microcanonical MC technique we can easily implement the postulate. Without the microcanonical MC technique it would indeed be difficult to generate a truly random configuration of fixed E .

The microcanonical MC is not a difficult technique to follow as we demonstrated in the sec.II. Once students understand how to generate a truly random configuration of given fixed total value, E then simulations that follow are simple applications of this microcanonical MC steps.

Students can easily grasp the laws of thermodynamics especially the 2nd law together with the concept of irreversibility. The number of configurations even for a 100 dice is $6^{100} > 10^{78}$ which far exceeds the age of universe in seconds which is less than 10^{18} . Therefore it is impossible even for a system of 100 dice in thermal equilibrium return to the initially prepared highly nonequilibrium state. Even if a computer generates a million configurations in a second the odd that we get the initially prepared nonequilibrium state in the age of universe is still 10^{-54} !

Students can also learn and understand that the entropy becomes maximum at the thermal equilibrium and never decreases apart the fluctuations which will get less as the system size grows.

From the maximum entropy principle of thermal equilibrium state it is easy to understand the condition of two systems becoming in thermal equilibrium state. It is when two slopes of the entropy as a function of energy become equal to each other. From this understanding it is natural to introduce the statistical inverse temperature as the slope of the entropy as a function of energy.

The simulation also makes students understand the true meaning of Clausius’ definition of entropy. It is more natural to take a view that the Clausius’ entropy for-

mula(1) is defining the absolute temperature rather than defining the entropy.

In most introductory college text book M-B distribution are introduced without derivation. Simply throwing many dice it is easy to demonstrate and understand why occupation numbers must follow the M-B distribution.

In conclusion it is not that difficult to teach the statistical foundation of thermal physics in the introductory college physics courses if we know how to teach the statistical concepts. The microcanonical MC technique is one such "how-to".

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Republic of Korea through a grant to the Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Seoul National University, in part by the Korea Science Foundation through Research Grant to the Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University.

¹These programs were originally written to supplement a textbook(in Korean) used for the introductory college physics course at Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea. They are translated into English and uploaded at the web site: Koo-Chul Lee, "Thermal Physics Simulations," <http://phys.snu.ac.kr/StatPhys/frame-e.htm>.

²M. Johnson, D. Johnson, K. Lee, "A Pedestrian Guide To Reforming The Introductory Physics Course," <http://www.phy.duke.edu/~mark/reform/>.

³F. Reif *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965), pp. 257-262.

⁴Michael Creutz, "Microcanonical Monte Carlo Simulation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1411-1414 (1983).

⁵Koo-Chul Lee, "A new efficient Monte Carlo technique," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23**, 2087-2106 (1990).

⁶See Ref.3 pp. 202-206.

This figure "fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>

This figure "fig2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>

This figure "fig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>

This figure "fig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>

This figure "fig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>

This figure "fig6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9908046v1>